



Planet Hong Kong

Popular Cinema and the Art of Entertainment

David Bordwell

second edition

Planet Hong Kong

Popular Cinema and the Art of Entertainment

David Bordwell

Second edition

Irvington Way Institute Press Madison, Wisconsin 2011 This e-book is for sale at www.davidbordwell.net.

If you obtained this PDF from a source other than an authorized sales download, the author would be grateful if you would visit www.davidbordwell.net to purchase your own copy.

Copyright 2010 by David Bordwell All rights reserved Printed in the United States of America Edited and designed by Meg Hamel

Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

ISBN 978-0-9832440-0-4 PN1993.5H6 B63 2010 For Noël Once more, the power of movies

Contents

Preface	
1 All Too Extravagant, Too Gratuitously Wild	
Hong Kong and/as/or Hollywood	
2 Local Heroes	
Two Dragons: Bruce Lee and Jackie Chan	
3 The Chinese Connections	
4 Once Upon a Time in the West	
Enough to Make a Strong Man Weep: John Woo	
5 Made in Hong Kong	
A Chinese Feast: Tsui Hark	
6 Formula, Form, and Norm	
Whatever You Want: Wong Jing	
7 Plots, Slack and Stretched	
8 Motion Emotion: The Art of the Action Movie	
Three Martial Masters: Chang Cheh, Lau Kar-leung, King Hu	
9 Avant-Pop Cinema	
Romance on Your Menu: <i>Chungking Express</i>	
10 What Price Survival?	
Not So Extravagant, Not So Gratuitously Wild: Infernal Affairs	
11 A Thousand Films Later	
Man on a Mission: Johnnie To	
Further Reading	
Notes	
Acknowledgments	

Preface

Some of the best books on Hong Kong start with the author flying in to the old Kai Tak airport, the jumbo jet nearly scraping the rooftops of Kowloon City before wheeling around sharply to land. (An Australian pilot is supposed to have described the trip as eight hours of sheer boredom followed by eight minutes of sheer terror.) This magnificent arrival is impressed in my memory too, but the real thrill came later, when on a sultry March night I wandered along Nathan Road, staring up at a forest of towering neon. Columns of Chinese characters several stories high, blazing crimson or gold, stretched alongside more familiar names: Toshiba in silver and red, an aqua OK signaling karaoke.

Drifting with the crowd, I sauntered among old men walking gravely with hands clasped behind their back, executive men and women hollering into bricklike cell phones, matrons strolling four and five abreast, children trotting along in shorts and suspenders, slender boys in white shirts and blue trousers, girls with dyed auburn hair and knapsack purses strapped to their backs. There were plenty of tourists: big German and Australian couples appraised cameras and Discmen in shop windows while American students rummaged through a cart of bootleg CDs. The noise was overwhelming: buses screeched to discharge their passengers, people shouted amiably at one another. Occasionally a man would try to pull me out of the commotion. "Copy watch?" "Sir! Where are you from, sir? Are you thinking of a suit?"

To cross from Nathan Road to Salisbury Road, the street that runs along the harbor, is to leave most of the turmoil behind. Among the cool columns of the Cultural Centre, at the tip of the peninsula, people shift gears. The Centre is less popular for its museums and theaters, I suspect, than for its tranquillity; later I would discover that every day families fresh from the Marriage Registry gather in front of a fountain there for photographs, the bride dazzling in a white gown and perhaps clutching a Snoopy handbag. That first night, though, I was behind the Centre staring at the skyline of Hong Kong Island across the harbor.

As with all legendary views, the postcard version is too cramped. Here were skyscrapers spread out carefully, as if designed to lead your eye from the spiky profile of the Bank of China to the Neo-Deco Central Plaza and soon enough to the gigantic glowing signs for Citizen and San Miguel. Behind these, misty green hills rose to the Peak. Everything was reflected in the bay, not in perfect outline but in thousands of red, blue, and gold highlights broken by the ferries and barges that crisscrossed your line of sight. This view may be Hong Kong's greatest work of art. I picked up smells too—the pungent "fragrant harbor" that gave the colony its name, the odor of floor wax from the lobby of the Centre. On the esplanade, couples loitered and tourists snapped photos of the great contrivance shining across the water.

What had brought me here? In the fall of 1973, soon after I had started teaching at the University of Wisconsin, I went to see *Five Fingers of Death* paired with *The Chinese Connection* in the dilapidated Majestic Theatre. Not long afterward I saw *Enter the Dragon*. These movies shook me up. A few years later in Richmond, Virginia, I saw *Bruce Lee's Game of Death*, a film of such surpassing oddness that I screened it for my film theory class. At the same time, during trips to Europe, I caught up with King Hu's exhilarating masterworks.

During the 1980s, while writing about Hollywood cinema and film theory and the films of Yasujiro Ozu, I occasionally checked in on Hong Kong cinema. I caught a Jackie Chan here, a Tsui Hark there, and cable TV yielded up oddities like *Shaolin Kung-Fu Mystagogue*. The films appealed to me as "pure cinema," popular fare that, like American Westerns and gangster movies of the 1930s, seemed to have an intuitive understanding of the kinetics of movies. Over these years, my old friend Tony Rayns saw to it that I was sent the annual catalogues of the Hong Kong International Film Festival, and so I came to learn something of this cinema's history.

In the early 1990s I dived in, not least because these movies aroused my students' passion in a way that I had not seen for a long time. I began booking Hong Kong films for my courses, subscribing to the fanzines, picking up videotapes and laserdiscs. Soon I was convinced that this was a popular cinema of great vigor. When I gained a semester's leave in the spring of 1995, I decided that it was time to visit the Festival.

Through the Festival I met Li Cheuk-to, Athena Tsui, Stephen Teo, Shu Kei, Michael Campi, and many others who have become firm friends. I also saw a selection of recent films, a retrospective of postwar movies, and a sample of what was playing at the moment. At the first Hong Kong Critics Society award ceremony I met Ann Hui, Wong Kar-wai, and other filmmakers. I managed to slip into the Hong Kong Film Awards, where I snapped photos and got autographs of stars and directors I admired. During my three weeks' stay I lived in a fan's paradise. I even ate at Chungking Mansions.

I became addicted to visiting Hong Kong. Sometime after the third trip, at the urgings of my wife, Kristin Thompson, and my friend Noël Carroll, I decided to write a book. It was a difficult decision, not only because I don't speak or read Chinese. For one thing, there is already a lot written about this cinema, and there is going to be a lot more. Web pages are sprouting at this moment. Further, I have seen only about three hundred seventy Hong Kong movies. (If you think that's a lot, you are not yet a hardcore fan.) Still, perhaps out of stubborn naïveté, I thought that I had something original to say about the movies produced in this tiny corner of Asia. I thought that I could explore this cinema not as an expression of local society, nor as part of the history of Chinese culture, but as an example of how popular cinema can produce movies that are beautiful.

What follows, then, is an essayistic attempt to understand the interplay of art and entertainment in one popular cinema. Because I have felt free to choose what interests me, I have left to one side, for example, the Cantonese Opera films, the social realist tradition of the 1950s, the musicals and comedies and melodramas of the 1960s, and the films of Sadean violence. I have also not touched upon the work of certain directors whose work is unavailable in good film prints. Selective though it is, I hope that *Planet Hong Kong* will serve as both an introduction to Hong Kong film and an exploration of matters not addressed elsewhere industry background, production practices, and above all filmic structure and style.

The book also delineates Hong Kong's significance for international popular filmmaking. How did cheap movies made in a distant outpost of the British Empire achieve broad international appeal, while European filmmakers bemoan their inability to reach even their own national audiences? How did Hong Kong filmmakers manage to create artful movies within the framework of modern entertainment? What can these films tell us about storytelling in a mass medium—its history and craft, its design features and emotional effects? Such questions inevitably lead us back to the unique achievements of Hong Kong cinema and to an assessment of the delights and the shortcomings of the films themselves.

Some might say that the book risks imposing an outsider's values on a cinema that exists in and through unique cultural circumstances. But despite many claims to the contrary nowadays, there are more commonalities than differences across human cultures. Whatever a film's country of origin, it is likely to tap into widespread physical, social, and psychological predispositions. For instance, audiences can intuitively understand many facial expressions of emotion. Many practices—such as acquiring shelter and caring for children—are similar in different societies. Cultures also converge historically, because when they come into contact, borrowing is inevitable. The traditions of Hollywood and Japanese cinema have powerfully influenced Hong Kong film.

Popular cinema, moreover, is deliberately designed to cross cultural boundaries. Reliance on pictures and music rather than on words, appeal to widely felt emotions, easily learned conventions of style and story, and redundancy at many levels all help films travel outside their immediate context. That audiences all over the world enjoy Hong Kong movies dramatically illustrates the transcultural power of popular cinema.

Today the Asian financial crisis has driven the crowds from Nathan Road, and the Hong Kong film industry is struggling to survive. This book portrays a vibrant moment in the history of popular film and shows how it participates in a vigorous tradition of mass entertainment. That tradition is nearly as old as the century that is now ending, and it is becoming, day by day, more powerful in every land. It is time we understood it better. These films can help us to do so. Along the way they can show us a splendid time.

> Madison, Wisconsin December 1999

Preface to the Second Edition

THE TEN YEARS SINCE the first publication of this book have seen some striking changes in the Hong Kong film industry. From the 1970s to the mid-1990s, its product carried an intensely local tang and savor. Filmmakers painted their home as the cosmopolitan crossroads of Asia, where southern Chinese traditions coexisted with a modern service economy. Film after film proudly put on display an intoxicating lifestyle mixing East and West, Lunar New Year and XO brandy, t'ai chi and DKNY fashion. Even films set in the past displayed a distinctively modern, Cantonese take on things. Wong Fei-hung and Fong Sai-yuk, folk heroes of southern China, were brought up-todate with famous Hong Kong stars and inside references. The classic Journey to the West became A Chinese Odyssey, with Stephen Chow turning the Monkey King into a Cantonese comic.

In the 2000s, Hong Kong not only became part of China; it became one node in a network of contemporary Chinese media. With the Mainland now financing and distributing films to a vast audience, local filmmakers confronted new problems. Working in what had been something of a cottage industry, they had to adjust to high-powered competition from all directions—Hollywood, as ever, but also the burgeoning South Korean industry and the rapid growth of PRC production. Media conglomerates based in Malaysia, Taiwan, Japan, and South Korea, as well as in America, were investing in Chinese-language films, TV shows, music, and web content. The pressures of this new era led to changing subjects and themes, but the artistic traditions of Hong Kong film persisted along several dimensions.

The additional sections of the book, written in the second half of 2010, attempt to bring the story up to date. Chapter 10, the first new entry, continues the book's discussion of the changes in Hong Kong cinema starting in the mid-1990s. While Chapter 3 dealt with the changes principally from the Hong Kong point of view, new information allows us a finer-grained understanding of the dynamics at work in the Mainland at the time. Chapter 11 considers how the changed context of the 2000s affected mainstream moviemaking and some of the territory's major filmmakers, particularly Stephen Chow, Wong Kar-wai, and Johnnie To Kei-fung. Two new interludes trace how the Infernal Affairs trilogy (2002-2003) presented one option for local moviemaking, and how the crime films of Johnnie To suggest a different path.

THE FIRST EDITION TOOK Hong Kong as a case study in popular cinema. By examining the industry's craft routines and artistic options, I wanted

to examine the premises that foster mass-market entertainment. This analysis remains intact here. The new sections further explore the idea that popular cinema gains its strength by using film form and style in ways both familiar and innovative. Innovations are held in check by norms, but the norms can display an unexpected flexibility when put under creative pressure. Sometimes the filmmaker finds a new way to satisfy a long-standing norm, as when martial arts filmmakers, under the demand to make combats exciting, discovered the pause/burst/pause pattern and plumbed its potential. Less often, a filmmaker pushes the norms into fresh areas, yielding an experience that satisfies in unpredictable ways. Chungking Express does this, I think, by recasting the norms of young romance and converging plotlines; the work of Johnnie To does something similar in the crime genre.

Since *Planet Hong Kong* appeared, there has been a welcome surge in academic studies of Hong Kong cinema. A great many have been valuable and informative. Their authors, however, have not asked quite the same sorts of questions that preoccupy me. Speaking broadly, most studies of the films have been hermeneutic: They have concentrated on assigning implicit or symptomatic meanings to the movies. A body of themesmodernity or post-colonialism, diasporan or nomadic identities, the post-1997 mood, and the like—have been mapped onto the films. This angle of approach often continues the tradition of reflectionist reading I discuss in Chapter 2.

My concern has been less with hermeneutics than poetics. I ask about the principles that govern the making of the films. This frame of reference has many advantages, not least among them that it permits film criticism to mesh to some degree with the craft practices of filmmaking.

It's sometimes thought that studying the principles of filmic construction ignores meanings, but that isn't the case. A poetics of film, it seems to me, gives meanings a new function. We can note that the production process may seize upon ideas and subjects already in circulation. In both the old and the new sections of the book, I try to show that filmmakers working in a popular vein can take well-worn themes and larger meanings as material for their craft. The themes can come from contemporary concerns, as in the Young and Dangerous series, or from traditional sources. Chang Cheh drew upon codes of masculinity, Tsui Hark on Chinese Opera's play upon gender reversals, Wong Kar-wai on tropes of romantic longing. The task of the popular filmmaker is not necessarily "to say something new," but rather to give old materials a fresh force. That process works principally through film form and style. The way the parts are designed and coordinated, the way cinematic narration is deployed, and the way film techniques are chosen shape the emergent qualities of the film, including its thematic horizons.

The main purpose of a popular movie is to offer us an experience, not to impart an idea. Still, the way in which the experience is engineered can suggest ideas, especially to critics attuned to looking for them. The mechanics of the movie can encourage a range of interpretations. We can focus on the interpretations, but as in the earlier version of the book, I want to pay special attention to the mechanics. They are primary sources of the art of entertainment.

FINALLY, BITS OF housekeeping. I have not on the whole revised the arguments and examples in the first edition. I've confined myself to making a few stylistic changes and to amending factual errors, typically of historical information. For example, Law Kar and Frank Bren have identified what is likely to have been Hong Kong's first fiction film, and my claim about the title in the initial edition, picked up from previous researchers, has been corrected.

The first edition contained only black-and-white illustrations, all taken from 35mm film prints. I have replaced nearly all of these pictures with color illustrations. Many of the new pictures were made from 35mm prints, but I drew some from DVDs when I could not get a film print or when the DVD yielded better reproduction. In a very few instances, I have left the illustrations in black and white because I had no access to 35mm prints, and available DVD sources were photographically inferior to the original stills.

I've changed some names from the first edition. The original book referred to individuals by their best-known Anglicized names, such as Andy Lau and Brigitte Lin. I have retained these because these are familiar to Western readers, but on the first mention I supply the full Chinese name of each person. Spelling can cause further problems. One choreographer-director calls himself both Yuen Woo-ping and Yuen Wo-ping, and another filmmaker goes by Corey Yuen, Cory Yuen, Yuen Kwai, and Yuen Kuei. I have had to settle on one name for each person. Some names rendered in Mandarin transliteration in the original book have been replaced by their more familiar Cantonese versions; so Chang Cheh has replaced Zhang Che. When I was writing the first version of the book, Chow Sing-chi preferred to be known as Stephen Chiau, but by 2010 he was universally recognized as Stephen Chow, so that's the version I employ here.

The format preserving the digital files of the original book did not permit me to insert additional endnotes into the flow of the text. In some cases, I introduced new references into the existing notes for each chapter. On the whole, however, readers interested in following up research on Hong Kong film since 2000 should consult the Further Reading section at the back of the book.

A great many people have assisted me over the last decade in my thinking about Hong Kong cinema. I thank them in the acknowledgments. Here I must single out Kristin Thompson and Jim Cortada, who encouraged me to embark on this e-book adventure, and Meg Hamel, who made the enterprise easier than I had any right to expect.

> Madison, Wisconsin December 2010

1 | All Too Extravagant, Too Gratuitously Wild

HONG KONG CINEMA is one of the success stories of film history. For about twenty years, this city-state of around six million people had one of the most robust cinema industries in the world. In number of films released, it regularly surpassed nearly all Western countries. In export it was second only to the United States. It ruled the East Asian market. eventually obliterating one neighboring country's film industry. Distributed in the West, Hong Kong films became a cult phenomenon on an unprecedented scale. Although a typical production cost about as much as a German or French one, the industry enjoyed no subsidies of the sort that keep European cinema alive. Hong Kong movies were made simply because millions of people wanted to watch them.

Over the last two decades American film has devoured the world market. In some countries Hollywood claims 90% of box office receipts. Yet over the same years Hollywood movies held a minority position in Hong Kong, with U.S. market share sometimes falling to less than 30%. Global blockbusters often failed in Hong Kong. *Raiders of the Lost Ark* (1981) ranked only sixteenth in local admissions, beaten by *The Dead and the Deadly, Legendary Weapons of China*, and *Boat People. Who Framed Roger Rabbit?* (1989) earned just one-third the grosses of *God of Gamblers*. Not until the fateful year 1997 did Hollywood edge out the local product, claiming slightly over half the admission receipts—and some would blame that outcome on local underproduction and elevated ticket prices for Western fare.

How did this tiny cinema come to be so successful? Some answers lie in history and culture, but many others are to be found in the films themselves. Hong Kong's film industry offered something audiences desired. Year in and year out it produced dozens of fresh, lively, and thrilling movies. Since the 1970s it has been arguably the world's most energetic, imaginative popular cinema.

Every fan has favorite examples; here are two of mine. At the climax of the first part of King Hu's *A Touch of Zen* (1971), a swordsman and swordswoman confront enemy warriors in a bamboo grove. It is no ordinary combat. The fighters leap twenty feet in the air, pivoting and somersaulting, sometimes clashing with one another (Fig. 1.1). The woman strategically vaults up, then caroms off one tree trunk and alights on another, clinging there like a spider before swiveling and dive-bombing



1.1 Swordfighters clash in mid-air in A Touch of Zen.

her prey. Apart from the aerobatics, the swordfight is filmed and cut in a daringly opaque way. Although each image is carefully composed, the editing makes the shots so brief that we merely glimpse the fighters' extraordinary feats. Eisenstein and Kurosawa might admire the precise force of this sequence.

In Tsui Hark's *Peking Opera Blues* (1986), a young woman has allowed several friends to sleep overnight in her room, but in the morning her father bustles in unexpectedly. The friends must hide anywhere they can—crouching under the blanket, scampering around behind the father's back, even clambering up to the rafters (Fig. 1.2). Each shot's dodges are choreographed in layers for maximal comic effect (Figs. 1.3–1.5). As in *A Touch of Zen*, an outlandish premise is subjected to a rousing exactitude of execution.

Hong Kong films can be sentimental, joyous, rip-roaring, silly, bloody, and bizarre. Their audacity, their slickness, and their unabashed appeal to emotion have won them audiences throughout the world. "It is all too extravagant, too gratuitously wild," a *New York Times* reviewer complained of an early kung-fu import; now the charge looks like a badge of honor.¹ These outrageous entertainments harbor remarkable inventiveness and careful craftsmanship. They are Hong Kong's most important contribution to global culture. The best of them are not only crowdpleasing but also richly and delightfully artful.

How can mass-produced movies be artful? To answer this question, we must be willing to grant that the compromises of business do not prevent mass entertainment from achieving genuine artistry. We must also grant that there is a distinct



1.3 *Peking Opera Blues*: A hanging basket is knocked away...



1.4 ...and accidentally swings out at the viewer...



1.5 ...but is caught on the return by a boy who pops into view.



1.2 Peking Opera Blues: Bedroom farce becomes silent slapstick.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 1

All Too Extravagant, Too Gratuitously Wild | 2

aesthetic of popular film—a set of principles that shape its forms and effects. Finally, we must be willing to look closely at popular movies, to study how they tell their stories and deploy film technique; we must be ready to analyze.

Hong Kong cinema has been an industry for more than sixty years. During the war-torn 1930s and 1940s Shanghai film companies fled to the relative tranquillity of the British colony. Soon after the triumph of Mao's 1949 revolution, Hong Kong began turning out scores of movies in welltried genres: comedies, crime movies, family dramas, swordfight films, and Chinese operas. Films were made in both Mandarin and Cantonese. The highest output came from large companies, most notably that of the Shaw brothers, who ran their "Movietown" like an old-fashioned Hollywood studio (Fig. 1.6).

Until the 1970s, Hong Kong movies found distribution only in Asia and in émigré communities. Most Westerners learned of this cinema through the kung-fu film, with its revenge-driven plots and flamboyant martial arts. The worldwide success of Bruce Lee Siu-lung's films guaranteed that Hong Kong would be forever identified with this genre. But the world market became glutted with kung-fu films, and locally other trends emerged, such as the Cantonese dialect comedy identified with Michael Hui Koon-man, a former TV star. Soon afterward Jackie Chan cultivated comic kung-fu and became the biggest star in Asia.

By the early 1980s virtually all Hong Kong films were in Cantonese, and a new generation of directors came to the fore. Often trained in the West and in television, less tied to Mainland traditions than older hands, these young filmmakers turned away from the martial arts and toward gangster films, sword-and-sorcery fantasy, and dramas of contemporary life. Many of the films garnered acclaim in festivals and foreign exhibition, the most notable success being Ann Hui On-wah's *Boat People* (1982). Although this "new wave" did not overturn the mass-production ethos of the industry (most of the young directors wound up in the mainstream), its energy reshaped Hong Kong cinema into a modern and distinctive part of the territory's mass culture.

Just as Margaret Thatcher's government prepared to cede the colony back to China, the Hong Kong film industry was launched upon what many regard as its golden decade. A flood of lively films raised production standards while expanding the possibilities of established genres. The hugely successful *Aces Go Places* series, launched in 1982, streamlined Cantonese comedy in farcical pastiches of James Bond intrigue. Jackie Chan modernized the kung-fu film by recasting it as adventure saga (*Project A*, 1983) and urban police thriller (*Police Story*, 1985). In films like *Shanghai Blues* (1984), Tsui Hark updated older formulas through bold style and tongue-in-cheek humor. He also revived the historical kung-fu movie with his nationalistic epic *Once upon a Time in China*



1.6 Run Run Shaw with some of his 1960s stars.

(1991). The gangster film returned with a hyperbolic romanticism in the "heroes" films of John Woo (A Better Tomorrow, 1986; The Killer, 1989), as well as in movies by Kirk Wong Chi-keung (Gun Men, 1988) and Ringo Lam Ting-lung (Full Contact, 1992). In the early 1990s the resurgent Hong Kong cinema finally came to public notice in the West. Jackie Chan and John Woo became American celebrities, and Tsui, Lam, Wong, and others finished films in Hollywood. Ironically, as local films gained respect, the industry went into a tailspin, losing its regional markets and falling prey to video piracy and the Asian financial crisis. Yet even as journalists were writing *finis* to this cinema, remarkable films continued to be made, and a new generation maintained Hong Kong's lively traditions.

How did such a frankly commercial filmmaking tradition manage to create the conditions for something we might recognize as artistry? Posing the question this way presumes that art suffers when it is bound up by commerce. Yet many of the fine-art traditions we honor sprang from the market. Italian Renaissance painting was an intensely economic enterprise, responding to demands for portraits, frescoes, altarpieces, and decorated furniture. Artists were artisans, like the shoemaker, organizing their shops for efficiency and maximum profitability. Today, sculpture, painting, and orchestral music, along with virtually all architectural projects, result from commissions, in which market forces reveal themselves nakedly.

But in high art, some might argue, economic demand doesn't shape the specific outcome: whereas the elite artist expresses a singular vision, the popular artist must compromise in order to satisfy the audience. Yet this claim is an exaggeration. The Renaissance painter often had to fulfill a program laid down in the commission, which often specified subject, composition, materials, coloring, and iconography. In the nineteenth century the collapse of academic painting led to the rise of genre painting and the impressionist style, both shaped to the tastes of new customers, while composers were urged to court a comparatively untutored public by writing program music and overtly nationalistic pieces.

We need not go quite so far as Virgil Thomson, who once suggested that a composer's musical style changes in accord with the funding source.² It is just that in any art, form tends to follow format, and format is often shaped by business pressures. After Beethoven, composers increased the size and varied the instrumentation of the orchestra, partly in order to mount a massive sound that would fill the bigger auditoria built for general audiences. In eighteenth-century England, as writers lost their patrons, they came to depend upon booksellers, who demanded long pieces of prose fiction. Commercial demands mold styles and forms, in both elite art and popular art. That a work of art is financed and marketed does not make it any less a work of art.

In popular cinema, highly personal films may be produced for an entertainment industry witness those of Buster Keaton, Alfred Hitchcock, John Ford, Howard Hawks, and other distinctive filmmakers. But "art films" are a business as well. Granted, many are not the products of profitdriven local industries or entrepreneurs; they receive public funding. (In the late 1990s, the average European film was 70% state-financed.) Few of these subsidized films attract a local audience or overseas distribution, so as purely economic investments they are disastrous.³ Instead payback shifts to another level. The subsidized film competes to win places in the world's four hundred annual film festivals, which are hungry for non-mainstream fare of all kinds.⁴ If a festival entry wins acclaim, perhaps an award, the sponsoring agency is confirmed in its decision to back the project, with honor flowing to national culture. For such reasons, the festival network has become a circuit of production, distribution, and exhibition parallel to that of mass-market cinema. Art cinema is not always profit-driven, but it remains market-oriented, and this pressure has affected its traditions, genres, and conventions.⁵

Hong Kong has a few "art films" that feed into festivals. Wong Kar-wai's *Chungking Express* (1994) became a cult hit, and his *Happy Together* (1997) won the Best Director prize at Cannes. Until very recently, though, local moviemaking has been unsubsidized, so internationally prestigious directors like Clara Law Cheuk-yiu, Ann Hui, and Stanley Kwan Kam-pang depend upon mainstream styles, stars, and genres. In comparison to their contemporaries—say, the more austere Taiwanese directors Hou Hsiao-Hsien and Edward Yang Dechang—Hong Kong's "festival" filmmakers look decidedly pop.

Popular cinema begins in business—the impulse to turn out pictures regularly to satisfy a mass audience's appetite. What, then, would an aesthetic of popular film look like? Unsurprisingly, it is founded on mass tastes, and these often favor force over finesse. A mass-market movie from any culture tends to highlight pratfalls, spills, bodily functions, ladder accidents, and other base constants of human life. Since the 1930s Hollywood has been constrained by some lower-middle-class canons of taste, so we often forget how the silent clowns (even Chaplin's romanticized Little Tramp) dwell on the ugly tactility of motor oil on spats, pie dripping from eyelashes, thumbtacks fished out of the soup. Abbott and Costello, Jerry Lewis, Rodney Dangerfield, John Belushi, and Jim Carrey have maintained this tradition.

The vulgarity of popular cinema reaches paroxysmic extremes in Hong Kong. Here a typical movie will feature spitting, vomiting, nose-picking, and vistas of toilets and people's mouths. In Fight Back to School (1991) Stephen Chow Singchi pretends a condom is chewing gum and blows a bubble with it. In the farce All's Well End's Well (1992), offscreen a masseuse whacks a man's feet with a baseball bat while a school official squats on a toilet; as the bat cracks, the official groans with bowel strain. Later in a hospital the film's amnesiac protagonist begins his day by gargling with the urine from his bedpan. Gags like these indicate that the spectacle of kung-fu is only one side of a cinema thoroughly fascinated with bodies in extremis. Hong Kong film celebrates voluptuousness and grotesquerie; it savors cleavage and penile bulges, comic warts and farts, mold-blotched vampires, greedy faces smeared with sauce and fat, and creatures with gigantic tongues. Nothing gorgeous or hideous is alien to this cinema.

Vulgarity offers one kind of forcefulness; striking images yield another. Hong Kong director Ringo Lam speaks for many of his peers: "I like visuals and simple stories. I would prefer my movies to have very little dialogue."⁶ When Robert Parrish asked how he could learn to direct actors, John Ford suggested he watch *Stagecoach*. Parrish returned from the screening protesting that John Wayne had scarcely a dozen lines. "That's the way to direct actors," Ford replied. "Don't let 'em talk." Intellectuals often quote lame dialogue to show the callowness of popular cinema, but they miss what lies in the images. It's hard to find weighty significance in the bedroom feints of



1.7 *The Killer*: Jenny's memory of John, treated as an emblematic image of his trade.

Peking Opera Blues and the aerobatics of *A Touch of Zen*. In many movies, the chief pleasures are pictorial.

Which is to say that popular filmmakers have refined techniques of vivid visual storytelling. The foundations of "film language" were laid by the entertainment cinema of the 1900s and 1910s, when directors had to get stories across fast and vividly. D. W. Griffith, Victor Sjöström, and Louis Feuillade, the three finest directors of the period before 1918, were all churning out films for mass audiences. Today's popular cinema preserves many devices from the medium's earliest years-the chase, the hairbreadth escape, the cliff-hanging hero, the struggle with storms or gravity or locomotives. Hong Kong cinema, in its drive for clarity and impact, has revitalized silent-film techniques. Slow-and fast-motion, dynamic editing, striking camera angles, and other devices that the avant-garde of the 1920s declared to be "purely cinematic" became stock in trade in this popular cinema. Its makers have intuitively rediscovered the short, sharp flashback that serves to remind the audience of an earlier scene, as well as the "symbolic insert" beloved of early filmic storytelling (Fig. 1.7).

But doesn't all cinema exploit the power of moving images? Again we come to the trade-off between fastidiousness and force. Since the late 1950s, much Western art cinema has dwelt on static compositions and ambivalent moods (Fig. 1.8). Antonioni, Tarkovsky, Fassbinder, Wenders, and other outstanding directors have created a cinema of suggestive atmosphere.⁷ The massentertainment filmmaker, committed to storytelling, anxious to rivet the audience's attention, strives for clear and dynamic images rather than contemplative ones. Style will tend toward functional economy. It favors the graceful behavior of performers, such as John Wayne's strides and pauses at the rocky stream at the close of *The Quiet Man* (1952) or Bruce Lee's soaring kicks in *Fist of Fury* (1971). Filmmakers will take pride in the subtle precision of certain camera movements, or in editing tactics that convey stupendous agility.

A few filmmakers will prolong certain grace notes, spinning stylistic cadenzas around the narrative core. King Hu, doyen of the Hong Kong swordplay film, realized early in his career that "if the plots are simple, the stylistic delivery will be even richer."8 What Western fans consider "over the top" in Hong Kong movies is partly that richness of stylistic delivery-an effort to see how delightful or thrilling one can make the mix of music, sound effects, light, color, and movement. Realism is less important than a bold expressiveness in every dimension. In particular, physical activity can achieve a real magnificence when it is sustained and embellished. This delight in expressive technique is a local elaboration of the sensuous abundance sought by popular filmmakers everywhere.

In the art of popular cinema, vivid visuals are shot through with emotion. In order to attract a mass audience, popular art deals in emotions like anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, and indignation.⁹ Since these feelings evidently operate in all cultures, a film that appeals to them travels well. Entertainment mobilizes playground passions, direct responses to blatant aggression, kindness, or selfishness. Cinema is particularly good at arousing emotions kinesthetically, through action and music. Bruce Lee asked his students to give their fighting techniques "emotional content," such as purposefully directed anger.¹⁰ When this quality is captured in vigorous, strictly patterned movement, in nicely judged framings and crackling cutting, with overwhelming music and sound effects, you can feel yourself tensing and twitching to the rhythms of the fight. This is filmic emotion at its most sheerly physical.

We are told that mass entertainment favors simple, pure states of feeling, but plainly it works with mixed emotions too. One aim of mass art is to make you laugh through your tears, to give you a smile and a lump in your throat. In *The Chinese Feast* (1995), Ka-fai (Anita Yuen Wing-yee) has taken off her chef's hat, but her hair stays erect in a Woody Woodpecker topknot. This adds an exuberant zaniness to the romantic climax, when Sun (Leslie Cheung Kwok-wing) confesses his love (Fig. 1.9).

Still, popular plotting does exploit manichean opposites: self-sacrifice is sharpened by contrast with cruelty, generosity by contrast with greed. In *Task Force* (1997), the policewoman Shirley (Karen Mok man-wai) returns to the apartment she shares with her boyfriend, Kelvin. He has ignored her voice messages, dodged appointments, and skipped her father's funeral. Everything has aroused our indignation at Kelvin's callousness. Now Shirley has decided to leave him. She comes to claim her things while her partner, Rod, waits outside in the car. The scene dwells on Shirley drifting wistfully around the apartment. Suddenly, cut outside to show her returning to



1.8 A moment in the odyssey of two children in Theo Angelopoulos' *Landscape in the Mist* (1988).



1.9 *The Chinese Feast*: Ka-fai checks Sun's sincerity by seeing if her picture has the privileged place in his wallet.

Rod, telling him she's decided to take away none of her things after all. The abruptness of the transition seems to mark her sharp decision to accept the breakup with Kelvin. The two drive off, and a tear trickles down from behind Shirley's sunglasses. But then we realize that the sudden cut to her entering the car omitted a piece of action. We now get a mini-flashback showing her angrily pulling down bookshelves, knocking over the stereo, and generally laying waste to Kelvin's life. Shirley's surge of righteous anger stands out more strongly against the suggestion that she took his indifference passively. Thanks to the shrewd order of presentation, we get to feel both pity for her and satisfaction at her retribution.

The opponent of mass culture objects that this tactic indulges the audience, letting it "have things both ways." But popular film strives for a wide-open emotional range, and having things both ways perfectly suits that purpose. Entertainment aims to chart the highest highs and the lowest lows. The tactic is seen most strikingly in the "double ending," which allows the characters' fortunes to sink abysmally before the plot swerves into a happy ending, sometimes one of stunning implausibility. Mabel Cheung Yuen-ting's Autumn's Tale (1987) centers on Jenny (Cherie Chung Chahung) and Samuel (Chow Yun-fat), both Hong Kong émigrés living in Manhattan. Jenny tries to reform Sam, a happy-go-lucky wastrel working at menial jobs and overfond of drinking and brawling. When he seems to have fallen into his old ways again, Jenny accepts a job as an *au pair* on Long Island. While she prepares to leave her apartment, Sam races to bring her a present, for which he has traded his beloved wreck of a car. He catches up with her just as she prepares to drive off to Long Island with her old boyfriend. Sam and Jenny say an awkward good-bye and

exchange gifts. As she drives off he runs tentatively after her, arousing our hope that he will catch up with her for a happier resolution, but he gives up the chase.

The scene of separation fills nearly ten minutes. Yet the movie is not over. In an epilogue, Jenny and her charge Anna are strolling on a Long Island beach. Jenny says that she once had a friend who dreamed of owning a café on the pier. Suddenly they find that a café is there. "Table for two?" Sam beams at them as the film ends. We have no idea how much time has elapsed since Jenny left Manhattan, no clue as to how Sam has earned enough money to set up his business. In defiance of probability, an abrupt two-minute epilogue offers the audience a second ending, a casually miraculous reunion proving Sam's reformation. Cheung has remarked: "I know that in real life the heroine and the hero are bound to go separate ways. But in the film, I am free to write the ending as I wish...I wanted [Sam] still to have hope, so I added the fairytale element."11

Taken to the extreme, the switches in emotional register seen in *Task Force* and *An Autumn's Tale* can become a reckless mix of moods. Popular cinema delights in jamming together very diverse splendors.¹² One of the screenwriters for Hollywood's *Lethal Weapon* series explains:

A lot of it I recognize as pure sentimentality, but people love it. All of that bonding stuff between Mel and Danny is corny, but people love it—Mel kind of crying and spitting and telling Danny that he loves him and he's like a brother, Danny kind of cradling Mel in his arms. That stuff is so hokey and so corny, but it works. They make it work. Then you throw in, out of nowhere, the most unexpected kind of humor, whether it's the silly gags of Mel eating a dog biscuit or Joe Pesci's character. So you have this kind of Mulligan stew that never slows down: that keeps jumping from pathos to sentimentality to deep emotion to pure action.¹³

This urge for kaleidoscopic variety prizes momentary vividness above broad dramatic form. A Hong Kong film can dump a cornucopia at your feet. Forty-three seconds into Wong Jing's Boys Are Easy (1993) we get a gun battle and car chase, followed by a procession of beautiful young men and women entangled in a comedy of mistaken identity. We get a male striptease, a satire of triads, a farcical game of poker, an animated demon representing one character's weak side, a musical number in praise of bowling, LSD hallucinations, a necktie that pops upright à la Tex Avery, and a scene in which a woman records a night's orgasms by notching her bedroom wall. Boys Are Easy is an extreme case, but Hong Kong films do not generally aspire to the Hollywood tradition of tight plotting that runs from, say, Keaton's Our Hospitality (1923) and Lubitsch's The Marriage Circle (1924) to Die Hard (1988) and Groundhog Day (1993).¹⁴ Hong Kong plots tend to be organized around vivid moments-fights or chases or comic turns or melodramatic catastrophes. The creators' skill lies in making each set piece powerful and in livening up the connecting passages.

An emphasis on striking moments leads naturally to a scavenger aesthetic. In today's Hong Kong thrillers you cannot escape scenes lifted from *Die Hard* and *Speed* (1994), complete with snatches of the original scores. For *The Chinese Feast* Tsui Hark swipes the plot from kung-fu movies, the theme of food from the Taiwanese movie *Eat Drink Man Woman* (1994), and the premise of a cooking contest from a Japanese TV show. For good measure Tsui recycles (and improves) ideas from a previous film of his own, *The Banquet* (1991). If popular cinema often seems shameless, it is partly because its commitment to vivacious moments encourages filmmakers to grab anything that has already proved alluring.

The same impulse governs the reliance on conventions, unkindly called formulas and clichésall those laughable, taken-for-granted devices that communicate instantly. Conventions are the lifeblood of popular art. Cowboys can dodge bullets, boy and girl "meet cute," and endings are usually happy. Villains have bad aim, except when it comes to wounding the hero's friends. Hong Kong cinema relies shamelessly on the oldest contrivances of entertainment: eavesdropping, mistaken identity, confusion of twins or accidental lookalikes, wretchedly inadequate disguise, and coincidental encounters that complicate or resolve the plot. If a woman dresses as a man, everyone takes her as one; when she returns to woman's costume, no one recognizes her resemblance to the man. When you are angry with your lover, you tear up his or her photo. In Hong Kong night is not black but blue, and terribly bright. Caucasians usually look large and Australian, and they speak English with an accent known nowhere on the planet.

Hong Kong action films are rich in such artifice. Even the harmless-looking citizen knows kungfu. A half-frozen man can suddenly recover and somersault over a car. Immediately after a stinging blow, the victim bruises horribly. If someone has a pistol, somebody else will become a hostage, with that pistol pointed to his or her head. During a gunfight, someone is sure to run out of ammunition at a crucial moment. A cop wounded in a firefight will show up later with a bit of gauze taped to his forehead. A man can have an arm hacked off and still fight, and win. During a kungfu combat, if blood trickles from a character's mouth, death is usually at hand. Yet certain wounds heal miraculously: a bullet smacks into the hero's leg, and he cries in agony; a few shots later he is limping; a few shots later the leg is as good as new, though stained a bit red.

Vulgarity, pictorial storytelling, the pull of sensuous wonders and emotional intensity, the mélange of tonal switches and vivid moments and tested conventions: these are essential ingredients of popular cinema. But their power comes at a price. Because entertainment favors forcefulness, and because it strives to offer a grab-bag of attractions, we shouldn't be surprised that it harbors some questionable impulses. For example, Hong Kong cinema is very brutal. Women suffer terribly in most action films, a circumstance not offset by the woman-warrior tradition. Although one can argue that the territory's low crime rate suggests that viewers aren't imitating what they see, the casual acceptance of rapes, beatings, and bloodshed may have more pervasive social effects. The films also put prejudices on display. Non-Asians, particularly blacks, are almost always corrupt and rapacious, while some Asians, such as the colony's ubiquitous Filipinas, become virtually invisible. Attitudes toward law and justice seem particularly blinkered: again and again, it is taken for granted that police-even our heroes-will torture suspects. In a search for powerful sensations, this cinema becomes sensationalistic.

At the same time, like much Asian popular culture, it can be blandly infantile. A cop in *Chungking Express* lives surrounded by big stuffed kitties. Anita Yuen, a reigning ingenue star, takes pride in her Mickey Mouse collectibles. Hong Kong film does not oscillate quite as startlingly between scalding violence and cloying cuteness as Japanese entertainment does, but both traditions often suggest that to be grown up is to be aggressive, and the only way to be gentle is to regress into childhood.¹⁵

Often, too, the films simply invoke conventions without vital commitment or revivification. There is too much facetious music during comic scenes. There is a lot of visual bombast, especially slow motion and exaggerated angles. The urge to grip the audience has created a cinema that is often overbusy, with little room for the contemplative moments that make other popular cinemas rich. Having mastered certain skills supremely, particularly the power to generate excitement through vigorous action, Hong Kong directors have not generally sought to stretch themselves in other directions. By training their audience to expect ever more rapid-fire gratification, they have too often cramped their craft.

Still, despite these faults, many Hong Kong films display qualities that we value in any art, high or low, modern or classic. They have structural ingenuity (echoic motifs, contrapuntal story parallels), functional beauty of style (for example, bold cutting and dynamic composition), expressive intensity (through the manipulation of tone, color, and rousing physicality), appeal to common feelings and experiences (loneliness, injustice, the loss that triggers revenge, the hunger for love), and originality (for example, the constant reworking of conventions in directors as various as King Hu, John Woo, Tsui Hark, and Wong Karwai). Most of these virtues are tied to the mass nature of the enterprise: they make it more likely that the film will provide an arresting experience for a wide range of viewers.¹⁶ These qualities don't negate what is socially or morally objectionable in the films, but because of its need to capitalize on current trends, to repeat tried forms and turn them in fresh but not alienating directions, any

popular cinema is unlikely to be wholly on the side of the angels.

To orchestrate an abundance of appeals you need craft. Intellectuals who expatiate on the cultural significance of a movie or pop song pay virtually no attention to the ways in which the artisan has used the medium. Perhaps they think it's easy to make amusing, exciting, tear-jerking movies. Let them try. Mass entertainment looks easy only to those who have not struggled to shoot a coherent scene, write a passable song, or draw a decent cartoon. The most minimal competence in filmmaking is an achievement to be prized; many of today's young directors could profitably study the Roy Del Ruths of the Golden Age. "A great director," Andrew Sarris once noted, "has to be at least a good director."¹⁷ And expert filmmaking cannot consist in merely following rules by rote, for no two creative problems are exactly the same. The old hand knows the routine practices, the standard solutions, and then adapts them to fresh situations-perhaps setting up technical problems to be ingeniously overcome. Craft demands flexibility, ingenuity, and no small amount of imagination. The direct, forceful effects prized by the popular aesthetic are often the product of subtle shaping.

In entertainment film, the artisan's imagination goes to work upon well-defined norms. "Polishing the jade," the Chinese call it. Seeking originality at all costs can lead to chaos, but quietly refining the tradition enriches the art and refines the perceiver's sensibility. Yet popular filmmakers innovate as well: King Hu cut *Touch of Zen*'s airborne combat in a way that eliminated normal cues for position and trajectory, and thereby recast the conventions he had inherited. Setting oneself a craft problem and solving it in a fresh, virtuosic, but absolutely comprehensible way may be one equivalent in popular cinema for the experimental daring we find in the avant-garde.

If we want to understand how a popular cinema's artisans mobilize a range of appeals, we cannot neglect form and style. We must learn to look closely. We must examine popular films as wholes, seeking out what makes them cohere (or not). We must probe their moment-by-moment texture. We should scrutinize climaxes, like Shirley's leaving Kelvin in *Task Force*, as well as low-key passages, always trying—though it's hard, especially in a kinetic cinema like Hong Kong's—to suggest through words and photos what a sequence looks like.¹⁸

Just as analyzing Beethoven involves acknowledging the formal traditions that developed within Viennese classicism, understanding popular art requires awareness of its craft practices. We can usefully trace out the proximate conditions of production—the filmmaking institutions, shaped by the customs that govern the filmmaker's tacit assumptions about everything from running times and character development to lighting patterns and the musical score. To become a proficient filmmaker is to learn a repertoire of skills, and often those skills are routinized in order to benefit the mode of production.

For example, in a typical Hollywood scene many shots simply repeat camera positions in ABAB alternation. (See Figs. 1.10–1.16.) Thanks to this technique, the viewer can take an alreadyseen view as read and concentrate on the slight changes that occur in the actor's performance—a shift of glance, a tiny movement (Figs. 1.11–1.14). In addition, after an ABABA series of repeated setups, a change to setup C can carry a greater emphasis than it would in a constantly varying string of setups (say, ABCDE). (See Figs. 1.15– 1.16.) Alternating repeated setups has practical value too. The filmmaker can save time by filming all the shots from setup A—say, all the mediumshots of Neil McCauley—in succession. Then the camera can be shifted, and all the shots of Kelso from position B can be made. In the editing process, the shots from setups A and B are intercut. Or the filmmaker can use two cameras at once, as Michael Mann did in our scene from *Heat.*¹⁹ Stylistic patterning meshes with craft practice: artistic economy has arisen from production economies.

Paying attention to craft also allows us to confront one last objection to popular film's massproduction origins. Bulk filmmaking is that System which screenwriters and critics have been railing against for eighty years; it is, we are told, what keeps movies meretricious and clichéd. Yet there is much to be said for it. At the least, massmarket filmmaking has imposed a discipline on its makers, fostering a commitment to professional responsibility. One motto of mass filmmaking might well be that of Steve Jobs hurrying his staff to finish the Macintosh: "Real artists ship." Industrialized filmmaking also has creative dimensions. It obliges filmmakers to become expert in some quite unusual human accomplishments. It's no small matter to tell a story forcefully or to lead a jaundiced audience to feel deep emotions. The mode of production encourages filmmakers to explore and refine material that has proven appealing. In asking creators to master formula, the mode of production also expects them to exploit it skillfully, as when Peking Opera Blues builds an engaging piece of cinema out of bedroom farce. The filmmaker who can make clichés sing will often be rewarded. And sometimes the mode of production rewards filmmakers for turning cliché on its head.



1.10 A dialogue scene in Michael Mann's *Heat* (1995) begins with a master shot.



1.11 An analytical cut highlights McCauley.



1.13 The cutting shifts back and forth across several shots, always presenting each man from the same camera position.



1.15 The camera shows McCauley from a new angle in order to bring in new information: the reflection of Nate in the distance.



1.12 A reverse-angle shot on Kelso allows us to see his reaction.



1.14 After several replays of the same camera setup on Kelso, the turning of his head becomes a significant action.



1.16 A fresh setup shows Nate more clearly, and a new phase of the scene begins.

It is therefore misleading to call a mass-output film industry an assembly-line system. Two films produced by MGM were never analogous to two Thunderbirds rolling out of Dearborn. Movies are made by what Karl Marx called "serial manufacture," whereby a group of artisans collaborate in planning and producing a unique object.²⁰ Popular filmmaking is a collective effort, but the result is no more uniform than the plays mounted by a repertory troupe. It is deplorable that Hollywood had no room for a Dreyer or a Tati, but it did have room for many other artists whose gifts meshed with the demands of the industry. Japan, with a system as vertically integrated as Hollywood's, nourished such diverse talents as Ozu, Mizoguchi, and Kurosawa. Far from outlawing imaginative innovation, the System often encourages it. Products must be differentiated, and originality (as in the case of Hitchcock, Ford, and others) can be good business.

Still, we should not confine ourselves to the exceptional works. To appreciate how King Hu's bamboo-grove sequence gives flying swordsmen a new élan, we need to examine the more common ways of staging and cutting action sequences. If we want to know how films work and work upon us, we must try to understand the most banal protocols of craft.

In any mode of film practice, innovation is bounded by certain limits, but only the wildest romantics think that artistic creativity occurs without any constraints. And the System, fearsome as it is, doesn't know in advance exactly what all the limits are. Creation, in a popular cinema as in any other circumstances, is an open-ended exploration. It's just that here the exploration starts from the well-honed routines of craft.

The rest of this book explores the art of Hong Kong popular cinema since the early 1970s.

Chapter 2 considers how the film industry has functioned in its local circumstances, while Chapters 3 and 4 spiral outward, examining how this cinema gained a regional audience, and then a Western one. Chapter 5 surveys local production methods and craft traditions, the ways in which a movie gets made in Hong Kong. Chapters 6 and 7 go on to examine norms of genre, stars, stories, and style. Chapter 8 focuses on some ways in which Hong Kong action movies achieve their overwhelming force. Chapter 9 moves to the fringes to suggest how experimental tendencies can emerge from an unabashedly commercial film industry. Chapter 10, added ten years after the earlier portions were published, surveys business development in the filmmaking community since 1997, and the last chapter examines artistic trends over the same period. Sandwiched between most chapters are interludes, analytical appreciations that expand on an issue, an important filmmaker, or a representative film.

Making a case for entertainment doesn't oblige us to believe that popular cinema is the only kind worth discussing. While some people await the replacement of movies by cyberspace and Virtual Reality, I think that we ought to search out those artists who still create extraordinary films in the Web age. Some are "art-cinema" directors like Abbas Kiarostami, Jean-Luc Godard, Theo Angelopoulos, Hou Hsiao-Hsien, and Kitano Takeshi. Others, giving large audiences something extravagant and gratuitously wild, are to be found in Hong Kong.

Hong Kong and/as/or Hollywood

FROM THE START Hong Kong film was indebted to America. In the silent era several Chinese filmmakers worked in Hollywood. Mon Kwan Manching, one of the founders of the Daguan (Grandview) company had been a consultant on Griffith's Broken Blossoms (1919).¹ Hollywood films played in Hong Kong from the 1920s on, and The Love Parade (1929) and Camille (1937) were adapted into Cantonese plays and operas, which in turn were filmed. American production methods and lighting styles strongly influenced the colony's cinema for decades after World War II. Whereas the Japanese studios encouraged experimentation, Hong Kong filmmakers stuck fairly closely to those guidelines for shot design and continuity editing first formulated in Hollywood.

By studying foreign films, Hong Kong filmmakers have been able to produce work aspiring to an international standard. In trying to catch up, though, the films sometimes seem stuck in a time warp. The standard score for a chase, synthesizers cranking out a soft pop/rock/jazz pulse, evokes 1970s Hollywood. Stephen Chow's *From Beijing with Love* (1994) can raise laughs with James Bond parodies. *Eastern Condors* (1987) lifts elements not only from *The Deer Hunter* (1978) and *Rambo* (1985) but also from *The Dirty Dozen* (1967).

The new cosmopolitan style of the 1980s was created by directors who took notice of what Hollywood was doing. Inspired by Raiders of the Lost Ark (1981) and 48 HRS (1982), young filmmakers turned out action pictures bursting with pyrotechnics and gunplay. The 1980s crime cycle was launched by Leone's Once upon a Time in America (1984). Like their 1930s predecessors, directors swiped plots with abandon: Top Gun (1986) became Proud and Confident (1989), Witness (1985) was recast as Wild Search (1989). Today Hollywood remains the reference point. A cop in *The* Big Bullet (1996) is a gun collector, proudly showing off his Beretta, "same as Mel Gibson used in Lethal Weapon." All's Well End's Well (1992) refers to Ghost, Fatal Attraction, Terminator 2, Pretty Woman, and Wolf, as well as Casablanca and ET. As Hong Kong cinema became part of world film culture, American filmmakers returned the compliment of plagiarism. Tango and Cash (1989) restages two sequences from Jackie Chan's Police Story (1985). Robert Rodriguez's Desperado (1995), Michael Bay's Bad Boys (1995), and Antoine Fuqua's Replacement Killers (1998) signal the Hongkongification of American cinema.

Yet Hong Kong is not Hollywood; local tastes are too idiosyncratic. Says producer Terence

Chang Gi-jan: "A lot of Hong Kong films have things that I think American audiences can't accept."2 As popular cinemas go, Hollywood is unusually fastidious about realism of detail, restraint of emotion, and plausibility of plot. By Hollywood standards, Sam's fairytale success at the end of An Autumn's Tale (1987) is recklessly unmotivated. In Hong Kong movies any character, female or male, may cry, and in comedies the actors cross their eyes. Then there is the gore. Hong Kong's cinema is a deeply carnal one. Since the 1970s, local filmmakers capitalized on the rising international tolerance for mayhem by making thrashings, torture, and sudden, almost offhand death central ingredients. The gruesomeness is unredeemed by the solemn elegance of the Japanese jidai-geki, and even Hollywood is far more restrained in showing fights. When a Hong Kong filmmaker swipes from Lethal Weapon 2 (1989) the notion that a carpenter's nail-gun makes a nifty weapon, prepare for the worst: a nail to the crotch (Fight Back to School, 1991), a nail through the brain (Pom Pom and Hot Hot, 1992). Hollywood pours its energies into endless script rewrites and lavish sets and costumes. Hong Kong filmmakers devote much of theirs to furious, prolonged, elaborate, often massively implausible violence.

KIRK WONG'S Gun Men (1988) is a barefaced steal from Brian De Palma's The Untouchables (1987). In Shanghai during the late 1920s, Ding Chun-bee, an honest policeman working in the French Concession, becomes frustrated by the corruption in the force and recruits a team of scruffy war buddies to help him defeat Haye, a powerful gangster. The milieu corresponds to the Chicago of the American film, and opium smuggling stands in for bootlegging. Like Elliot Ness, Ding has a wife and daughter he strives to protect; he is misled into making raids that yield nothing; he cherishes a token from a friend killed in the line of duty (a scarf left behind by his captain). Even the score borrows from Ennio Morricone's Untouchables quick march. As in many crime films, alternating scenes underscore parallels between the protagonist and his adversary. While Have vows vengeance for the death of his superior, Ding pays homage at the grave of the murdered captain. And in both films the hero's ideal of cleaning up corruption becomes intensified by the drive for revenge. Yet a closer look at the two films allows us to pick out some significant variations within two major traditions of popular cinema.

Gun Men squeezes its busy plot into about ninety minutes, while *The Untouchables* takes two hours. *Gun Men*'s credits fly by in forty seconds, as opposed to about two and a half minutes in De Palma's film. *The Untouchables* has a fourminute epilogue that shows Ness leaving his office, bidding good-bye to his surviving partner, and heading home. *Gun Men* has virtually no epilogue, following the resolution of the climactic gun battle with a paltry seven-second montage of photographs before the final credits roll. *Gun Men* has far more physical action, whether you measure by screen time or by the number of scenes devoted to fights and chases. So *Gun Men* has sacrificed something. What?

Most obviously, characterization and psychological change. Contrary to what many critics believe, the plot of an American movie often centers upon character development. The Hollywood hero or heroine, far from being a perfect specimen, has faults-shyness (While You Were Sleeping), manipulativeness (Tootsie), lack of confidence (Back to the Future, Sleepless in Seattle), rash overconfidence (Speed), even selfishness and arrogance (Groundhog Day). The plot arranges events so that the character improves, perhaps also coming to understand his or her frailty. The Untouchables' "character arc," as Hollywood screenwriters call it, traces Ness's development from a by-the-book crusader into a tough, resourceful warrior. Ness learns from the hardheaded cop Malone that he must raise the stakes ("Capone comes at you with a knife, you come at him with a gun") and break the rules-partly because "This is Chicago," partly because Ness is up against men who know no morality. At the climax Ness hesitates before shooting Frank Nitti, the murderer of his friends; duty to law wins out briefly and Ness arrests Nitti. But when Nitti assures him he will not be convicted and smirkingly adds that Malone died "squealing like a pig," Ness frogmarches Nitti across the rooftop and shoves him off, calling after the howling killer: "Did he sound anything like that?" At the end, as if purged, Ness can muse over the photo of his squad, murmuring, "So much violence," and declare that if indeed Prohibition is repealed-well, then he will have a drink. He has moved toward Malone's leathery pragmatism without forswearing his adherence to the law.

Wong's *Gun Men* traces no such arc. Ding is first seen as a frightened soldier during the civil war of 1926, about to undergo torture at the hands

of Have; he and his pals are saved only when a bombardment throws Haye's men into disarray. After Ding is reunited with his wife, Chu-chiao, and his daughter Sze-sze, the credits cover an ellipsis. Now he is in Shanghai and enlisting in the police. No reason is supplied for his decision. He is given no traits akin to Ness's stiff-necked idealism. Ding is simply a country-boy soldier who would naturally be repelled by urban corruption. When he invades a mahjong parlor thinking that it's an opium den, he suffers no public humiliation, and his failure does not lower his morale. (Compare the mockery that greets Ness's failed raid on the bootleggers' warehouse, and his despondency when he realizes the obstacles he faces.) Similarly, whereas The Untouchables devotes scenes to characterizing each member of the team as Ness recruits them, Gun Men's prologue introduces Ding's pals en masse, and thereafter the three men's personalities remain largely indistinguishable.

As if to compensate for the thinner characterization, Gun Men piles up plot twists. A decision will immediately trigger a fight or pursuit. In Haye's first shootout with Ding, the captain is killed; Haye is next seen planning revenge on his rival gang; immediately that gang bursts into Have's headquarters, and another gun battle ensues. The quick reversals give the film a breathless pace. Ding trails Haye's lieutenant, Tsou, and Mona to her apartment, where he hides in a wardrobe. Then Tsou's wife breaks in. Tsou flees. and the women fight. Ding steps in to protect Mona, and after her rival is gone she asks for his sympathy. When he offers it, she suddenly pulls away and leaves. The next thing we know, she has become Ding's informer in the underworld.

At the climax, Ding needs to force his wife and child to flee Shanghai, so he announces that he plans to leave them and live with Mona. Crushed, Chu-chiao and Sze-sze set out for the station, and Ding runs after them in remorse (not unlike the futile chase of Sam in *An Autumn's Tale*). This turn of events prolongs his moment of loss. En route to the station Chu-chiao finds a message that Ding intended her to read much later, in which he pledges his love. She abruptly hurries back, and Ding races toward his family for a reconciliation—just as Haye's gang thunders in for an all-out assault. The scene's whole emotional zigzag takes less than four minutes. *Gun Men* may be significantly shorter than *The Untouchables*, but its abrupt reversals create a packed plot and rapid fluctuations of feeling.

In one respect, though, the character relations of *Gun Men* are more elaborate than those in *The Untouchables.* In De Palma's film, Ness is a chaste knight: he defends his family, the woman whose little girl was killed by a Capone bomb, and the mother with the baby carriage at the train station. Ness remarks that "It's nice to be married." (This sets him off from his nemesis Capone, who, contrary to most movie gangsters, seems to have no sex life.) When Capone threatens Ness's family, Ness spirits them out of town.

By contrast, *Gun Men* embeds Ding in a romantic triangle. Out of sympathy he begins an affair with his informer Mona, and this puts both Mona and Chu-chiao in danger from Haye. Capone threatens to burn down Ness's house, but Haye does incinerate Ding's apartment building. In the climactic crossfire, Mona dies, not unlike the doomed prostitute of Hollywood tradition, and Ding becomes reconciled with his wife and child. *The Untouchables* starts with middle-class family stability, then presents Capone's forces as the threat to it, but *Gun Men* begins with the family already fractured. In the first scene Ding is writ-



HKH.1 Gun Men: Sze-sze fires at Haye.

ing a letter home from the battlefront, reassuring Chu-chiao and Sze-sze that he's fine, all the while facing torture and execution. When he returns from the war, Sze-sze will not call the stranger daddy.

The restablizing of Ding's family takes place in a climax profoundly typical of Hong Kong-and virtually unthinkable in Hollywood. In the climactic street battle Have blasts Ding to the ground. Sze-sze runs into the field of fire, crying, "Daddy!" for the first time. Both Ding and Haye are wounded and crawling in the dirt, but Have reaches for a fallen pistol. Sze-sze grabs the pistol and shoots Haye in the face (Fig. HKH.1). For good measure Ding struggles up and, embracing his daughter, helps her squeeze off two more shots. Fatherdaughter reconciliation through collaborative homicide is a characteristically audacious Hong Kong twist, and it knots together strands of family love and social warfare that were interwoven at the film's start. The film's last moments show the family reintegrated: Sze-sze's worn photograph of her father gives way to a new shot of her in his arms, and then to a photograph of the family surrounded by Ding's friends and supervising officer (Fig. HKH.2). Given The Untouchables' parallel photograph, which projects all-male comrade-



HKH.2 *Gun Men*: The final photo provides plot closure.



HKH.3 *The Untouchables*: The photo celebrating the team's first victory.

ship (Fig. HKH.3), one can argue that *Gun Men* takes the protagonist's family life more seriously.

An all-out sequence like the climax is perhaps the most glaring mark of the difference between Hollywood and Hong Kong cinemas, but there are subtler ones as well. All of *Gun Men*'s scenes, expository or action-filled, are shot close to the characters, and even distant shots are densely packed, thanks to long lenses. The film has none of the spaciousness of *The Untouchables*; Chicago is roomy (Fig. HKH.4), but Shanghai is suffocating. *Gun Men*'s budget, which obliges Wong to sketch the period through costume and furnishings, doesn't permit him to stage his action scenes in the breadth that De Palma can. Whereas the rooftop climax of *The Untouchables* can exploit the Chicago skyline, the final fight of *Gun Men* takes place in a narrow street (Fig. HKH.5). De Palma's massive budget permits some striking widescreen effects (Fig. HKH.6), but it can also vitiate the action sequences, which tend to empha-

size scenery. *Gun Men*'s action scenes, like most Hong Kong ones, keep to a more human scale, with medium shots and close-ups predominating.

This approach tends to make the violence more visceral. Although most viewers would consider



HKH.4 The Untouchables: A wide-angle reconstruction of 1920s Chicago.

The Untouchables a pretty violent movie, Gun Men goes much further. In the opening, a man is stabbed in the buttock (in close-up); soon a hand grenade sends soldiers flying. Shortly thereafter a woman tries to splash acid on another woman, she gets boiling water tossed in her own face, and several men catch fire. In a frantic fight around a pier one man's head is impaled on a boat hook (close-up), another man is shot through the ankle (close-up), and a third man's hand is hacked by a fish spear. Dozens of bodies are hit by bullets, flung out of windows, dunked in water; a truck smashes a rickshaw against a fence; in the final scene Ding and Sze-sze dispatch Haye. Some moments in the fights are intelligible only in detail shots (Figs. HKH.7, HKH.8).

The Untouchables' nearest analogue to the frenzied combats of *Gun Men* is the ten-minute sequence in which Ness and Stone close in on Capone's fleeing bookkeeper at the steps of the train station. Apart from a quasi-Hong Kong



HKH.5 *Gun Men*: A telephoto lens makes a narrow street seem even more cramped.



HKH.6 *The Untouchables*: A typical De Palma shot: a striking overhead composition shows Nitti's body after his fall.

touch—Stone scooting under the descending baby carriage to brace it while he draws a bead on a thug—De Palma stages the scene as a self-congratulatory set-piece, an overblown homage to *Potemkin*'s Odessa Steps massacre. Underlining its revisionism through an orgy of slow motion, it is a display of directorial prowess, not an effort to seize the audience through rapid-fire action. The train-station scene looks especially clumsy when compared with a moment in *Gun Men* probably inspired by it. Ding is at the pier trying to arrest



HKH.7 Gun Men: Mona and Ching are pinned to the ground by an adversary, who has locked Ding's gun hand; Ching must clamp the barrel in his teeth...



HKH.8 ...and aim it at the villain, allowing Ding to fire.

Haye and his men, who are wheeling what Ding assumes is a cart of opium. The scene is tersely cut, with silhouettes thrusting to and from the camera and a tight, fluid topography: first Ding and the gang on the pier, then Ding dodging underneath while the gang fires at him through the planks, then Ding returning fire and hauling men down to the water.

W. S. Van Dyke, director of The Thin Man (1934) and other adroit studio products, advised a beginner: "Just keep it close and keep it moving."3 Watching Gun Men in this light, you notice that Hong Kong's debt to Hollywood goes beyond borrowing from post-1960 movies. Local directors have intuitively preserved the swift pacing, the precise staging and economical cutting, the proliferating plot twists, and the trust in genre roles (dutiful cop-father, whore with a heart of gold) that one finds in classic American studio cinema. The result is a film that, though not as slick as *The* Untouchables, achieves a nervous vigor and, particularly in the finale, a genuine sense of life at risk, down to the bare bones, everything reduced to the settling of scores. We never think that Elliot Ness will die, but Ding might; at the start of The Untouchables a little girl is killed by the gangsters, but in a Hong Kong movie a little girl can blast the villain.

To call this a more innocent cinema than the New Hollywood would smack of condescension. And of course *Gun Men*'s gore quotient and its technical polish owe a good deal to standards governing a lot of world cinema. Nonetheless Hong Kong filmmakers remain willing, for the sake of kinetic and emotional force, to put together a movie that has the sheer narrative drive and the unfussy professionalism of *Girl Shy* (1924) or *Footlight Parade* (1933) or *The Roaring Twenties* (1939). Movie Brats like De Palma, assuming that the classical cinema is dead, render homage to their mentors. Hong Kong directors, less concerned with paying tribute, simply plow ahead. In the process they maintain unpretentious, craftcentered cinema as a living tradition.

Planet Hong Kong

2 | Local Heroes

AT TEN-THIRTY ON one of those sticky nights that typify spring in Hong Kong, the crowd was already packing the sidewalk and spilling into traffic. My friend waved me over. "You just missed the lions," she said.

Although admission to the Majestic Theatre was by special pass, this was no celebrity preview. In the crowd stood the same young people you find in the video shops, on the subway, in McDonald's. When the doors finally opened, a postmodern-Piranesian zigzag of escalators carried us to the top floor of the multiplex. I seemed to be the only *gweilo* in the auditorium, and I was probably the only person over forty (except maybe for the film's producer, who gave a little speech). We settled down to watch the first public screening of *Young and Dangerous 4* (1997).

The Young and Dangerous series centers on twentysomethings who have all the normal problems—finding good jobs, surviving love affairs, quarreling and reconciling with their elders. They are a charming, cinegenic lot (Fig. 2.1). They wear black jeans and glossy jackets. They sport shoulder-length or buzz-cut hair in Kool-Aid colors. They are also triads. Resplendent in dragon tattoos, they run loan-sharking and car-parking rackets, scrap with other youngsters, stand loyally by their superiors, avenge their slain lovers, and commit murder on orders. They are upwardly mobile members of one of Hong Kong's most enduring service sectors.¹

When the first film proved a hit in 1996, it was followed by two sequels just months apart, as well as by a raft of copies. (There was, for instance, *Sexy and Dangerous*, in which girl gangs run roughshod over the posturing but ineffectual boys.) The success of the cycle triggered an outcry from parents, teachers, and film-industry figures. But some critics found the "young rascals" (the literal Cantonese title) praiseworthy. They suggested that in a largely moribund Hong Kong cinema, the *Young and Dangerous* phenomenon expressed authentic passions—and fairly affirma-



2.1 Rock star Ekin Cheng and popular actors Michelle Reis and Jordan Chan in *Young and Dangerous 4*.

tive ones at that, stressing young people's devotion to their surrogate family. Even the secret societies were not shy about showing their support. The lion dancers I just missed seeing outside the theater were almost certainly kung-fu students, probably associated with triad gangs.

The audience-mostly young people, wearing fashionable clothes and sporting hair colors from auburn to grape to taffy pink-followed the movie intently. They laughed at the ritual humiliations suffered by figures of civic authority. The old priest, a series character usually satirized, aroused the loudest amusement. A vulpine young thug kills one of the boys' mentors and unleashes a power struggle within the gang. (The actor, Roy Cheung Yiu-yeung, who had played this sort of character in triad movies since the 1980s, was brought back for a new role after portraying the villain in the previous Young and Dangerous entry. He has also starred in some of the series' clones.) The most charming, virtuous, and unfairly judged characters were our protagonists: the leader, played by darkly handsome pop singer Ekin Cheng Yee-kin; his sidekick, Chicken, a scowling lump with a Sluggo cut (Jordan Chan Siu-chun); and Chicken's tough, foul-mouthed girlfriend (Karen Mok).

Later I learned that the *Young and Dangerous* films were addressed to an audience living in the

characters' world; perhaps half of the audience were themselves more or less attached to triad gangs. I learned that the stars did not have the aura of the big names of the 1980s, instead selling themselves as the person next door. And I learned that for many critics the series expressed a certain pragmatic optimism about facing 1997.

At the show's end, the audience poured out to the street and surrounded one of the stars, Anthony Wong Chau-sang. Wong not only specializes in flamboyantly repulsive roles but also writes a newspaper column and sings his own rock songs like "Let Thunder-God Gash All the Manic Bullies." He signed autographs and posed for snapshots. Other stars were inside the multiplex, awaiting the end of the second screening. They would be greeting their fans at around two in the morning.

Not all new releases generated the anticipation of *Young and Dangerous 4*, but seeing this response to any film reminds you of the power of even average movies to hold an audience. Asked to define a typical Hong Kong film, director Kirk Wong replied: "A film that tries very hard to please the audience all the time."² The next day *Young and Dangerous 4* would move into more than twenty theaters and become the spring's second most lucrative Hong Kong film. Within five months it would be released on video. Of course bootleg copies were already for sale the night I zigzagged through a jammed Nathan Road to the Majestic Theatre.

PERCHED ON THE South China coast, Hong Kong consists of Hong Kong Island, Lantau Island, a scatter of smaller islands, the Kowloon Peninsula, and the New Territories abutting the Chinese mainland. Hong Kong has about six and a half million people, most of them crammed into forty of the territory's 415 square miles. Mongkok, in Kowloon, is four times more densely populated than Calcutta; even the "new towns" of the New Territories consist largely of towerblocks of tiny apartments. From their cramped household space people escape to public entertainments—parks, playgrounds, restaurants, festivals, karaoke, and cinemas.

The things achieved on this postage stamp of land have made it one of the triumphs of the industrialized world. By the early 1990s it had become the third wealthiest Asian territory (after Japan and Singapore) and the world's eighth commercial power. It had the third highest gross domestic product per capita, just behind the United States and Switzerland and well ahead of Canada, Germany, France, Italy, and Britain. Its citizens' average income surpassed that of its colonizing nation, and by 1990 more investment flowed to Britain from Hong Kong than in the opposite direction. The biggests, firsts, and mosts are endless. Hong Kong exports more goods than Mainland China or India. It boasts the world's largest container port. It is the top exporter of garments, toys, watches, and candles. It leads the world in percapita consumption of brandy and Rolls-Royces.³

Guidebook statistics distort the picture somewhat, though, for the gap between rich and poor is wide. The top 10% of households earns 42% of all income, while the lower half of households earns only 19%. Thanks to government intervention, most people live in public housing at low rents. But property speculation has driven the purchase price of apartments to unreasonable heights. Those who are paying off their homes spend an average two-thirds of their household income on mortgages. The social services are among the best in Asia, but a mere 2% of the territory's revenues go toward them, and there is no unemployment insurance or pension system. Only domestic workers, principally the maids and nannies brought in from the Philippines, are guaranteed a minimum wage. No legislation limits working hours.⁴

Hong Kong achieved its success under colonial rule. It was run by a British governor, advised by the influential businessmen and lawyers whom he appointed to an executive council and a legislative council. Paternalistic though it was, the arrangement gave Hong Kong a degree of freedom unknown on the Mainland. There was rule of law (a tradition not well-rooted in Chinese history), citizens' individual rights were protected, and people enjoyed freedom of speech, of the press, and of association. Still, there were no political parties, and until 1985 citizens could not vote for any representatives on the Legislative Council.⁵ Neither Britain nor China wanted Hong Kong to be self-governing. The British feared that creating a true electoral democracy would allow Communists to establish opposition parties. The Chinese feared that Hong Kongers, most of whose families had fled the Mainland, might set up a government opposed to Communism.6 Only in 1995, when the British were about to depart, did Governor Chris Patten, to Beijing's profound annovance, hold elections that created more democratic representation on the Legislative Council.

Britain had got its foothold in the region early in the nineteenth century. To pay for precious tea and silk, British companies shipped vast quantities of opium into China, ignoring the Chinese government's ban on the drug. After the first Opium War, China was forced to cede Hong Kong island to Britain in 1842. The second Opium War won Kowloon for the empire in 1860, and in 1898 Britain leased the New Territories for ninety-nine years. Throughout this period Hong Kong's excellent deep-water port made it a major entrepôt. The local economy was dominated by "hongs," the trading companies owned by Swires, Jardine, and other entrepreneurial families. Hong Kong became a regional center of banking, shipping, shipbuild-ing, cargo services, and insurance.⁷

It was a city of immigrants and expatriates. The dissolution of the Chinese empire in 1911, the struggles between the Communists and the Nationalist Party, and the Japanese invasion of the Mainland during the 1930s drove many Chinese to the colony. When the Japanese occupied Hong Kong between 1941 and 1945, some refugees returned to the Mainland, but soon after the war the struggles between the forces of Mao Zedong and Chiang Kai-shek sent thousands of immigrants back to Hong Kong. In 1941 Hong Kong had a population of 1.6 million; in 1945 it had only 600,000; by 1949, it was a refugee camp of more than 2 million. Shantytowns ringed the harbor, and families slept on rooftops. Mao's victory over Chiang and successive phases of Communist repression, particularly the Cultural Revolution of 1965–1976, drove more people out.

Although Hong Kong came to be known as Asia's transit lounge, many emigrants stayed. The great majority were Cantonese, pouring in from farming communities throughout Guangdong Province. Chiuchow and Hakka people came as well, along with Russians, Eurasians, and Indochinese. Particularly important were the emigrants from Shanghai, many of them entrepreneurs, professionals, and white-collar workers. With their ability to raise capital, the Shanghai businessmen helped Hong Kong launch rapid industrial growth during the 1950s. Although they accounted for only 4% of the population, Shanghainese became industrial leaders, making fortunes in textiles, shipping, and plastics.⁸

With a constant supply of enterprising arrivals, the British let Hong Kong develop a massive experiment in free marketeering. "If you want to see capitalism at work," Milton Friedman famously remarked, "go to Hong Kong."9 The colony recovered from the war swiftly. Overseas Chinese money poured in, and local lenders proved accommodating. During the Korean War, the United Nations' embargo on trade with China drastically cut Hong Kong's role as a shipping center. In response, the colony transformed itself into an export-based manufacturing economy, specializing in textiles and clothing, then toys and timepieces, then quality printing and metal products, and finally electronics, from circuit boards to video games. Public transportation and utilities were privatized, creating a reliable infrastructure. Apart from building public housing and regulating the sale of land, the government intervened little in the whirl of moneymaking. During the 1970s Hong Kong's economy grew at the rate of 10% a year.¹⁰

Soon the colony became an important financial center, with banks eager to participate in global markets. Deng Xiaoping opened China after 1978, and Hong Kong businessmen began shifting their plants across the border, where land was more plentiful and wages were lower. By 1996, less than 10% of the colony's labor force worked in industry. Hong Kong became service-oriented, concentrating on banking, trading, investment, and tourism. Chinese millionaires began to buy out old British firms, and local companies invested heavily in the emerging Mainland market. Hong Kong became a center of world commerce, playing host to U.S., European, and Asian companies. Despite financial crises during the 1980s, Hong Kong continued to prosper.¹¹

The colony was always closely bound to the Mainland. Hong Kong cannot feed itself, so since

the end of World War II, China had controlled the colony's supply of water and food. As the saying went, "A Chinese takeover is only a telephone call away." The Cultural Revolution spilled over into Hong Kong, and strikes and protests sparked riots. During the 1980s boom, the colony became indispensable to a China trying to live up to Deng's new edict, "To get rich is glorious." Hong Kong's holdings in China were estimated at twothirds of all foreign investment there, while Mainland entrepreneurs eagerly sank money into Hong Kong enterprises. Hong Kong residents frequently visited their families across the border, and tourists and dealmakers flowed into Hong Kong from the People's Republic.

Two major events complicated this rosy relationship. Seeing the lease on the New Territories about to expire, and worried that Deng Xiaoping might be succeeded by someone more hard-line, Britain raised the matter of renewing the leases. The government sent preliminary feelers to Beijing in the early 1980s, claiming that businessmen and bankers could not confidently write mortgages and loans past 1997. Although China had always signaled its intentions to retake Hong Kong some day, the British policy-makers seem to have believed that China had benefited so greatly from the status quo that it might renew the leases. It was a miscalculation. Merely raising the matter may have forced Beijing to stand by the original deadlines. After two years of talks, Margaret Thatcher's government agreed to cede all claims to the colony.12 When Britain announced the terms of the Joint Declaration in April of 1984, business decisions, family plans, and career choices came to be ruled by the deadline. Many of the educated and well-off fled. When the handover arrived in 1997, over half a million of the colony's most talented had gone.

A second crucial event occurred in Beijing in the spring of 1989, when students occupied Tiananmen Square to protest corruption and the lack of civil liberties. The action galvanized Hong Kong. Millions marched and rallied in support of the students, and local citizens donated over US\$3 million to help their cause. The outpouring of feeling surprised outside observers, who had long claimed that Hong Kong people were uninterested in politics. On 4 June, China's leaders ordered the People's Liberation Army to attack the demonstrators in Tiananmen Square. Hundreds of people were killed, and in the months that followed many more were executed and imprisoned. The news struck Hong Kong like a thunderbolt.¹³ What would life be like under this regime?

The question was still being asked, if more softly, when on 1 July 1997 British authorities transferred authority to the People's Republic.¹⁴ A year later, there were few signs that the Mainland intended to rule heavyhandedly. Far more worrisome was the financial catastrophe that struck Asia in the autumn. Hong Kong property values tumbled to half their former levels, tourism dropped disastrously, and unemployment climbed. By early 1999 Hong Kong was suffering its first serious recession in decades.

The shock of the Tiananmen crackdown had been intensified by the fact that many Hong Kongers believed they had forged a unique cultural identity. Certainly most accepted Confucian values, took the family as the model for social organization, and recognized "face" as a sign of mutual respect. But over the decades, Hong Kong's roots in Cantonese society, the influences from Shanghai and from Britain, and a businessdriven openness to the West had shaped a unique version of Chinese culture.

During the 1970s, as the economy began its long boom, there appeared a new generationcomparatively affluent young people born after the war, lacking memories of the Mainland, taught largely in the colonists' English and often graduates of a university in England, Australia, or North America.¹⁵ The urbanized and cosmopolitan young, though often proud to be Chinese, were less interested in traditional Cantonese entertainments such as opera and the moralizing melodrama of "social education." They were drawn to foreign mass media. Local bands played tunes by Elvis and the Beatles. Perry Mason and Columbo were on television. For several years, The Sound of Music remained the top-grossing film. To many citizens, Hong Kong now represented a pragmatic blend of Chinese traditions and Western tastes.

Broadcast television, introduced in 1967, intensified the modernization of Hong Kong culture.¹⁶ By 1973 the Cantonese-language channel dominated prime-time viewing, offering, among its soap-opera serials, several episodic dramas centering on current social problems. The channel launched a generation of directors, including Tsui Hark, Ann Hui, and Ringo Lam.¹⁷ Cantonese television also popularized the waspish, deadpan comedian Michael Hui, whose nightly program (based on Laugh-In) established him and his brothers Sam Hui Koon-kit and Ricky Hui Koonying as a team. The Hui brothers' hugely successful film comedies Games Gamblers Play (1974). The Private Eyes (1976), and The Contract (1978) spearheaded the resurgence of Cantonese-language cinema. At the same time, television provided a showcase for Sam Hui's rock band Lotus, which led the music industry toward "Cantopop," that mix of Hong Kong vernacular and Westernstyle middle-of-the-road pop.18 A song by Sam

often played under the opening credits of a Hui film, and the lyrics usually vented a complaint about the strains of trying to make it in an unfeeling city.

We're all poor employees Getting ulcers running around for a buck. The money we make won't even last a month. What a rough deal!... Nothing ever happens, we just slave till we drop.

Nothing ever changes, things just keep going wrong. If things don't change, we'll go rob a bank.

We've worked so hard we want a just reward. With things so hard we can't hope for a fair go.

The Private Eyes

The success of the Hui brothers in film, television, and the music business was part of a wider shift in taste, as Hong Kongers began to stake out a distinctive popular culture. There emerged the new gang-chan-pian (Hong Kong-made films), characterized by swift pace, Cantonese slang, and the absence of Confucian moralizing. "For the first time," writes historian Choi Po-king, "the makers of cultural products for the local market were people with primary allegiance to Hong Kong itself."19 After 1984, discussions of local identity were intensified by the impending handover to China. The closer 1997 came, the more aggressively distinctive Hong Kong's lifestyle seemed to become, as if to assert a cultural liberation from the Mainland even as economic and political ties were tightening. By 1988, over 80% of respondents to a poll claimed that they felt themselves primarily neither British nor Chinese but Hong Kongers.²⁰

The 1970s was also the decade when midnight shows became a ritual. Producers started to

promote films by premiering them at 11:30 or so at ten to twenty theaters on the same Friday or Saturday. The midnight show generated word-ofmouth opinion—important in an industry with small advertising budgets. It was also a social ritual for students, young couples, and the slightly shady street people who frequented late-night bars and mahjong parlors. By the time of my visit to *Young and Dangerous 4*, the late show was purely a marketing device, but in its heyday the midnight screening gave filmmakers feedback. Producers began to force directors to attend. Kirk Wong has vivid memories of crowd reactions:

If they see something they don't like, they'll boo and scream at you knowing that you're the director. I mean, I've been to shows where people would be pissed off, standing up and shouting, "Who the hell is the director? I want him out here," or "Who the hell wrote the script? Go get that stupid asshole!" But at the same time, if they go to a good show, they'll be cheering, clapping, it's like a riot. So once you're a director, you've got to get through this experience. You get nervous, man. You know you're gonna face that crowd eventually.²¹

If a gag didn't work or a sequence proved unsuspenseful, the director would recut scenes or even reshoot them and then rush to fix every print before the official opening a few days later.

Midnight screenings toughened filmmakers, giving the survivors an ability to criticize their work with unusual detachment. The ritual of attending the midnight show also nurtured a local film culture; cinéphiles could rendezvous at a show and then debate the film over tea and snacks until dawn. And the midnight screenings influenced the creative process itself. How many filmmakers made their choices on the set with the hardened late-night audience peering over their shoulders? Some directors have said that the ritual pushed them to make hyperactive films, movies that tried to glue the viewer to the screen every second. It forced them to try very hard to please the audience all the time.

"I DON'T THINK this happens anywhere else in the world," Kirk Wong says of the midnight show. "The Hong Kong audience has such an instant reaction, like instant coffee or instant noodles! It's a very typical Hong Kong thing."22 That instantaneity will not surprise the visitor, who finds some parts of Hong Kong moving at an even faster pace than New York or Tokyo. In Central, the business district of the island, everyone seems in a frantic hurry. As soon as you step into an elevator, you push the button to close the door; on the subway you can place a quick call. People must capitalize on every instant if they are to succeed in a city whose chief religion is purportedly "moneytheism." An advertisement for a textile company appeared in the first issue of the South China Morning Post at the end of World War II: "Reopening soon. Sooner if possible."23

Hong Kong filmmakers participate in a quickmoving dialogue with one another and with their public. Cinema is woven into the city's life. Part of the interest of the *Young and Dangerous 4* preview lay in the fact that the audience could look forward to two or three new movies every week. Hong Kong is one of the few local cinemas ever to achieve the critical mass that underpins a fullblown film industry—a systematic mesh of production, distribution, and exhibition that sustains and satisfies the public's demand for entertainment.

For decades Hong Kongers were among the world's most frequent moviegoers. In 1959, when

the city had around three million people, the average attendance per capita was twenty-two visits per year—by far the highest in the world and about twice that of the United States. At its peak, in 1967, attendance stood at an astonishing twenty-seven annual visits per capita. As television became available, business declined somewhat, but throughout the 1970s per capita attendance remained far ahead of that in other Asian countries.²⁴ This is all the more remarkable in that most Hong Kongers have traditionally worked six-day weeks, with only evenings and Sundays free for moviegoing.

The arrival of home video seems to have sharpened viewers' appetites. By the mid-1980s, half a million Hong Kongers owned VCRs, with over 250 videotape rental outlets serving them.²⁵ A movie that was successful in its theatrical run was often released on two cassettes or discs, thus doubling rental fees. The laserdisc, a high-end taste in the West, flourished as a rental format. Karaoke helped popularize discs, and video pirates found that discs' sharp image and uncropped widescreen yielded good tape copies.

Despite the frenzy for video, viewers did not abandon the theaters. From 1977 to 1988 attendance was stable at about 65 million per year. And the audience preferred Hong Kong films. Up to the late 1960s, Hollywood held sway, but thereafter the local product ruled the box office. Eleven of the top twenty films of the 1970s were Hong Kong movies, and the top four were far ahead of the first Hollywood import to make the list (*The Towering Inferno*). In some years no foreign film even ranked in the top ten. European bureaucrats rail against Hollywood imports, but it was European audiences who made global hits of *The Lion King, Forrest Gump, Batman, Home Alone, Ghostbusters, Aladdin, Mrs. Doubtfire, Beverly* *Hills Cop*, and *Back to the Future*. All failed in Hong Kong.

How could this happen? A Hong Kong film was typically released on a circuit of twenty to thirty large houses, while most foreign features played fewer than ten "mini-theatres."²⁶ In effect, the industry created a screen quota that limited Hollywood's earnings. As venues available for the U.S. product grew in the 1990s, so did box office returns. When *Jurassic Park* rolled out on about twenty screens, it was able to become the topgrossing film of the year, far outstripping the local favorites. The same thing happened with *Speed* (1994) and *Titanic* (1997).

Still, the quota could not have worked if Hong Kong had not offered a fairly competitive product. For one thing, it had quantity. After World War II it became one of Asia's most consistently prolific film industries. In 1965 the colony turned out 235 pictures, more than France and Germany put together. When most Western and many Asian film industries retrenched during the 1970s, Hong Kong's production never fell below 100. In the boom year of 1993, local producers churned out nearly 250 titles, equal to the domestic output of Japan—a country with twenty times Hong Kong's population. In most years the tiny territory's film output even outstripped that of the People's Republic of China.

Producers also maintained a competitive degree of quality. Granted, Hong Kong films were always cheaply made, and in the 1940s and 1950s they usually looked it. A rich family's walls would be stapled canvas, while the lighting would scatter shadows in all directions. But the emergence of big studios standardized a higher-gloss surface. Films from the Shaw Brothers company and its rivals boasted large casts and sets, as well as impressive camera movements and colorful costume design. Though not as sumptuous as Hollywood or Japanese productions, they made other Asian products look downmarket. After the rather tawdry kung-fu films of the 1970s began to falter, filmmakers deliberately aimed for Western-style production values. By the mid-1980s Hong Kong could compete with Hollywood by offering familiar genres and stars in a dazzling modern package.

Just as important, the movies spoke local languages. The demand for a southern-dialect cinema had helped create the Hong Kong industry back in the 1930s. Over 90% of the population spoke Cantonese, but films in Mandarin, the language of Beijing and much of northern China, also flourished. For a time they even obliterated films in the local language. But since the late 1970s, nearly all films have been in Cantonese. In the 1980s they became distinctly colloquial, and even subtitles began to use expressions that Chinese outside Hong Kong find hard to follow.²⁷

The public has also been rather provincial. The flow of immigrants through Hong Kong, chiefly from China, created an audience that found local films more accessible than imports. Until very recently, a newcomer from Shanghai or Guangzhou would not recognize Arnold Schwarzenegger or Julia Roberts, but Andy Lau Tak-wah's movies and music videos are seen all over China. The audience consists mostly of students, white collar and clerical workers, and people with a high-school education or less. Middle-class people tend to prefer Hollywood, European, or Japanese cinema. Just as American intellectuals often loathe Hollywood movies, many cultivated Hong Kongers consider their films trash.

The film industry adjusted to the rhythms of local life. Around the world, a holiday means moviegoing, and in Hong Kong the "golden slots" for a release became late summer, when students are out of school; the Christmas season; and above all the Lunar New Year, which falls between late January and late February. The top five films of the year almost invariably open in these playdates. Christmas and Lunar New Year bring out people who seldom go to the movies, so theaters offer family-friendly comedies and lighthearted action pictures. During the 1980s and early 1990s, Michael Hui, Jackie Chan, Chow Yun-fat, Stephen Chow, Jet Li Lian-jie, and other big stars ruled the golden slots.

Accommodating to their clientele, theaters became neighborhood centers in the 1950s, hosting Cantonese Opera, variety shows, amateur theatricals, graduation ceremonies, and religious assemblies.²⁸ Today concession stands offer snack favorites like shrimp chips and dried squid. In this capital of commerce, an announcement runs before every film asking viewers to turn off their pagers and cellular phones, yet viewers freely answer their beepers, and occasionally they call friends to describe the film they're watching.

Beyond quantity and production values, beyond linguistic accessibility and user-friendliness, the movies evoke a comfortable milieu. Like New Yorkers or Parisians, Hong Kong viewers are used to seeing their neighborhoods on the screen. There are probably few thoroughfares in Kowloon or Hong Kong Island that have not been filmed; numberless jewel heists and chases have been staged along Nathan Road and its tributaries. The geography can get very specific. Wong Kar-wai built Chungking Express (1994) around Kowloon's Chungking Mansions and the island's Lan Kwai Fong bar scene, while Ann Hui's Summer Snow (1995) is set in an old-fashioned neighborhood of Tai Po. Less prestigious productions such as Cha Chuen-yee's The Rapist (1994) and Once upon a Time in Triad Society 2 (1996) base their sensational action upon the layouts of particular neighborhoods.

The films include familiar faces and voices too. From the start, local stars have commanded immense followings. The industry meshes easily with the pop music business, as singing stars move inevitably into films and film actors (like Anthony Wong, but also Andy Lau, Sally Yeh, and even Chow Yun-fat) take up singing. The multimedia mix known as ge-ving-shi (music-film-TV) obliges the most successful stars to cross over constantly.²⁹ Ge-ying-shi is geared to promoting the star first and the vehicles-movies, CDs, concerts, and the like-secondarily. While Hollywood publicizes the particular film through tie-ins with toys, souvenirs, and fast-food chains, a Hong Kong producer builds everything around the star, who will have strong fan clubs and sell a film through personal appearances and stories in the fan magazines.

Many critics will go on to claim that local films do more than adjust to popular tastes. Don't they actually reflect the audience's attitudes? We ought, I think, to be skeptical of relying too much on the reflection metaphor. An ingenious critic can make virtually any film reflect anything; a great many views, even contradictory ones, can be attributed to a diverse public. More concretely, not every member of a society goes to the cinema. and not all who go approve of everything they see. Producers try to create trends as well as reflect them, and films may drastically reshape their sources in everyday life. And even vociferous approval doesn't demonstrate that a movie mirrors mass attitudes. On 6 May 1998 Hong Kong theaters premiered Casino, a biography of the Macau gangster Wan Kok-koi, familiarly known as "Broken-Tooth" Koi. Broken-Tooth, given to large shirts depicting tropical landscapes, also

financed the film. Although he was arrested shortly before the premiere, the opening-night audience applauded fiercely when Broken-Tooth's name appeared in the credits.³⁰ Does the applause for *Casino* mean that Hong Kongers admire gangsters? That they secretly want to rebel against the vestiges of colonial law and order? Or is it just that any premiere is likely to be packed with allies of the filmmakers? Broken-Tooth's friends might go to considerable lengths to make sure that the initial response was enthusiastic.

Instead of reflecting the mood of the moment, popular cinema is better considered as part of an open-ended dialogue with its culture. People with different points of view contribute, and the result never freezes into a snapshot of a zeitgeist or a national character. Filmmakers, critics, and audiences—or rather, diverse segments of audiences participate in a vernacular conversation in which familiar subjects, both topical and traditional, are reworked according to various agendas.

Producers know, for example, that Hong Kongers' passion for mahjong and betting on horse races will furnish material for both serious characterizations and comic mishaps. One company found its biggest success with a long-running cycle of movies about high-stakes poker. Likewise, a city that treats eating as the principal social recreation -there are 20,000 restaurants-has created a culinary cinema. Virtually every film shows people dining, and a whole subgenre of movies centers on machinations around food (Chicken and Duck Talk, 1988; The Chinese Feast, 1995; God of Cookery, 1996). Gags involve overspiced dishes, misfired recipes, and noisy defecation and regurgitation. Utensils are standard comic props, particularly in kung-fu movies, where cups, teakettles, and chopsticks become weapons.

Films press every aspect of local color into service. Fight scenes are staged on the lashed-bamboo scaffolds that cling like giant spiderwebs to construction projects. (In God of Gamblers, 1989, the scaffolding permits a cross-reference to the urban choreography of West Side Story.) A shootout may take place in the Hong Lok Street bird market, while the local custom of taking caged birds out for a walk and into tea shops provides the premise for the opening firefight in Hard Boiled (1992). Goldfish bring good luck, so movie homes display aquariums. In this vertical city, elevators are part of daily life, so their closing doors are handy for claustrophobic horror scenes (Thou Shalt Not Swear, 1993), last-minute reconciliations (He's a Woman, She's a Man, 1994), and images of plot closure (Casino Raiders, 1989). There are many jokes on video culture too; in Don't Give a Damn (1995) a police superintendent complains that whenever he asks an officer to return some rented laserdiscs for him, the officer takes them home to watch, running up late fees.

Passing fads become iconography. In the heroic triad cycle of the late 1980s the protagonists smoked Marlboros, enhancing their rebellious cowboy image. By the mid-1990s, Salems were a preferred brand among young triads onscreen, and when *Young and Dangerous* heroes smoked them, Salems became associated with obedient young people. In *To Be No. 1* (1996), the protagonist smokes Marlboro Lights, as if the filmmakers wanted to present him as a throwback to the 1980s who has not yet achieved true heroism.³¹

As we would expect, Hong Kong's ubiquitous pagers and cellular phones become plot devices. A little girl swipes her mother's cell phone to call her father, a mad bomber (*In the Heat of Summer*, 1994). In a restaurant, two rival gangs and a police squad all face off at gunpoint; the scene explodes into a gun battle when someone's phone suddenly beeps (*Private Eye Blues*, 1994). An unmarried mother dining with a prospective husband is phoned by her gay friend from another table; when she sees him pick up a youth in the men's toilet, she phones him there to express her anger (*He and She*, 1994).

Moviemakers draw on low-riding currents of the culture-slang, recent scandals or catastrophes, bestselling comic books (the source of the Young and Dangerous series). In-jokes cite old movies and ephemeral TV shows, while retro films remake and update old material. Like *Back* to the Future, Peter Chan Ho-sun's He Ain't Heavy, He's My Father (1993) returns a young man to the 1950s. There he meets his father, a poor but virtuous man living in a neighborhood that is a glossier version of that in such Cantonese classics as In the Face of Demolition (1953) and The Great Devotion (1960). The result is at once a nostalgia film, an affectionate parody of old movies, and a celebration of the intermixing of Western and Chinese popular culture. (The father courts a rich girl by dancing with her to the tune of "Tell Laura I Love Her.") Chan's Comrades, Almost a Love Story (1996) activates local memories of popularculture milestones from the 1950s (William Holden's visit to shoot Love Is a Many-Splendored Thing), the 1960s and 1970s (the Mandarin songs of Teresa Tang), and the 1980s and 1990s (McDonald's, ATMs, and the lure of emigration; Fig. 2.2). A more ambitious, or pretentious, citation of popular memory can be seen in Wong Karwai's Days of Being Wild (1990); its Cantonese title, "The Story of Rebellious Youth," uses the 1960s slang for young rebels, ah fei.32

"The Story of Rebellious Youth" is also the Cantonese title for *Rebel without a Cause* (1954), allowing Wong to indulge in another habit of local cinema, a dialogue among films, filmmakers, and audiences. Critique is the most obvious riposte; Wong's *Days of Being Wild* is brought low in *Days of Being Dumb* (1992), and his other films have been widely parodied. Similarly, a theater short promoting the 1998 Hong Kong Film Awards gives us star Andy Lau in an herbal-tea parlor, complaining to Anthony Wong that "There must be a problem," an allusion to the fact that Andy has never won an award. Wong hands him a piece of sugar cane to hold as a substitute, and Andy suddenly glows and prepares to give an acceptance speech.

The cultural crosstalk can be bruising, but the audience delights in it. Wong Jing makes *City Hunter* (1993), a comic-book spoof starring Jackie Chan. Chan complains that the movie is bad. Wong Jing responds with *High Risk* (1995), which mocks Chan in the form of the cowardly, drunken movie star Frankie Lone and brutally kills off his craven agent, a dead ringer for Jackie's manager. The glorification of gang life in *Young and Dangerous* has aroused at least one brace of replies, the *Once upon a Time in Triad Society* films, which turn hoodlums into petty fumblers.

Still, many aspects of local life seldom show up in the films—the poverty in which many residents live, or the Filipina maids who every Sunday spread their food, boomboxes, and knitting under the awnings of Cartier's and Chanel. Above all, as local critics often point out, the films offer virtually no explicit political commentary.³³ Only one film so far has dealt directly with the Tiananmen Square massacre: Shu Kei's *Sunless Days* (1990), a documentary diary of local reactions. In fact, television dramas have dealt more directly with political issues than films have.³⁴

Partly because of censorship, partly because of the scale of investment at stake, Hong Kong

filmmakers, like their peers in other popular cinemas, address politics in oblique ways. Again and again filmmakers have mocked the colony's rulers. Try to find a Britisher in these movies who is not a brute, a bumbling timeserver, or a vain and dense boss. In Righting Wrongs (1986), a policewoman says, "Shit!" Cut directly to the lion-andunicorn insignia of the police force. The films are also full of references to Mainland China and "the 1997 syndrome," and the various treatments signal the range of the vernacular conversation. Some movies seem quite reconciled to future reunion. In Ringo Lam's Undeclared War (1990) a Hong Kong cop picks up a suspect in Guangzhou, where the police chief mouths policy: "We would like to see Hong Kong stable and prosperous." At the end of Kirk Wong's Rock n' Roll Cop (1994), detectives from Hong Kong and China, both harassed by bureaucratic constraints as they try to capture a maniacal killer, unite in weary professional amity: "We cops don't give a shit about politics. We just know how to arrest criminals."

Other films have adopted more complex attitudes to the colony's relations with China. Stephen Teo argues that from the 1970s Hong Kong cinema has often endorsed an "abstract nationalism"



2.2 *Comrades*: Two Mainland émigrés seen through an automatic teller machine.

based upon tian xia, the moral and cultural traditions of the motherland, an attitude very different from allegiance to a particular political regime (guo). Any form of government-Mainland, Taiwanese, British colonialist—is likely to be corrupt. Hence Hong Kong films signal local allegiance to Mainland history and tradition while displaying skepticism, even cynicism, about institutions.35 Teo's point is supported by the critical viewpoint implicit in several crime thrillers, in which the villains are veterans of the People's Liberation Army, many of them having fought in the 1979 border war with Vietnam. Gangster leaders often carry the name Li Peng, the Party leader usually held responsible for the PLA's attack on the students at Tiananmen.³⁶ John Woo's Bullet in the Head (1990) and Tsui Hark's A Better Tomorrow III: Love and Death in Saigon (1989) are widely taken as despairing "aftershock" reactions to the massacre. Political points are often carried through allusion. "If you want to talk about current political anxieties," said producer Nansun Shi Nansheng in 1988, "you make it an allegory, put it in the time of the warlords in China."37

The cultural dialogue is carried on more overtly in comedies, which have developed some stinging political satire. In Michael Hui's *The Front Page* (1990), the hero mulling over plastic surgery asks for Mao's chin and Deng Xiaoping's eyes. Movies often portray the cousins to the north as hayseeds or martinets. In *All for the Winner* (1990) Stephen Chow plays a nose-picking hick from Beijing, complete with plaid suit, shrill tie, and straw suitcase. The *Her Fatal Ways* series (1990–1994) centers on a prim, officious woman cop from the PRC dispatched to Hong Kong, where she and her easily tempted partner encounter the fleshpots of rampant capitalism.

These jabs at authority may seem trivial by Oliver Stone standards, but we should expect them in a less pretentious filmmaking tradition. Sidelong references and moments of mockery attract less controversy than would an explicit and thoroughgoing political critique. Indeed, the Young and Dangerous franchise may have won some street credibility because of its edgy disrespect of China. In the third installment, when a Taiwanese triad leader arrives at a funeral, he taunts the local bosses: "Such poor Mandarin! How can you survive after 1997?" Later a triad banquet is regaled with a karaoke version of the Maoist anthem "The East Is Red." In the series' next offering, the triads hold a gang election parodying the appointment of Tung Chee-hwa as Hong Kong's Chief Executive.

Such scenes, like the triads' lion dance outside the Majestic Theatre the night I visited, point up another area of fascination for local audiences: the underworld. "I won't go to the movies," a cab driver curtly told me. "It's a business run by triads. The movies make them good guys." Hong Kong is the world capital of the *heishehui* ("black societies"). Since the end of World War II triad gangs have controlled extortion, gambling, heroin smuggling, and prostitution. Their networks stretch through Asia and into Europe and North America. Triad members, many of them martial artists, have long been involved in filmmaking.³⁸

During the 1980s Hong Kong's mass media began glamorizing triad culture, notably in films like *A Better Tomorrow* (1986), with Armani-clad racketeers professing sentimental brotherhood (Fig. 2.3). At the same time, the societies began investing in the booming film industry and used productions to launder money. Stars and directors were bullied into working for triad companies. There were thefts, at least one firebombing, beatings, and murders.³⁹ Win's, a major company founded in 1984, is widely assumed to be a triadrelated enterprise. It is currently operated by Charles Heung Wah-keung, brother of an alleged "Grand Dragon" of one of the top societies. In a wink typical of Hong Kong film, Charles Heung plays the unsmiling triad bodyguard Lone Ng in Win's *God of Gamblers* series. His scorpion tattoo, an icon signifying a Vietnamese Chinese, adds to the character's (and the producer's) mystique.

A BETTER TOMORROW'S Cantonese title is "True Colors of a Hero." When Tsui Hark proposed the project to John Woo, Tsui recalled, "We talked a lot about heroes. The trend at the time was comedy. It was difficult to invest in a violent and romantic film."⁴⁰ The film's success touched off a string of gangster films known as the "heroes" cycle. Nowhere is the cultural conversation taking place in and around local movies more evident than in the ongoing reappraisals of what counts as heroism.

The Chinese heroic tale can be found in written and oral forms as early as the ninth century, but the conventions most pertinent for film came into focus in popular serial novels during the nineteenth century.⁴¹ The martial hero, or *wuxia*, is,



2.3 Mark and Ho enjoy their success in *A Better Tomorrow.*

like all folk heroes, strong and skillful in combat. He operates, Ma Ka-fai points out, by exercising righteousness (*yi*) for the sake of particular people, not for the society as a whole or in service to an abstract ideal.⁴² Chinese history is full of corrupt and rapacious rulers, and there is no strong tradition of appeal to an impersonal principle of justice. Popular storytelling therefore made the hero a loner outside the law and opposed to the ruling elite. Contrary to the Western chivalric tradition, the Chinese wandering knight does not serve a woman or even fall in love (although a female warrior may assist him). The hero is supremely governed by loyalty—to his family, particularly his father, and to his friends, or "brothers."⁴³

Chinese films based on this heroic tradition were made as early as the 1920s, and it formed the basis of many chivalric tales and supernaturalswordplay fantasies in later decades. The swordplay novels continue to flourish as a form of mass fiction. Ma Ka-fai has shown in a fascinating study that the swordplay films of the 1960s, the kung-fu films of the 1970s, and the 1980s gangster sagas all tend to adhere to the chivalric conception of heroism.⁴⁴ There is no appeal to the law; the hero must wreak punishment on those who have wronged him; he must rely on his friends and his master, an overt father-figure. If his friend or his boss betrays him, he is plunged into despair, but his vengeance will be fearsome.

Powerful though it is, this conception of heroism doesn't seem to have obliterated all others; the cultural dialogue generated variants. Athena Tsui points out that there are tragic heroes, such as those played by Chow Yun-fat, and comic, even antiheroic figures, such as Stephen Chow.⁴⁵ In some classic swordplay films, heroism depends upon fighting skills, but the hero might have a flaw that needs correcting, as the protagonist in The New One-Armed Swordsman (1971) learns humility by being bettered in a match with the treacherous master. A conception of "group heroism" was also somewhat common, from the warrior women of Fourteen Amazons (1972) to the fighting teams of Crippled Avengers (1978) and Avenging Warriors of Shaolin (1979), with each hero cultivating a specific weapon or skill. In the kung-fu comedies, heroism was redefined to include both frenzied endurance (the films of Jackie Chan) and petty craftiness (Sammo Hung Kam-bo's The Dead and the Deadly, 1982).

By the early 1990s, partly because of the charge that the heroic triad films had led youngsters to admire the gangs, more filmmakers played up the self-sacrificing heroism displayed by peace officers. Examples were *Hard Boiled* (1992), *The Final Option* (1994), *The Log* (1996), and *The Big Bullet* (1996). A striking case in point is Johnnie To Keifung's Lifeline (1997). At first glance this might seem merely a ripoff of *Backdraft*, but unlike the Hollywood film it centers on a team of ordinary people trying to be responsible firefighters. The plot is episodic, alternating between dramatic rescue situations and moments of crisis in the team members' personal lives. Although there is one inflexible authority figure, the film-remarkably for a Hong Kong movie-has no real villain. A major conflict comes from the attitude of one insubordinate hero toward authority, since he is considered reckless for admitting that he would violate procedures if it would save lives. The action scenes, particularly the overwhelming final setpiece in a burning textile factory, display the squad's solidarity and devotion to duty. When the firefighters manage to survive and face their superior (Fig. 2.4), it is hard not to see them as both an updated version of the warrior team and



2.4 The firefighting team after the climax of Lifeline.

a bid for a different conception of heroism: one that roots loyalty to the immediate group within a larger frame of civic responsibility. A 1980s hero would sacrifice himself for his friend or his gang, but not for an abstraction like the community. But the tagline on *Lifeline*'s poster reads: "Save as Many as You Can."

It is tempting to see *Lifeline* as reflecting a pervasive trust in local institutions, standing stalwart against the arrival of rulers appointed by Beijing. But as usual the cultural dialogue sounded several registers. In the fraught year of 1997, Lifeline was countered by Downtown Torpedoes (released in August), which centered on adventurous young thieves, experts in burglary and computer hacking who want only to make money and retire to the Caribbean. By contrast Young and Dangerous 4, released a few months earlier than Downtown Torpedoes, celebrated youths standing by one another in loyalty to family, neighborhood, and Hong Kong. October brought the very different Made in Hong Kong, a cry of adolescent despair from life lived on society's margins. Then there was Task Force (November), which seems a less melodramatic version of Lifeline, praising those who do their duty and refuse violence-a theme, in turn, at odds with updated kung-fu revenge tales like Hero and Legend of the Wolf (both released in May). Even in the months before and after China's reclamation of sovereignty, the movies' vernacular conversation about heroism was constantly divided, dispersed, and mediated by the conventions of popular entertainment.

I WANT TO MAKE a film that reflects our time. If not, no one will know that we ever existed.

The émigré film director in Ah Ying (1983)

Hong Kong critics are closely tied to the film industry. Although the city boasts a large popular press, there are no "quality" venues like *The Nation* or *The New Yorker*. Most film critics write for daily newspapers, some for weekly or biweekly popular magazines. A piece longer than a thousand words will seldom get published. As a result, no one survives simply by writing film criticism.⁴⁶ A few critics get jobs at the annual festival, and some work in the industry, typically as screenwriters. Manfred Wong Man-chun is both a critic and a successful screenwriter-producer; he also runs a restaurant.

Proximity to a fast-paced industry promotes a rapid dialogue. The midnight public responds to a film "like instant noodles," the films try to latch on to fads and new tastes, and many critics address a piece less to the public than to the filmmakers or other critics. In this close-knit community, what one writes is noticed by all.

Significant positions emerge from the conversation. For example, many critics hold that Hong Kong cinema has been in a postmodern phase, but they disagree about the timing and the consequences. For Jimmy Ngai, local postmodernism began around 1984, with the rise of the Cinema City studio and the signing of the Basic Agreement between Britain and China; it marked a turn to shamelessly commercial entertainment. For Li Cheuk-to, the postmodern moment came after the Tiananmen Square massacre of 1989, and it expressed the public's urge to escape into nostalgia and nonsense, emblematized in a series of parodic retro films and Stephen Chow's mo-leitau ("gibberish") comedy.47 Readers in the know will consider even a short piece in the light of each author's position. In turn, filmmakers respond by slipping references, not always flattering, to postmodernism into their films.

Most of the critics I have been describing are twenty to forty years old and belong to the Hong Kong Film Critics Society, an ambitious group formed in 1995 to promote serious discussion of cinema. Few members of the society were trained in film schools, so what was shown locally became their window on film history. Hong Kong distributors seldom import European and American independent work; a single screening of a Tarkovsky or a Godard can become a reference point for months. The younger critics formed their tastes around the New Hollywood (The Godfather remains a landmark), European masters from neorealism to the present, postwar Japanese cinema, Americans like Lynch and Tarantino, and the Hong Kong cinema of the 1980s. Spielberg, Tarkovsky, Scorsese, and Kiešlowski are major presences. These critics do not usually look back to silent cinema or to Hong Kong's postwar realist tradition. Many work in production and see corners cut and compromises made, so they cast a jaundiced eve on current local films.⁴⁸

There is also an older generation of critics who speak for more traditional cinema. These critics came out of the late 1960s, when the student press and campus film societies sought to build a cosmopolitan film culture.49 Studio One, a cinéclub for expatriates, had already been created in 1962, but venues for locals opened in the following decade, such as the Phoenix Cine-Club (1973) and the Hong Kong Arts Centre (1976).⁵⁰ Several filmmakers and critics founded the Film Culture Centre of Hong Kong in 1978, offering lectures and filmmaking workshops as well as screenings of films not available theatrically. The first decade of the Hong Kong Film Festival (1977-1986) was central to this effort, exposing young critics to trends from abroad and providing a showcase for their writings. Another forum was the serious
journal–first, *Close-Up*, founded by the film director Tang Shu Shuen in 1975; and then, when that ceased, *Film Biweekly*, founded in 1979 and allied with the New Wave.

This generation, which includes senior figures like Law Kar and Sek Kei, greeted the early New Wave efforts as the start of an "alternative cinema."⁵¹ The critics have seen their hopes dashed as Hong Kong cinema has become more flagrantly mercantile. The ciné-clubs folded, and the Film Culture Centre closed. By late 1990 *Film Biweekly* had turned into the more mainstream *City Entertainment*. A handful of arthouse screens and the annual festival are the only sources of prestigious international films.

On the whole, the older writers are more critical of 1980s and 1990s Hong Kong cinema than are the younger ones. They tend to find the genuine traditions of Hong Kong cinema in the realist postwar films and in the New Wave of the early 1980s. In many respects, though, the two generations are not far apart. They share, for instance, a fairly firm canon. In any national film culture, establishing a canon serves not only to guide viewers' tastes; it also serves to mark out a tradition, to set agendas, and to make the present intelligible. In the West, critical consensus on the great silent films enabled scholars to ask how these films might be linked into a coherent history.⁵² A canon can define a local cinema around a specific set of values, something that is particularly important for a community emerging from colonialism.

Naturally not all critics will agree on the finest Hong Kong films, but tastes converge surprisingly often. A 1995 poll asked the twenty-five members of the Critics Society to name the best Hong Kong films ever made. Some heavily favored 1950s and 1960s critical realism, but even young critics included at least two films from before 1970 on their lists. And all agreed on the eminence of the leading New Wave directors, with films by Yim Ho, Allen Fong Yuk-ping, Tsui Hark, Stanley Kwan, and Ann Hui receiving very high scores. The most-cited film was Wong Kar-wai's *Days of Being Wild* (fourteen votes), and Wong was also the most-cited director (eighteen votes).⁵³ In sum, critics with contemporary tastes seem to respect Hong Kong's traditions, while those favoring older films will grant some value to today's creators, particularly Wong. More broadly, the splits in tastes have become part of the critics' dialogue with contemporary cinema. Every faction will be looking for aspects of recent releases that resonate with the virtues they find in the canon.

The two generations also agree that filmmakers must address the community on matters of moral consequence. Chinese intellectuals have traditionally been committed to public education, and this attitude has shaped Hong Kong film criticism. During the first postwar years critics and filmmakers debated how to make cinema a vehicle for progressive ideas, and a series of "clean-up campaigns" sought to gain filmmakers a measure of cultural respectability. Film Tribute, a journal launched in 1947, offered analyses of cinema and politics, while most daily newspapers began film pages soon thereafter.⁵⁴ Many critics were liberals or Communists, and their call for a socially critical cinema tallied with the emergence of leftwing studios.

Critics of the 1960s generation pushed the commitment to social commentary in new directions. In a careful historical study, Hector Rodriguez has shown that these young writers promoted a fresh cultural agenda.⁵⁵ The new cinema, they argued, would explore contemporary life in the colony. It would no longer portray Hong Kong as an ersatz Shanghai, as the Mainland exiles of the late 1940s and 1950s had, or retreat into Shaw Brothers' mythical, generic Chinese past. The ideal film auteur would struggle to present a serious inquiry into the colony's neglected history and its current concerns.

The critics' contribution to the cultural dialogue helped prepare for the New Wave. When Ann Hui's The Secret (1979) and Tsui Hark's Dangerous Encounter-First Kind (1980) stirred controversy, many critics defended the rising generation. They claimed that sincere and cosmopolitan filmmakers like Hui, Tsui, Yim Ho, Patrick Tam Karming, and Allen Fong could grasp modern Hong Kong in a way that the studio filmmakers could not. These young artists combined an understanding of up-to-date film technique with what Rodriguez calls "a realist commitment to the specificity of a local identity."⁵⁶ They fought the pressures of the industry, producing personal films from within an impersonal system. The emergence of the New Wave was a defining moment not only for Hong Kong filmmaking but also for film criticism. New Wave directors rank so highly in the 1995 poll because they showed that local filmmaking could present serious artistic treatments of contemporary life.

If the auteur thoughtfully meditates upon local culture, mass-produced cinema blindly reproduces it. Rodriguez suggests that many 1960s writers saw Hong Kong's commercial films as erasing local history in favor of an immediate appeal to the audience's whims. Today many critics still find that entertainment cinema usually fails in its moral responsibility, but even so it remains an index of the audience's beliefs, desires, and attitudes. Like American commentators who seek traces of cultural problems in Hollywood movies, Hong Kong critics treat the industry's output as reflecting social trends. This is the most powerful and pervasive assumption in Hong Kong film criticism, uniting writers of all ages and tastes.

Reflectionism is a useful journalistic strategy in a fast-turnaround community. When you have limited space to make an argument, you can lure a reader by linking an unremarkable new release to something manifestly important, the temper of the moment. Like the critics who turn out Sunday "think pieces" for U.S. newspapers, Hong Kong critics use this form of reflectionism as an occasion to explore a film's relation to current social trends. The tactic poses the sort of conceptual difficulties I've mentioned, but in a close-knit community, reflectionism can serve as a rhetorical strategy to sharpen the ongoing dialogue with the filmmakers. It urges directors and scriptwriters to think about their role as public educators, suggesting that if they do not take explicit stands they will be parroting popular tastes.

This view has considerable resonance in a tradition that requires not only the intellectual but also the artist to be a teacher. The Cantonese film classics of the 1950s and 1960s often had a didactic Confucian streak, and the New Wave proved that entertainment could be infused with social criticism. Many younger critics believe strongly with their elders that a good filmmaker presents personal reflections upon the immediate cultural moment, even when their candidates for auteurs might not be attractive to older writers. To defend a film, the critic will often argue that the director is sending a message to the public, expressing her or his feelings about matters of current concern. For example, Li Cheuk-to, a pivotal figure between the older and younger generation of critics, has suggested that in the face of the Mainland takeover Gordon Chan Ka-seung's First Option (1996) presents a heroic image of team spirit and professional discipline, qualities reflected in the

film's style: "The heroism of the Special Duties Unit and their sense of professional confidence are seen as common traits of Hong Kong people, thus showing how Hong Kong in general could deal with any situation under adverse circumstances. The film's own sense of professionalism is a mark of this confidence...Put heads together and face the challenge and you can turn defeat into victory."57 For most critics anywhere, the best filmmakers have something of moment to say, but the sort of significance at stake varies. Western critics tend to prize purely personal expression, while Hong Kong critics expect filmmakers to guide public thinking by offering moral lessons through vivid stories. The film artist who does not reflect on contemporary life, we might say, is doomed merely to reflect it.

Critics will disagree, of course, about what the lessons are. Some reviewers saw the 1980s heroic triad films as affirming resistance to the arrival of 1997, but Sek Kei objected: "The resurrection of such traditional values in the 'hero' during our modern age of crisis is one of the reactionary developments in Hong Kong cinema."58 While some consider the Young and Dangerous rascals to encapsulate self-indulgence and the bankruptcy of values, Athena Tsui argues that the films respect a traditional family hierarchy: since the triad youths do not challenge the father-figure's authority, they become not juvenile delinquents but obedient sons. "They're good kids." The movies can be taken as rather optimistic, with the gang brawls presenting people who face the future united and in large numbers, recalling mass marches in sympathy with the Tiananmen students.59

Such differences of response show, once again, that in Hong Kong the vernacular conversation has been many-sided. The films have been construed in several ways—as moral message, as unwitting reflection, as purely personal expression. The viewers talked back at the midnight shows, and the critics provided more considered and partisan responses. Any point might be answered by the filmmakers, in private conversation or public declaration, or even in the next movie. For more than two decades the entire vast dialogue helped sustain a vibrant film culture.

Two Dragons: Bruce Lee and Jackie Chan

BRUCE LEE AND Jackie Chan have become the two most famous Hong Kong heroes. Some would claim that they directly reflected local attitudes, but it's perhaps more accurate to say that each filmmaker created a personal image that shaped Hong Kong's cultural conversation about its identity.

Bruce Lee was born in 1940 in San Francisco. where his father was performing in a touring opera troupe.1 The infant was featured in a Chineselanguage film starring his father. The family returned to Hong Kong a few months later. At age six Lee again appeared in a film, and over the next several years he won fame as a child actor in Cantonese movies. He began studying boxing and martial arts in his teens. He left Hong Kong to attend college in the United States, where he opened kung-fu schools and became known as a controversial teacher. Thanks to connections in the film industry, he won a continuing part in a TV series, The Green Hornet (1966-67), as well as walk-ons in other programs and in a film (Marlowe, 1969). The Golden Harvest company approached Lee in Los Angeles and offered him a two-picture contract. He accepted and flew straight to Bangkok, where he and director Lo Wei filmed The Big Boss (1971; known in the United States as Fists of Fury). Before that film premiered. Lee had already begun work

on *Fist of Fury* (1972; known in the United States as *The Chinese Connection*).²

When the films broke local box-office records. Lee was in a position to demand an unprecedented arrangement from Golden Harvest. He and the president Raymond Chow Man-wei formed a satellite company, Concord, which allowed Lee to produce, write, and direct his own films. The result was The Way of the Dragon (1972; U.S. title, The Return of the Dragon). Lee and Chow also went in on a coproduction with Warner Brothers to make Enter the Dragon (1973), his most widely seen film in the West. In the summer of 1973, while working on his pet project, Game of Death, Lee died under mysterious circumstances in the apartment of a female star; current opinion favors a reaction to cannabis as the cause of death. A version of Game of Death was released in 1978, incorporating some of the footage Lee had shot but adding a storyline that required three doubles to take his place.

Bruce Lee was the first Hong Kong star to achieve worldwide renown, and he remains the territory's most famous citizen. He helped popularize Chinese martial arts; many a black or Hispanic youth was inspired by Lee's fearless confrontations with white power. Lee's films changed popular cinema forever, giving screen combat a new force and grace. When in *Lethal Weapon 3* (1992) Mel Gibson and Rene Russo match combat skills, we take it for granted they will fight as much with their feet as with their fists. No film hero has been so widely and illegally appropriated, in the dozens of pseudo–Bruce Lee films made not only in Asia but even in Canada (*Sexy Ilsa Meets Bruce Lee in the Devil's Triangle*) and Brazil (*Bruce Lee versus the Gay Power*).³ And where would video games and superhero comics be without the martial arts conventions Lee introduced to the West?

Raised on Nathan Road. Lee was a child of crowded Kowloon. As a young star he played orphans and street urchins, then teen rebels. In his last film of this period, The Orphan (1960), he portrayed an anguished youth in the James Dean mold, confusedly lashing out at all who want to help him. In real life he enjoyed roaming the streets picking fights. He learned to dance and eventually became Hong Kong's cha-cha champion. Everyone knew that he had been turned down for the starring role in the Kung Fu TV series, and the fact that he launched a colossal career from Hong Kong rather than Hollywood made the public love him. Golden Harvest provided him a modest flat in the Waterloo Hill area of Kowloon, and many mornings Lee could be seen on his run



TD.1 *Fist of Fury*: Lee smashes the sign "No Dogs or Chinese Allowed."

through the neighborhood. After his death more than twenty thousand people filled the streets in tribute.

Lee remains the reference point for local action cinema. Producers have reassembled and reissued every frame of film in which he appeared. One of the most peculiar releases to come from a major motion picture company, Game of Death mixes authentic footage of Lee with scenes whose main purpose is the concealment of the Lee stand-in's face. There was a crowd of imitators, from Bruce Li to Tarzen [sic] Lee, and scores of films that claimed his imprimatur. Every Hong Kong comedian has parodied him, and current action star Jet Li has paid tribute by remaking Fist of Fury (Fist of Legend, 1994). In City Hunter (1993), when Jackie Chan is fighting in a movie theater, he gets some tips from Lee's moves against Kareem Abdul Jabbar in Game of Death.

Lee was determined to prove the excellence of Chinese martial arts. During his stay in the United States he held tournament demonstrations that astounded audiences. With a punch or kick he could break eight two-inch-thick boards taped together. He could kick through boards dangling in the air. He demonstrated a "one-inch" punch that knocked fighters several feet back. With *Fist of Fury*, set in 1909 Shanghai, he became vigorously nationalistic, celebrating Chinese dignity in the face



TD.2 The opening of The Way of the Dragon: Lee tries to ignore a dowager's disapproving stare.

of colonial oppression. He thrashes an entire dojo of karate students, and with a stupendous leap and kick he shatters a sign barring Chinese entrance to an English-only compound (Fig. TD.1).

In The Way of the Dragon, the only finished project over which Lee had control, he becomes a good-natured bumpkin scorned by the West. Setting the film in Rome allows him to express the anxiety of the emigrant, who may at any moment lose face through a faux pas (Fig. TD.2). He moves uneasily through the '60s-mod apartment of a Westernized Chinese woman, afraid to cross his legs or set down his glass. Of course the bumpkin is actually a splendid fighter, and at the end he turns the West's emblem of combat, the Coliseum, into an arena for Eastern gladiators. The Way of the Dragon, which Lee aimed at the local audience, celebrates Hong Kong identity: his hero is from the New Territories, he tells the girl that the food is better at home than in Italy, and, shown a garden, he remarks that if it were in Hong Kong he'd build on it and make some money.

Lee's fighting style deliberately projects this sense of local identity, but in complex ways. Fist of Fury retells the legend of the death of He Yun-jia, founder of the Jing Wu School of Chinese martial arts, and in playing He's disciple Chen, Lee selfconsciously continues the noble tradition. But the Jing Wu tradition was a northern one, and Lee identified passionately with the southern schools. He called his art by its Cantonese name, kung-fu. At age thirteen he began to study Wing Chun with the master Yip (aka Ip) Man. Wing Chun is a southern Chinese technique emphasizing rapid punches, as opposed to the virtuosic leg work of northern styles. According to some reports, Yip taught a modified version of Wing Chun that emphasized a leaned-back, shuffling gait-features that Lee revised into a flowing, edgy strut.⁴ Lee was very familiar with the dozens of films devoted to kung-fu master Wong Fei-hung, starring the legendary Kwan Tak-hing. In Enter the Dragon, Lee defeats the criminal mastermind Han in a bloody bout: the fact that Han was played by Shek

Kin, the recurring villain in the old Wong Feihung series, made Lee Kwan's heir, a 1970s update of a classic hero.

Yet Lee was an eclectic and an iconoclast. Kung-fu training rests upon a Confucian conception of fidelity: the master is the father, the pupil the obedient child. Such is the lesson of *Fist of Fury*, in which Chen must avenge his *sifu*'s death. But in *The Big Boss*, the master exploits his workers, and in *The Way of the Dragon* Uncle Wing betrays and kills the young men who have defended him against the racketeers. In *Enter the Dragon*, Lee must slay Han, a potent figure of paternal authority. Nothing shows Lee's nonconformity and his address to Hong Kong's 1970s youth culture—more starkly than his insistence that the young warrior must often unseat the older man whom society has venerated.

In keeping with this attitude, Lee embraced a purely pragmatic conception of the martial arts. You learn kung-fu in order to win real fights. "It isn't ritual and it isn't sport. It's self-defense."⁵ He wrote a friend in 1969: "I've lost faith in the Chinese classical arts-though I still call mine Chinese-because, basically, all styles are a product of 'land swimming,' even the wing chun school. So my line of training is more toward efficient streetfighting with everything goes."6 In his youth, before he took up Wing Chun, he joined a boxing team and learned to fence. He also mastered some northern forms, and in the United States he learned judo, Filipino martial arts, wrestling, karate, and Thai boxing. He trained in the Western manner, using a punching bag and devoting hours to rope skipping, which enhanced his fluid, bantamweight style. He amassed a library of books on all forms of combat, and he studied footage of Muhammed Ali's bouts, convinced that someday he and Ali would have to fight.

Lee called his approach Jeet Kune Do, "the way of the intercepting fist." It is an aggressive strategy that achieves maximum efficiency by making each parry a powerful attacking blow in itself. Jeet Kune Do is not a distinct style but a synthesis based on the premise that a real fight is not conducted according to sacred rules. The climax of *The Way of the Dragon* is a clash between a freelimbed, resourceful warrior (Lee) and a master (Chuck Norris) who cannot adjust to mercurial changes in attack. The original *Game of Death* was to conclude in a multistoried pagoda, each floor guarded by an expert in one martial art. The hero was to defeat them one by one, revealing every school as fatally frozen in tradition.

Whereas the ordinary martial arts master strove to keep his own version of kung-fu inviolate, Lee advocated mixing techniques to suit one's individuality. Instead of memorizing a narrow set of traditional styles and forms, the student had to learn tactics drawn from all traditions. For Lee, the spiritual dimension of kung-fu lay not in secret lore but in its ability to manifest each practitioner's personality. "If you have only two hands and two legs nationalities don't mean anything. We must approach it as an expression of oneself."⁷ Lee's respectful but flexible attitude toward fighting traditions offers a martial version of what many saw as Hong Kong's growing sense of pragmatic individualism.

In adapting his style to film, Lee ranged still farther. Although he preferred the low kicks favored in the wing chun tradition, the high kick was so powerful onscreen that he cultivated it and made it central to his star image. From Japanese karate came Lee's battle cry, the *kiai* so reminiscent of a bird's shriek, which not only helped him "grip" his body for maximal energy but also raised the dramatic pitch of a fight. Lee was also preternaturally fast; he enjoyed plucking a coin from a man's palm before the victim could close his fingers. Onscreen, Lee's punch and withdrawal often consume a mere six frames, a quarter of a second.

Jeet Kune Do was "simply the direct expression of one's feelings with the minimum of movements and energy."8 In every film fight, Lee boldly expresses his changing emotions and his unique personality. Of course he is capable of ferocious rage, although usually it is compressed into a fierce glare and a stabbing forefinger. For unworthy opponents he shows undisguised contempt, cocking his head, strolling around them, rolling his eyes. Yet even when dispatching the lesser fighters, he seldom loses that brooding scowl suggesting a strange mixture of detachment and selfabsorption. Sometimes his eyes turn from his enemy to fasten on something offscreen, and his blows seem merely to play out a pure pattern of elegant movement, largely indifferent to their devastation of the opponent. At other moments, after he delivers a punch his brows knit, his head swivels, and his mouth opens in astonishment at the damage he has done or draws into a grimace mixing strain, anger, and anguish.

The camera loved Lee only slightly more than he loved himself. From film to film his self-mythologization grew: frozen in a leap as he faces a hail of bullets in *Fist of Fury* (Fig. TD.3), fighting in the Coliseum in *The Way of the Dragon*, surrounded by reflections of himself in Han's mirrored museum (Fig. TD.4). After his death Lee's image multiplied endlessly, weirdly split and resplit in *Game of Death* (with its plot motif of doubles, photographs, and wax effigies) and exploited as an icon in spinoffs, as in *Exit the Dragon, Enter the Tiger* (1976), in which Lee senses that death is near and prepares a pupil to avenge him (Fig. TD.5). What critics have called his narcissism can be seen as a natural extension of his determination to achieve success by defining himself as an utterly singular individual. Lee obsessively pursued stardom, living out a plan that he committed to paper in 1969 as "My Definite Chief Aim": "I, Bruce Lee, will be the highest paid Oriental superstar in the United States. In return I will give the most exciting performances and render the best of quality in the capacity of an actor. Starting in 1970, I will achieve world fame and from then onward till the end of 1980 I will have in my possession \$10,000,000.



TD.3 The closing image of *Fist of Fury*.



TD.4 Enter the Dragon: Lee in Han's hall of mirrors.

Then I will live the way I please and achieve inner harmony and happiness."⁹ Many would say that the ideal of expressing one's distinct identity, becoming a multimillionaire, and then having the leisure to achieve spiritual harmony is one version of a powerful local dream.

IT IS TEMPTING to trace aspects of Lee's career through his many names. When he was born in 1940 (in Chinese astrology, a year of the Dragon), a nurse supposedly suggested the name Bruce, under which he would be known in America. His given name, Lee Chun-fan, translates as "gaining fame overseas" (literally, "shaking foreign countries"). At home his mother called him Mo Si-ting, "never sits still," and his sister nicknamed him Siu-lung, "little dragon," which became his film name early in his career as a child actor.

At birth, Jackie Chan was named Chan Kong Sang, meaning simply "born in Hong Kong."¹⁰ At age six he began living at Yu Jim Yuen's Chinese Opera Research Institute in Hong Kong while his parents worked abroad. Under a harsh regimen he learned singing, dancing, martial arts, and acrobatics. Bruce Lee attended college in America and was an avid reader and book collector, but Chan never properly learned to read or write. When Yuen's institute was disbanded, Jackie and several of his fellow students found work in the



TD.5 Homage to Lee and exploitation of his image (*Exit the Dragon, Enter the Tiger*).

Planet Hong Kong

film industry. Several of them, including Chan, worked on Bruce Lee projects. Chan rose through the ranks at Golden Harvest to become a stunt player, then an assistant to the fight director, and finally one of the Bruce Lee clones. Not until the enterprising producer Ng See-yuen borrowed him for *Snake in the Eagle's Shadow* (1978), a kung-fu comedy, did Chan's career take off. In a short time he established himself as Hong Kong's top boxoffice star, graduating to directing in *Fearless Hyena* (1979).

Chan's film name, Sing Lung, means "to become a dragon," and this defined his problem: how to be the next Lee without becoming a Lee clone? Chan decided to invert Lee's heroic image. He has endlessly explained: "Instead of kicking high like Bruce Lee, I kick low. He plays the invincible hero, I'm the underdog. His movies are intense, mine are light."¹¹

Bruce Lee's movies assume that he is the best fighter around. The plot therefore consists of finding ways to delay his confrontation with the main villain. So Lee is reluctant to fight, or he is ignorant of the villain's activity, or secondary villains step in. By contrast, Jackie Chan's typical kung-fu film starts with him as a talented but raw and naïve fighter. His are apprentice plots; he must learn discipline, stamina, and special techniques that will allow him to win—often very narrowly against seasoned foes. In the course of *Snake in* *the Eagle's Shadow, Drunken Master* (1978), and other films, Chan must literally "become a dragon" —learn to endure pain and to perfect his skill (Fig. TD.6). He began to define another version of heroism, one stressing boundless determination and a good-humored willingness to suffer.

Aiming at winning real fights, Bruce Lee saw no reason to learn acrobatics. When a scene demanded leaps and tumbles, he used a double. By contrast, Chan and his school "brothers" Yuen Biao and Sammo Hung were brilliant acrobats. They and other graduates of the Opera Institute sparked a trend toward flashy stunts in the kung-fu films of the late 1970s. Hung became one of the industry's top fight choreographers and began to give



TD.6 Jackie's tortuous apprenticeship in *Snake in the Eagle's Shadow*, with Simon Yuen as his demanding sifu.

Planet Hong Kong

Golden Harvest's kung-fu films swift fighting, hairbreadth timing, and bursts of comedy. Yuen Woo-ping, son of an expert fight choreographer, developed a comparable style in directing *Snake* and *Drunken Master*, and it suited Chan perfectly.

Like Lee, Chan mastered many styles-southern and northern kung-fu, Korean hapkido, and Japanese judo-but he never sought to found a style of his own, calling his approach "chopsuev": "After I started doing movies, I just mixed it all in together."¹² Lee the streetfighter had consciously reacted against the Peking Opera tradition, the main model for martial-arts films since the 1930s. He called for a "broken rhythm," which he took to be more realistic. By contrast, Chan and his contemporaries drew on the Peking Opera influence. Indeed, they intensified it, partly by absorbing Lee's lesson that the action should be filled with emotion, partly by creating long routines displaying varied techniques and presenting a smoothly accented rhythm. Chan's combat scenes showcased his instant reflexes and rubbery contortions. Training scenes became intricate exercises in footwork; Chan's hopping from bowl to bowl in Fearless Hyena sparkles with skittering energy (Figs. TD.7-TD.9). Like Keaton, he can turn any locale into an obstacle course, as when in Young Master (1980) Shek Kin's pursuit of Chan through a living room uses up all props to hand. Stunning exercises in pacing, his fights and chases avoid looking mechanical by their propulsive emotion-usually Chan's comically exaggerated panic.

At the end of *Young Master*, Chan must fight Master Kim, and the sequence brings out another aspect of Chan's comic persona: tireless tenacity. In a seventeen-minute battle that can push an audience to exhaustion, Chan is punched, slapped, kicked, kneed, bounced, stomped, dragged, flipped, flung into the air, and pounded into the ground. In desperation he swallows some tobacco juice, and only then does he summon up the manic energy to defeat Kim, in the process kicking him across the breadth of the wide screen. As so often, Chan wins largely by refusing to lose. Throughout he suffers mightily, bellowing and moaning as he takes blow after blow. Against the stoic Lee, Chan defined his genius as an infinite capacity for taking pain—a knack acquired, he has told interviewers, from the brutal training at the Opera Institute. If Bruce Lee is a flagrant narcissist, Jackie Chan is a passionate masochist. "I live for pain. Even when I was young I loved pain."¹³

Ever ambitious, Chan left period kung-fu behind and developed his own version of Hollywood action movies. He experimented with the fights/chases/stunts formula, first in the historical adventure Project A (1983); then in a series of contemporary action comedies such as Wheels on Meals (1984) and Dragons Forever (1986); in a string of cop films such as Police Story (1985); and in the freebooting sagas in which he plays the "Asian Hawk," Armour of God (1987) and Operation Condor (1991). Typically the film centers on a sweet-natured, fairly innocent, not-too-bright ordinary guy. The villains assail him mercilessly, and his superiors treat him unjustly, but his fighting skills are magnified by his untiring dedication to his goal and his seraphic luck. He is Hong Kong's Harold Lloyd, with Buster Keaton's and Douglas Fairbanks' gift for tailoring punishing stunts to his star persona.

In the process Chan became a stronger director than Bruce Lee had been. Jackie's first signed projects, *Fearless Hyena* and *Young Master*, display unusually precise framing and carefully timed cuts. By *Project A II* (1987) he had fully developed his own variant on the percussive action style that emerged at the period, using a wide variety of camera positions and unexpected but apt editing points. *Police Story* may well be his directorial masterpiece. The curtain-raiser, a multi-car assault tearing through a hillside shantytown and turning into a zigzagging bus chase, is topped by the finale, an all-out combat in a shopping mall. The attention-grabbing stunt here is Jackie's three-story slide down a light fixture, striking showers of sparks as he descends. But the



TD.7 A training exercise from Fearless Hyena.



TD.8 Fearless Hyena.



TD.9 Fearless Hyena.



TD.10 *Police Story*: At first Jackie has the upper hand in the fight in the display area.



TD.11 Another reason why Jackie's crew renamed the film *Glass Story*.

sequence's brutal finesse has already emerged in the steady buildup of perfectly composed and cut skirmishes. After exploiting the dangers of escalators in every imaginable way, the fights culminate in a harrowing string of combats displaying what happens when bodies, moving at high velocities, hit glass. In a distressing variety of ways men are hurled through display cases and shop windows (Figs. TD.10, TD.11). Each bit of action is rendered from the best angle, bringing home the force of the collision and the pain of the impact. The most aggressive moment, which invariably makes audiences flinch, shows Jackie's head smashing through plate glass (Figs. TD.12, TD.13). The primal shock of the moment is magnified by the fact that the shot, only twenty-eight frames long, doesn't leave us time to register the presence of the window before Jackie's head hits. It's as if he cracked the surface of the screen.¹⁵

Chan experimented with his star persona in the early 1990s, veering from nonsensical comedy (*City Hunter*, 1992) to grim drama (*Crime Story*, 1993), but he usually portrayed himself as a Hong Kong everyman. He has said that *Project A*, set at



TD.12 The tide turns when Jackie's adversaries start slamming him into glass.



TD.13 One of the most painful shots in the entire Jackie Chan oeuvre.

the turn of the century, was an oblique comment on the news that Britain would hand its colony over to the Chinese. Chan plays a Coast Guard officer who learns that the British police superintendant is willing to pay off pirates to ransom the Rear Admiral, and Chan forces the official to assume some backbone. The implication is that Hong Kong people can handle their affairs better than the British. At the film's end, the rescued British hostages must swim behind the heroes' raft. Later, Chan felt that the revived kung-fu films of the early 1990s, with their wire-borne



TD.14 Jackie in splints at end of *Young Master*; the replaying of key scenes in surrounding frames anticipates the "blooper credits" of Chan's later films.

special effects, had lost the true spirit of martial arts.¹⁴ He made *Drunken Master II* (1994) to show what old-fashioned kung-fu could still do.

The star's innocent, indomitable urge to overcome all obstacles seems designed to project one modern image of Hong Kong. Another aspect of this image emerges in Chan's calculated cosmopolitanism. Lee had set The Way of the Dragon in Rome, but Chan really globe-hopped. He extended his playing field to Japan (Thunderbolt), Russia and Australia (First Strike, 1996), North America (Rumble in the Bronx, 1995), and even South Africa (Who Am I? 1998). Supercop (1992) moves from Hong Kong to Mainland China to Malaysia, while Operation Condor showcases Asia, Europe, and the Sahara Desert. Similarly, Chan had a confident sense of what an "international" film should look like. Bruce Lee is virtually the only classy thing in the Bruce Lee films, which typically have shabby production values and fairly inept connecting scenes. Chan's box-office strength

enabled him to insist on a high level of production design, shooting, and special effects. His awareness of the importance of packaging came at a moment when Hong Kong culture began to pay attention to rising world standards of quality in other spheres of service and manufacturing.

Young Master concludes with Chan cocooned in splints and bandages, smiling at the camera and painfully waving two fingers as previous scenes play out around him (Fig. TD.14). In the films that follow, star and character fold together; the hero's indefatigable dedication to his goal becomes the performer's dedication to entertaining his audience, especially in the face of death. So he falls head-first from a clock tower (*Project A*), leaps from a hillside onto the top of a hot-air balloon (*Armour of God*), roller-skates under a truck (*Winners and Sinners*, 1983), and dangles from a helicopter high above a city (*Supercop*). Having decided that his audience wants him to suffer, he insists on delivering, however hard to watch the



TD.15 Jackie Chan signs the celebrity board at the 1995 Hong Kong Film Awards.

result may be—as when we see him writhing in a bed of hot coals in *Drunken Master II*. The filmmaker cheerfully counts up the number of times he has broken his nose and obligingly lets reporters feel his cranial fracture. The outtakes rolling under the film's final credits record the brushes with disaster, and prove how dangerous it is to please the public.

By the 1990s Jackie Chan had become an emblem of Hong Kong itself. Arrivals at Kai Tak airport were greeted by a Tourist Bureau poster of a smiling Jackie curling hand over fist in the traditional martial-arts greeting. The credits for the police force's public affairs TV program used the musical theme from Police Story. Chan was everywhere, exhorting people to give blood, stay in school, use condoms. He was selling his own line of teas, hawking cars for Mitsubishi, and for a time offering customized Jackie rulers, schoolbags, and notebooks through his merchandising outlet, the Star Shop. Signing the celebrity board at the 1995 Hong Kong Film Awards, he shamelessly wrote his name higher than anyone else's (Fig. TD.15). His cheerful selling of himself, bolstered by the

sense that he ought to enjoy his well-earned success, did not alienate his audience.

Two very different dragons, then: one glowering, the other comically cute. Both sought to symbolize aspects of the contemporary Hong Kong spirit, and they held their community's affection from the 1970s to the end of the century. Like the midnight screenings, the topical references and ephemeral in-jokes, and the converging concerns of critics and filmmakers, the two dragons epitomize the energies of their local cinema.

3 | The Chinese Connections

THE MOVIES WERE central to local life, but the kung-fu masters, the pistol-happy triads, the *Young and Dangerous* gang, and the other heroes played to a broader public as well. Throughout Asia, Hong Kong competed vigorously with the better-funded Japanese cinema. How did this small local cinema leap so successfully beyond its boundaries?

Before we fall back on the intuition-almost a reflex nowadays-that Hong Kong film travels so well because it is somehow suited to an age of cultural globalization, we should reflect on some concrete historical forces. We need at least to consider a middle zone between the local and the global-the region, a second concentric circle in the spread of films and their influence. Hong Kong's is the regional cinema par excellence. We also need to consider diaspora culture, the ethnic and social affinities that marble nations and communities. Finally, we need to hold cultural matters in suspension for a moment and follow the money. As it turns out, this profoundly local cinema depended on audiences far away for its financial survival.

Hong Kong is, after all, a big Chinatown on a Sunday.

Film director Evans Chan Yiu-Shing

All the silent fiction films made in Hong Kong have apparently disappeared, so we shall probably never see the first one, Chuang Tsi Tests His Wife, made in 1914. Local production was minuscule over the next two decades, since the market was dominated by U.S. films.¹ Not until the arrival of talkies did the industry take off. The 1933 Shanghai production White Gold Dragon proved that there was a market for films in Cantonese, a minority language on the continent. Soon the Kuomintang government demanded that all Chinese films be made in Mandarin, and Hong Kong became a center of emigrant Cantonese-language production. When Japan invaded the Mainland, still more Shanghai filmmakers flocked to the colony. By 1939, Hong Kong studios were making over a hundred films per year, in Mandarin as well as Cantonese. The Japanese occupation of Hong Kong in 1941 halted local production, but companies revived after the war. Soon film professionals fled the civil war on the Mainland and established themselves in Hong Kong. During the 1950s, Mao's nationalization of the PRC's film industry brought in still more refugees.²

The cinema, like the colony as a whole, was nurtured by emigrant energies. Virtually all the first generation of directors and stars emigrated from China, as did many members of the New Wave, like Ann Hui and Alex Cheung Kwok-ming. Even creators whose films have a "typical" Hong Kong flavor have come from Vietnam (Tsui Hark), Thailand (Peter Chan), and South Africa (Lawrence Ah Mon). Shanghai producers and personnel were particularly important in helping local filmmaking mature.

At the end of the war, émigré film workers began to debate how Hong Kong cinema should develop. Many filmmakers' sympathies lay on the left, and their ties to the Mainland inclined them to social criticism. Some groups argued that to reach the people films had to be in the southern dialect. Others pressed for "clean-up campaigns" that pledged Cantonese filmmakers to make patriotic and progressive films. It was the age when filmmakers dreamed of collectives that paid all members of the troupe equally. The Zhonglian studio was founded in response to a clean-up campaign and turned out forty-four films under the collective system, including the classic In the Face of Demolition (1953). It is this era of socially engaged cinema that is so much admired by many Hong Kong critics.

Several production companies were founded, some with ties to China, others funded from local and regional sources. The cinema remained bilingual, but Cantonese films formed the bulk of production. Even left-wing firms did not concentrate solely on tendentious pictures, so there was a great deal of mass entertainment—musicals, melodramas, comedies, and martial-arts movies. By far the dominant genre was the Cantonese Opera picture, often shot in a week or so. Budgets were paltry; a Mandarin picture would cost only US\$20,000– 30,000, a Cantonese picture half that.³ Technique was often ragged. Tracking shots might be made by handholding the bulky studio camera, with an assistant bracing the cameraman. "Not unlike kung-fu practice," a cinematographer recalled.⁴

Most of these films were made by small companies. Soon two large enterprises emerged to dominate the market. The Motion Picture and General Investment Company was run from Singapore by the Malaysian mogul Loke Wan Tho. Wan Tho owned theaters and distribution outlets throughout Asia, and he acquired local productions to feed them. MP & GI started producing Cantonese films in Hong Kong in 1953 and acquired a studio facility two years later. After Wan Tho's sudden death in 1964, the firm would be renamed, somewhat awkwardly, "The Cathay Organization (HK) 1965 Ltd."⁵

MP & GI's rival was the formidable Shaw organization. The four Shaw brothers were Shanghai theater owners who expanded into production in 1925. Around 1933, Runde Shaw (Shao Cunren) transplanted the family studio to Hong Kong and made Cantonese movies there. After the war, the company turned to Mandarin production in order to supply the growing chain of Shaw theaters dotting Southeast Asia. In 1958 Run Run Shaw (Shao Yifu) came from the company headquarters in Singapore to head Shaw Brothers (Hong Kong) Ltd. A firm believer in integrating production, distribution, and exhibition ("Just as in the golden age of Hollywood," he explained), Run Run left another brother (Renmei, or Runme) to handle the local theater chain while he took over movie-making.⁶

Run Run modernized production on a massive scale. In 1961 he finished building Movietown, a studio complex on Clearwater Bay in the then sparsely developed New Territories (Fig. 3.1). By 1970, according to the company's promotion, Movietown held thirty outdoor stages (including a lake), twelve sound stages, a color laboratory, a dubbing studio, a training school, three canteens, four dormitories for workers, and blocks of apartments housing performers and directors. Shaw plunged into color and widescreen production. He introduced exclusive contracts for personnel, usually in the neighborhood of US\$50 per week. The studio ran twenty-four hours a day, working 1,200 employees in ten-hour shifts. Run Run explained that allowing his workers to form unions would hurt them, since the best way for them to earn raises was to work harder. Over its first twelve years Movietown ground out 300 pictures.⁷

Chinese funding was central to government and industry throughout Asia; in many countries, the Chinese have long been the most financially powerful ethnic group. Money from overseas made Hong Kong's postwar cinema possible. The Singapore-based Shaws and MP & GI are only the most visible instances. Xin Lian, the biggest of the 1950s Cantonese companies, was created by overseas Chinese. The Guangyi ("Bright Art") company was formed by yet another Singapore firm, this one owned by four brothers named He. Guangyi owned studios, distribution outlets, and theaters throughout Southeast Asia.

What attracted investors? Not, by and large, the money to be made locally. Even with a population keen on moviegoing, the colony was too small to yield profits. Like textile and toy manufacturers, film producers had to aim for export.

After World War II, Hong Kong displaced Shanghai as what Ezra Vogel has called "the region's de facto capital for industry, finance, commerce, education, and culture."⁸ It was a plausible site for



3.1 Shaw Brothers' Movietown studio, complete with standing sets and staff apartment blocks.

a regional cinema. Hong Kong had craftsmen willing to put in long hours at low wages. As the center of prewar Cantonese filmmaking, it had studio facilities in place. As a British colony, it could obtain Western film stock and up-to-date equipment. The government assured a stable legal and political system, friendly tax policies, and minimal regulation. The banking system was expert in handling foreign investments, and the port could efficiently ship films throughout the region.

In the 1950s rich opportunities offered themselves. Despite Asia's having over half the world's population, it was not overrun by Hollywood product. Low ticket prices, a drastic shortage of theaters, and protectionist measures like quotas and import licenses prevented Hollywood from saturating the market. U.S. firms concentrated on Europe and Latin America, which had higher ticket prices and far more screens per capita. Nor did Japan dominate the region. Although swordplay films did circulate throughout Asia, Japan could live comfortably on its vast national market. So Hong Kong survived by filling the cracks. Compared to Hollywood or Japan, it was a bottom-feeder; its profit margins were slim. Yet Chinese entrepreneurs have long preferred fast nickels to slow dollars. They have built successful businesses upon narrow margins by borrowing for investment, keeping down wages and overhead, dealing in quantity, and working tirelessly. These are the principles by which Hong Kong cinema has operated for sixty years.

Foreign sales drove local production. During the 1950s the smaller studios financed their films by preselling rights to distributors abroad. In this manner, a producer could assemble up to 60% of a film's budget before shooting started.⁹ Two companies, Great Wall and Phoenix, were financed by China and distributed their films there. The colony's three major studios—Shaws, MP & GI, and Guangyi—all served as production branches of regional entertainment empires. Ian Jarvie has suggested that if we think of Hong Kong as Hollywood (the glamorous moviemaking mecca), Singapore has to be New York, the real seat of financial power.¹⁰ By making films in Hong Kong and buying pictures from smaller companies, the Singapore multinationals could ensure a steady flow of product to their theaters.

Thanks to Shaws and MP & GI, Hong Kong movies were guaranteed bookings in the best venues in Pacific Rim cities. Films were locked into playdates well before shooting, a process that guaranteed that production costs would be covered. Presales in turn permitted economies of scale. Shaws and MP & GI could invest in big and wellappointed sets, large casts, eve-catching costumes, elaborate musical numbers, and well-choreographed fight scenes. One way to keep Hollywood from taking over the market was to offer a Chinese equivalent of those production values in which U.S. firms set the standard. Throughout the decades to come, Hong Kong would strive to keep abreast of Hollywood production values, and this would allow it to beat less well-endowed rivals.

Cultural factors are important as well. After the 1911 revolution, touring theater troupes and recordings of popular songs kept emigrant Chinese in touch with home. The millions of Chinese dispersed throughout Southeast Asia formed a loyal audience for Hong Kong pictures. The immigrants came mostly from southern and eastern China, and so the world portrayed in these movies was familiar to them. Usually prosperous and sociable, they were inclined to take the whole family out for a meal and a movie. From the early 1950s onward, Hong Kong movies flourished in Taiwan and Thailand, homes to the biggest concentrations of Chinese outside the Mainland. The movies also did robust business in Singapore, Malaysia, and Indonesia, where Chinese formed large and affluent minorities. Even the small enclaves in Cambodia, Laos, and Vietnam could support distribution there.

Cantonese-language movies had an audience of eight to ten million viewers dotted throughout the Pacific region, principally Hong Kong and Malaysia. The Mandarin audience, even with China closed, was significantly larger. Mandarin was spoken in Singapore and Indonesia, and by the 1970s it had become Taiwan's official language. Hence the peculiar fact that each year Hong Kong made dozens of films in a tongue spoken by less than 5% of its population. Mandarin films boasted higher production values than Cantonese items because the overseas market supported bigger budgets. In order to maximize export possibilities, the major firms avoided specializing in any dialect. (Apart from Mandarin and Cantonese, many films were made in the Amoy and Chiu Chow dialects as well.) Of course films in one language could be dubbed into another, a practice that helped Cantonese companies crack the Taiwanese market.

The Chinese diaspora stretched well beyond Asia. Mainlanders had begun moving to Australia, Europe, and North America in the nineteenth century. By the mid-1980s, there were over one million Chinese immigrants living in the United States. There were movie theaters in the Chinatowns of Melbourne, Sydney, Paris, London, Toronto, Montreal, New York, Chicago, Los Angeles, and San Francisco. Although most immigrants were Cantonese, they were not above enjoying Mandarin movies and the occasional Mainland item. Chinatown entrepreneurs brought over prints after their Asian runs, sometimes keeping hit titles on hand for revivals.

For the overseas audience, the films confirmed Hong Kong as the center of the diaspora's imagination. Lynn Pan has written movingly of the colony as "the place where flotsam and jetsam Chinese, many of them migrants twice or even three times over, fetch up in escapes from inhospitality elsewhere."¹¹ One might have left one's home in China, and one might harbor vague thoughts of returning; but the experience of sojourning in Hong Kong became the reference point for postwar generations. Asia's transit lounge became an object of nostalgia, and many films recorded the vibrant sights and sounds of life in this city of refugees.

Hong Kong businesses have long been willing to shape their product to the tastes of different national cultures, and the cinema was no exception. Shaws, MP & GI, and other studios sent preproduction synopses to regional distributors, and if feedback was positive the project would go ahead. Mandarin films of the 1950s often included songs, not only because Shanghai filmmakers had relied on this convention but also because Southeast Asian audiences expected them. Films were drawn from Taiwanese swordplay novels and love stories. In the 1960s, producers initiated coproductions with neighboring countries, and Shaws set up a Taiwanese production facility. During the same period companies began adding bilingual subtitles: Chinese for those audiences who didn't know the dialect on the soundtrack, and English for everyone else.

By the early 1960s, Hong Kong had East Asia's most powerful export-based cinema. As competition for the offshore market intensified, smaller companies began to fold. Shaws and MP & GI kept production at a smart pace, and personnel found themselves on a treadmill. Between 1960 and 1967 Hong Kong's output exceeded Hollywood's.

In 1967 local attendance hit an all-time high of nearly 100 million. But soon the colony's exhibitors faced competition from the newly established Cantonese-language television, and attendance at Cantonese films began to fall. At the same moment the Southeast Asian demand for Mandarin titles grew. By the end of the decade Cantonese production was waning, and Mandarin production, under the aegis of Shaws and Cathay, won the market. In 1972 no Cantonese films were made. The curious result was that Bruce Lee and other Cantonesespeaking stars were dubbed into Mandarin and their films subtitled for the local audience.¹²

The rise of Mandarin cinema also owed a great deal to the dazzling production values that Cathay and Shaws had pumped into their films. The most banal Mandarin movie displayed sets and costumes of a richness that few Cantonese films, indeed no East Asian product outside Japan, could match. No longer a B cinema—rather, B-plusHong Kong offered Southeast Asia the next-best thing to Hollywood.

Still, the Mandarin cinema would probably not have achieved supremacy had not the big studios decided to back new trends in action filmmaking. Under the influence of Japanese jidai-geki pictures, producers launched a cycle of splendid and gruesome swordplay movies. Shaws initiated it with several films, the most famous of which are King Hu's Come Drink with Me (1966) and Chang Cheh's The One-Armed Swordsman (1967). Hu consolidated the new approach with his Taiwanbased production Dragon Gate Inn (1967), which became the year's top film and conquered the region (Fig. 3.2). The new style led to dozens of swordplay films (wuxia pian), soon to be followed by the kung-fu films of Jimmy Wang Yu (Chinese Boxer, 1970) and Bruce Lee (The Big Boss, 1971). These grueling sagas dominated the local box office, cemented the Asian market, and allowed Hong Kong to penetrate Western theaters.



3.2 Bravura fighting from King Hu's Dragon Gate Inn.

Through the martial-arts films, Mandarin cinema achieved what historian Stephen Teo has called "a kind of pan-Chinese internationalism within the region."13 Swordplay films might be shot in Korea or Taiwan, while kung-fu movies might take place in Malaysia or Thailand (the locale of Lee's Big Boss). Martial artists and actors from Southeast Asia and even Japan became stars in Hong Kong productions. By the early 1970s the Japanese had begun to cut back production and had fewer low-budget action pictures to export, so Hong Kong martial-arts movies faced little competition. To satisfy censorship regulations, kung-fu films circulated in three versions: the tamest cut went to Malaysia, Thailand, and Taiwan; a stronger one was made for Hong Kong; and the bloodiest version went to Europe and North America, where censorship was lenient.¹⁴

Despite the flourishing international market, Cathay began to fade: its stars were defecting to Shaws, and no strong manager replaced Loke Wan Tho. Cathay closed down film production in 1970. It remained a powerful leisure conglomerate while maintaining a film distribution company and a regional theater chain. Cathay's departure from production cleared the way for a new company, Golden Harvest.

Golden Harvest was founded in 1970 when Raymond Chow and Leonard Ho Koon-Cheung, two of Run Run Shaw's managers, struck out on their own. In their new firm, Chow became president, and Ho oversaw day-to-day production. Bankrolled by Thai and Taiwanese investors, Golden Harvest bought the Cathay studio in Diamond Hill and arranged for overseas distribution through Cathay.¹⁵ Chow lured away Wang Yu, director Lo Wei, and other Shaws talent, but his biggest coup was signing Bruce Lee. The Big Boss (1971) grossed an unprecedented HK\$3.2 million (US\$600,000) in the colony and millions more throughout the world. In terms of percentage returns on investment, this and the three other Lee/Chow vehicles are among the most profitable films ever made. Thanks to the charismatic Little



3.3 Ricky Hui and Michael Hui (The Private Eyes, 1976).

Dragon, Chow was able to compete with the Shaws combine. By 1975 he controlled the largest Hong Kong theater circuit along with scores of screens throughout Asia.¹⁶

At first the demand for kung-fu seemed insatiable, and small companies sprang up. At a time when the average Hollywood film cost about a million dollars, Lee's second feature had a budget of about \$120,000; an ordinary kung-fu item would cost only half that. With overseas markets ready to take virtually anything, Hong Kong cinema was for once a high-return industry. Even the flimsiest offering was guaranteed profits of about 20%.¹⁷

In the mid-1970s Western demand for kung-fu wound down, but the genre lived on for many years. Some companies turned out martial-arts films that would never play in Hong Kong at all, aiming at outlets in the United States, Africa, Europe, India, and the Middle East. On Hong Kong screens, the continued popularity of highergrade swordplay and kung-fu films allowed a few directors to push the genre to new heights of inventiveness. At the same time, producers developed other bulk-output genres—urban crime thrillers, comedies, and erotic films with titles like *Crazy Sex* (1976) and *Girls at the Gynecologist* (1977). All helped maintain the regional market.

It was in comedy that Cantonese-dialect cinema revived, first in Shaws' *House of 72 Tenants*, which tied with Bruce Lee's *Way of the Dragon* as 1973's top local attraction, and then in the social satires of Michael Hui, which won a large Japanese audience (who knew him as "Mr. Boo"; Fig. 3.3). Soon kung-fu returned to international success, but now as a comic genre, at the hands (and feet) of Sammo Hung and Jackie Chan. Holding contracts with these successful stars assured Golden Harvest the central role in

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 3

the local industry, and Shaws began to slide down the box-office charts. In contrast to Shaws' centralized system, Chow delegated power to a circle of managers and developed a subcontracting system, whereby Golden Harvest financed companies headed by proven talent. Hui, Chan, and Hung had their own imprimaturs and enjoyed a fair amount of creative freedom, but the parent company still oversaw scripts, budgets, and schedules.¹⁸

The revival of Cantonese cinema coincided with public conversations about local identity. A film culture began to emerge, centering on magazines, ciné-clubs, and informal workshops teaching 16mm production. The Hong Kong International Film Festival, launched in 1977, not only broadened local access to foreign cinema but also began to investigate the colony's film history. The first in a series of invaluable festival catalogues chronicled Cantonese production.

The Hong Kong "New Wave" emerged from all these circumstances. Many New Wavers attended college in North America and England, and, since the film studios required a lengthy apprenticeship, most moved into television drama, where they could start directing immediately. Ann Hui, Patrick Tam, Tsui Hark, Ringo Lam, Kirk Wong, and many others learned to shoot on location and to turn out footage quickly. Their first theatrical features were often supported by backers from outside the studio setup. Unlike earlier generations, they took Hong Kong, not traditional China, as their subject, and many tackled contemporary social and psychological problems. Some made edgy, mildly experimental works, perhaps best exemplified by Ann Hui's The Secret (1979) and Tsui Hark's Dangerous Encounter-First Kind (1980). Most early New Wave films did moderately well at the box office, with Ann Hui's *Boat People* (1982) scoring the biggest popular success.¹⁹

Allen Fong, Yim Ho, and a few other New Wave directors became purveyors of "festival cinema" for European tastes, but most moved into mainstream filmmaking, preserving their individuality by giving established genres a personal twist. By bringing Western standards to the industry, the New Wavers rejuvenated Hong Kong's production framework. In this they paralleled their far less cosmopolitan contemporaries, studio-trained directors like Yuen Woo-ping, Sammo Hung, and Yuen Kwai, all of whom began long careers in the late 1970s by reviving the martial-arts film.

The rebirth of Cantonese cinema also brought back the local audience. In the mid-1970s annual attendance stabilized at around 65 million, and as Hong Kongers became more affluent, they patiently endured steep rises in admission prices.²⁰ Producers could, it seemed, take the local audience for granted. Yet the picture was not uniformly rosy. The Hong Kong market was becoming split between a few blockbuster films, which raked in most of the receipts, and all the rest, which barely broke even. The historian Law Kar has estimated that from the late 1970s on, up to 40% of all boxoffice returns came from the top ten films.²¹ These "megapictures" helped attract audiences across Southeast Asia, where Hong Kong was going head-to-head with Hollywood.

By the early 1980s Golden Harvest led the box office. The Shaw studio was in decline; in 1986 it focused on turning out programs for TVB, Run Run's powerful powerful local television station.²² But the market was not sewn up, as was proved by the breakthroughs made by a newer company, Cinema City.

Cinema City & Films Co., the name carried on its rather tacky yellow-red-blue credits logo, was

founded in 1980 by three enterprising comedians, Raymond Wong, Karl Maka, and Dean Shek, who obtained funding from a theater chain seeking product. Cinema City avoided martial arts and aimed at wrapping Cantonese comedy in shiny Western production values. The breakthrough was the series launched by Aces Go Places (1982), a spy spoof brimming with chases, stunts, and outlandish gadgets. After a decade of grimy kungfu films, shot in forest clearings and shabby standing sets, Aces Go Places offered polished set design and ambitious special effects (Fig. 3.4). Stunt experts were brought in from Hollywood and Australia: the cinematographer was American.²³ Aces was enlivened by European locations, rapidfire gags, and a whistled theme song whose cheekiness seemed to embody the new cocksure Hong Kong. By featuring Sam Hui, the producers also exploited the growing regional taste for Cantopop music. Costing about HK\$8 million (US\$1.5 million), the film earned over three times that in the local market alone. Aces and its first two sequels, always scheduled in the Lunar New Year slot, were the highest-grossing films to play in Hong Kong in the 1970s and 1980s. Cinema City's producers proved that investing in what they frankly called "packaging" would pay off; a B-plus cinema could become an A-minus one.²⁴

At the same time, action filmmaking left period kung-fu behind in such projects as Sammo Hung's contemporary comedy *Winners and Sinners* (1983) and Jackie Chan's historical adventure *Project A* (1983). Already Hong Kong's steadiest moneyspinner and Asia's biggest star, Chan was guaranteed prime playdates throughout the region. He confidently pushed his budgets higher in his quest for action sequences on the Hollywood scale.



3.4 A rocket chase among skyscrapers in Aces Go Places III.

The urge to upgrade the product was just as visible in the young director Tsui Hark. A slender workaholic with a forbidding goatee, Tsui made three controversial New Wave films before concentrating on raising the local product to international standards. He imported Hollywood effects experts to spruce up the swordplay fantasy Zu: Warriors from the Magic Mountain (1983); it became the prototype for the flying-swordsmen cycle Tsui would develop in the early 1990s. His first vehicle for his own company, Film Workshop, was the sprightly Shanghai Blues (1984), which conjured up a glamorous, bustling 1947 Shanghai on a minuscule budget. Tsui's most influential productions were A Better Tomorrow (1986), directed by John Woo, and A Chinese Ghost Story (1987), signed by Ching Siu-tung. Following Spielberg, Tsui borrowed plots from older hits. But he allowed Woo to imbue his triad drama with a masochistic romanticism out of the 1960s swordplay tradition, and he helped Ching dress up the ghost-story plot with low-tech but imaginative atmospheric effects. The films boasted sophisticated lighting and powerful original music. *A Better Tomorrow* and *A Chinese Ghost Story* marked the triumph of self-conscious modernization, what producer-director Wong Jing has called "an Eastern spirit in a Western package."²⁵

Both movies galvanized the local audience and solidified the regional markets. *A Better Tomorrow* spawned scores of *policiers* and triad gunfests, while *A Chinese Ghost Story* led to a massive revival of fantasy swordplay. A new generation of stars, led by Chow Yun-fat, Joey Wang Cho-yin, Andy Lau, Leslie Cheung, and Jet Li, built their careers on these resuscitated genres. And as the films became more lavish and outrageous, they began to command attention in Europe and the United States. The Western craze for modern Hong Kong cinema is largely due to production trends launched by Tsui's Film Workshop.

Filmmakers today look back on 1986–1993 as the last golden age. The local audience remained loyal, and the increasing number of theaters stepped up the demand for films.²⁶ The foreign markets were blossoming; South Korea joined the lineup when it liberalized its import policies in 1988.²⁷ Film workers hustled to make as much money as possible: who could say what opportunities would remain after 1997? A cameraman might shoot two or three films at once, and an actor might give one production a few hours, then be driven to another location.

Film critic Li Cheuk-to has pointed out that modern Hong Kong cinema has had a "classical" period, running from 1946 to 1970, dominated by studio-based production modeled on Hollywood and Japan; a transitional period (1971-1978), marked by the emergence of kung-fu and the fall and return of Cantonese-language cinema; and a "modern" period, starting in 1979 with the New Wave and the self-consciously upscale Cinema City look.²⁸ It can be argued that the production boom of the late 1980s launched a fourth phase. For one thing, it attracted triads, who now saw film production as not only a money-laundering device but also a reliable source of income.29 Triad-funded companies sprang up, and although they intimidated stars and producers, they often allowed directors considerable freedom.

The production surge also launched new filmmakers. Most were not much younger than the New Wave baby boomers, but they had languished as scriptwriters and assistant directors. Wong Kar-wai, who was born in 1958, worked for a decade as a TV and movie scriptwriter until his debut feature, *As Tears Go By* (1988). Others debuting at about this time were Eddie Fong, Lawrence Ah Mon, Mabel Cheung, Stanley Kwan, Derek Yee Tung-sin, Gordon Chan, Jeff Lau Chun-wai, Alex Law, and Clara Law. This cohort was able to consolidate and refine tendencies brought to the fore by the New Wave.³⁰ Just as important, their emergence illustrates one of the advantages of bulk production in popular cinema: when most films were making money, investors could afford to take chances on risky projects like Stanley Kwan's *Rouge* (1988, produced by Jackie Chan's company) and Wong Kar-wai's *Days of Being Wild* (1990, financed by actor Alan Tang).

Producers wooed the regional audience in all the standard ways. In Supercop (1992) Jackie Chan hops from China to Kuala Lumpur, while Thunderbolt (1995) has him brawling in a Tokyo pachinko parlor. Producers cast Cantopop idols in main roles, and if the singer performed the film's theme song, the movie could be promoted in album collections and overseas concerts. Stars were recruited from Malaysia (Michelle Yeoh Chooh-kheng), Taiwan (Brigitte Lin Ching-hsia, Joey Wang), and Japan (the female martial artist Oshima Yukari). In virtually a parody of multinational appeals, Chungking Express (1994) shrewdly makes the Taiwan-born Japanese pop singer Kaneshiro Takeshi a Hong Kong cop who claims he learned Japanese while living in Taiwan.

Just as Hollywood shoots racier versions of scenes for European screens, Hong Kong filmmakers provided extra material to suit foreign tastes. Korean and Taiwanese distributors insisted on plenty of action, even measuring the number and length of the fights, and kung-fu was added to as many films as possible. When the Japanese wanted more mayhem for Heart of Dragon (1985), Sammo Hung obligingly created it.³¹ The Taiwanese print of Ashes of Time (1994) opens and closes with sword duels absent from the Hong Kong version.³² Censors in Singapore and Malaysia frowned on glorifying gangsters, so in the Young and Dangerous series extra footage explained that the twentysomething triads were actually undercover police.

The regional market swelled still further thanks to new media. Just as Hong Kong movies could dominate theatrical distribution, video could carry them into shops and pushcarts and onto any blanket on any sidewalk. In the Chinese markets of Kuala Lumpur, bootleg tapes sold for the equivalent of US\$8 ("high-grade" copies), \$6 (middling quality), and \$4 (poor quality). Grainy dubs popped up in Chinatown grocery stores and novelty shops. The Hong Kong video trade in North America has been sustained partly by the huge influx of Chinese students into universities there. Lynn Pan has argued that video definitively made Hong Kong the center of overseas Chinese popular culture. Sitting at home with their friends, both the hua ch'iao, those immigrants resisting assimilation to their new culture, and the hua-i, those with more Western tastes, could revel in the language, music, celebrities, and sights they had left behind.³³

As usual, however, cultural dynamics owe something to the maneuverings of the film industry. The 1986–1993 boom was spurred only partly by the need to supply local theaters. It was also a response to an apparently inexhaustible regional audience. The key to success—and, ultimately, collapse—turned out to be Taiwan.

Home to twenty million Chinese, Taiwan had long been Hong Kong's major external market. Kuomintang government policies gave imported Mandarin movies subsidies and preferential taxation.³⁴ In the 1960s two important Hong Kong directors, King Hu and Li Han-hsiang, moved to the island and stimulated domestic production. When kung-fu, Michael Hui, and Jackie Chan won over Taiwanese audiences, Taiwanese investors began to back Hong Kong movies. In the early 1980s videotapes of Hong Kong television shows poured into Taiwan and opened the market still further, familiarizing the audience with Andy Lau, Chow Yun-fat, and other TV stars who would rule the cinema.³⁵

Taiwan's government responded by supporting a "New Wave" of local directors who won renown at festivals around the world. But the Taiwanese had little taste for the leisurely, demanding works of Edward Yang and Hou Hsiao-Hsien. They preferred Hong Kong pictures. By the mid-1980s over half of a Hong Kong movie's budget could be covered by presales to Taiwan.³⁶ Moreover, Hong Kong films were officially considered "domestic productions" and so escaped the quota restrictions that shut out many Hollywood films.³⁷

To be assured of their share of the action, the top Taiwanese distributors shifted their investments from local projects to Hong Kong ones. In the early 1990s between 130 and 200 Hong Kong films were being released in Taiwan every year. Heroic triad sagas, big-budget costume pictures (the Once upon a Time in China series), swordplay fantasies (the Swordsman series), and revived kung-fu tales-most of the Western fanboy favorites owe their existence to the tastes of this lucrative foreign market. Meanwhile Taiwanese filmmaking virtually disappeared. In 1988 about 190 domestically made films were released; by 1994 there were only 18. Humiliatingly, Hong Kong imports almost always beat the local product in Taiwan's annual movie awards.

The late 1980s surge in production values—the glossy spectacles of Jackie Chan, Tsui Hark, and John Woo—stemmed principally from a confidence that a properly mounted Hong Kong film could not lose money in Taiwan. Gordon Chan recalls his chagrin at hearing the inevitable objection, "But the Taiwanese won't like it."³⁸ At the peak of the frenzy, a project could be presold to Taiwan for HK\$20 million (US\$2.6 million).³⁹

A film would sometimes premiere in Taiwan before opening in Hong Kong (partly to get the jump on video pirates), and stars would be flown in to greet their fans. The filmmakers did not stint. Their urge to put the money on the screen has left us the aerial combats of *Swordsman II* (1992), the outscaled hospital finale of *Hard Boiled* (1992), and the phantasmic landscapes of *Ashes of Time* (1994).

Budgets soared. Ching Siu-tung's *Terracotta Warrior* (1990) was said to cost HK\$50 million, or about US\$6.4 million—risibly low by U.S. standards, but steep in relation to likely return.⁴⁰ As in Hollywood today, guaranteed overseas markets pushed up stars' prices. A 1992 Jet Li movie could eat up HK\$35 million (US\$4.5 million), with a third or more devoted to the actor's salary. Worried about recouping production costs, Hong Kong producers began block-selling to Taiwan. In order to get the next sure-fire hit, a Taiwanese buyer would have to take some less desirable items as well.⁴¹

The problem was that not all big productions were recouping their investments. Audiences grew tired of swooping swordswomen. Taiwanese investors and distributors formed a cartel, forcing rental costs down by half. The crisis came in 1993, the Year of the Dinosaur. Throughout Asia Jurassic Park crushed the Hong Kong product at the box office. In the same year Taiwan logged on to cable and satellite television, and more people stayed home to watch movies. Now few stars could open or sustain a film. Brigitte Lin, once a guaranteed Taiwan draw, retired. From 1993 through 1995, twenty-five of the thirty top-grossing films in the Taiwanese market came from Hollywood, and only four from Hong Kong. Hong Kong films had crushed Taiwanese cinema, but they in turn fell before the Western onslaught.

America's Taiwanese triumph reflected its growing power in the region. In the 1980s, as the international market came to represent over half of Hollywood's theatrical revenues, firms began to realize that a large part of their future lay in Asia. The region furnished a third to a half of all foreign film income. Hits like Jurassic Park, The Fugitive (1993), True Lies (1994), and Speed (1994) encouraged U.S. studios to distribute their pictures more aggressively. Hollywood companies began to invest in theaters in Japan, South Korea, and other major markets. As in Europe, the key to expansion lay in multiplex cinemas. Ideal for the shopping malls springing up all over Asia, the multiplex normalized Western methods of presentation, reduced overhead per screen, and demonstrated the profitability of candy and popcorn.

By the end of 1996 the American initiative was paying off. Production throughout the region began to slump. Despite the economic crisis that began in 1997, Asian film revenues actually increased chiefly because of the higher ticket prices charged by multiplexes, the showcases for the Hollywood product. Hong Kong films had little hope of recapturing their overseas market.⁴²

FOR DECADES Hong Kong had counted on an expanding regional audience. By the mid-1990s the traditional markets had shrunk frighteningly: Taiwan was virtually dead, Korea and Thailand were buying just action films, and only Singapore and Malaysia still welcomed the Hong Kong product. Once again the local market assumed paramount importance, bringing in half to twothirds of a film's total income. Unfortunately, after a decade of holding steady, attendance started to plummet: whereas 1988 saw ticket sales of 65 million, in 1993 sales were only 45 million. Total box office receipts increased over the period, but only because of the now customary rise in ticket prices. Things quickly got worse; in 1996 there were only 22 million admissions. One screenwriter traces the decline to a demographic change: the recent Mainland immigrants who formed a large part of the 1980s audience found the formulas novel, but by the early 1990s everyone knew all the gimmicks.⁴³ Some triad movies brought in crowds, but most of the swordplay fantasies so popular abroad failed. As a result the bulk of receipts came from a handful of hits—the usual vehicles for Chow Yun-fat, Jackie Chan, and Stephen Chow, along with the occasional star-packed comedy.

The local audience also rediscovered Hollywood. With more access to screens, American blockbusters became competitive. The ten highest-grossing pictures of 1996 included five U.S. films, and overall the foreign product (principally U.S.) increased its takings to a record 45% of all receipts.⁴⁴ Hong Kong became a valuable market for Hollywood films, more lucrative than some European countries and considerably more important than the Mainland.⁴⁵

Local distribution companies and theater chains had a powerful incentive to share in Hollywood's earnings. Golden Harvest began to invest heavily in exhibition, buying and building screens throughout Asia for the American product.⁴⁶ But Hong Kong's production sector was squeezed. In 1996 there were only 98 releases, less than half the 1993 total. Although many of the cutbacks came in marginal softcore pornography, there was no blinking the essential lesson: producers had to slash their costs. Most mid-1990s budgets ran between HK\$4 million and HK\$8 million (between half a million and a million U.S. dollars). Remarkably, the proportional cost of a Hong Kong movie relative to an average Hollywood production was even lower than it had been

twenty-five years before, at the beginning of the Bruce Lee craze. That such cheaply made films got finished and looked reasonably attractive is a continuing testament to the ingenuity, enterprise, and low wages of local moviemakers.

Filmmakers began to target the higher end of the public. The trend developed out of a cycle of "relationship" movies, low-key yuppie romances (Heart to Hearts, 1988) and wistful melodramas. Derek Yee's small-budget C'est la vie, mon cheri (1993), about a dying girl who redeems a selfdestructive saxophonist, astonished critics by pulling in HK\$30 million dollars (US\$3.8 million), rivaling Jackie Chan's City Hunter. The relationship films, as flagrantly local in flavor as the swordplay films had been generically "pan-Chinese," had less pricy stars, sentimental scripts devoid of special effects, and lyrical scores that could be marketed as CDs. When the nostalgia comedy 92 Legendary La Rose Noire had an unprecedented run (from July to December 1992) and garnered as much as a Stephen Chow farce, it became evident that films with a decidedly Hong Kong flavor could succeed. In 1996 Gordon Chan, director of The Yuppie Fantasia (1989) and The Final Option (1994), summed it up: "I make my films for the local audience."47

Chan belongs to that fresh wave of directors launched during the production boom of the late 1980s. Many turned away from the *echt*-Chinese swordplay and gunplay spectaculars and tried to create something like a "cinema of quality." They presented a modern Hong Kong inhabited by educated young men and women, working in business or the media, living in comfort and sometimes bouncing between Hong Kong and the West. Whether or not these films actually tore many affluent people away from home video, their portrayal of contemporary foibles and fashions attracted enough students and white-collar workers to repay their modest costs.

The pathbreaker was D & B, the company founded by jewelry magnate Dickson Poon Diksang. When D & B folded, United Filmmakers Organization, formed by a group of young directors, emerged to sustain the trend. UFO targeted films at upscale twentysomething sensibilities. Confined to low budgets, UFO followed Cinema City's precedent and concentrated on comedies, producing slightly racy, feel-good pictures like Tom, Dick, and Hairy (1993) and Yesteryou, Yesterme, Yesterday (1993), a trip back to the early 1980s modeled on the American television series The Wonder Years. Self-consciously up-to-date, UFO films raised issues of emigration (Lost and Found, 1996), date rape (Happy Hour, 1995), and the conflicts between the postwar generation and today's selfish yuppies (He Ain't Heavy, He's My Father, 1993). The most successful UFO director was Peter Chan, whose He's a Woman, She's a Man. an adept satire of gender relations and the pop music industry, became one of 1994's top grossers. Chan's émigré drama Comrades, Almost a Love Story (1996) did excellent business and won an unprecedented nine prizes at Hong Kong's annual film awards.48

At the same time some directors began to cultivate what can only be called local exploitation movies. Typical was Herman Yau Lai-to, who directed a string of cheerfully savage thrillers. In *Taxi Hunter* (1993), a cross between *Death Wish* (1974) and *Falling Down* (1993), Anthony Wong plays a man whose pregnant wife is killed by a callous taxi driver and who declares guerrilla war on the trade. Yau's most notorious effort is *The Untold Story* (1993), which sensationalizes an incident of rape, murder, and cannibalism in Macau. Anthony Wong, now a twitchy restaura-

teur who serves his victims up as pork buns, was named best actor of the year at the Hong Kong Film Awards. A long-haired rock-and-roll guitarist and a director of music videos, Yau enjoys watching his films hit the public at midnight screenings. "I definitely want that experience."⁴⁹

Later cycles fell somewhere between UFO's sensitivity and Yau's shock tactics. The Young and Dangerous series, featuring pop idols indulging in brotherly self-sacrifice, was a low-end version of the 1980s "heroes" films, shot in rough-edged style on seedy locations. The Y&D movies remained aggressively local products, delighting the audience with their bad manners. Andrew Lau Wai-keung reports regretfully that the censors demanded that he remove from Young and Dangerous 4 a joke centering on Tung Chee-hwa, the newly appointed chief executive. More decorous was another comic-book spinoff, the Feel 100% series. Working with Bob & Partners, the Young and Dangerous company, writer-directorproducer Joe Ma packaged Feel 100% (1996) and its sequels (Feel 100%...Once More, 1996; Love Amoeba Style, 1997) as wholesome counterparts of the young-triad saga. Ekin Cheng, star of the Y&D films, played the romantic lead, surrounded by scrubbed newer stars like songstress Sammi Cheng Sau-man and comedian Eric Kot Man-fai. Curiously cut off from their families, these roommates become surrogate brothers and sisters. They face job problems, class snobbery, and crisscrossed love affairs, all the while eating Italian food, surfing the Net, following the adventures of Sailor Moon, and shopping for DKNY fashions.⁵⁰

Many of these trends had some export appeal as well. Pseudo-B-films like Yau's and Lau's could succeed in regional markets, largely because they were made on tiny budgets at lightning speed. Overseas Yau's *Untold Story* grossed ten times its cost (HK\$2 million, about US\$255,000). Even the UFO product, for all its local texture, could appeal to a cosmopolitan tier of the Chinese diaspora, middle-class success stories who feel at home in both East and West.⁵¹

But yuppie romances and B-movies could not rescue the entire industry. The local market was eroding very fast, not least because video piracy was reaching epidemic proportions. Piracy had been a problem since the early 1980s, but it intensified just before the handover. Gray-market malls all over the city were filled with copies of current hits. Sometimes a projectionist or lab staffer would smuggle out a print to be duplicated, but more and more the video copies were "auditorium versions" taped during an ordinary screening, complete with audience noise and chancy framing. (The spread of auditorium versions helped end the ritual of the midnight preview.) Worse, a new digital format called Video Compact Disc allowed cheap and fast reproduction in bulk. Legal VCDs retailed for about US\$10-12, but anyone could find a pirated copy for as little as US\$3-about half the cost of a movie ticket. By 1998 the VCD had wiped out the laserdisc format and marginalized videotape. Manfred Wong, producer of the Young and Dangerous series, bought a bootleg VCD of the fourth installment on the day the movie premiered. "Piracy," he points out, "is the best distribution system."52

When both overseas and domestic markets began to shrivel in the mid-1990s, many had asked an obvious question. What about China, the biggest market of all? Might that rescue the film industry?

At first, China seemed to need Hong Kong movies. In 1979, thanks to Deng Xiaoping's economic reform, the doors opened to foreign trade. As bootleg videotapes became available, Mainland film attendance went into a free fall. The studios, mired in endless bureaucratic maneuverings, could not meet the exhibitors' demands for popular fare. Hong Kong films attracted audiences—not through theatrical releases but via television broadcast and bootleg video copies, many of which were openly shown in theaters and "video parlors."⁵³

Even with legal distribution Hong Kong companies could not benefit from their films' popularity. China imposed a strict ten-film limit on foreign imports, and Hong Kong pictures counted as foreign. There was a loophole, though: some coproductions could be considered domestic films and escape import restrictions. So, like the Hong Kong manufacturers who were moving their factories across the border to tap a cheaper labor pool, producers started making movies on the Mainland. A coproduction often amounted to little more than using China as a vast backlot and labor pool, but some of these films did squeeze into Chinese theaters-usually through exhibitors' outright purchase at rock-bottom prices. The Chinese locations added impressive visual values for the overseas market as well.

The few major pictures allowed to play in China, notably Jackie Chan's, did well. By 1994 and 1995 some films were playing on a revenuesharing basis with Hong Kong companies. To many observers the handover in 1997 seemed likely to open the market fully. After reunification, Hong Kong might become the Hollywood of China.

At the end of 1999, however, prospects looked murky at best. China was still a very poor country; its most affluent consumers accounted for no more than 30 million people, and they were not well-off by Western standards.⁵⁴ China's strict quota still blocked nearly all Hong Kong films.

Censorship was becoming stricter, targeting "spiritual pollution"-a charge vague enough to apply to virtually any Hong Kong film. Access to exhibition was not coordinated by any central agency, so producers had to dicker with theater managers city by city.⁵⁵ And sources of financing were frightened off by China's formidable censorship bureaucracy. Taiwanese film companies had for several years used Hong Kong as a conduit for funding Mainland-shot films like Farewell My Concubine (1993) and the work of Zhang Yimou. These films won acclaim at international festivals and found eager distributors in Europe and North America. In 1995, however, China began to set up new conditions for coproductions, demanding that editing and sound work be completed on the Mainland and that the negative remain there.⁵⁶ Temptress Moon (1996), a Taiwan-backed project budgeted high in the expectation of receiving a Mainland release, was judged unacceptable by government censors.

In the meantime, China's exhibitors had developed an affection for Hollywood blockbusters, which the quota count favored over Hong Kong fare. By 1998, while the major cities were dominated by Western movies, Hong Kong films were playing in smaller cities and the countryside. In the People's Republic, as in the rest of Asia, Hong Kong movies created a taste that Hollywood proved better at satisfying.⁵⁷

True, even Hollywood has not had an easy time of it. Like the imperialist adventurers of the nineteenth century, the U.S. movie moguls of the 1920s saw China as a market ripe for exploitation. Yet China has always resisted. Although its own film business is on the verge of extinction, policymakers have taken a tough line on imports, refusing to admit American films unless U.S. companies would agree to distribute Chinese films internationally.⁵⁸ Offended by Disney's *Kundun* (1997) and Columbia's *Seven Years in Tibet* (1997), China banned imports from these studios for more than a year.⁵⁹ When festivals have programmed unauthorized independent Mainland films, China has retaliated by withholding "legal" titles.⁶⁰ Hong Kong companies have little leverage with Beijing, especially since their titles are already available throughout the Mainland on pirated VCDs.

Part of China's bargaining strength comes from the likelihood that it will become the region's core state, the center of the "bamboo network" that binds the PRC, Taiwan, and the wealthy emigrant Chinese. As Japan's economic woes intensified during the late 1990s, China looked more and more to be East Asia's anchor. The Mainland movie market will be a target for Hollywood for years, and Hong Kong's films may not prevail there.

MAINLAND RESISTANCE, fading overseas markets, the growing taste for Hollywood movies, and video piracy all combined to foster a fear that the Hong Kong industry was about to collapse. Since the mid-1990s pan-Asian coproductions and a shift to a local accent have been filmmakers' chief survival strategies, but they have not done the trick. In 1997 fewer than a hundred new films were released, and admissions dropped another 8%. For the first time in decades the total boxoffice grosses of foreign imports surpassed those of local products, 53% to 47%. While most countries would be happy to confine Hollywood to a little more than half the takings,⁶¹ the symbolic shift in power demoralized the film community. In October 1997 Tung Chee-hwa, the Special Administrative Region's new chief executive, pledged to establish a film office that would coordinate local productions and allocate land for a

studio complex.⁶² In the meantime, players looked elsewhere for action. Producers began to invest in Taiwanese features, reversing the trend of the boom years.

The Asian financial crisis of 1997–98 plunged the industry into still more turmoil. Although Asians flocked to new, comfortable multiplexes, those exhibitors and distributors in Malaysia, Indonesia, South Korea, and Thailand still buying Hong Kong films were dealing in devalued currencies. Exhibitors dared not raise admission prices to make up the difference, so Hong Kong suppliers were asked to wait for payment or cut their prices. By the spring of 1998, regional companies were buying fewer titles, which tended to shrink Hong Kong's output even more.⁶³

One measure of sagging local fortunes was the cascade of disasters befalling Golden Harvest. Golden Harvest Entertainment, the company's publicly listed distribution and exhibition wing, was still expanding into multiplexes when it posted a US\$6 million loss in the first half of 1998. To save the unit, Raymond Chow agreed to share controlling interest in GHE with Village Roadshow, a powerful Australian exhibition chain. At the same time, Chow's partner, Leonard Ho, died, and Jackie Chan resigned from GHE's board of directors. When the government auctioned off a tract of land as a site for a state-of-the-art production facility, the winning bid was put together by a consortium from which Golden Harvest was conspicuously absent.64 In autumn Golden Harvest moved out of its Diamond Hill studio facility, and Chow announced that he was considering relocating to Singapore.65

In the summer of 1998, a year or so after my visit to the Majestic Theater and *Young and Dangerous 4*, a writer for the *New York Times* dropped in at the opening of *Young and Dangerous: The*

Prequel. Only thirty-five people showed up. You could buy the film on VCD across the street for US\$2.50. The industry had nearly hit bottom: slashed budgets, sparse output, and disenchanted workers. Gordon Chan, one of the most successful directors, had to take a pay cut of 75%. Local critic Paul Fonoroff pronounced the epitaph: "I think the film industry is over as we know it."⁶⁶

Sustained for fifty years as a regional enterprise, Hong Kong film came to depend on its immediate audience, and that audience was virtually gone. Yet many of Hong Kong's most accomplished films were made in the years after the 1993 downturn. Directors had become more sophisticated, and perhaps financial desperation freed them to experiment. At the same time, the keener competition and the momentum of craft tradition spurred them to find something fresh and stimulating. The golden age is over; like most local cinemas, Hong Kong's will probably consist of a small annual output and a handful of films of artistic interest. Nonetheless, the films that stand out will probably display an unswerving appeal to the norms and forms of popular cinema.

4 | Once Upon a Time in the West

YOU KNOW, I am rather tired of people saying, "Are you trying to challenge Hollywood?" I really feel we have something quite different and equally as good as Hollywood has to offer.

Run Run Shaw

A local cinema definitely, but with fast-diminishing resources. A regional cinema for decades, but retreating before Hollywood's imperial advance. A diasporan cinema, chiefly on video. To what extent, we might now ask, has Hong Kong created a "global" popular cinema?

Few countries can support domestic moviemaking solely on the basis of their national market. A country must export, as American cinema does, or receive substantial government aid, as in Europe.¹ But a global cinema is not simply one that might win outside markets. Thanks to home video, any film can slip across any border. A truly global cinema is one that claims significant space on theater screens throughout developed and developing countries. By this definition, 1980s-1990s Hong Kong films did not constitute a global cinema. Nor does any European cinema; only one in five European films is ever seen outside its country of origin.² The only global cinema comes from America. Blockbusters like *Independence* *Day* (1996) and *Titanic* (1997) are international media events. Dubbed versions of midrange pictures and TV movies saturate the world's television screens. Cheap action and horror movies fill in the cracks from Eastern Europe to Latin America and Africa. Any video shop plainly reveals what people are willing to pay for—U.S. movies from *Star Wars* to low-grade exploitation.

It is true that until the 1990s industry crisis Hong Kong was second to Hollywood in total overseas exports of its films. But it was not a close second. Its exports went almost completely to the regional market, and in 1995 they earned about US\$130 million. By contrast American films are currently grossing over US\$5 billion abroad.3 Moreover, Hong Kong's media firms scarcely compare to the multinational conglomerates of North America, Europe, and Japan. Golden Harvest, one of the strongest regional forces, was never among the world's top fifty media companies.4 Time-Warner, MCA-Universal, Sony, Bertelsmann, and Disney operate with international financing, especially bank investment, and this assures them entry into global markets. In Hong Kong, production is driven by small companies, and films are made on a one-off basis. The total 1995 investment for all films in Hong Kong was US\$150 million, with \$12 million of that going into one Jackie Chan production. By the bleak summer of 1998, the budget of one major Hollywood release could have financed the territory's entire output. And Hong Kong films were never disseminated on the scale of the most widely popular form of Asian film, Japanese animation (*anime*). The Hollywood of the East is Hollywood.

To be sure, this cottage industry has long tried to break into Western markets. In the 1960s Run Run Shaw aimed to place his films in international festivals, and many Hong Kong companies still try to sell their films in the West's most lucrative markets. But local producers face chronic problems. European and North American audiences have never been particularly interested in Asian cinema, apart from the occasional exotic import and anime (whose characters don't "look Japanese"). In addition, Hollywood's major firms have distribution offices throughout the world, regulating a continuous flow of product to local exhibitors. Non-U.S. companies have seldom had this on-the-spot representation. Hong Kong producers have had to license their films country by country, striking one-off deals with local distributors at slim profit margins. Hong Kong companies owned no theaters outside East Asia and a few Chinatowns, so they had no Western showcases for their product.

Hong Kong made only one substantial breakthrough to the West, and its economic rewards, though substantial, were fleeting. Apparently neither Shaws nor Golden Harvest expected that kung-fu-a typical product tailored to local and regional audiences-would travel globally. Shaws' Chinese Boxer (1970) initiated the genre, but the world was oblivious until 1972, when Raymond Chow brought his first two Bruce Lee pictures to the film market at the Cannes Film Festival. They sold well, even to Middle Eastern markets. In the winter of 1972-73 the Shaws picture King Boxer (aka Invincible Boxer, 1972) found a huge success in London. During the first half of 1973 seven kung-fu films played in Paris, and twenty-six appeared in Italy. In the spring of 1973, Bruce Lee's second film, known in the West as both Fist of Fury and The Chinese Connection (1972), broke box-office records in London. By the end of 1973, many European theaters were showing kung-fu everv week.5

Most important, kung-fu cracked the huge, lucrative American market. As in Britain, the key release was King Boxer, known in the United States as Five Fingers of Death, in March 1973. Bruce Lee's films followed quickly. By the end of the year, thirty-eight Hong Kong films had been purchased for U.S. distribution, and at the end of 1974 Variety was reporting that these had grossed over \$11 million.⁶ American filmgoers were prepared for the onslaught, since interest in Chinese food, religion, and martial arts had been on the rise. The television series Kung Fu (in which Bruce Lee had hoped to star) premiered in the fall of 1972 and introduced this exotic fighting style to the mass audience. The films rejected the quietist message of the television show and benefited from the rising thresholds of screen violence created by *Bonnie and Clyde* (1967), *The Wild Bunch* (1969), and the spaghetti Westerns.

Once the novelty wore off, however, kung-fu became a bad joke. Hollywood studios had distributed a few of the first imports, but after a couple of years the product was being sold cheaply by marginal distributors. Kung-fu became a downtown genre, playing in neighborhoods abandoned by white flight. Audiences of Asian, African, and Hispanic heritage kept the genre alive into the early 1980s before video wiped out the urban grindhouse. Still, for about a decade, and for the only time in history, Asian films were staples of many theaters in the United States.

Run Run Shaw and Raymond Chow used the kung-fu boom to launch coproductions with the West. Shaw allied with Italian companies, with the U.K. company Hammer on a string of horror pictures such as The Legend of the Seven Golden Vampires (1974), and with Warners to produce Cleopatra Jones (1973) and other "blaxploitation." Shaw joked that if his partners demanded it, he would trim his employees' work week from seven days to six.7 Such largesse was unnecessary, since the coproductions quickly proved to be dead ends. Chow was more ambitious, underwriting English-language films for the international market, but the only success Golden Harvest had in this vein came much later, with the hugely profitable Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles (1990).

If Hong Kong could not coproduce hits, why not try to sell its stars to the West? When Jackie Chan won over Asian audiences, Raymond Chow determined to make him as widely known as Bruce Lee. Chan had to conquer America in an English-language movie. Chow produced *The Big Brawl* (aka *Battle Creek Brawl*, 1980), a period comedy; the *Cannonball Run* farces (1981, 1984); and *The Protector* (1985), a cop-buddy movie, but

all failed to ignite interest in Chan.8 Nonetheless, over the next ten years a cult audience grew up around him, thanks to video, screenings at campuses and repertory cinemas, and tastemakers like Quentin Tarantino. In 1995 Miramax and New Line Cinema struck deals with Golden Harvest to distribute some Chan titles, and, at Tarantino's insistence, Chan received the MTV Lifetime Achievement Award. He declared his ambition to attract a U.S. audience: "That's what I want! That kind of people coming to see me is better. Then it makes me like Stallone, big American star, like Schwarzenegger, big American star. In China, there are one billion people. When I walk anywhere, people recognize me, go 'Aaah! It's him!' It's me-big star! You say to me, 'You're a big star in United States!' I say 'No.' Here, I'm very small star. Maybe I walk on the street, somebody once in a while go, 'You Jackie Chan?' But most people just passing by."9

The 1996 U.S. theatrical release of *Rumble in the Bronx* (1994), in a dubbed, recut, and rescored version, proved to be Chan's American breakthrough. With a \$32 million box-office gross, it was New Line's most profitable film of the year.¹⁰ Chan visited the Sundance Film Festival, clowned on talk shows, and raced through a hectic softdrink commercial. He pressed his feet, hands, and prominent nose into cement at Mann's Chinese(!) Theater. It was rumored that his American success encouraged him to expand his budgets.

Four more Chan pictures were released in American versions between 1996 and 1998, but to weakening business. Chan finally secured a mass Western audience in *Rush Hour* (1998), an American action comedy that garnered \$130 million in the United States alone. While in the English-language market his Hong Kong imports were little more than novelties for the young male audience, *Rush Hour* showed that Chan, without losing his sunny good humor, could reinvent himself as a mainstream Hollywood actor.

Golden Harvest's "international" films came to little, but Jackie Chan's notoriety paved the way for other Hong Kongers. America's studios have long attracted a flow of foreign talent, and once Hollywood decisionmakers got a glimpse of Hong Kong cinema, it was likely that some directors would be emigrating-especially when producers realized the growing significance of the Asian market.11 It was significant, then, when John Woo's modest Jean-Claude Van Damme picture Hard Target (1993) did decent business. With a bigger budget and greater star power Woo made Broken Arrow, one of the most successful films of 1996. His summer 1997 offering, Face/Off, achieved \$225 million receipts worldwide, about as much as Disney's Hercules.12 Woo's success convinced producers to import other Hong Kong action directors-Ringo Lam (Maximum Risk, 1996; Van Damme again), Tsui Hark (Double Team, 1997; Van Damme yet again), Stanley Tong Gwai-lai (Mr. Magoo, 1997), Kirk Wong (The Big Hit, 1998), and Ronnie Yu (Bride of Chucky, 1998). U.S. critics, many of them Hong Kong aficionados, were surprisingly kind to some of these efforts, but no film measured up to any director's best Hong Kong work. A cynic might suggest that Hong Kong has served as a cheap source of directorial labor for second-rate productions. Yet Woo's emergence as an A-list director suggested that Hollywood was prepared to invest heavily in creators who can play according to its rules and who might attract audiences in Asia.

One force behind Woo's rise was Terence Chang, a new kind of local producer. A graduate of NYU's film school, Chang became general manager of Tsui Hark's Film Workshop when Woo was directing there. He served as production executive on *The Killer*, and when Woo broke away to form his own company, Chang went with him, producing *Bullet in the Head* (1990), *Once a Thief* (1991), and *Hard Boiled* (1992). Chang explained: "I want to help as many people as possible to make international films. I mean films that are liable to find a world audience."¹³ That meant, as ever, aiming for America.

Detecting U.S. cult interest in Woo's work, Chang shuttled between Hong Kong and North America to build up Woo's reputation. He emphasized Woo's alliance with the charismatic Chow Yun-fat, arranging Chow retrospectives and personal appearances. Soon he was Chow's talent manager. With Woo and a third partner, Chang founded a production company in 1994 and became producer on all of Woo's American projects. He encouraged Woo to keep working to achieve creative control, a strategy that paid off when Face/Off became a top-grossing film. Chang guided Chow to Replacement Killers (1998) and eased his other client, Michelle Yeoh, into the James Bond film Tomorrow Never Dies (1997). He began to be a gatekeeper. "People are saying [to me], 'If we cannot get John Woo, who's the next one?""14

Run Run Shaw oversaw a bustling studio of contract labor. Raymond Chow's subcontracting system allowed him to finance independent projects and keep overhead low. Each man's policy suited the opportunities available locally and regionally. As an independent producer, Terence Chang became Hong Kong's first significant liaison with the United States, able to sign deals, deliver completed projects, and open American doors for his clients. Through cosmopolitan people like him, Hong Kong filmmakers began to go global—by joining the only truly global film industry. IN TRUE ROMANCE (1993), the heroes get trapped in the middle of a three-way pistol standoff reminiscent of countless Hong Kong movies. Quentin Tarantino, True Romance's screenwriter, stages a similar scene in Reservoir Dogs (1992) while also borrowing a plotline from Ringo Lam's City on Fire (1987). In a swordfight in The Mask of Zorro (1998), the caped hero somersaults over his opponents. The Matrix (1999) plays out kung-fu and Woo-like gun battles in a dystopian cyberworld. Video games and comic books feature Jackie Chan, and role-playing games invite players to be an anti-Triad cop or a kung-fu warrior.¹⁵ The lyrics of hip-hop groups like the Wu-Tang Clan sample martial-arts movies.¹⁶ On television the fighters in Xena, Warrior Princess deploy kung-fu, while Sammo Hung shows off his skills in Martial Law.

A glance at almost any popular medium shows that the Asian cinema exercising most influence on Western culture is Hong Kong's. The trend started in the early 1970s, when kung-fu films influenced blaxploitation and set new standards of fighting for Hollywood. Bruce Lee has proven a timeless symbol of rebellious youth and ethnic pride. In the biopic *Dragon* (1993), Lee is driven almost to hysteria by racial prejudice and his mission to be a mythic warrior. Lee's poster image is itself an icon. He frowns down on John Travolta preening in *Saturday Night Fever* (1977), and he inspires the naive porn star Dirk Diggler in *Boogie Nights* (1997).

More experimental films have also fallen under the Hong Kong spell. At the very start of the kungfu craze came *La dialectique peut-elle casser des briques?* (*Can Dialectics Break Bricks?*, 1973), the product of a Situationist splinter group who subjected the kung-fu movie *The Crush* (aka *Crush Karate*, 1972) to a radical swerve (*détournement*) by adding new subtitles. A flirtatious interlude between a fighter and a maid becomes a discussion of commodity fetishism, and the head bureaucrat threatens to quell a rebellion by sending in his sociologists, psychiatrists, and structuralists, even "My Foucaults! My Lacans!"¹⁷ Two decades later came *Irma Vep* (1996), which links Hong Kong to French popular and avant-garde traditions (Fig. 4.1).

Respectable Western critics have long been at a loss to explain why audiences and filmmakers are fascinated by Hong Kong film. From the start, these movies offended guardians of taste. Yet by the early 1990s, despite having been almost completely ignored by the mainstream press, Hong Kong cinema attracted a cult following. Undeterred by complaints of crudeness, fans plunged in. They produced fanzines and Web pages celebrating the movies with a mixture of awe, aggressiveness, and proselytizing zeal. A popular cinema gave birth to a populist fan culture. Subterranean tastes helped push Hong Kong cinema into the mainstream, and by the mid-1990s Time and Newsweek were praising John Woo's and Tsui Hark's Hollywood debuts. How could this happen?

After World War II, for Europe and North America, the dignified Asian cinema was Japan's;



4.1 *Irma Vep* recasts Feuillade's silent-film intrigues with Maggie Cheung; at the end, her image undergoes Lettrist defacement.

Hong Kong film was virtually unknown until the kung-fu cycle of the early 1970s. At the start of the boom Hong Kong proved foolproof copy. Reporters rushed to Clearwater Bay, where Run Run Shaw regaled them with yarns, ushered them through Movietown, and invited them to meet his family.18 But the coverage was almost always condescending, starting with jokes on the boss's name. ("What," asked one article, "Makes Run Run Run?") Then as now, most Western journalists treated Hong Kong productions as vulgar and stupid. After watching two of the early "karate" imports, Vincent Canby of the New York Times confidently condemned the entire genre. Kung-fu was amusingly awful: the English dubbing "was obviously meant to be funny," the fighting was overblown, and Bruce Lee looked "like Alain Delon with a lot of bee stings."19 Compared to the highbudget swordplay spectacles of Kurosawa, the raucous kung-fu movie could only look jejeune.

A few critics were more sympathetic. In a French film journal Guy Braucourt mounted a structural analysis of martial-arts plots.²⁰ The gifted critic Tony Rayns began to review Chinatown releases for the British Film Institute's *Monthly Film Bulletin.*²¹ In 1974 another London-based journalist, Verina Glaessner, published *Kung Fu: Cinema of Vengeance*, an astute account of the genre.²² Meanwhile in Hong Kong philosopher and sociologist Ian Jarvie produced an incisive assessment of the cinema's place in local culture.23

The new awareness of Hong Kong cinema led critics to recognize King Hu, a major director whose *Touch of Zen* won a special technical award at Cannes in 1975, four years after its Asian release. A Hu retrospective at London's National Film Theater followed, but this did not lead to a wider circulation of Hong Kong's prestige products. With a few exceptions like *Father and Son*

(1981) and *Boat People* (1982), the New Wave went largely unnoticed. Although the Hong Kong International Film Festival published its informative catalogues in bilingual editions, these were largely ignored by Western film culture.

Throughout the early 1980s martial-arts sagas lingered in neighborhood theaters and on latenight television. Hong Kong films poured into America's growing Chinatowns. Then the festival circuit discovered this explosive cinema. For the 1983 Pesaro Film Festival, Marco Müller mounted "Cinemasia," a panorama giving special attention to Hong Kong. The Pesaro screenings, accompanied by a two-volume collection of essays on Asian cinemas,²⁴ spurred the editors of *Cahiers du* cinéma to publish a splendid survey issue, "Made in Hong-Kong," in 1984. At that time, when Cahiers spoke, Western film culture listened. Hong Kong films began to appear at festivals, often as midnight attractions. In 1988 David Chute, another devotee, assembled for the New York journal *Film Comment* a string of eloquent essays by critics and programmers, centering on Tsui Hark, Jackie Chan, and horror movies.25 Soon Barbara Scharres of Chicago's Film Center of the Art Institute began mounting annual programs of the best of recent Hong Kong releases.

Momentum built. In the spring of 1991 Once upon a Time in China had a one-month run in Manhattan. That fall Asian CineVision, a nonprofit distributor, assembled a Hong Kong package, called it "Cinema of Blazing Passions," and circulated it to campuses and cultural venues. The response was enthusiastic. The New York Review of Books ran Geoffrey O'Brien's thoughtful review of the Asian CineVision programs.²⁶ A new niche market opened, and by the mid-1990s it was flourishing. Film programmers had found that in the age of videotape they could no longer attract audiences with Buñuel and Resnais. Hong Kong movies filled theaters, not only with Asians but with the young and the hip. "This stuff and *anime* are the only things that sell," one told me regretfully.

Cinéphile culture merged with other currents. Ever since the 1970s vogue for martial arts, kungfu magazines had included information on Hong Kong cinema. The word of a new kind of action cinema spread to video aficionados. In 1985 there appeared Martial Arts Movies: From Bruce Lee to the Ninjas, a true fan's guide, supplying extensive historical background, many photographs, and lists of the best and worst films in the genre. "At its worst," the authors noted, "the martial arts movie is laughable, totally deserving of derision. But at its best, it can supply an audience exhilaration that cannot be found in any other cinema... These are great action movies. These are great superhero movies. They deliver where other unimaginative, overblown, and campy superhero movies fail."27

By this time the action film had moved to the center of Hollywood's genre system, and Hong Kong filmmakers had begun to turn out comparatively cheap, location-shot urban thrillers. Many Western viewers, primed by Indiana Jones and Lethal Weapon (1987), found Hong Kong cop and adventure films easier to swallow than kung-fu. In 1988, the same year as the Film Comment supplement, the British television series The Incredibly Strange Film Show devoted an episode to the action pictures of Jackie Chan and Tsui Hark, and it became a staple rerun on U.S. cable channels. The 1991 Asian CineVision touring package highlighted gunplay pictures like City on Fire, A Better Tomorrow, and God of Gamblers (1989). Soon the Western canon crystallized around Woo's heroic triad sagas, Tsui's revival of kung-fu and swordplay adventures, and the *policiers* featuring beautiful women cops in hair-raising stunts.

Unlike other foreign films, Hong Kong movies were subtitled in English upon local release. Just as important, they were readily available on videotape. Fans who lived near a Chinatown could boast of seeing the latest hits on the big screen, but most loyalists depended on cassettes, sniffing out rarities in tiny video shops and Asian food stores. In Madison, Wisconsin you could rent Hong Kong tapes from Chinese restaurants, food stores, and even a beauty parlor. Since the tapes were often poor copies, some students routinely drove to Chicago, rented a batch of laser discs, and spent days in motel rooms making clean dubs.

As video drove the Hong Kong craze, competing versions proliferated. Bootleggers clipped out scenes or picked up versions tailored to specific Asian markets. Even legitimate video releases sometimes varied from the original, since Hong Kong companies gave foreign distributors carte blanche to recut their films. Nearly twenty-five minutes were eliminated from the U.S. video version of Jackie Chan's *Police Story* (1985); the final credits even included a different set of outtakes. By contrast, the video version of Tsui Hark's *Once upon a Time in China* (1991) is longer than the original Hong Kong release, which had to be trimmed to fit theater schedules.²⁸

Hong Kong fever took firm hold in the early 1990s. Cult interest grew in Europe, and in Japan one could buy tourist guides highlighting famous movie locations.²⁹ Self-published fanzines proliferated: in England, *Eastern Heroes* and *Film Extremes;* in Canada, Colin Geddes' discerning *Asian Eye* and later the bilingual *Screen Machine;* in the United States *Hong Kong Film Connection, Hong Kong Film Magazine, Asian Trash Cinema*

(later Asian Cult Cinema), and Skam (later Cineraider). By 1998 most of the zines had folded, but for a few years they created a lively fan culture. Their pages were open to young men and women who apparently lived solely to watch Hong Kong movies and write breathless appreciations of them. The fans joyously retold the plots, appraised the action scenes, caught in-jokes, spotted a bit player, pledged fealty to a star, registered amazement at moments of bizarre sex and violence, and ranked every film according to a refined calculus of excellence. Apparently unaware of the Hong Kong Festival volumes, zine writers boldly set out to provide reference tools.³⁰ The fans had to know what tapes to rent, how to distinguish an early (and probably bad) Jackie Chan from a later tour de force, why In the Line of Duty III is also known as Yes Madam 2.

The zines and the tape market fed one another, with publishers often starting video sales companies. By the end of the 1990s, with the fanzines largely gone, authorized distributors like the U.S.based Tai Seng began to exploit the market systematically. Today hundreds of Hong Kong titles crowd my campus video store; the dominant language in the foreign section is Cantonese.

What led a younger generation to embrace the gratuitously wild cinema that had so irked the *New York Times*? At first glance, the appeals seem obvious. There is the girls-and-guns factor, typified by *Yes, Madam!* (1985) and *Heroic Trio* (1993). Jonathan Ross wrote: "The whole idea of sexy Chinese girls wearing tight superhero type costumes, fighting and then having sex, is possibly the finest development in the hundred years of cinema history a man could possibly hope for."³¹ There is also the flamboyant action of hand-to-hand combat on flimsy ladders or flaming posts, inexhaustibly gymnastic gunfights, and

soaring and somersaulting swordplay. Moreover, the most "mainstream" Hong Kong film casually shows violence that Hollywood would not. In *Angel* (1987), one female fighter sets a live grenade on the floor and folds a man over it like dry cleaning. Later the villainess slams a nailstudded board into another woman's shoulder, where it sticks a while. There is also the sheer sensationalism of the horror films, in which people are perfunctorily raped, tortured, flayed, and burnt to a crisp. And there are subtitles (some bogus) straight out of Raymond Roussel, passed happily around the world through e-mail:

I am damn unsatisfied to be killed in this way. Same old rules: no eyes, no groin. I have been scared shitless too much lately. How can you use my intestines as a gift? You always use violence. I should've ordered glutinous rice chicken.³²

Violence, exploitation, and nuttiness always have a potential audience, but the broad American public was not (and probably never will be) ready for the shocks and peculiarities on display in these films. Hong Kong's Western audience is composed largely of subcultures, a state of affairs that owes as much to the vagaries of the viewers as it does to the charms of the movies.

For many programmers and critics who brought the films into festival culture, Hong Kong evoked American silent cinema. "It reminds me a lot of Hollywood," David Overbey remarked, "before the great split between commerce and art."³³ Dave Kehr declared Jackie Chan a modern Keaton: "He is one of the very few contemporary figures who could have had a career in silent movies."³⁴ American action movies of the 1980s had promised a return to the visually dynamic pleasures associated with the silent era, but many critics found the blockbusters increasingly inflated and heartless. Hong Kong films still believed in the exalted possibilities of physical grace.

For younger admirers, however, the films offered downmarket pleasures. Since the 1940s, some critics had defended marginal and low-budget films as a source of unpretentious craft. By the 1970s one could find critics prepared to defend exploitation-minuscule-budgeted science fiction, horror, crime, and sex films. Russ Meyer's Faster, Pussycat, Kill, Kill! (1965), George Romero's Night of the Living Dead (1968), and The Last House on the Left (1972) were being taken seriously. As censorship broke down and mainstream films like The Exorcist (1973) began to incorporate exploitation elements, David Cronenberg, Wes Craven, Joe Dante, and other marginal filmmakers pushed further into sadistic violence and outrageous sexuality, eventually pulling the downmarket attitude into the mainstream. Video nourished a more transgressive cinema, both through straight-tovideo releases and through American dubs of grotesque esoterica, like Italian giallo horror and Japanese rape movies.

Apart from B-film connoisseurs and the "splatterheads" who savored erotic gore, another strain of downmarket tastes was key to the success of Hong Kong movies. In the early 1970s young people turned Camp to new ends, reveling in a selfcongratulatory superiority to ineptly made movies.

College film societies hosted pot-hazed screenings of *Marijuana: Weed with Roots in Hell* (1931), and in 1978 Harry and Michael Medved launched careers by celebrating the worst films of all time, announcing, "It's more enjoyable for people to laugh together over absurdities and disasters than it is to praise the all-time movie greats."³⁵ The weekly rituals of *Rocky Horror Picture Show* (1976), the canonization of Edward D. Wood (*Plan* *Nine from Outer Space*, 1959) as the world's worst director, and midnight screenings of *Beyond the Valley of the Dolls* (1970) and *The Wizard of Oz* (1939) all intensified the atmosphere of knowing mockery, an attitude sustained in the TV series *Mystery Science Theater 3000*.

As the Asian product most widely seen in the West, Hong Kong films delivered all these downmarket pleasures. The tough urban action films echo the glories of B noir. Geoffrey O'Brien calls Hong Kong cinema "one of the last outposts of the kind of efficaciously formulaic medium-to-lowbudget filmmaking that in America faded along with the studios."36 Likewise, the films' visceral effects-spouting blood, exotic tortures, bizarre sexuality-have exploitation elements in abundance. America fanguides recommend films like The Holy Virgin versus the Evil Dead (1991): "Lots of martial action and witchy-nudie stuff, but a dearth of (needed) bugs and slime."37 And from the first years, the florid acting and outrageous plot twists made Hong Kong products into "bad movies we love." "It is entirely possible," wrote the Times reviewer of King Boxer, "to take the film as a joke."38 Run Run Shaw recognized that whereas local audiences took kung-fu seriously, "Americans see it as comedy."39 Run Run proved prophetic. In Europe and North America young people come to screenings of The Killer determined to ridicule its excesses.40

Still, hardcore Hong Kong fans do not come to mock. For them, as for the Camp followers Susan Sontag described in the 1960s, the wilder regions of popular culture harbor emotional directness and imaginative sincerity. Rather than reveling in the irony that postmodernists claim is our universal fate, many admirers of exploitation films, trash movies, sick and twisted films, midnight movies, cult movies, and all the rest find there a naive, nonconformist honesty missing from the mass-marketed product. Just as they praise the Incredibly Strange Music of Esquivel and Les Baxter, they value eccentric films that cast off poise and measure. Here is a young cartoon artist, Daniel Clowes, on roughneck filmmakers of an earlier generation: "They play everything to such a degree that it's got this electricity through it... The thing about a guy like Sam Fuller or Jack Webb is that they might do something really awful, but they mean it, they're dead serious, and there is something really powerful about that conviction."41 The ragged expression of post-Punk music, grunge and thrash and speed metal, may have cultivated a taste for art that was at once histrionic and authentic. For many fans, films relentlessly committed to emotional expression, no matter how hyperbolic or rough-hewn, carried a jolt of sincerity.

In the case of Hong Kong, the emotions are very hyperbolic, but filmmakers have expressed them with fluency and polish. These films won over a larger fraction of cultists than the grossout esoterica did, partly because Hong Kong movies respect most rules of craft. Their imaginative excesses can be realized appealingly, thanks to glossy production values and performers who are athletic, versatile, and charming in a way the denizens of splatter films can never be. Big-budget films with low-budget audacity, Hong Kong movies can be outrageous in splendid ways. They can dress up an exploitation idea in brilliant, sharply paced visuals, as when during a warehouse shootout in The Outlaw Brothers (1990), a hanging cage bursts and sends a rain of frightened chickens into the crossfire.

Such scenes are playfully outrageous without being paralyzingly knowing. Most young connoisseurs did not see in Hong Kong a retrieval of the innocence of the silent era. Instead they found a rapturous, unpredictable energy that made contemporary popular entertainment look pallid. Hong Kong satisfied a yearning for zany, wellcrafted, and unironic enjoyment. These films defied canons of Western taste and plausibility while remaining familiar in genre and enticing in technique. Their bold twists on comfortable conventions reenchanted mass entertainment.

Hong Kong's own critics have tended to measure current releases against two baselines, the socially critical films of the 1950s and the New Wave works of the early 1980s. Western fans and critics have judged Hong Kong chiefly in relation to Hollywood, either old or new. Writing of A Chinese Ghost Story 2 (1990), a fan notes that "The Lucas/Spielberg Corporations can only WISH they could create such genuine flights of fantasy as this."42 Yet the fans are not really anti-Hollywood; most would not sit through *L'avventura*, let alone Wavelength. What the fan wants is Hollywood done right, all stops out and with an unpredictable spin. One of the most articulate columnists testifies: "Most HK fans were converted by the unique experience, the 'Whoa, where did this come from?' feel of that first film, which was not so much an encounter with a foreign culture as the twisting of something vaguely familiar into a new universe."43

Accordingly, the Western fan canon is weighted toward Hongkongified Hollywood and refracted through the lens of downmarket pleasures. The favorites tend to be action films by John Woo, Tsui Hark, Jackie Chan, Ching Siu-tung, and Sammo Hung.⁴⁴ Hong Kong's own critics, by contrast, scarcely mention Jackie or Sammo and instead canonize art-house items like *Centre Stage* (1992), *Days of Being Wild* (1990), *Rouge* (1988), and *Ashes of Time* (1994). Western fans idolize Woo's *The Killer*, while for most Hong Kong critics *A Better Tomorrow* remains his best work. Cultists favor Tsui's *Peking Opera Blues* (1986), but local writers tend to prefer his *Dangerous Encounters—First Kind* (1980) and *Shanghai Blues* (1984).⁴⁵ Many fan favorites, like *Naked Killer* (1992) and *Don't Stop My Crazy Love for You* (1993), will arouse only disdain in a Hong Kong critic.

Hardcore Western fans form an authentic subculture. The exchange of precious tapes and the delighted recital of favorite moments constitute core rituals. In the zines and on the Net, fans launch queries and rants. Plot synopses initiate newcomers. Sacred scenes are commemorated in print or in the instant replay. "This sequence always warrants a rewind! If either one of those gags had gone wrong, Jackie could easily have been killed."⁴⁶ The ultimate ritual may be the List: the ten best films, the ten best action sequences, the ten cutest women.

As with any community, fandom can be divisive. There is some bitterness between admirers of the classic martial-arts films of the 1970s and those gunplay aficionados for whom the great days of Hong Kong cinema began when Tsui Hark and John Woo launched heroic bloodshed. Wirework, the use of nearly invisible cables to make performers soar, is a perennial source of debate; purists hold out for the genuine acrobatics of the 1970s. And fandom can be cruel. You get ethos the hard way. Were you there at the beginning, before the wannabes moved in? Do you know plot details, actors' Chinese names, obscure lines? Do you hold a big collection of magazines or autographs or tapes? Have you seen all the versions of Bullet in the Head (1990)? If not, prepare to defend yourself. Damon Foster, scourge of the Asian fan scene, castigates the "fat slobs who con-

sider themselves cool for laughing out loud," other fans too young to have grown up watching 1970s kung-fu movies, and those "Johnny-comelately" critics who admired Hard Boiled: "American critics and the mainstream had *finally* discovered a good thing, that incomparable teaming of John Woo and Chow Yun-fat. Few of these asskissing critics were into the genre back when a true masterpiece like A Better Tomorrow originally came out, so the next best thing, the way to seem hip, was to praise Hard Boiled mercilessly."47 When Tarantino lifted a plotline from *City on Fire* for *Reservoir Dogs*, fans pounced. They delightedly dissected the similarities, and one made a tenminute video, Who's Fooling Who, which compared the films shot by shot.48 The episode exposed a certain ambivalence: Tarantino was a fellow fan, and he made a movie that had a Hong Kong edge; but he thought that no one would notice, and so needed to be chastised.

If taste is made of a thousand distastes, rants add fun to fandom; here inventive insult plays out a ceremonial clash of bias and expertise. But most fan writing is breathlessly affirmative. The distinguishing mark is the hyperbolic adjective (incredible, amazing, delirious, insane), preferably hyphenated. A scene is jaw-dropping, eye-popping, supercharged, high-octane, whiplash-edited, over-theedge, edge-of-your-seat, balls-to-the-wall, and, invariably, over-the-top. In the fanzines these phrases recur like Homeric epithets, soldered into prose that is often apostrophically challenged and scattered across pages laid out like ransom notes. Yet the enthusiasm is infectious, and there are bursts of heartfelt bombast:

Media god Tsui Hark and his team yank both yin and yang to display the craggiest dragon "ladies," the butchiest bladewielding babes, the most agile, most flexible, wildest transsexuals in all of cinema! Glen, or Glenda for that matter, would quake in their size 11 pumps at the full-on drag strip of high-speed action Tsui's bevy of cross-dressing hero/ines inspire.⁴⁹

Zines proved to be a transitional phenomenon; Hong Kong fandom bloomed more beautifully on the Net. An international cinema packaged in VHS came naturally to a generation who grew up timeshifting, and it perfectly suited the digital culture the kids mastered. There was another advantage: this cinema, like arcane software, video games, and Webculture itself, was something adults just didn't get. So fan covens spread through the Net to bulletin boards, chatrooms, fan-club sites, databases, and personal pages. Your Webpage immortalizes your preferences: Tauna the Black favors Shaw Brothers, while Girls on Film offer a Drool Page full of male stars who represent "chunks of tasty eye candy." To backtrack through the gossip, opinion (a sequence is OTT, over-the-top), and trivia (name all the tongue jokes in Stephen Chow movies) is to discover a sanctuary where literally anything about these films can be discussed. If a teacher required fans to learn this much about anything else, they would cry tyranny.⁵⁰

Still, newsweeklies would not be running stories about the Asian Invasion if only tapeheads and Web mavens were promoting these movies. As Hong Kong cinema passed into general awareness from both the festival culture and the fan subculture, the chief gatekeepers were fan aesthetes. These were film journalists who celebrated and proselytized. Most were cinéphiles first and Hong Kong fans second, but their writing had some of the amphetamine pulse of rock reviewing. In *Film Comment*, the *Village Voice*, and free weeklies all over the country they took the outlandishness of this cinema as an occasion for turbocharged prose and ricochet cultural citations. Here references to high and low culture are whipped into a phantasmagoria reminiscent of the films themselves.

In Tsui [Hark]'s *Double Team*, the basic vacuity of the nonplot has been taken to the point of almost pure formalization. Shooting the sub-mannequin triumvirate of Van Damme, Dennis Rodman, and Mickey Rourke (looking and acting like an advertisement for the Steroid Club for Men) as beefcake sculpture, in spots Tsui hints at a Mapplethorpe photo session slipped into a mildly outré Sixties spy film. (The surefire ad quote that never was: "The best edge-of-your-seat thrillride since Ken Russell's *Billion Dollar Brain!*")⁵¹.

Starting from the tenets of pop journalism (and its proliferating parentheses), the writer weaves in the conventions of fan discourse—the hyphenate adjectives, the cross-referencing of films—while avoiding geekiness with a casual urbanity, mixing references to gallery art and old spy thrillers, jumping registers ("outré" and "beefcake"), and evoking the high-art conceit that a plot might be the occasion for "pure formalization."

Hardcore fans display innocent mania, but aesthetes are the dandies of fandom. They relish not merely chases and fights; they treasure peculiar spectacle and piquant ruptures of tone. Steeped in Camp and other alternative pleasures, they often subscribe to J. Hoberman's notion of "vulgar modernism," the possibility that mass cinema's off-center products parallel the avant-garde in the high arts. The good things in popular art, according to this postsurrealist view, are the moments of radical *dépaysement*, absurdly farfetched plot twists, violations of taste and logic.⁵² The fan's spontaneous "Whoa, where did *this* come from?" becomes a self-conscious principle, a connoisseurship of radical weirdness.

The journalist-aesthetes were crucial in bringing Hong Kong cinema to the attention of massmedia tastemakers. Critics must sniff what's stirring on the margins. It did not hurt at all that Hong Kong films were in recognizable genres and had many qualities in common with Hollywood vulgarity, sensuous pleasures, violent action, keen emotion—but with a harder edge. Reviewers for *Newsweek* and *Entertainment Weekly* could genuinely applaud Hong Kong cinema: its outrageousness appealed to the self-assured nonconformity that now defines one way of consuming mass entertainment.

So there is another way in which a popular cinema can go global. The analogy might be to World Music, a loose constellation of African, Asian, and ethnic European traditions defined against a bland mainstream. To some degree the advent of video has brought us a World Film. It is composed of Japanese anime, Indian melodramas, Italian horror, Mexican masked-wrestler films, Indonesian fantasies, and other media materials from various countries. In all these cases, a local cinema has achieved international reach by becoming a subcultural cinema.53 From this standpoint, Hong Kong becomes the leading edge of World Film, its salsa or reggae, so powerful that it can seize Hollywood's attention. When Jet Li was slighted on Warners' Lethal Weapon 4 Webpage, the howls of protest from fans forced Warners to redesign its advertising campaign.54

Hong Kong's WESTERN admirers have been excellent drumbeaters for a cinema that might otherwise have been ignored. As fact-finders and zestful appreciators, they have served their gods faithfully. But fans and the journalist aesthetes tend to offer rhapsody rather than analysis; they favor exclamation over explanation. How, we might ask them, do Hong Kong movies achieve their infectious force and grace? Some fans will dismiss the question as academic. "Film-school polemics," one fanguide starts by assuring us, fail with Hong Kong cinema "because over-intellectualizing film denies the primary purpose of moviegoing: entertainment."⁵⁵

The professor's reply is that we can legitimately ask what makes these films so vivid, gripping, thrilling, moving-in sum, entertaining. Even when it becomes defensive, however, the fans' zealotry makes a point that professors often forget. Everywhere, and especially in academe, we are asked to acknowledge the specificity of different cultures. When media cross borders, intellectuals are likely to emphasize the variations in how a film is "read." A famous example is the way in which Australian aboriginal viewers watching a TV western are reported to cheer for the Indians rather than for the cowboys.⁵⁶ Surely this sort of "resistant reading" could take place; but before attaching their sympathies to the Indians the aboriginal viewers have understood that all those shapes on the screen represent people, that the conflicts line up in a certain way, that particular genre conventions are in force. That is, differences in interpretation build upon convergences in comprehension.

We can usefully treat a work of popular art as a bundle of appeals, some quite narrow in range, others quite broad. Where detractors see mass culture as aimed at the "lowest common denominator," we can identify a power to cross borders. In the case of Hong Kong film the fan subculture has celebrated aspects of the films which travel well. If the films did not find a mainstream audience in the West, that was not because they were difficult to understand but because they ran afoul of certain canons of taste (too gory, too sentimental, too much slapstick and overacting). For an audience less committed to Hollywood's standards of value, the barriers came down easily. Fans primed to enjoy downmarket pleasures were able to embrace the movies' transcultural force.

Of course many aspects of Hong Kong films go unnoticed by overseas viewers. But international audiences, fans or not, respond to some core appeals—how the story is told, how images and music are combined, how widely-shared human feelings are aroused and shaped. These transcultural appeals are matters of artistry, the artistry of entertainment.

Enough to Make Strong Men Weep: John Woo

FESTIVAL CINEMA TRADES on a director's distinctive style and worldview; a film by Angelopoulos, Kitano, or Kiarostami is taken as a personal statement. But mass-market filmmaking also has auteurs, directors such as Griffith, Hitchcock, and Welles who display a consistency of theme and technique from film to film. Most Hong Kong films look like most other Hong Kong films, but John Woo has made his movies exaggeratedly distinctive, caricaturally personal. In a highly obvious cinema, he is a very obvious auteur.

Like many auteurs, Woo has given himself a "biographical legend," a version of his life that shapes our attitude toward the films. Born in 1948 in Guangdong, he came to Hong Kong with his family soon after the Communist revolution. He has told of a harrowing childhood: the family homeless, the father tubercular, the neighborhood plagued by gang warfare and wretched poverty. Thanks to American donations to the Lutheran church, Woo was able to go to high school and college. By presenting his youth as torn between violence and faith. Woo has positioned both as central to his movies. "I am a Christian, influenced by religious beliefs about love, sin, and redemption. The spirit of chivalry that existed in ancient warriors was destroyed, so now we have to face evil alone."1

Woo is a child of the studio system, starting out as a production assistant at Cathay, then moving to Shaw Brothers in 1971. There he was assistant director to Chang Cheh, the master of violent swordplay and kung-fu, and Woo has talked of learning from Chang how to manage action scenes. (His swordplay drama Last Hurrah for Chivalry, 1979, can be considered an homage to the Chang tradition of bloody brotherhood.) In 1973 a private investor financed Woo's first project, Young Dragons; because of its excessive violence a cut version was released in 1975, but Golden Harvest took Woo on the payroll. He proved versatile, directing kung-fu movies and learning comedy by assisting Michael Hui. In 1976 his Cantonese Opera film Princess Chang Ping succeeded despite its antiquated genre, and the following year his farce Money Crazy (aka Pilferer's Progress), starring Michael Hui's brother Ricky, was a huge hit. Woo went on to direct eight more comedies for Golden Harvest and Cinema City from 1977 through 1986. He confessed himself torn between genres. "I like to amuse or make people laugh," he told an interviewer, but he also wanted to depict violence. "A lot of people get relief by seeing somebody beaten up."2

When his films began to fail, Woo was exiled to Taiwan, where he supervised second-line Cinema City product and turned out two slight comedies. In 1983 he also made a grim film about Hong Kong mercenaries in Vietnam, Heroes Shed No Tears (aka Sunset Warrior), which was not released until 1986. At his lowest point, he and Tsui Hark decided to remake Lung Kong's 1967 classic Story of a Discharged Prisoner (originally called True Colors of a Hero). It became A Better Tomorrow (1986), the story of a triad member who tries to go straight. It won colossal success and established a cycle of gangster movies known as "heroes" films. The film also revived the career of Ti Lung, star of many Chang Cheh swordplay films, and made Chow Yun-fat one of the colony's most popular actors. A Better Tomorrow did very well overseas, and all over Asia young men copied Chow's look: long topcoat, dark glasses, matchstick drooping between sullen lips. In Hong Kong the slangy dialogue, particularly the partners' musings on the nighttime skyline, entered everyday conversation.

A Better Tomorrow was followed by the profitable but lesser A Better Tomorrow II (1987). The Killer (1989), a more stylized effort, won local acclaim and good box office; in the early 1990s it become Woo's breakthrough to Western audiences. Bullet in the Head (1990) proved a financial disaster but a critical favorite, and Once a Thief (1991) was a money-spinning New Year's film. Hard Boiled (1992) was greeted by a solid box-office performance and proved quite successful overseas in the wake of *The Killer*.³

In all these, Woo's unrestrained approach-not just the airily choreographed gun battles but the moist, soulful exchanges between urban warriors bound together in duty and friendship-was atypical of the local industry. "His stuff is more heroic," noted Ringo Lam, "and mine is more realistic...My characters never do any flipping while they shoot!"4 Western viewers, embarrassed by the films' excesses, ask if Hong Kong viewers laugh at them. They don't, but they know hyperstylization when they see it. They know that Woo's self-sacrificing knights are far removed from reality (even if triads began to imitate Chow's dazzling fashions). As for the violence and tearful male bonding, critic Law Kar declares, "The emotions are too narrow and too often overplayed," while Li Cheuk-to suggests that "a lot of times we cannot take the passionate action films of John Woo, but Western genre film fans love them precisely because such uninhibited wildness is almost impossible to find in Western genre films."5

Local critics saw Woo as updating the romantic, violent martial-arts tradition of the 1960s. *The Killer*, Peter Tsi has suggested, borrows heavily from swordplay fiction; and others have pointed out that Woo's heroes resemble those seen in Chor Yuen's 1970s film adaptations of Gu Long novels.⁶ Most important was Woo's mentor Chang Cheh. "I use some of his techniques in the gun battle scenes," Woo admits.⁷ Woo seems to acknowledge this tradition in *A Better Tomorrow II*, when during an apocalyptic assault on a gangster's mansion Ti Lung grabs a sword and slashes his way through his enemies. *A Better Tomorrow* was also influenced by Chang's "unrestrained way of writing emotions and chivalry," Woo said after completing it. "Chinese cinema has always been too low-keyed. We should be more expressive, put more of ourselves into our films."⁸

Woo found inspiration in more far-flung sources as well. As a young man he admired Kurosawa and Japanese gangster films.⁹ He claimed a range of Western influences: the slow-motion violence of Sam Peckinpah and the somber performance of Alain Delon in Jean-Pierre Melville's Le Samourai. as well as Coppola, Ford, Truffaut, Fellini, Bergman, Kubrick, and Scorsese ("my long-time idol").¹⁰ Although Woo was not part of the New Wave, his alertness to what he could borrow helped make Hong Kong film more cosmopolitan. Plain Jane to the Rescue (1982), with its graceful tracking shots and clever cutting, has a technical finish rare in local comedy of the time. Many of Woo's visual tics, like freeze-frames and slow-motion walks and glances, were already passé in the West, but the "heroes" cycle allowed him to integrate them with MTV dissolving musical segues, an endlessly arcing camera, wistful silhouettes against saturated landscapes, and glamorous, anguished players. The result was a glossy synthesis of Italian Westerns, swordplay, film noir, and romantic melodrama new to both Hong Kong and the West.

One might have expected that New Wave directors, many of whom had studied in film schools in the West, would be the first Hong Kong directors to build a career in the United States. Instead it was Woo, climbing up through the local studio system, who slipped into Hollywood and patiently began his climb again, reaching A-list status with *Face/Off* (1997). His ascent does not surprise Hong Kong critics, who have long considered him the most adaptable of their directors. Told to turn out kung-fu, then opera, then comedy, he became known as accommodating. On *A Better Tomorrow*, he worked closely with Chow Yun-fat, whose part grew as shooting proceeded, and with producer Tsui Hark, who dictated the film's final cut. Reluctantly, Woo made *A Better Tomorrow II*, which is said to have been drastically recut by Tsui. After the failure of *Bullet in the Head*, produced by Woo's own company, he recovered with *Once a Thief*, an audience-pleasing caper comedy. *Hard Boiled* was frankly designed as a portfolio film for Hollywood.

Once in America, he was prepared to tailor Hard Target (1993) to local tastes. After a disastrous preview in which adolescents snickered at all the dissolves and freeze-frames, "I realized that in the American action film the technique and the story are very straightforward."11 Hard Target was recut several times in order to placate U.S. censorship, a fact shrewdly played up in publicity. Woo occasionally complained about the strictness ("The American hero shouldn't take so many bullets...and he never dies...It's so square!") but he shrugged: "Now I know the system. Next time, I will avoid some things."¹² He played safe by making Broken Arrow (1996); if it succeeded, his producer and business partner Terence Chang explained, "then John will have a chance to do whatever he wants to do."13 That included not only Face/Off but the perfunctory TV pilots Once a Thief (1996) and Blackjack (1998). Woo was hired to direct the Brazilian soccer team in Nike's "Airport '98" TV commercials, and he was slated for Mission: *Impossible II.*¹⁴ The director who called for more self-expression in Chinese cinema began explaining that he left Hong Kong because "I'm not very Chinese. My techniques, my themes, my film language are not traditionally Chinese."15

IT IS RARE for a director to find his métier after a string of fairly impersonal films over a dozen years, yet with *A Better Tomorrow* Woo hit upon



EM.1 A Better Tomorrow: Boyish brotherhood among triads.



EM.2 Limping from his wound, Mark has sunk to the bottom of the gang hierarchy.

his definitive world and his authoritative tone. Ho (Ti Lung) and his young friend Mark (Chow Yunfat) are smooth triads working for a counterfeiting ring. Ho's brother Kit (Leslie Cheung) is an ambitious police officer who is unaware of Ho's criminal life. In Taiwan Ho is lured into an ambush while back in Hong Kong the same gang kills his father. Ho surrenders to the police, covering the escape of his subordinate Shing. Mark kills the gang leader responsible for Ho's fate but is himself wounded in the knee. Years later, when Ho is released, he finds Mark a limping vassal of Shing, who is now the gang's boss. Kit blames Ho for their father's death and is resolved to bring down Shing. Ho tries to go straight, but Shing wants him back in the gang and threatens both Mark and Kit. Ho and Mark steal Shing's counterfeiting tapes to help Kit's investigation. In a fiery climax at a wharf, Ho and Mark fight off Shing's men until Kit comes to join them. When Mark is killed in a shattering fusillade, Kit helps Ho eliminate Shing. The film ends with Kit leading his brother away in handcuffs.

Woo has often said that seeing "lost" young people of the mid-1980s moved him to make a film about the traditional values of family and friendship.¹⁶ The result is a plot that includes only one woman (Kit's wife) and hinges on a male ethos of loyalty, face, and shared suffering. Ho and Mark are at ease only in all-male societies: the gang, or the taxi company in which Ho finds work. In defiance of family ties, Kit rejects his criminal brother, so Mark becomes Ho's Kit-substitute. Ho tries to convince Kit he has reformed, but only sacrifice will redeem him-or indeed any man in the film. The father dies to protect Ho, Ho surrenders to save Shing, Mark is crippled while avenging Ho ("How can I thank you? I can never make it up to you"), Mark and Ho risk their lives to steal the computer tapes for Kit. In the final gun battle, Kit still hesitates to aid the fallen Ho, so Mark must shout: "Hasn't he paid you back yet?" One of the film's most powerful moments comes when Mark, sent by Ho in a powerboat away from the fight, bares his teeth and ferociously wheels the boat back to the wharf. In returning to help his friend, Mark will lose his life. "A typical Asian hero," says Woo.¹⁷

Woo underscores the pathos of these cascading loyalties by sharp contrasts. In the opening Ho and Mark swagger into the counterfeiting headquarters, respected by all. But after Ho is captured and Mark is wounded, they are brought pitiably low. Here Woo exploits one of his favorite devices, exaggerated parallels between scenes. In the opening, Ho picks Mark up on the street in a cab, both all smiles and unstructured suits. Mark is pure boyishness, waggling his evebrows and letting Ho lick his finger for a taste of sauce (Fig. EM.1). When Ho returns from prison, they meet on the street again. Ho is now a cabdriver in gray denim, and Mark limps along the curb, scrubbing windshields with a rag (Fig. EM.2). He has soured, and his impishness is gone. This is the shabbiness of dishonor.

The parallels come to a pitch of intensity, as often in Woo, during a facedown. An early nightclub scene had shown Mark and Ho at the height of success, with Shing fawning on them. Now



EM.3 *A Better Tomorrow*: Mark launches the restaurant assassination.

Shing is the boss, condescending to his old friends, and Mark bitterly accepts Shing's toast by hauling his bum leg onto the table and dashing a glass of whiskey over it. (Payback will come later: during the final shootout Shing's own leg will be blasted.) The two men's world has turned upside down; all they have left is their self-respect and their devotion to each other.

For *A Better Tomorrow*, Woo says, "I shot in the American way."¹⁸ Most scenes alternate camera setups in the Hollywood manner (Figs. 1.10–1.16), and Woo borrows Peckinpah's slow-motion cut-aways, which stretch out time during a firefight. When Mark invades the Taipei gangsters' party, he fires rapidly, but his victims go down with excruciating slowness (Figs. EM.3–EM.7). Woo's preference for alternating camera positions, rather than for changing setups to accommodate each bit of action, led naturally to shooting gunplay with several cameras at once, some mounted on tracks zigzagging through the fight.¹⁹

Woo's sources aren't only American. His action style is anticipated in the floating fights of Chor Yuen (Figs. EM.8–EM.11), the gas station robbery in Danny Lee Sau-yin's *Law with Two Phases* (1984), and the climax of Johnny Mak Tonghung's *Long Arm of the Law* (1984; Fig. EM.12).



EM.4 The target is hit.



EM.5 Mark dispatches a bodyguard to his left.

Still, *A Better Tomorrow* develops its visual ideas with unprecedented smoothness and emotional force. The film has most of Woo's authorial fingerprints. There is the languid slow motion that magnifies expressions or bits of gesture. There is a fondness for tight, deep compositions shot with a circling camera racking focus constantly. There are those frozen moments during combat when the gunfire unaccountably halts to permit men to confide in one another. There is Woo's remarkably concise handling of exposition. In the prologue Ho dreams of Kit's death, then sits bolt upright, his sweat-soaked shirt sticking to the triad tattoos on his back. Later, Kit's festering rage toward his brother is handled in crisply cut



EM.6 Cut back to the camera position of Fig. EM.4: the victim still falling.



EM.7 Cut back to the camera position of Fig. EM.5: the victim still falling.

imagery: convicts on parade are intercut with targets on a shooting range, which are then juxtaposed with sorrowful close-ups of Ho in prison (Figs. EM.13–EM.15).

Pressed by Tsui Hark, Woo made a sequel. Perhaps the most striking feature of *A Better Tomorrow II* is its display of popular entertainment's shameless ingenuity. Chow Yun-fat's portrayal of Mark was a crowd-pleaser, but Mark got killed in the first film. So Tsui and Woo brought Chow back as Mark's twin brother Ken, summoned to Hong Kong from his New York restaurant by a vendetta against a revered gang leader. A scene in an artist's studio unabashedly acknowledges the audience's desire to have more of Mark; the camera coasts


EM.8 Midair gunplay in Chor Yuen's *The Killer* (aka *Sacred Knives of Vengeance*, 1972): The hero leaps up...



EM.10 The villain fires again.



EM.9 ...and is hit in midair.



EM.11 Still floating, the hero takes the bullet.



EM.12 A Woo-like standoff in *Long Arm of the Law*.



EM.14 Kit's target...



EM.13 A Better Tomorrow: Kit on the firing range.



EM.15 ...standing in for his convict brother Ho.

past paintings of him in characteristic poses and ends by revealing his revered bullet-riddled coat. Eventually Ken grows into Mark, complete with sunglasses, duster, matchstick, and massive firepower.

The climax of A Better Tomorrow II, an all-out assault on a gangster's mansion, is one of the most incendiary gun battles in cinema. It also reveals Woo's tendency to rerun earlier ideas, only louder. (His resort to escalating explosions would come to a climax in the use of a nuclear blast as a turning point in Broken Arrow.) Again we find proliferating symmetries. The opening of A Better Tomorrow II works as both parallel and echo: once more Ho dreams of a death, but it is now Mark's, reprised from the first installment. Correspondingly, it is Kit who gets killed-by the sinister Japanese assassin who is made analogous, through fighting style and a courtly exchange of guns, with Mark's brother Ken. The drinking motif of the first film becomes a food motif, linked to Ken's insistence in his restaurant that "rice is my father and mother." And there are the usual spikes of sheer melodrama. Kit is shot while his wife Jacky gives birth, and he dies in a phone booth as she joyously tells him of the baby. Revenge for Kit's death propels the furious onslaught that closes the film.

Of *The Killer* Woo has remarked: "Only in the Western world did people appreciate what I did in this film."²⁰ It remains his best-known Hong Kong effort, carrying to mannered extremes the themes and techniques of *A Better Tomorrow*, now backed by a bigger budget. There is again an overindulgence in ordnance, and the plot lacks the tight thrust-and-parry of *A Better Tomorrow*'s. But *The Killer* offers unique delights of both pattern and passion.

John is a paid assassin who kills only those whom he is convinced deserve to die. Sidney, a retired hitman, is his agent. During a routine killing in a nightclub, John accidentally blinds Jenny, a nightclub singer, and her suffering brings home to him the immorality of his way of life. He falls in love with her and vows to finance the surgery that will restore her sight. So he takes on one last job, assassinating Weng, a crime boss. But now John becomes the target of the boss's nephew, Johnny, who had secretly hired John for the killing and had persuaded Sidney to betray him. At the same time, "Eagle" Li, a detective, is trying to track John down. Li comes to respect his guarry, especially after John risks his life to rescue a little girl. When John discovers Sidney's treachery, he agonizingly decides to forgive him, and Sidney vows to retrieve the money Weng owes John. John shifts his affection to detective Li, and in the film's last stretch they become allies, fighting off Weng's army of assassins. John is killed. Li stalks vengefully to Weng, already in custody, and shoots him in front of the other officers. Li collapses to his knees sobbing, "John."

The Killer is a triumph of sheer romanticism, recycling clichés with unabashed conviction: the blinded beloved who needs an operation, the innocents wounded in crossfire, the crook who must pull one last job, the cop who becomes fascinated with his quarry, the aging professional who recovers his dignity in a final act of courage. Each element is pushed to a limit, steeped in sentiment, swathed in dreamy hyperbole. Woo links his three beautiful principals by sinuous camera movements, languid superimpositions, and Jenny's love ballads. When John sets out on a hit, his first step falls on the downbeat of a piano melody, and to Jenny's song he floats into the nightclub through slow motion, freeze-frames, and luxurious tracking shots. Eagle Li muses over police sketches of his suspect: "There's something heroic about him. He doesn't look like a killer. He comes across so calm; he acts like he has a dream, his eyes full of passion." Dissolve to John, distraught, waiting for the man who has betrayed him. At another point the camera, coasting across a street, catches red neon reflections and turns trickling rainwater into a stream of blood.

During the climactic siege on the church, John asks Li to promise that if he should die, Jenny will get her operation and John's corneas will be used for the transplants. But even this pledge can't be honored: when John is killed, he is shot in the eyes. He and Jenny crawl toward and past one another, crying out each other's name. They never connect. John dies on his belly, gripping the dust fiercely before sagging with a sigh; impossible to say if it is slow motion or Chow's performance, languorous to the end. As Luc Moullet once remarked of Samuel Fuller: You may like the film, you may hate it, but let he who is not a ghost dare say that he has felt nothing.

There is method to the manic stylization. The kaleidoscopic technique and flamboyant melodrama are supported by firm character parallels. In the opening, John's attack on the nightclub and the scorching of Jenny's eyes are followed by John being tended in the church, staring in agony at the cross. The cross dissolves to Jenny, a link between Christian and romantic love, as bandages are stripped off her eyes. Then she's back singing, but in a café. John haunts it, watching her perform. He goes to visit her in her apartment, and she puts on a tape: what else but the song she sang in the nightclub as he drifted in? His guilt-stricken face is intercut with a quick reprise of the accident, soaking the moment in his pity and self-pity, and then the scene shifts gently to Li, waiting for

his partner Chang as John had waited at the church for Sidney; Jenny's music brings him into the plot.

These opening scenes, like the Cantonese title of the film ("Two Blood-Spattered Heroes"), signal that *The Killer* will present Woo's beloved character symmetries with a new explicitness. Instead of the parallel brothers of *A Better Tomorrow* we have parallel father-son relations. For the elders, the retired killer Sidney and the old cop Chang, tradition is dying: "Our nostalgia is our saving grace," Sidney says. But young John and Li try to sustain the heroic ideal, however futile the effort may prove. Sidney's betrayal of John and the death of Chang lead to the union of killer and cop, a fusion as well of Christianity (John's drop point is the Catholic church, where he finds tranquillity among fluttering doves and statues of the Virgin) and Chinese tradition (Li is associated with the statue of General Kwan, god of war, in the police station's shrine). But the link between the two younger men has already been established, not just by Jenny's ballad but also by the two costly attacks that open the film: John's wounding of Jenny when he kills his target, and Li's killing of the thug Eddie on the tram, which gives an innocent woman a heart attack.

Thereafter John and Li are compared by every stylistic means Woo can find: crosscutting, echoing lines of dialogue, and visual parallels. One would think that a shot like Fig. EM.16 goes about as far as one could in stressing affinities between the men, but our auteur is just getting started. He intercuts tracking shots in John's apartment to make Li literally replace John, and he will have them face off again and again, in a dizzying series of variant framings, while telling the blind Jenny they're childhood friends. Woo violates Hollywood's 180degree cutting rule in order to underscore graphic similarities between the two men (Figs. EM.17, EM.18). On top of this Woo just tells us: "It's a very simple idea. They are in the same situation and they've got the same feeling and they've got the same position. It's like looking in a mirror."²¹ Admittedly, the techniques aren't perfectly redundant, since each Doppelgänger encounter also marks a new stage in the men's growing friendship. Nonetheless, *The Killer*'s florid style magnifies the sort of points that were made more quietly in *A Better Tomorrow*.



EM.17 As John and Li remark on their affinities...



EM.18 ...Woo cuts across the axis of action to make them pictorially parallel.



EM.16 *The Killer*: Li and John in a standoff at the hospital.

Enough to Make Strong Men Weep: John Woo | 67

Planet Hong Kong



EM.19 Jenny serves tea while killer and cop keep each other occupied.



EM.20 Jenny joins the face-off.

There is an audacious exhilaration in Woo's freedom of narration. As in silent films, scenes are interrupted by short flashbacks to action we've already seen, so that certain images-Jenny's streaming mascara as she clutches her eyes, John's agony before the cross-are driven home as obsessively as a motif in Griffith. As Li's superior describes the Dragon Boat race that will take place that afternoon, Woo gives us thrusting illustrative shots of the race, a technique straight out of *M*. John's white scarf becomes a visual token: at first a sign of his wealth and stylishness (and an echo of the white knights of Chang Cheh), it is used to bandage Jenny's bleeding eyes. Thereafter it becomes a souvenir of John's mistaken way of life, but it can still serve as a sling or tourniquet. Woo is willing to top himself as things proceed. Blind Jenny serves tea while the men press pistols to each other's heads (Fig. EM.19). "When we made this scene," Woo reports, "we feel so much joy."22 In a later scene the standoff duet becomes a trio when Jenny, trying to protect John from Li, grabs a pistol herself (Fig. EM.20).

When John phones Jenny from the harbor's edge at dawn, she says she can imagine the deep blue sky; the dissolves and silhouettes turn the scene into a melancholic TV commercial. As Li and John discuss honor ("It's going out of style"), cut to Sidney being beaten for refusing to inform on John; but that is not enough, so the beating is shot in slow motion and backed by a Morricone-style choir. In the late 1980s critics, particularly in Paris, were beginning to wonder if cinema was finished. *The Killer*, flaunting a freedom from cool postmodern irony, seemed at a stroke to reinvent sincere, bravura storytelling.

Woo CONCEIVED *Bullet in the Head* as a vehicle for his despair at the 1989 massacre in Tiananmen Square. Three young friends growing up in late 1960s Hong Kong flee to Vietnam. They plunge into an inferno—U.S. rapacity, corruption, terrorist attacks, Vietcong torture—and their friendship dissolves. It is Woo's most anguished movie. Paul turns greedy, risking the lives of his friends for a trunkful of stolen gold leaf. The childish Frank,

forced to shoot prisoners for the Vietcong soldiers' amusement, is himself shot in the head by Paul. He survives but becomes a gibbering madman, a hitman and heroin addict. Ben, the most balanced, survives to settle accounts in the only way the men have learned. He kills his friends: Frank, to put him out of his misery; Paul, as revenge for the sufferings of Frank. Hong Kong cinema knows no more excruciating passage than the prolonged sequence of Frank, wailing with fear, executing American soldiers as Ben urges him to kill for sheer survival, unless it is the film's final scene, in which Ben and Paul duel in a fiery arena on the dock where they had played as boys. Frank's skull, lovingly preserved by Ben, rests among the flames as Ben sends a bullet into Paul's head. The symmetrical woundings of which Woo is so fond have reached a nightmarish climax.

Political points are scored throughout. *Bullet in the Head* starts during the 1967 riots, when Hong Kong police attack demonstrating students; the sympathy seems all with the protesters until Woo shows a British officer blasted by a terrorist

bomb. Soon Vietnam stands in for China, as it did in Ann Hui's Boat People (1982). Seeing all the killing in Saigon, Paul adopts a version of Maoist pragmatism: "If you have guns you have everything." South Vietnamese demonstrate to stop the war, and a student stands patiently in front of a tank, as at Tiananmen. Back in Hong Kong, Paul's stolen gold has enabled him to enter big business, and the brief scene in which Ben strides in and sets Frank's bloodstained skull on the boardroom table presents a galvanizing image of all that Hong Kong capitalism would like to forget. No distinctions are drawn among colonizing British, imperialistic Americans, sadistic Vietcong, corrupt Hong Kong businessmen, and (implicitly) Beijing's hard-liners. Taken as a whole, the film seems not so much a consistent indictment of totalitarian violence as a hallucinatory vision of sheer chaos, the collapse of all social and personal ideals in the face of aggression and naked greed.

Not even the longest version of the film develops either the social or personal contexts. There is always another ambush, another costly escape. Character development is shorthand: Paul gets more grasping, Frank becomes more childlike, Ben tries to stay steady.²³ They pick up the singer Sally and start to take her back to Hong Kong, but she is killed soon afterward, so that her entire rescue seems little more than padding. The alternation of brief scenes of intimacy with extreme battles points again to Woo's weakness for overlong set-pieces. Never using one shot where three will do, he indulges a tic already emerging in *The Killer*: images of an anonymous gunman going down, seen from many angles and stressed through aching slow motion. The field of fire also expands; Bullet in the Head revels in impersonal, Hollywood-blockbuster combats that look forward to Woo's American films.

Bullet in the Head was the first film from Woo's own company, and it proved a commercial disaster. He has claimed that audiences dispirited by Tiananmen did not want to see such a horrifying world. Ever adroit, Woo transposed his characteristic preoccupations into a brighter key. A Better Tomorrow II, The Killer, and Bullet in the Head sustain an unusually consistent tone by Hong Kong standards, but Once a Thief is much more typical of the local product. It mixes drama, frantic action, and zany vulgarity. Joe (Chow Yun-fat), James (Leslie Cheung), and Cherie (Cherie Chung Cho-hung) are street orphans raised by a tyrannical pickpocket. They grow up to be suave art thieves, living in a chaste ménage à trois: Joe and James (echoing Woo's fondness for Jules et Jim) romp with each other boyishly, while Cherie looks after them. Another gang tries to cut in on their theft of a painting, leading Joe to sacrifice himself for his friends in a fiery crash. He disappears. James and Cherie fall guiltily in love, both haunted by memories of Joe. Joe reappears handicapped, like Mark in A Better Tomorrow, and they join forces for another heist. In a bristling shootout they discover that they have been targeted by their estranged stepfather, and we discover, when Joe vaults out of his wheelchair, that his infirmity is faked. The three partners reunite, and a coda shows them settled in America, with Joe babysitting and watching football on TV.

From the opening scene, with Joe strolling around a museum and trying to make sense of a Modigliani, to the climax, in which he roasts a card-flinging assassin by spitting out flaming cleaning fluid, *Once a Thief* abounds with little and big pleasures. It is the sort of unpretentious caper sendup that Hollywood can no longer produce: what *Hudson Hawk* (1991) wanted to be. There are comically suspenseful heists, acrobatic gunplay, a joyous scene with Cherie and Joe in his wheelchair on a dance floor, and a string of sight gags and in-jokes. Joe and James slither under burglar-detection laser rays, and the soundtrack obliges with some limbo music. Later they team up for a robbery, despite Joe's being wheelchairbound, and they blow the safe by tricking a hapless gangster into falling on a detonator.

All the things that matter in the other filmsloyalty, friendship, hand grenades-are milked for gags, and parallels seem piled on sheerly for amusement. The trio's domestic horseplay recalls their thieving childhood days, Cherie's attraction to Joe is given in Killer cuts-and-tracks that replace James with Joe, and the policeman pursuing them becomes a benevolent alternative fatherfigure. Christian references are limited to a snatch from the Messiah describing resurrection-exactly what will happen to the supposedly dead Joe. The reference points are now secular, usually satiric jabs at Western art. Paintings glow like the gold leaf of Bullet in the Head, and Cherie, often dressed in gold, becomes the incarnation of Caillebot's Harem Servant, the painting that fascinates all the men (Fig. EM.21). The shut-in Joe paints a mural of Rousseau's Snake Charmer, perhaps another reference to Cherie's mesmerizing charms. A shootout



EM.21 Once a Thief: Acrobatic Joe prepares to steal the Harem Servant.



EM.22 Strafing the flower market in *Once a Thief.*



EM.24 Four successive frames from a 35mm print of *Hard Boiled*: A pistol fires.



EM.26 In the next twenty-fourth of a second, a flare lights up.



EM.23 Virtuoso staging for the hospital battle in *Hard Boiled.*

at a French pier becomes a riot of impressionist pastels as flowers, striped awnings, and tricolor balloons are blown to bits (EM.22).

Once a Thief reportedly grossed HK\$33 million, four times the receipts of *Bullet in the Head*. By now *The Killer* had become known abroad, and Woo could design *Hard Boiled* as much for Western tastes as for his local and regional audiences. His last Hong Kong film is an anthology of action sequences (brilliantly staged by Philip Kwok Chun-fung, one of the greatest of Shaws' kung-fu performers). The opening is a titanic gun battle in a teahouse, with the cop Tequila (Chow Yun-fat) ducking, diving, and drifting through the cordite haze, pistols blazing. As usual, Woo makes ingen-



EM.25 In the next frame, one-twenty-fourth of a second later, the glass shatters.

ious use of site-specific props, when Tequila tilts a birdcage mirror in order to watch the gang and when he grabs a teakettle to slam into an attacker.

Hard Boiled concludes with a forty-five-minute assault on a hospital in which the gang have stored their arms. Woo and Kwok display inexhaustible (if exhausting) ingenuity in this climax (Fig. EM.23). Some effects are a matter of split seconds (Figs. EM.24–EM.27). Woo mixes in somber drama when Tequila's undercover man Tony (Tony Leung Chiu-wai) accidentally shoots a policeman. There is relaxed comedy as well, as when Tequila quiets the baby he is trying to rescue: after plugging a thug, he goes into a calming nonsense lullaby. The baby saves him too, for



EM.27 In the last frame, blood bursts into the frame (from above, no less).

when Tequila catches fire, the baby dampens the flames by wetting Tequila's pants.

The story is unusually slim for a late Woo, paralleling Tequila with Tony the undercover man. The affinities are merely sketched, and their growing mutual regard is never given the luscious definition and restatement we find in *The Killer*. Starting out focused on Tequila and his slain partner, the plot settles on Tony, perpetually tormented by an undercover assignment that obliges him to watch innocents murdered. Here, Stephen Teo has remarked, Woo recognizes the limits of a code of loyalty governing the closed world of crooks and cops; eventually brotherhood must answer to the higher claims of law and morality.²⁴ As something of a demo reel for Woo's move to America, *Hard Boiled* remains chiefly an exhilarating exercise in amplified genre conventions.

By this time Woo had become "Woo"; his signature style and themes had won him admirers all over the world. His fans rejoiced when Face/Off seemed at last to confirm that the master could make a Woo film, or at least a "Woo" film, in Hollywood. Here the authorial marks are reiterated in nearly caricatural degree. The psychopathic villains are devoted brothers, Castor and Pollux Troy; the hero is Sean (read John) Archer (another sign in the Zodiac). Castor has a woman, Sasha, and a son, Michael; he has killed Sean's son and left Sean and his wife (Eve!) with only a daughter. Woo gives up rendering heroic masculine communion in favor of portraying men's allegiances to their families, presumably an attitude more appealing to the American audience.

The parallel identities embedded in the plot of A Better Tomorrow, embroidered by the style of The Killer, and tossed off in Once a Thief now become a high-concept gimmick, the surgical switching of faces and bodies. Woo's repeated face-offs get literalized, Sean becoming Castor and Castor becoming Sean. And Castor mordantly comments on the artifice of the plot he's caught up in: "Ah, the eternal battle of good and evil." The more carefully judged scenes, such as the mirror/window confrontation of Sean-as-Castor and Castor-as-Sean, exemplify the literalness of the entire enterprise. The problem is that the plot has not justified any psychological likeness between them. Sean is obsessed with revenge, but he has none of Castor's sadism, egotism, or gonzo wit, and he does not ease himself into Castor's feelings, as Li does with John in The Killer. The Killer is built upon genuine affinities between the pro-



EM.28 John Woo deifies John Woo (Plain Jane to the Rescue).

tagonists, but here we have only the pictorial symmetries.

Everything in *Face/Off* becomes a self-conscious inflation of Wooness. Woo's religious imagery comes to a hyperbolic climax in yet another church scene, with the doves from The Killer flapping through in slow motion and the villain laying a pistol at Jesus' feet. And how to top action you've already taken over the top? Bigger explosions, more hurricane gunfights, thousands of rounds of ammunition, a speedboat chase left over from Hard Target, and lots of two-fisted firing as your men leap and soar. By now there are so many cameras trained on every actor that the capture of Pollux or Sean's prison break can be as elaborately disorganized as anything produced in Hollywood. What should have been a nervewracking facedown between Sean and his daughter, who takes him for Castor, serves only to launch a villain's quip ("No daughter of mine would shoot so wide"). Woo tells interviewers that he borrowed the water chase and the Virgin Mary from The Killer, the child amid violence from Hard

Boiled, and the symmetrical cutting of the antagonists from *The Killer* to show "how good and evil function as if in a mirror."²⁵

Hong Kong authorship, like everything else about this cinema, can outsize the norm: flamboyantly eclectic, aggressively distinctive. Woo is the ultimate Hong Kong auteur, fully aware of his directorial personality, adapting his themes and techniques to the needs of the moment, eager to make them his international calling card, ready to turn them into trademarks. "John Woo is God," my students tell me. The remark puts you in mind of two moments. The first occurs in *Plain Jane to* the Rescue: the heroine is working at an unemployment office, and John Woo comes up to her explaining that he's a movie director. Jane: "What does a director do?" Woo: "He's a god!" As he flings up his arms he is bathed in a beam of light (Fig. EM.28). In A Better Tomorrow, Ho asks Mark: "Do you believe there is a God?" "Sure," Mark answers. "I am one...A god is someone who controls his own destiny."

5 | Made in Hong Kong

HONG KONG'S INDUSTRY flourished for so long because of its power in regional markets. Income from overseas enabled companies to raise production values, which in turn kept the industry's neighboring rivals provincial. Until the early 1990s, it competed vigorously with Hollywood throughout East Asia, and even as it was flagging there, it was penetrating some niches of Western markets. Hong Kong filmmakers dedicated themselves to supplying mass-produced entertainment, and the concrete choices they made gave this form of entertainment its particular identity. Here the craft of moviemaking has ingeniously adapted to the demands of mass production.¹

No money, no time: just do it.

Ringo Lam

In any well-developed cinema industry, films are produced in bulk so that theaters may regularly screen new product. During the 1910s, the rapid success of U.S. film exhibition encouraged filmmakers to routinize production, thus creating a division of labor characteristic of the studio system. Some scholars have argued that around 1906 fiction films won the privileged place on our screens they have enjoyed ever since, because *actualités*, the brief documentaries showing parades, fires, and scenic views, could not be produced with the dependable regularity of scripted and staged movies.² The major film industries of Europe, Latin America, and Asia followed the principles of mass production.

One way to assure a flow of product is to create vertical integration, that situation in which each major company controls production, distribution, and exhibition. Historically, vertical integration has often come about when exhibitors acquire distribution outlets and production companies; this was the general trend in the United States and Japan. A vertically integrated industry is not a prerequisite for mass-market filmmaking, but it does provide great stability, making it likely that most films that are started get finished and shown.³

Hong Kong achieved robust vertical integration. In the 1960s Shaws and MP & GI (later Cathay) dominated Mandarin-language production, distribution, and exhibition, supported by their circuits of Southeast Asian screens. Integration of the Cantonese companies came somewhat later. In the early 1970s, when Golden Harvest began to take off as a production house, Raymond Chow moved quickly to lease theaters. In 1980 the Golden Princess theater chain underwrote Cinema City in order to have a supply of product. When jewelry retailer Dickson Poon launched D & B Films in 1984, he secured screen space by taking over the Shaws chain.⁴

Typically a Hong Kong chain has consisted of twenty to thirty screens, dotted throughout Hong Kong island, Kowloon peninsula, and the New Territories. In 1997 there were three Chinese circuits, each holding around twenty-five screens and needing thirty to forty local films per year. Because the production company gets no more than a third of the receipts, a movie can recoup its costs only by opening on the same day over an entire circuit. And a film's life is almost always brief. Many movies play only a week, a success plays two or three weeks, and a big success plays a month. The Hong Kong film world was stunned when A Better Tomorrow (1986) ran for sixty-one days. Second runs are virtually unknown; the film goes to video very quickly.

With most films having such quick playoffs, big profits have mattered less than rapid turnover. The golden slots at Lunar New Year, July, August, and Christmas have been reserved for vehicles for Jackie Chan, Stephen Chow, and other superstars, along with feel-good family pictures. At other times exhibitors open mid-range star-based comedies or action pictures. In the early 1990s the weakest playdates were given to "break-even" films. Made for as little as HK\$3 million (US\$385,000), these movies earned skimpy theatrical returns, but, at least until the 1997–98 nosedive, producers could be fairly sure that broadcast and video sales would eventually cover costs. Just as Shaws and MP & GI formerly turned out program filler, Golden Harvest and other firms now invest in small projects chiefly to complete their release schedule and to keep cash flowing through the box office.⁵

From blockbusters to break-even items, Hong Kong achieved an enviably high and steady output for two decades.⁶ Between 1977 and 1997, Hong Kong companies released between 84 and 135 mainstream films per year. (There were also a great many pornographic movies, which sometimes rivaled the number of mainstream releases.)7 A parcel of two or three films per week gave the chains great flexibility. An exhibitor could keep the most successful films in several theaters while playing losers on a handful of screens during a second week. With so many films available, exhibitors could maintain the traditional segregation between local and imported product, reserving certain screens for Hong Kong films and others for Hollywood items.8 One problem created by the 1997-98 crisis in output was that when a Hong Kong film failed in its first week, many exhibitors had no replacement handy and were forced to bring in an American film.

Regularized production demands routinized filmmaking. There must be budgets, schedules, and all the paperwork of tracking dozens of people through a complex work process. These routines require an efficiency and speed born of craft. Tsui Hark, whose *Chinese Feast* went from script to release in two months, points out: "Making a movie doesn't take a lot of time if everybody knows what to do."⁹ Global income permits Hollywood filmmaking to be wasteful, but Hong Kong filmmakers have always placed a premium on calmly professional adherence to cost and schedule. Craft shows up onscreen in the film worker's hard-won, largely intuitive knowledge of the ways in which to accomplish the task—how to depict character in crisp, concise strokes; how to lay out a plot, intensify drama, spring a surprise; how to stage and shoot and cut a sequence to arouse excitement or sadness. A tradition gives the artisan some practical knowledge of the tried and true while also allowing room for innovation.

Whereas Hollywood directors count themselves lucky to make a film every three years, until quite recently Hong Kong filmmakers got to practice their trade constantly. Prodigality is a noble tradition here. Wang Tianlin started directing in 1950 and by 1973 had made more than 120 films; some 1950s and 1960s Cantonese directors are reputed to have finished more than 200. No one today can rival the output of the studio years, but directors still try to work fast and often. When Andrew Lau, director of the *Young and Dangerous* series, started his career, he was shooting one film in the morning and another in the afternoon.¹⁰ In the late 1990s Lau was directing as many as four films per year.

In all domains, Hong Kong business has built its success on cheap labor. Unions, Run Run Shaw's nightmare, are still absent from the film scene. Even the major players are not getting rich by Beverly Hills standards. Only the top directors can obtain profit participation in a project; most are paid a flat salary and waive any rights to earnings downstream. Some increase their earnings by becoming producers, handling their own work or that of other directors. In the mid-1990s the salaries of director, producer, and screenwriter usually added up to around HK\$1 million (US\$128,000). Stars claimed bigger paychecks. The top female performers received HK\$1-2 million per picture, the top males between HK\$2.5 million (Jacky Cheung Hok-yau) and HK\$5 million (Leslie Cheung's minimum).¹¹ So if a major male star made three films a year—a plausible schedule—he took home US\$1–\$2 million. This is very good star pay compared to Europe and the rest of Asia but unacceptably low for the United States.

Costs stay low partly because of an ideology of professionalism. The industry depends on people who are willing to plunge into a project for a fixed wage and no benefits. Part of the Hong Kong spirit is a pride in knowing that through sheer dint of effort, one has turned meager resources into something worthy. The belief that you give your all for the production—from Jackie Chan's "I risk my life for my audience" to the production assistant combing the city for a prop—instills in film workers a cool self-sufficiency and a devotion to craft.

Tsui Hark, who needs little sleep and pushes his crews to the limit, acknowledges that during production he gets no real rest: "Every night you lie down and start the movie in your head."¹² The ones who survive learn to endure the pace. Many film workers come from television, which accustomed them to short schedules and unforgiving deadlines. As in most film industries, Hong Kong companies recruit young people, who tend to work very hard for little money. Clifton Ko Chisum started straight out of high school; Shu Kei began writing scripts when he was a college student. Most directors finish their first features before they turn thirty. Jackie Chan, Eric Tsang Chi-wai, John Woo, Danny Lee, and many others debuted as directors at twenty-five. In 1996 no working director was over fifty.

Most films made anywhere today are less elegantly designed than the American studio pictures of the 1950s. Location filming, a necessity in most film industries, has leveled out many differences between the big-budget product and the small

picture. European and American technology, designed to trim costs and save time, has proved a godsend to marginal cinemas, and Hong Kong filmmakers have exploited the opportunities better than most of their rivals. The local supply house, Salon Films, has given them access to up-to-date equipment, such as lightweight cameras and portable lighting fixtures that make any setting filmable. Gyroscopically balanced camera braces have enabled low-budget filmmakers to get a professional look quite cheaply (especially now that audiences have come to tolerate shaky shots in big Hollywood productions). Local directors have used the great latitude of modern film stocks not to create muddy chiaroscuro, as in Hollywood, but to generate crisp, bright images. You can easily come to prefer Hong Kong films' brilliant images to the murky monochromatic look in fashion among U.S. cinematographers. Who would not find the luminous colors of Tsui Hark's Peking Opera Blues (1986) more attractive than the drab period palette of Woody Allen's film about theater, Bullets over Broadway (1994)? In addition, the worldwide availability of music synthesizers has allowed regional composers to create rich scores on tiny budgets, and Hong Kong has seized this opportunity. Some of the swordplay fantasies throb with virtually wall-to-wall music.

Production efficiency is enhanced by the density of population. Meetings can be scheduled on short notice, and ideas get diffused rapidly. Compactness favors not only networking but also nepotism. Just as in Hollywood, where "the son-inlaw also rises," family ties matter. One of Sammo Hung's brothers is a cameraman, another is an assistant director; his cousin is an editor. Tsui Hark's wife, Nansun Shi, was a producer and art director at Cinema City before she became Tsui's partner in Film Workshop. Like the Warners, Hong Kong brothers bond. The studios Guangyi and Shaws were run by brothers; Charles and Jimmy Heung Wa-sing oversaw Win's Entertainment. Ringo Lam's brother Nam Yin has been his principal scriptwriter. The productions of martialarts director Lau Kar-leung often featured his brothers Lau Kar-fai and Lau Kar-wing, and when they took up directing he assisted them. Without this web of relations, friends, and friends of relations, production could probably not proceed so smoothly. And as in Hollywood, even rivals and ex-friends must collaborate for mutual benefit.

EXHIBITION SETS THE tempo for production, since playdates are often established well before shooting begins. Until the late 1990s the locomotives for the year's schedule were the Jackie Chan, Stephen Chow, and Jet Li pictures, massively budgeted by local standards and likely to attract audiences overseas. Next comes the upscale comedy, drama, or action picture featuring currently bankable stars; examples would be He's a Woman, She's a Man (1994) and The Big Bullet (1996). Paralleling and overlapping this type is the prestige picture, often made with significant stars but on a moderate budget and unlikely to make money locally or regionally. The film is aimed at festivals with the hope of Western theatrical or television distribution. Many of the films of Yim Ho (Kitchen, 1997) and Stanley Kwan (Red Rose, White Rose, 1994) are prestige pictures. Below these lie the program pictures, mostly break-even propositions, although some, like the Young and Dangerous series, become top-grossers. A few films, notably those of Wong Kar-wai, cut across these tiers. Thanks to Wong's European and Japanese presales, he can use major stars and gain festival exposure for what remain, by Hong Kong standards, offbeat genre films.

With the exception of Jackie Chan's projects, in the mid-1990s a blockbuster might cost up to HK\$50 million (US\$6.4 million). At the other extreme, in 1997 the cheapest break-even production would cost HK\$3-5 million (US\$385,000-640,000). An upscale feature would run about twice that, around US\$1 million. The cast, including at least one big star, would consume at least a third of the budget, with salaries for other personnel taking about a quarter. Production and postproduction costs would consume about another quarter. Add the cost of prints (twenty-five to thirty copies at HK\$10,000 each) and advertising (HK\$1 million maximum), and the typical bottom line would be HK\$9-10 million (about US\$1.2 million). Most American studio films cost twenty times this amount, but it is close to Europe's average.13 With the 1997-98 dropoff, budgets were slashed drastically; the average film cost HK\$4 million (US\$500,000), and some were made for as little as HK\$1.5 million (US\$200,000).14

In Hollywood and Europe, financing comes from media conglomerates, banks, television companies, or government subsidy. Hong Kong films are typically bankrolled by individual investors and distribution/exhibition firms. During the 1989-1993 boom, for example, the two major Taiwanese distributors Long Shong and Scholar funded Hong Kong productions through presale of rights. Golden Harvest supplied two-thirds of its theaters' product by funding directors' companies with which they had a long relationship, buying the rest from independents.¹⁵ The distributor and investment company Media Asia contracted a few directors on a multifilm basis, to be supported by a pool of funds drawn from banks and private investors across Southeast Asia.¹⁶

A Hollywood project is almost always launched on the basis of a screenplay circulated by an

agent. The script becomes the core of a packagea producer, a director, and stars interested in the script-at which point a studio invests in script rewrites. One purchased script in ten gets filmed, and it takes several years to get to the screen. Hong Kong makes things much simpler. The project usually starts as the director's idea. The director hires a scriptwriter to help create a synopsis, and then meets with potential investors. Some powerful producer-directors, like Tsui Hark, Sammo Hung, and Wong Jing, have financing more or less in place because they have contracted with Win's, Golden Harvest, Media Asia, or similar companies to provide a certain number of films per year.¹⁷ They need only to propose a specific project.

In either case, the director can get funding and start production with only a synopsis, a budget, and a cast list. This system avoids the development hell of Hollywood's script-centered process. In Los Angeles, Terence Chang became frustrated at the number of petty meetings he had to take: "[In Hong Kong] I just went to my boss and said, 'Hey, I've got this director, this star, and I've got this budget, I can guarantee you'll have so much money in return if you make this movie,' and within half a day they would have given the green light to the picture."18 Yet basing the funding decision solely on the pitch makes the script the vaguest part of the package. If Hollywood film scripts are overmanicured, Hong Kong ones often seem slapdash, and this is because the script is usually ill-defined throughout production.

A script is very unlikely to be an adaptation from literature or drama; Clifton Ko's *I Have a Date with Spring* (1994) and Shu Kei's *Hu-Du-Men* (1996) are exceptional in deriving from plays. The chief literary source is martial-arts fiction, notably the work of Jin Yong, which has provided situations for many swordplay movies. Recently Japanese *anime* and local comic books have become sources; if the *Young and Dangerous* comic sells 40,000 copies each week, a film adaptation can tap a preexisting audience.¹⁹ Occasionally a current event makes it onscreen in fictionalized form; a sensational kidnapping involving both the triads and the People's Republic inspired *Operation Billionaires* (1998), and the case was still in the headlines when the film opened. Most often, a script's source is a genre premise, a successful Hong Kong movie, or a Hollywood movie.

Script writing has long been a fairly casual process. MP & GI (Cathay) and Shaws maintained a staff to work up scripts for the boss's approval; Wong Jing recalls that one Shaws writer turned out a script in three days.²⁰ Bruce Lee was furious that his first two films for Lo Wei had no screenplay of the sort he had encountered in Hollywood. The typical film was shot according to a rough story outline, with little indication of dialogue. Many directors, including the kung-fu master Lau Kar-leung, simply asked their players to recite numbers during takes and dubbed in lines later.²¹ The New Wave generation developed somewhat more detailed scripts, but even then a screenplay was seldom the product of a single hand. Since the early 1980s the most common screenwriting method has been "collective creation," or "brainstorming," and it is the source of some of the distinctive flavors of Hong Kong movies.

Whereas Hollywood typically passes the script from writer to writer, each one revising it until the decision-makers think it jells, Hong Kong filmmakers do not spare the time for slow development. Instead, once funding has been secured, the director calls several screenwriters to a meeting and explains the project. An open discussion ensues, with everyone tossing in ideas for scenes, character traits, and dialogue. One writer takes notes. After five or six such brainstorming sessions, a writer is charged with synthesizing the ideas and preparing a draft script. This the director reviews before launching into production.²² Cinema City refined this procedure, which became standard at Golden Harvest, Win's, and other companies. At first the companies employed a team of full-time scriptwriters; today directors fill their meetings with freelancers.

The brainstorming process is not unknown in Hollywood-Spielberg and Lucas used it with screenwriter Lawrence Kasdan on Raiders of the Lost Ark (1981)-but gathering together several "idea men" to hash out situations and gags is something of a throwback to animation studios of the 1930s. The method puts a premium on imagination and quick-wittedness, and it swiftly initiates newcomers. Sandy Shaw Lai-king, a senior scriptwriter at Win's, has mixed beginners and old hands in her sessions.²³ A policeman provided the idea for Kirk Wong's Organized Crime and Triad Bureau (1994), and by sitting in on brainstorming meetings he learned enough to become a professional scriptwriter. The Hollywood writer works in solitude and weaves a script line by line (only to see it unpicked by other hands), but Hong Kong encourages its writers to become gregarious founts of story ideas, for whom considerations of fine texture are secondary. Striking situations or gimmicks are most important, connective tissue comes next, and dialogue runs a distant third. Here is one source of the delirious and outlandish scenes that so appeal to Western fans: a group of writers, usually quite young, try to outdo other filmmakers (and one another) in coming up with arresting incidents that must somehow be hooked up into a plot.

Aspiring Hollywood scriptwriters are usually taught to create a "three-act" script, planting the key "plot points" at one-quarter and three-quarters of the film's length. This schema is virtually unknown in Hong Kong. Instead, director and scenarists work within the ineluctable constraints of exhibition. Hong Kong movies must be 90–100 minutes long because theaters seek to maximize the number of shows. If the film runs around an hour and a half, six screenings can be squeezed in between noon and midnight.²⁴ This limitation on running time has provided a template for plot construction.

A movie running around ninety-five minutes consists of nine or ten reels of film, and in the mid-1970s Hong Kong filmmakers began to structure the action reel by reel. They outlined the plot so that the film would have a vigorous first reel, a major climax in the fourth reel, another climax in the sixth or seventh, and an overwhelming dénouement in the last reel or two.²⁵ Then, to keep things lively between the high points, they made sure that each reel was packed with gags, chases, and fights, however tangentially related to the main action. This strategy became second nature to scriptwriters; as Clifton Ko puts it, "It's virtually in our blood."²⁶

At first glance, this compulsion to flood the audience with attractions seems to betray excessive anxiety. According to Ko, the fourth and seventh reel had to "keep them in their seats."²⁷ We might object that once people have spent half an hour in the theater, they are unlikely to leave. Perhaps the specter of indifferent or hostile midnight audiences compelled filmmakers to pump up interest at every moment.

Today many creators do not plot the film reel by reel, but there is still little pressure for complete and detailed scripting. Most films begin shooting without detailed screenplays. The story, given in broad outline for planning the physical production, gets fleshed out and refined during filming. Key dialogue is not fully written—hence "flying paper" on the set, as actors arrive and are given lines to memorize while being made up. Sometimes plot resolutions are left hanging.²⁸ Peter Chan put off solving major script problems on *Who's the Woman, Who's the Man*? (1996) until the very last day of shooting.²⁹

The Hollywood ideal for a mid-range picture is 80 to 100 days of shooting, ten hours a day, but most shoots run over schedule. Needless to say, Hong Kong production is more pressurized. Each workday runs twelve to fifteen hours. During the high times of the late 1980s and early 1990s, the average shoot consumed up to 80 days, and elaborate martial-arts films needed up to 110 shooting days (still brief by high-end U.S. standards). Producers mounting a coproduction in China had to plan on a lengthy stay, since the crews on loan from regional studios tended to work more slowly than Hong Kong staff.³⁰

After the belt-tightening of the mid-1990s, shooting a mid-range picture consumed only twenty to thirty days.³¹ (Interestingly, this is about the production schedule of the high-end Cantonese studio pictures of the 1950s and 1960s.)³² A more elaborate action picture or a big-budget star vehicle would take up to forty shooting days, while the lowest budget movie would be filmed in sixteen days or less. Shu Kei, scrambling to fill a golden-slot playdate, took only eleven days to film the 106-minute *Love Amoeba Style* (1997). Armed with an unusually complete script, Cha Chuen-yee filmed *Once upon a Time in Triad Society* (1996) in ten days, which included location work in Japan.³³

Most productions, even break-even ones, use a crew of thirty or more people, with a few assis-

tants for the lighting supervisor and the cinematographer (who usually operates the camera). Until very recently all dialogue was dubbed in after editing, so there was no sound unit on the set. But since the dialogue might be finalized during shooting, with the director feeding the actors their lines, the dubbers needed a "guide track" recording what the performers actually said. So the staff member in charge of keeping track of what had been shot-the "script supervisor," in American parlance—would tape the actors' lines with a microcassette recorder. Sometimes the actors taped themselves, hiding the recorder in their laps. Chow Yun-fat is remembered as being very skillful at switching on the recorder while driving a car and firing a pistol at the same time.³⁴ Now many films use direct ("sync") sound, although some dialogue stretches are post-dubbed.

Regardless of the budget, all productions cut certain corners. There is no time to prepare storyboards, those comic-strip sketches that help Hollywood crews execute complex scenes. There is very little rehearsal; each actor goes into make-up with that day's dialogue pages and comes out with the lines memorized. The director will have to explain the scene's overall shape just before the shots are taken.

During the 1980s and early 1990s directors prepared action scenes in considerable detail. The trampolines and wires that allow characters to hurtle through space require a fine-tuning that was seldom devoted to characterization and line delivery. Whereas a dialogue scene might be shot perfunctorily, a gunfight or kung-fu bout would need several days. The stunning combat on the ladders in *Once upon a Time in China* was filmed over two weeks. It was broken into segments and shot chronologically, with the martial-arts choreographers and Tsui Hark checking each day's footage and planning the next day's action on the basis of the best takes.³⁵ In recent years, financial pressures have forced directors to work faster, but they still lavish most of their time on action scenes. The climax of *Rock n' Roll Cop* (1994) takes place near the villages on the Mainland border. The chase shows men handcuffed together hurling themselves down hillsides, dodging bullets in marshlands, fistfighting in boats, and slashing at each other with cleavers in dusty streets. To film the scene, Kirk Wong's crew worked three days, ten to twelve hours each day.

Location shooting has long been a necessity. A few productions have the budget to build sets, but most scenes will be set in actual offices, apartments, streets, and bars. To cope with the vagaries of locations, the crew relies on well-tried craft habits. Short focal-length lenses are favored for interiors, since they cover a wide field of view. Bounce lighting supplies a serviceable if often flat illumination, although atmospheric shots will be streaked with transparent shadow, courtesy of fast filmstocks from Fuji and Eastman. Night scenes are often saturated in blue light or filmed through blue gels, then brightened by a splash of orange or ruby red. Location shooting puts a premium on shooting fast and getting out, so handheld camerawork, which saves time in shifting around the set, has become very popular. A handheld approach can yield thirty to fifty setups a day.36

Filming on location is riddled with problems. If neighbors complain, the police will order the filmmakers out, and triad gangs interrupt filming with demands for protection money. So filmmakers favor out-of-the-way sites. Since the early 1980s, action scenes have often been shot in vacant lots, construction sites, parking ramps, and inconspicuous alleyways. Setting off fires and explosions is illegal in Hong Kong, so pyrotechnic scenes are often staged in remote areas or on deserted stretches of highway. Filmmakers are on the lookout for a building slated for demolition always to be found in this city of perpetual rebuilding—so they can redecorate the interiors before blowing it up.

Often, however, the scene requires a populated area. Suppose the plot calls for a car chase down a busy Kowloon street. Until recently, the police would grant a permit, although the filming period would be restricted to two hours at most. For *The Final Option* (1994) Gordon Chan had only fifteen minutes to film a 7:30 a.m. chase, but he was allowed to return to the location a week later and repeat the scene—over another fifteen minutes.³⁷

Well before shooting, the director and assistants will visit the location and map out the action. On the day of the shoot, cast and crew will rehearse their moves for several hours before the area has filled with civilians. At the moment of filming, streets will be blocked off, traffic rerouted, and banners hung to warn people that shooting is in progress. Several cameras will probably be used, largely because the scene will probably not be played out more than twice. How much time would a crew have to shoot a ten-minute urban action scene? "Twenty minutes," grins low-budget director and cinematographer Herman Yau.38 Facing ever-tightening budgets, directors are driven to guerrilla tactics. For Full Alert (1997), Ringo Lam filmed a tense pursuit through the arterials of Hong Kong island during five days of traffic. Without blocking off roads or obtaining official permission, he managed to film cars whizzing between trams and flipping fatally over.³⁹

Actors may perform in some action scenes, but doing so is risky; filming *Dragon Inn* (1992) in China, Brigitte Lin was struck by an arrow and

had to return to Hong Kong for surgery.⁴⁰ Not even Jackie Chan executes all his own stunts. Crews include stunt doubles as well as "movement doubles," who handle special tasks like vaulting leaps and wielding weaponry.⁴¹ Stunt experts not only substitute for the stars but also play all the hapless triads, swordsmen, and Shaolin disciples mown down. Most have training in martial arts and Peking Opera techniques, so they can embellish their falls with somersaults and corkscrew spins. Hollywood stunt people break their landings with airbags and high-technology cushions, but Hong Kong filmmakers make do with mattresses piled on top of cardboard boxesa technique learned, everyone will tell you, from watching Robert Wise shoot The Sand Pebbles (1965) in Hong Kong. Stuntman-turned-director Stanley Tong, who has broken his shoulder, ribs, knees, and elbow, ruefully recalls: "I've had my whole body set on fire and gone through a windshield, I've been hit by cars going thirty miles an hour, jumped from a helicopter onto a moving train in Supercop and off a bridge 90 feet high, driven a moving car that exploded while I was inside, and I jumped from shore onto a speedboat in A Better Tomorrow II."42 The stunt actor who gets injured can usually expect little compensation.

The action choreographer is one of the most important members of the team and may well display more cinematic creativity than the official director. In an expansion of Hollywood's "secondunit" practices, the named director often supervises only the dialogue scenes and leaves the staging and filming of fights to the choreographer. Without storyboards or a shot-by-shot script, often without any shot lists, the action choreographer and the supervising director have become resourceful craftsmen who plan how to use the location and then move quickly through the scene. There is little time to try out a dozen angles or alternative ways of shooting a sequence. The director walks onto the site, confirms the best setups for different phases of the action, and with the help of the script supervisor keeps track of how the bits will cut together.

The Young and Dangerous series offers a clear instance of some ways in which recent belt-tightening has shaped craft practice and visual style. The films are shot very fast; Young and Dangerous 4 was finished, from shooting through postproduction, in twenty-five days. Andrew Lau serves as both director and cinematographer. He rehearses his actors before they go to location, and he films in flowing handheld takes that can be cut together easily. As a result he can finish a lengthy scene in three to six hours, a brief dialogue in thirty minutes. Exploiting direct sound, he uses wireless microphone booms. He relies on wide-angle lenses to make composition easier, and he uses handheld lighting fixtures that can move with his camera. Sometimes offscreen actors will hold the lights.

Postproduction, the assembly and polishing phase, proceeds as swiftly as one would expect. Hollywood's ideal postproduction schedule is five to six months (now sometimes compressed to three for summer blockbusters), but Hong Kong filmmakers can assemble their films in two or three weeks, and never need more than two months. Computer-based editing systems, which can greatly speed up assembly, are seldom used here because of their expense, and because, one suspects, filmmakers are so used to cutting in their minds that they don't need them.

Once cut, the film is dubbed. Dubbed sound became entrenched during the late 1960s, giving birth to a cadre of "voice doublers" who sang or spoke for famous stars. The tradition continued when Jackie Chan, Sammo Hung, and Andy Lau hired professional doublers to deliver their dialogue, thus saving time and giving more polished line readings. Dubbing also allowed filmmakers to make last-minute changes. Tsui Hark taught younger directors that dialogue could be radically altered in postproduction; he sometimes gives new lines to actors whose lips aren't moving.

Since dialogue is not recorded during shooting (and often not written in advance), Hong Kong filmmakers have relied on conveying information visually. Directors can hustle actors around a set with no worry that they will move out of microphone range, and the editor can freely insert shots without regard for continuous dialogue. Shu Kei suggests that the fast cutting tempo of the 1980s sprang partly from the lack of direct sound.⁴³ Today, with local audiences starting to regard direct sound as a mark of quality, some filmmakers are using longer takes for dialogue scenes. Long takes are also a production economy, since if they are carefully rehearsed they can yield more footage per shooting day.

When the first cut is ready the director sends a videotape of it to the composer. Well into the 1980s, Hong Kong films were lifting music from phonograph records or other films. With the advent of electronic synthesizers and sequencers, composers have written, orchestrated, and recorded their own scores. The composer may have less than a week to come up with the film's entire music track. After the director has made suggestions for changes, the composer mixes the final score onto digital audio tape or a music CD.

The films are usually released with subtitles in Chinese and English, so cinematographers try to avoid putting important information too low in the frame. Hong Kong subtitles, as we've seen, provide great pleasure to the Western fan, but they were not always so clumsy. Shaw Brothers

and Golden Harvest had their own subtitling departments, whose results were checked by native English-speakers. In the mid-1970s, with the revival of Cantonese-language film and a burst of independent production, there appeared tiny companies, often family businesses, to which filmmakers could farm out the task of making subtitles. The same routine is followed today. The firm receives an audiocassette of the film's dialogue. Employees-often high-school students in the family-transcribe the Cantonese and translate it into English. The firm typesets and shoots the titles and sends them back to be spliced into the film.⁴⁴ The whole process takes no more than two or three days and costs the equivalent of a few hundred U.S. dollars. No wonder that there are recurring grammatical howlers (using the negative for the affirmative, as in "Don't you have AIDS?") and a reluctance to translate song lyrics. And no wonder that in one film "Heil Hitler" becomes "Hi. Hitler." Since the subtitler doesn't see the film, signs, inter-titles, and written messages usually to go uncaptioned. The subtitler also may have to guess from the soundtrack whether to use "he," "she," "you," and "I," because the subject of a sentence is often elided in Chinese. So even if a woman is holding a pistol, the subtitle may translate an onlooker's warning as "He's got a gun."

The same indifference to niceties of translation is revealed in the fact that the English-language title of a Hong Kong film is almost never equivalent to the Cantonese one. *The Tyrant Flowers of the Godly Courageous Flying Tigers* (1989) becomes *The Inspector Wears Skirts 2*. Sometimes the original title would carry little meaning in English, rooted as it is in traditional symbolism. The title *The Chinese Feast* (1995) is more significant to a Westerner than is *Gold Jade Full Hall* (the film's two stars had already been associated with jade

and gold in an earlier title). Some English titles are drawn from American pop songs (Always on My Mind, 1993; I've Got You Babe, 1994). The difference between the Cantonese and the English titles may alter the way we approach the film. Call a movie Two Blood-Spattered Heroes (1989) and you weight Chow Yun-fat and Danny Lee equally, but by giving it the English title The Killer John Woo put Chow at the center. The English title can be concocted by anyone-producer, director, distributor, flunky-and nobody cares much about the result, as can be seen from inadvertently Dadaist titles like Kung Fu vs. Acrobatic (1990), Burning Sensation (1989), Killing Me Hardly (1997), Temptation Summary (1990), Raped by an Angel 2: The Uniform Fan (1998), and Double Fattiness (1988).

Before theater prints are struck, the film must be submitted to censorship. A government censorship body was established in 1953 and proved quite liberal with respect to sex and violence. Even so, during 1980-81 the authorities demanded excisions in a batch of particularly bloody films, most notably Tsui Hark's anarchic Dangerous Encounter-First Kind (1980). Ringo Lam's On Fire action pictures were significantly cut, as was Woo's Hard Boiled (1992).45 Politics was a much more sensitive area. Censors banned Shu Shuen's China Behind (1974) for showing the depredations of the Cultural Revolution. After 1977, the Television and Entertainment Licensing Authority operated with a policy that no film could be shown which damaged "good relations with other territories." This effectively outlawed films critical of China: the Taiwanese import The Coldest Winter in Peking (1981) was withdrawn after a few screenings. As a result, Hong Kong filmmakers either steered away from criticizing China or did so in oblique ways (as in Clara Law's Farewell China, 1989). After the Asian Wall Street Journal disclosed that

censors probably had no legal power to ban films, a film-censorship law was passed in 1988, and it included a provision reserving the right to forbid a film that might offend other nations.⁴⁶

The 1988 law also created a ratings system. Category I designated pictures suitable for all viewers; Category II items were declared unsuitable for children; and Category III films were forbidden to any viewers under age eighteen.47 From one angle, this was a way to admit controversial foreign films such as *The Last Temptation of Christ* (1988), but, like other prohibitions, it served to encourage the unwanted behavior. Now that an over-eighteen audience had been defined, peripheral companies began cranking out low-budget pornography and gorefests. By 1992 about half of the films released in Hong Kong were Category III items, aimed mostly at the local market. Today, with video pornography widely available, Category III items are less common, but there remains one circuit of theaters willing to play erotic films.

In all film industries, postproduction often races down to the wire. Tsui Hark's Once upon a Time in China II (1992) was produced in two months. Obliged to deliver prints for late-night screenings on a Saturday, Tsui was still shooting on Monday. The final version was submitted to the censors on Thursday, and a laboratory was printing reels two hours before the screening. Chungking Express went to its midnight shows with one reel missing. (No one noticed.) Postproduction work can continue after the premieres. Although today's films are seldom revised in the light of midnight previews, Peter Chan discovered some rough patches in Comrades, Almost a Love Story (1996), so he remixed one reel and changed all copies in the week before the film's general release.48

Since an extensive publicity campaign would be wasted in a small market like this, currently promotion is calculated at 5% or less of the film's anticipated box office. Trailers in theaters, posters on the subway, and newspaper advertisements are the most common means of announcing the film, with some use made of radio but very little of television. Gala premieres at major venues, followed by midnight shows a week before the film opens, suffice to summon up word of mouth. Brash entrepreneurs like Wong Jing launch publicity well before the film is shot, planting stories about the plot and stars and inviting the press to interview the actors.⁴⁹ But the massive merchandising blitzes of Hollywood remain alien to Hong Kong. There are no tie-ins with fast-food franchises or toy manufacturers. Jackie Chan started a shop selling Jet Li key chains, Bruce Lee rulers, and other Golden Harvest merchandise, but the business collapsed. At most, there are fan-oriented ephemera, mostly photo cards and souvenir magazines featuring teen idols. In recent years CDs of movie soundtracks have inched into the market, especially when the film features pop stars or hit tunes.

U.S. companies now consider their films to be "asset packages"—seldom profitable in theatrical showings but capable of generating revenues through spin-offs to other media. Classic films like *Casablanca* and *Star Wars* can be milked indefinitely, through whatever media platforms may be available in the future. No Hong Kong movie has achieved this longevity. Once the film has appeared in video formats and perhaps spun off a novelization or a soundtrack album, its chief value lies in its power to generate sequels. Hence the great many "franchise series" and copies. With virtually no other way to create a presold property, the follow-up to a successful film is seen as less risky than an original project. IT IS EASY to admire the film workers who labor under mass-production conditions. Yet at bottom how can films churned out within such constraints be artistically interesting? With Bergman or Tarkovsky each film can be a long-pondered personal statement—indeed, this is a founding convention of "festival cinema"—but a Hong Kong director driven to make two or three films a year scarcely has time to figure out the day's thirty camera setups. He or she must fall back on standardized routines, guided by an intuitive sense of craft. How do we tell craftsmanship from hack work? And how can craft ever produce something worth calling art?

The rest of this book is devoted to probing these problems, but consider two quick examples. We have seen that recent Hong Kong films have adopted a bobbing-and-weaving handheld filming style because it is fast and cheap. Like any technique, this can be exploited for subtle effects. In one shot of *Young and Dangerous 4* (1997), a young thug wants to make Chicken lose face in front of his friends. In a single shot Andrew Lau's camera steers us quietly through the dialogue, minutely shifting its angle as each of Chicken's friends tries to sway his decision (Figs. 5.1–5.6). This is finesse in the service of point and effect—in other words, artistry.

A more complex display of craftsmanship involves traditions of staging and cutting. The Hollywood director typically shoots a scene first from a single camera position (the "master shot") and then retakes portions from other angles ("coverage"). We have seen this at work in the dialogue from *Heat* (Figs. 1.10–1.16). By contrast, Hong Kong directors employ a technique called "segment shooting" (more confusingly known as "shooting from cut to cut"). The 1960s swordplay and kungfu films usually had no detailed shooting scripts,



5.1 Young and Dangerous 4: Chicken is in the foreground, and a young triad offscreen right is boastfully saying he'll free a captive woman if Chicken begs and calls him Daddy.



5.4 Rack focus and pan slightly back to Chicken. He asks the thug to let the girl go.



5.2 Chicken's pal leans over as the camera pans: "You can't do that. Let's go."



5.5 Pan slightly to pick up the thug: "Call me Daddy."



5.3 The camera shifts slightly to center and rack focus to Shuk-fan, who says only, "Chicken," reminding him of his duty.



5.6 Chicken accedes, and as the thug laughs in victory the camera moves back slightly to show the reactions of Chicken's friends.

so action scenes were worked out on the set. To save time, filmmakers rehearsed the combats in short segments. After each fighter practiced the action, the director settled on camera angles. The instructor might prepare an exchange of blows, a decisive kick, and the hurling of opponent across the room, all to be presented in three medium shots, the last one perhaps ending with a zoom back to a long view. The shots would be filmed in sequence, changing the camera setup for each bit of action. Then the choreographer and the performers would turn to the next segment of the fight. There could be no master shot because the scene was never played out in its entirety. Most martial-arts choreographers who became directors adopted this method, and it was still being used into the 1990s.⁵⁰

What might seem to be a slapdash way of proceeding became efficient routine. Hours spent polishing a script did not yield the tangible benefits of hours spent practicing and shooting vigorous combat. Of course, segment shooting is laborintensive, but labor was something the Hong Kong studios had fairly cheaply. Segment shooting also paid artistic dividends, yielding both variety and visual exactness. Whereas the master shot/ coverage method tends to alternate between similar setups, segment shooting presents a rich range of camera positions, each one highlighting a certain piece of action, as in the scramble around the bedroom in Peking Opera Blues (Figs. 1.2-1.5). Segment shooting soon became a common procedure in comedies and dramas.

Craft routines born of economic pressures, then, can yield stylistic rewards.⁵¹ But don't those pressures limit the film's value in the long run? Doesn't quantity inevitably drive down quality? Actually, rapid-turnover, high-output cinema tends to provide not *low* quality but a *range* of quality. Most films will be negligible, and many will be just fair. Yet some will probably be good, and a few may be excellent. How can this happen?

For one thing, a mass-output industry can give the most talented personnel a chance to work steadily and become masters. Yasujiro Ozu made more than forty films before he directed Tokyo Story (1953), John Ford more than eighty before tackling Stagecoach (1939). Middling talents as well benefit from practicing their trade frequently, exploring different avenues and perhaps hitting upon genres or subjects that call forth their best. Even the hacks, the ones Hollywood calls traffic cops, must acquire the bare rudiments of craft. And apprentices learn from working with all. One reason today's Hollywood films look so unimaginative, sometimes barely competent, is that there are too many directors for hire and too many firsttime directors anxious to work cheaply. A director has little chance to improve through constant practice.

In addition, a high-output industry encourages a prodigality of ideas. Hong Kong has no Stanley Kubricks, fiddling with a project for a decade. This system selects for the likes of Tsui Hark or Wong Jing, who come up with so many ideas for movies that they must farm directing chores out to others. Most of these ideas are likely to be bad ones, but simply having many balls in the air stimulates the community, and some of the ideas turn out well.

For this interchange to work, filmmakers must swipe from each other. Make a film called *01:00 a.m.* (1995), and someone is sure to make *02:00 a.m.* (January 1997) and *03:00 a.m.* (November 1997). The locals see Ralph Nelson's *Once a Thief* (1965), starring Alain Delon, and knock the plot off for *Story of a Discharged Prisoner* (1967). This version becomes the basis of *A Better Tomorrow* (1986), which yields two sequels. Soon the director of *A Better Tomorrow* makes a caper comedy he calls... *Once a Thief* (1991). He likes the title so much that he uses it again on a Canadian TV series he produces.

The rapid recycling of ideas can create its own form of competitive achievement. Hong Kong is famous for running a genre or fad into the ground, but before burnout takes place intriguing things can happen. In the gambling cycle launched by Wong Jing in 1989, God of Gamblers proved a spectacularly popular entry. Other filmmakers pastiched and parodied it, and Wong Jing himself provided sequels, a prequel, and send-ups. What emerged was less a string of individual movies than a free-floating bank of images, characters, themes, tunes, and gimmicks-a method of cheating, a physical tic-on which any entry could draw. Each movie, in striving to outdo its predecessors, was forced to revamp the basic données. The God of Gamblers cycle exemplifies the sort of competition-driven ingenuity we find in the 1930s British detective story, where Marjorie Allingham and John Dickson Carr cheerfully tried to surpass Christie or Sayers in finding least-likely killers and new ways to commit locked-room murders.

Such variations on a hit also remind us that it is misleading to call movie companies film factories and assembly lines. The film industry trades on prototypes, not replicas. Not even the *God of Gamblers* ripoffs are true clones. Because movies are made by serial manufacture, each one is a distinct challenge, to be met with all the skills the makers can muster. A better analogy than the factory might be the acting troupe obliged to open a new play every month, or the Renaissance workshop, with artisans commissioned to paint murals (even very banal ones). In a film studio, the production process is highly rationalized and depends upon sophisticated technology, but it remains genuine creation, not the mindless minting of copies.

Likewise, film workers are not mere automatons carrying out others' will. Sometimes directors take suggestions from their staff. Powerful workers, those with track records and clout, can affect the production process. And division of labor can foster graceful collaborative effort. For example, a director often builds up a trusted team, usually a small cadre of writers, cinematographers, composers, editors, and performers. Ford, Renoir, Ozu, Kurosawa, Hitchcock, Hawks, Sirk, and many other directors created such teams. Reliable collaborators need not be puppets; they bring unique gifts to the process and make contributions the director could not have anticipated. A studio-based system can be hospitable to the director's cadre, if only because administrators can plan that X, Y, and Z will be routinely assigned to certain projects. Some film industries, such as Japan's in the 1930s, put the production process securely in the hands of the director's team, with the producer okaying the project initially and then passing judgment on it at the end. This decentralized approach to production is one common way in which popular filmmaking can get the best out of its talents while also meeting its fiscal responsibilities.

Hong Kong has long balanced collective creation with business demands in just this way. Although Run Run Shaw claimed to oversee every production personally, his directors Chang Cheh and Lau Kar-leung were able to assemble creative teams and push the martial-arts film in fresh directions. Once production became more decentralized during the kung-fu boom of the 1970s, the demand for rapid output discouraged producers' interference. Today, the speed with which a project can be started gives the director a great deal of control over the film—partly because the investment levels are fairly low, but also because the director has established a record for turning in acceptable work on time and within budget. Granted, most directors have not pushed their opportunities as far as they might, but the latitude yielded by Hong Kong's cadre system has produced King Hu, Tsui Hark, Wong Kar-wai, Johnnie To Kei-fung, and other innovative filmmakers.

Perhaps more vividly than Hollywood, Hong Kong films illustrate a dialectic between constraint and freedom that is characteristic of popular cinema. At the outset, demands of genre, casting, financing, and cost-cutting set stringent limits. Within those limits, however, and exactly because the industry is so small-scale, there is a stimulating looseness-plotting by brainstorming and improvisation on the set; incorporating lastminute ideas during cutting and dubbing; an openness to accident yielded by location filming; chances to push conventions of staging and shooting in fresh directions; provocative revisions of plot and genre; ever more elaborate manipulations of the human body created by challenging the performers to outdo themselves.

We now have a better sense where the films of the 1980s and 1990s got that audacious brio that wins fans' hearts. The makers could concentrate on becoming proficient in a very restricted batch of genres, and a vein of local talent could execute risky and picturesque stunts suitable for them. Exports supported rising budgets, and filmmakers concentrated on prolonged and spectacular setpieces in the hope that something new and outrageous might impress midnight audiences. Perhaps most important, there was keen competition. With so many films on the market, creators had to offer many twists on familiar material. The variorum nature of popular tradition pushed them to copy, amplify, and invert every rival innovation. At brainstorming sessions, collaborators were goaded to push an idea further; on the set, actors and martial artists had to surpass what they and their peers had already done. Hence gratuitously wild scenes—a little girl and her dad shooting an enemy (*Gun Men*), chickens raining down during a firefight (*The Outlaw Brothers*), or a martial combat on ladders (*Once upon a Time in China*) or on the heads of the onlookers (*Fong Sai-yuk*, 1993).

The profusion of ideas also suggests that here popular cinema flourished because many of its creators became addicted to the rush of making it. Steady, high-speed production originates in purely economic need, but it can quickly become a game pursued for its own sake, a competition/cooperation among professionals who want to excel. The result is a product for the market, but the creative reward, and perhaps the greatest value, comes from honing a craft one loves. No other cinemacertainly not Hollywood or most European filmmaking-conveys so well the pure nervous exhilaration of making a movie. A Hollywood producer says that he now turns out films "to keep the library fresh, to build an asset base, so when you sell your company you can sell it for more."52 Hong Kong filmmakers, with no asset base to speak of, tend to be in the business as much for love as for money. They take keen pleasure in creating films, talking about films, speculating about films. The bravura onscreen comes partly from filmmakers who strive to work at the top of their game.

This guilt-free zest is visible in that quaint convention whereby the final credits unroll over outtakes and bloopers from the shooting. No modernist reflexivity here. Anachronistic by international standards (they recall the tiresome blooper credits of 1970s Burt Reynolds movies), these montages announce the films' eagerness to please, trading on the performers' endearing embarrassment when gags or stunts or solemn moments fail to come off. The credits celebrate the strenuous effort and cheerful informality of Hong Kong showmanship.

Mass-output filming demands regularized production, but this need not lower quality. Speed, efficiency, tight budgets, shameless commercialism, networking, commitment to tradition (even cliché), ingenuity in working variations on familiar material, and a contagious enthusiasm for the very act of putting together a piece of entertainment all can sustain filmmakers in their dedication to craft. And in popular filmmaking, craft is the source of a great deal that is good.

A Chinese Feast: Tsui Hark

In a cement-block complex smelling of deepfrying and cigarette smoke, Film Workshop has its quarters. The offices are spacious but spartan: no mahogany executive suite, no plush screening rooms. One room is all gray cubicles. In a large loft next door several young men, surrounded by Post-Its and pinned-up character drawings, hunch over computers tweaking the animated feature *A Chinese Ghost Story*. The flashiest spot is the reception area, with its gleaming Film Workshop logo, a blowup from *Once upon a Time in China*, and a cartoon mural of the Workshop staff, with its leader sitting at the center, frowning behind dark glasses and a long cigar.

Tsui Hark (pronounced *chui haak*) moves swiftly from meeting to meeting before settling down for an interview. He is slender, with glowing eyes, a famous goatee, and a forbidding demeanor. He gives the impression of a coiled spring. This is the man who can shoot for thirty-six hours straight, who can cut, dub, mix, subtitle, and print a film in five days and still be making "small revisions" four hours before a midnight show.¹ He responds to questions courteously and at length, but seldom directly; thoughts spin off in a dozen directions. Tsui is an intense talker who conveys both the pragmatism of a producer and the energy of a director.

There are two versions of what happened to Hong Kong film during the 1980s, and he is central to both. In the optimistic version, Tsui was the key innovator and modernizer. His first feature, The Butterfly Murders (1979), was a revisionist martial-arts film; We're Going to Eat You (1980) brought something of the wildness of 1970s American exploitation to a tale of cannibalism; and even the censored version of Dangerous Encounter-First Kind (1980) offered blistering criticism of social inequities in the colony. According to Li Cheuk-to, All the Wrong Clues (For the Right Solution) (1981) "made modern, streamlined comedies about high life a staple of the Hong Kong cinema."² Zu: Warriors from the Magic Mountain (1983) brought Hollywood special effects to a quasi-mystical swordplay fantasy.

After forming his independent company Film Workshop, Tsui launched a firecracker string of films that were frantic, colorful, and triumphantly new. As producer and director, he pioneered heroic gunplay (*A Better Tomorrow*, 1986), historical adventure (*Peking Opera Blues*, 1986; *Gun Men*, 1988; *The Raid*, 1991), and futuristic fantasy (*Roboforce*, 1988; *Wicked City*, 1992). He revived and updated the Shanghai comedy (*Shanghai Blues*, 1984), the ghost romance (*A Chinese Ghost Story*, 1987), the swordplay fantasy (the *Swordsman*) series, 1990–1993; *Green Snake*, 1993), and the kungfu drama (the *Once upon a Time in China* series, 1991–1997; *Iron Monkey*, 1993). In 1997 he remade *A Chinese Ghost Story* as an animated feature.

Tsui consolidated the slick look and the vulgar, freewheeling imagination that became the hallmarks of Hong Kong cinema for world film culture. From Film Workshop came bloodbaths of male honor and sacrifice, kung-fu duels on flaming posts and oil-slicked floors, cross-dressing swordfighters and bisexual lords of the underworld, giant tongues and rampaging oversize clocks and shotgun-blasting women in trench coats—all the outrageous splendors that regaled Western fans and, on many occasions, won big box office throughout East Asia. By this reckoning, Tsui has been the most farsighted creative force of the past twenty years.

According to the other version of 1980s history, Tsui epitomizes the lost promise of the New Wave. His first three films flung down challenges to mainstream cinema, but all were box-office disasters. So, the story goes, Tsui lost interest in social provocation and channeled his volcanic energy into noisy lowbrow entertainment. He recycled old movies and tired genres while shamelessly copying Hollywood trends; not for nothing is he called the Steven Spielberg of Hong Kong. Worse,

say his critics, having created Film Workshop as a haven for independents, he turned into a meddlesome producer. He recut Woo's A Better Tomorrow and took over Yim Ho's King of Chess (1992); some say he tried to overhaul The Killer (1989). Ching Siu-tung was credited as director of A Chinese Ghost Story, but Tsui shot and edited many of the dramatic scenes. He arranged for King Hu's comeback project The Swordsman, but the two disagreed so strongly that Hu left the production. In this version of history, Tsui pulled the New Wave into the mainstream, dumbing down local cinema and leaving no niche for personal filmmaking. Ambitious directors stifled their own inclinations and obediently stamped out Tsui Hark product. No surprise that he would keep trying to make movies in Hollywood, from The Master (belatedly released in 1992) to Double Team (1997) and Knock Off (1998).³

It is true that Tsui was never one to work modestly and patiently. His output—as of late 1998, he had directed twenty-six features and produced another two dozen—certainly stems from a temperament that needs to move at full throttle. It seems that he finds the act of making the film more satisfying than the product. He prefers Hong Kong to Hollywood, he says, because here while he's shooting one film he can be planning his next. He also likes to act in films directed by others. He has made "benefit films," like *Twin Dragons* (1992), a Jackie Chan vehicle he codirected to aid the Directors' Guild, and *The Banquet* (1991), a comedy that raised money for Chinese flood victims.

It is also true that Tsui sees his collaborators as assistants. "Ideas come easy to me": so easy that he can't direct all the films he dreams up. Once he has got financing for a project, he controls his productions by overseeing brainstorming sessions and creating a "production diary" detailing how the ideas should be carried out. Although some Film Workshop projects have explored offbeat styles, such as Daniel Lee Yan-gong's *Black Mask* (1996), most look very close to the Tsui prototype.

Tsui's pragmatism and hands-on approach guarantee a marketable product. He believes that in the Hong Kong industry anyone who doesn't churn out new work will fall by the wayside. He noticed in the 1970s that television was constantly threatening cinema because it was offering freer creative circumstances to young talent like himself. Tsui recognized that only constant innovation could keep the industry healthy. The sort of innovation he had in mind was probably not too radical; he has criticized the New Wave for having technical expertise but little commercial sense.⁴ Dangerous Encounter, still in many ways his most experimental film, was something of an exception. The Butterfly Murders and We're Going to Eat You were flamboyant genre revisions, closer to the mainstream than the more veristic exercises of Allen Fong and Ann Hui. Though nonconformist in certain ways, Tsui's earliest efforts display his eternal penchant for grotesquerie and vulgar comedy, both solid Hong Kong traditions. He would have been unlikely to lead the New Wave toward radical avant-gardism.

Tsui is one of the few Hong Kong directors to be a true film brat. "I am a crazy movie freak."⁵ He was born in Vietnam in 1951 and started making super-8mm films at age thirteen. When he got out of high school he spent two years at loose ends, watching three or four movies a day. After graduating from the film program at Southern Methodist University in Dallas, he worked briefly in New York before returning to Hong Kong in 1977. He quickly began directing for television, and the success of his *Gold Dagger Romance* series led to *The Butterfly Murders*. Tsui called the film "a futuristic costume drama," cannily aiming at both fans of martial arts and young people wanting something more modern.⁶ Tsui set himself severe technical problems, like evoking thousands of swarming butterflies and lighting lengthy scenes in caverns. Shot in Taiwan, *The Butterfly Murders* is far more polished than most late 1970s Hong Kong films.

After two more commercial failures, Tsui made All the Wrong Clues for Cinema City. It was a tame spoof, but it showed great technical bravado. Avoiding the flat lighting and casual set design of Cantonese comedies, Tsui experimented with saturated comic-book colors and amusingly exaggerated chiaroscuro. All the Wrong Clues was one of 1981's top-grossing films and earned Tsui the chance to make Zu: Warriors from the Magic Mountain. Golden Harvest gave him an unprecedented HK\$30 million budget to import specialeffects experts from the United States. The result, however, was quite un-Hollywood in its strident color design. The film attracted less than HK\$16 million at the box office and sent Tsui diving for safety, directing the third installment of Cinema City's Aces Go Places franchise, Our Man from Bond Street (1984). Tsui brought a Pop-Art sensibility to the sets and played with ambitious robot effects. He pledged himself to entertainment. "We can't make mature films all the time. Sometimes it's fun to be stupid."7

Our Man from Bond Street was the top hit of 1984 and gave Tsui the power to set up Film Workshop. His wife, Nansun Shi, a Cinema City executive who also designed the sets for *Our Man from Bond Street*, joined him as a producer. *Shanghai Blues* (1984) was the first Film Workshop release, but the firm's major successes came two years later, with *A Better Tomorrow* and *Peking Opera Blues*. Both placed in the year's top ten pictures, and they proved hugely popular in Japan, Taiwan, and Korea. Film Workshop was now able to obtain financing from overseas, boosting budgets to between HK\$7 million and \$30 million.

In a 1988 interview Tsui defined what Film Workshop asked of its directors:

- 1. You must develop your own style.
- 2. There must be content—even if it's kung-fu, there must be a point to it.
- 3. You have to "go the way of the masses"...A commercial film must be entertaining, cathartic, and make a person feel better. Film is a mass medium. Hopefully we will become one with the audience...The masses go to feel, not to understand.⁸

Tsui and Shi declared that Film Workshop had to keep up with viewers' tastes. When the audience tired of comedies, Film Workshop established a new trend with *A Better Tomorrow*. In 1987, the firm revived the costume fantasy with *A Chinese Ghost Story*. Later it updated the old Cantonese swordplay fantasies with rapid-fire cutting and DIY special effects. Film Workshop milked its hits. Although Woo virtually disowned *A Better Tomorrow II* (1987), it did very well, and Tsui took over directing *A Better Tomorrow III: Love and Death in Saigon* (1990).

After a surge of success, Film Workshop ran into problems. *The Swordsman* was in production from 1988 to 1991, employed six directors in all, and wound up costing twice its initial budget of HK\$15 million. Over the same years Film Workshop had several box-office failures. Tsui amply redeemed himself with *Once upon a Time in China* (1991), which starred the young Mainland import Jet Li as a revered Cantonese folk hero. It was a hit both domestically and abroad, especially in the large Korean market. Buoyed by its success, Film Workshop released twelve features in 1992 and 1993, many of them sequels to *Swordsman* and *Once upon a Time in China*, along with genre variants like *Magic Crane* (1993) and *Green Snake* (1993). Only two placed in the local top ten, and most of them failed in the shrinking regional market as well. Film Workshop cut its slate to about three releases a year and in the mid-1990s struggled to recover.

Film Workshop's ten-year roller-coaster ride matched its leader's mercurial temperament. Tsui says he tries to activate different parts of himself: "I will make a film for another half of my personality to watch."9 His films are shockingly uneven, ranging from cinematic brilliance to strident shallowness. Some of his best movies. though targeted squarely at the mass market, were fiascos, while a shrugged-off item like Tristar (1996) became a hit. Each film is an exercise in extremes-manic knockabout, brutal violence, sentiment, irony, in-jokes. Period detail jostles superhero fantasy, lush costumes are swathed in fake fog, imaginative special effects are compromised by banal wirework. A scene with spectacular color design is followed by another overusing blue or umber filters. This is the man who told his scriptwriters to make something new happen every three minutes.¹⁰

Yet Tsui's nonstop show, with its bustling imagery and lively music, provides unique pleasures. Many critics have stressed his interest in Chinese history, the tendency Stephen Teo calls "nationalism on speed."¹¹ Certainly the *Once upon a Time in China* series constitutes an extended, if not always profound, inquiry into the virtues and blind spots of a China faced with imperialist incursions.¹² Significantly, though, Tsui often reflects on the national heritage by examining traditions of vulgar entertainment.

Shanghai Blues opens with a chance meeting out of a Borzage melodrama. In 1937 Tung Kwokman (Kenny Bee), a struggling composer, meets Shu Pui-lam (Sylvia Chang), a cabaret singer, and they share a romantic night under a bridge before separating in the tumult of Shanghai's fall. After the war, the two unwittingly live in the same apartment building. Stool (Sally Yeh), just in from the countryside, develops a crush on Tung. The film races through beauty contests, bedroom farce, tangos, tuba gags, sudden downpours, and tightly timed visual comedy (Fig. CF.1). There are also lovely divertissements. At one moment Tung plays his violin on the rooftop among the neon of Shanghai, as if he is serenading the entire city.

The climax mingles humor and poignancy in a way Hollywood seldom manages. Tung's tune "Shanghai Nights" has won a contest and is to be broadcast on the radio. But Shu has agreed to marry a rich man and leave by train that night. Stool, against her interests, tells Tung, and he rushes to the station to hop the train. His song wells up as a lyrical accompaniment to the train's departure. ("Can we find some time to hold each other?") On board Shu falls into Tung's arms, leaving her fiancé to start eyeing other prospects, and Stool remains waving bravely on the platform. Tsui says that he designed the sequence around the song, and one has to go back to another train climax, the end of Rouben Mamoulian's Love Me Tonight (1932), to find an equally rapturous interweaving of musical phrases with noises and visual rhythms.

The emotional oscillations of *Shanghai Blues* are summed up in a typically wacky Tsui coda. After the train has gone, a young girl just in from the countryside asks Stool for directions. But the

girl is Stool's double, the same actress (Sally Yeh) in the costume Stool wore at the start of the film; it is as if the story has twisted into a Möbius strip, preparing to start all over again. As Tung and Shu chug off to their future, Stool wishes good luck to the naive version of herself and turns away with a swirl of her lavender cape. The frame freezes her in front of a hoarding promoting a film entitled *Goodbye Shanghai* (Fig. CF.2).

Shanghai Blues deploys sophisticated technique to convey a naïve romanticism. Tsui pays homage to the source of Hong Kong cinema, evoking Shanghai classics like *Street Angels* (1937) and *Crossroads* (1937), but instead of offering New Hollywood movie-geek jokes, he tries to update a worthy popular tradition. Produced at a moment when Hong Kong's ties to the Mainland had to be rethought, *Shanghai Blues* veers the vernacular conversation in a new direction. This is Shanghai recast as teeming, cutthroat Hong Kong, with everyone trying to break into show business. The film finds sweetness in the music and momentum of popular culture. Tsui also suggests that by reviving older traditions you don't simply indulge in nostalgia; you link your life to a vital heritage. Popular culture becomes communal memory and history. The film tinges nostalgia with the awareness that soon Shanghai, the most cosmopolitan city on the China coast, would be surpassed by its southern rival. The train that carries the composer and the singer out of Shanghai, away from Mao's 1949 revolution, is bound for Hong Kong.

An earlier form of entertainment lies at the center of *Peking Opera Blues*, which takes place at another crucial moment in modern China. With the fall of the Qing dynasty in 1912, the powerful general Yuan Shikai seized the presidency of the new republic. Even though subsequent elections gave the Nationalist party a landslide, Yuan remained in power, partly thanks to a gigantic loan from European powers. *Peking Opera Blues* is set early in 1913, when the venal General Cao is helping Yuan by arranging the loan. But Cao's daughter, Yun (Brigitte Lin), is secretly assisting revolutionaries in their efforts to steal the papers and topple Yuan. Although they get the papers, ultimately they fail: General Cao is killed by a corrupt local policeman, and Yun and her comrades must flee. Five months later, a final title tells us, Yuan dissolved parliament, and the "Second Revolution" broke out. The audience knows that soon China slipped back into regional strife and warlord rule.

Tsui treats the opera house as a crossroads of political and personal destinies. The opening credits survey the opera's mock disguises and weaponry (both important ingredients in the film to come) and conclude with a male painted-face opera general, laughing mockingly. The Cantonese title is *Daomadan*, a stock opera character,



CF.1 *Shanghai Blues*: Shu and Do-re-mi (center left) hide from Stool (in the window), while the thief below the window tries to hide from everyone.



CF.2 The last image of *Shanghai Blues*.

Planet Hong Kong

the "Sword and Steed Woman." The literal warrior woman is Cao Yun, who packs a pistol and wears men's clothes. Onstage the warrior is Bai Niu (Sally Yeh), who wants to play in the all-male Opera troupe run by her father. When she finally bursts helter-skelter into a performance, she plays a daomadan. Going Cao Yun one better, Bai Niu is a woman pretending to be a man playing a woman. A third woman, the itinerant musician Xiang Hong (Cherie Chung), is interested only in money, but while searching for a lost box of jewels she gets pulled into the theater milieu and the political intrigue. At a key moment she joins Bai Niu onstage, comically trying to fake the daomadan role. In one of Tsui's typical gender reversals, masculine women are matched by feminized men: the flouncing Peking Opera actors and the fearsome Lui, a local official who wants to marry Fa, the troupe's star actor. Ling, the revolutionary spy, and a gawky deserter from the palace guard play second fiddle to the women, who become friends in the course of the film.

Characteristically, it is to popular entertainment, not to sexual politics, that Tsui traces his interest in gender masquerade. What Republic serials were to George Lucas, Chinese opera evidently was to Tsui Hark. "When I was five years old, my mother brought me into the theater to look at a stage performance. Basically all the male roles were performed by females. And strangely, those actresses were taken as guys, as males...You start questioning why you feel okay [about seeing such things]...With *Peking Opera Blues*, I said I wanted to bring back the kind of feelings that I had when I was a kid."¹³

By the end of *Peking Opera Blues*, politics and theater have fused. The conspirators all wind up onstage disguised as actors, trying to fool the commander. They play a scene from *Eight Immortals* *Cross the Sea*, which allows Tsui to link their political struggles to popular mythology. Each of our heroes becomes a fabled fighter, with Cao Yun, the central warrior of the film, now playing the all-powerful Goldfish Fairy and wearing a *daomadan* helmet. The mock battle onstage is followed by a real one with the commander's forces on the theater's roof, and the comrades, though wounded, all manage to escape. In the epilogue, any prospects of forming romantic couples dissolve into political turmoil. The sword-and-steed women, now literally on horseback, must go separate ways.

Like *Shanghai Blues*, the film closes by showing characters unaware of how history will keep them apart. On the train platform Stool shouts for Tung and Shu to come back to visit, but the Communist revolution is about to descend on Shanghai. In *Peking Opera Blues*, Cao Yun tries to comfort everyone: "After the Revolution, meet you in Peking." The final shot shows the painted-face general still bellowing with laughter, as if mocking their efforts to unite China and reunite as friends.

The political irony might seem cynically offhand were Tsui not so adept at dramatizing the splendor and dynamism of the opera. Like Shanghai popular song, this is a tradition in which Chinese can take pride, and Tsui is determined to glamorize it. Again and again the political intrigues disrupt the stage combats, and both are shot and cut with infectious brio. While Tsui's style may be inspired by Spielberg, Spielberg could take lessons. Recall the flurried scene where the boys, waking up innocently next to the girls, must dodge Bai Niu's father (Figs. 1.2–1.5). Or compare the clumsily staged cabaret fight in Indiana Jones and the Temple of Doom with the first attack of the commander's men on the theater. Ling leaps over and under trestle tables, swings to the stage on a hanging banner, flings (with Bai Niu's help) the stage carpet up as a temporary shield, and rolls and flips through backstage catwalks (Figs. CF.3–CF.4).

The sequence is a showcase for Tsui's way with exciting visuals. True, sometimes they're overexciting. He could omit a few pratfalls and much of the high-tech gadgetry. (Even Butterfly Murders has rocket ropes and a futuristic machine gun.) He has a weakness for canted angles and overwrought compositions, derived perhaps from his admiration for Yojimbo.14 But at his best Tsui is one of the most imaginative stylists in contemporary cinema. Avoiding Woo's "sandwich" approach to action. Tsui carries to an extreme the Hong Kong habit of tailoring the camera angle precisely to each bit of movement; his crews know that he will always demand yet one more shot from a different spot. The astounding final combat of Once upon a Time in China takes place in a warehouse. Wong Fei-hung fights the old sifu on the ground, on catwalks, and on ladders, and, with scarcely any repeated setups, the nearly three hundred shots render the action utterly intelligible and maximally forceful (Figs. CF.5-CF.6).

As in the fluid sound/image interchanges of *Shanghai Blues, Peking Opera Blues* showcases Tsui's inventive use of music. The opera scenes are cut to James Wong's throbbing score, and the spectacular rooftop battle employs a carefully modulated ostinato, broken by stings that accentuate key action. From the start Tsui explored speech as well. *The Butterfly Murders* anticipates Wong Kar-wai's experiments with voice-over narration by beginning with the scholar's recollection and then *nearly* ending it with his final ruminations—except that he walks out of the action, declaring in voice-over, "I never found out what happened." Tsui goes on to show us how things turned out. It is a device he will pick up



CF.3 *Peking Opera Blues*: The skirmish in the theatre.



CF.5 *Once upon a Time in China*: Wong Fei-hung balances his adversary on a ladder.



CF.4 Later in the scene, a prop rug is perforated by a fusillade.



CF.6 Later in the scene, the two battle on upright ladders.

nearly twenty years later in *The Blade*, where a secondary character's running commentary yields an inadequate understanding of the action. Tsui even goes so far as to mock local films' errorprone English subtitles. One scene of *Working Class* (1985) translates Cantonese Opera lyrics into nonsense Italian: "La scala, mama mia... Machismo si si pronto."

Some argue that Tsui's best years were the 1980s, and it is true that many of his latest films have been mechanical exercises. Nonetheless, the three movies he released in 1995 showed that his versatile talents could still work at full stretch.

Love in the Time of Twilight showcased Nicky Wu and Charlie Young, two pop singers who had been successful in Tsui's costume romance The Lovers (1994). Instead of making a carbon copy, he set himself new problems in storytelling and special effects. Again the context is popular theater, this time in 1930s Shanghai. Yan Works in her father's vaudeville troupe and, during a visit to a matchmaking temple, bumps into the bank clerk Kong. They quarrel so energetically that we know they are destined to fall in love. Kong is seduced by another woman, who secretly fills his briefcase with pistols. Kong unwittingly carries the pistols past security guards into the bank, where the woman's gangster boyfriend uses the guns for a robbery. He then strangles Kong. Kong's ghost visits the theater and explains to Yan Yan what has happened. He asks Yan Yan to go back in time with him to thwart the robbery and save his life.

Like Marty in *Back to the Future II*, Kong the ghost and the transported Yan Yan encounter themselves in the past; and like *Groundhog Day*, the film delights in replaying earlier scenes. (Tsui the film freak slightly changes camera angles in the replayed scenes so that the doubles are forever

past and tries to thwart the couple's efforts to change history. The special effects are handled adroitly, but Tsui is chiefly interested in piling up misunderstandings to a height unattempted in Hollywood movies. His lovers from the future guarrel with their earlier selves and adopt disguises that confuse each other. In a fit of anger the gangster, heedless of the consequences, tries to kill his past self. The confusion culminates in a hide-andseek chase in the theater involving all three pairs of doubles, each one ignorant of the whole situation. Folding in upon itself again and again, Love in the Time of Twilight is Tsui's effort to beat Hollywood time-travel comedy at its own game. The Blade looks back further for inspiration, to Chang Cheh's One-Armed Swordsman (1967), but it tries out a female point of view. Siu-ling, daugh-

ter of a swordmaker, fantasizes that the blacksmiths Ding On and Iron Head are competing for her. Ding On loses his sword arm in an effort to protect Siu-lung from an outlaw gang, and she is carried off by Iron Head. Ding On is nursed back to health by an orphaned, nearly feral tomboy, and he sets about retraining with his father's broken sword and a charred combat manual. He finally tracks down Fei Lung, the tattooed outlaw who killed his father, and while the women and Iron Head watch, he engages Fei in a furious duel.

ducking out of the frame.) To complicate things

further, the gangster also finds a way to revisit the

Well aware that what he calls the "software generation" has particular tastes, Tsui gives us his version of MTV—which is, needless to say, like nothing you have seen on MTV. Everything in *The Blade* is bent toward heightening one emotion: ferocity. It is nightmarishly raw not just in its incidents (it begins with a dog caught in a wolf trap) but also in its style. Swords are banged into shape on anvils; tom-toms pound out a frenzied rhythm.

The world is in flames. Charged dialogues are filmed in firelit close-ups. The combats were shot from a crane, but they still rattle the camera: through a walkie-talkie Tsui instructed his operator to swivel against the grain of a character's movement, to zoom abruptly to a distant detail, or to struggle to reframe the action. In many films such a rough-edged style is just distracting, but here it presents a phantasmagoric world ruled by agony and aggression. Blades become an obsessive motif, each character defined in relation to them; at one point, the Christian cross becomes yet another sword. The climax, one of the most visceral swordfights in Hong Kong cinema, is all silhouettes in billowing dust, twisting and spinning attacks, clashing chains and sawteeth and snapping traps (Figs. CF.7-CF.8). Few films leave the viewer so physically drained.

Neither Love in the Time of Twilight nor The Blade was a box-office success, but The Chinese Feast, Tsui's film for the 1995 Lunar New Year, did very well. One of Hong Kong's zestiest comedies, it stages a cooking contest as a martial-arts tournament, complete with challenge, training sessions, flamboyant rival styles, and secret winning techniques. Yet this makes the movie sound too straightforward, for here we find Tsui in his goofy mood. Sun is a triad debt collector trying to learn cooking in order to emigrate to Canada and join his girlfriend. He apprentices to a restaurant where Ka-wai, also trying to evade responsibility, dyes her hair punkily and acts like a lunatic, hoping to shock her father into kicking her out. Wong, a rival entrepreneur, challenges Ka-wai's father to cook Qing and Han Imperial Feast, the supreme test of skill. Upon accepting the challenge the father promptly has a heart attack. Sun and Ka-wai must coax a retired chef out of his drunken torpor to help them.



CF.7 *The Blade*: The hero launches a dazzling spin as his target waits implacably in the foreground.



CF.8 The Blade: The hero attacked by his father's murderer.

On this promising plotline Tsui hangs wonderful nonsense. Sun's minions are not triad thugs but MBA yes-men. In a karaoke bar, Ka-fai screeches out Carmen's habañera, hoping to win Sun's attention. The tune becomes a soundtrack motif and permits Tsui to weave concertina, harp, and castanets into a crazily eclectic score. When Ka-fai learns that Sun's girlfriend is Japanese, she imagines herself in a Puccinian farewell waving tearfully as he sails off. The entrepreneur Wong, played with leering swagger by kung-fu master (and main villain of The Blade) Hung Yan-yan, plans to devour all of Hong Kong's restaurants; explaining that many successful men love shark fin, he strips a strand off with his teeth. There is satire of China's efforts to go capitalist, and huggermugger with a giant flopping fish that invades the restaurant. The sodden master chef, Kit, must have all his five senses reawakened, which encourages Tsui to mount gags on acupuncture, aerobics, and, inevitably, farting. The Qing and Han banquet concludes with orders to find new ways to cook

bear paw, elephant trunk, and monkey brain. To prepare "Golden Eyes and Burning Brain," our heroes apparently saw open a live monkey's skull and, while Sun cheerfully explains, "We don't need to cook fresh food," pour hot oil on the brain, letting the poor creature squeak in agony.

What *Shanghai Blues* does for popular music, what *Peking Opera Blues* does for opera, what the *Once upon a Time in China* series does for herbal medicine and the lion dance, this movie does for Chinese cuisine. Cooking becomes a lively, endlessly inventive popular tradition. A chef's genius is sensuously creative, coaxing new tastes from common ingredients. (Here is one retort to Tsui's critics: Like a filmmaker, a chef must adjust his art to his customers' tastes.) Since the preparations are part of the pleasure, the camerawork here is as fluent as it is disconcerting in *The Blade*, and the cooking scenes are shot with the bristling panache of kung-fu exercises.

Food, as one serving in the credits reminds us (Fig. CF.9), is at once yin and yang, the center of



CF.9 *The Chinese Feast*: High angles in the opening credits...

social life. Tsui's straight-down crane shots not only give us the best view of the dishes, as in the mouth-watering first image, coasting over course after course, but also turns people into food (Figs. CF.10–CF.11). The camera angles prophesy the bald Wong's defeat by likening him to the climactic night's entrée (Fig. CF.12). Above all, the film insists, real food comes from the heart. Most of the characters start by treating food as a pretext or a nuisance or a means to a selfish end: Sun needs to get to Canada, Ka-wai wants to break free of her father, Wong wants to rule the Hong



CF.10 ...announce that camera angles will link people and food.



CF.11 *The Chinese Feast:* the crane shot neatly invert Ka-wai as she decorates a plate.



CF.12 Making a monkey of Master Wong.

Kong restaurant business. The master chef Kit still wields a mean chopper, but he no longer feels the food. The contest reawakens everyone to food's role in love and community. At the very end, Ka-wai has become her father's kitchen boss and is casually decorating a barbecued pig with birthday candles. Earlier, when Kit begins to recover his skill, his lover starts to cook for him. Her business life has taken away her taste for food, and she hopes that his recovery will make her enjoy it again. In response he sets a morsel of pork on her rice. "I've become a vegetarian," she replies, and eats it.

Since this is a New Year's comedy, expect none of the bittersweet partings that conclude *Shanghai Blues* and *Peking Opera Blues*. Food brings all Chinese together. Master Kit's ally hails from Beijing; Kit lives in Guangzhou; Ka-wai and Sun are thoroughly of Hong Kong, and by the end Sun decides not to emigrate. The banquet, or as the Cantonese title has it, "Gold Jade Full Hall," makes room for everyone. Appropriate, then, that in the epilogue all the wedding guests wind up



CF.13 The final shot of The Chinese Feast: Tsui leads cast and crew in a New Year's toast.

squeezed into the kitchen cooking (this is a *Chinese* feast). And when they eventually settle down in the dining room, Sun's toast expands to include the entire crew, who turn and toast us (Fig. CF.13). This is a convention seen in many Lunar New Year's films, but here it takes on emblematic force.

In Tsui's best films, Hong Kong cinema becomes a Chinese feast, spiced by indigenous entertainment traditions, replenished by an artist's pragmatic energy and restless imagination.

6 | Formula, Form, and Norm

WE TEND TO THINK of the conventions of popular cinema as constraints, but I suspect that they are truly limiting only to the artists who don't see the opportunities they offer. Conventions are enabling. They attract an audience by their familiarity, and they give the artist a structure within which to exercise his or her talents. When certain choices are imposed by tradition—write a thirty-two-bar song, a sonnet, a three-act play with strong curtain lines—then the remaining choices become all-important. Since no popular artwork is an exact duplicate of another, even the strictest conventions afford a zone of creative freedom.

Workers in popular entertainment face problems of mastering a standard and differentiating each work, achieving familiarity and yet introducing novelty. Through trial and error, filmmakers have forged several norms capable of wide-ranging variation. This chapter focuses on three sets of norms central to Hong Kong cinema: genre conventions, the star system, and visual style. The next chapter looks at broad principles of storytelling. All of these norms and forms offer filmmakers both enabling frameworks and occasions to stretch their craft.

How do you get overseas sales before the shooting even begins? You have to sell

relying on either the actors or the genre anything that is tried and proven.

Wong Kar-Wai

Since mass-output cinema requires a steady flow of releases, there are always pressures toward predictability. And in an industry of prototypes rather than replicas, producers of entertainment take a substantial risk with every project: a new movie is hardly as sure to succeed as Windows 98.™ Those who condemn popular art as hamstrung by repetition often forget that a record company or movie studio exists by virtue of financial investment, and producers are always under the obligation to make that investment as safe as possible. Genres and stars can reduce risk and promote predictable output. The average viewer's taste is made up principally of favorite genres and stars, and fan magazines are built around them. American distributors selling foreign rights base the prices on these ingredients.¹ In Hong Kong, retro exercises like Days of Tomorrow (1993), The Golden Girls (1995), and The Umbrella Story (1995), which celebrate the genres and stars of earlier eras, attest that these remain strong appeals.

At the center of any genre is an interplay between familiarity and novelty, convention and innovation. Genres cross media, so it comes as no surprise that a film genre typically arises from conventions ruling literature and drama. In Hong Kong, Cantonese Opera films dominated 1950s and 1960s production. Erotic romances filmed by Li Hanhsiang during the 1970s were based on literature and folktales.² Chivalric love stories, detective stories, stories of flying swordfighters, and tales of amazing martial feats were all literary genres before they made their way into cinema. Ghosts and zombies drift into film from folk culture and Chinese opera.

Foreign imports also inspired new genres. James Bond was hugely popular in the colony, so by 1967 20 out of about 105 Cantonese titles involved spies.³ Hollywood's surge of big-budget cop films, starting with *Dirty Harry* (1971) and *The French Connection* (1971), eventually created one of the most popular and long-lasting Hong Kong genres, from *Jumping Ash* (1976) onward. It is likely that 1970s Hong Kong farcical comedy was influenced by Mel Brooks and Blake Edwards.⁴

In any national cinema, genres coalesce into a rough hierarchy. In Hong Kong, the musical comedy has been box-office poison for years (surprising, given the pervasive presence of pop stars onscreen). *Hong Kong Graffiti* (1995), Teddy Robin Kwan's effort to make a 1960s retro musical, and *Whatever Will Be, Will Be* (1995), a children's choir movie, were resounding failures. The comparative success of *Phantom Lover* (1995) is usually attributed to the fact that this remake of a Shanghai classic had unusually high production values and star power.

Since the mid-1970s comedy and action pictures (including kung-fu, crime thrillers, and sword-play) have remained the leading genres.⁵ The modernization of Hong Kong film in the 1980s drove out some traditional genres, like the costume drama centering on romantic entanglements of rulers. One Shaws director, Li Han-hsiang, made his reputation with these "palace-chamber" (*gongwei*) movies during the 1960s, but they were too costly to produce once the big studios closed. Although Ann Hui's sumptuous and elegant *Romance of Book and Sword* (1987) arguably comes close to being a *gongwei* drama, the genre has not flourished.

Some genres gained new popularity with the rise in production values. Boosted by new special effects, Tsui Hark's Zu: Warriors of the Magic Mountain (1983) upgraded the chivalric fantasy, producing images with comic-book flair. Tsui's experiments would underwrite his revival of fantasy swordplay in A Chinese Ghost Story (1987) and come to digital maturity in Andrew Lau's The Storm Riders (1998). Another genre that benefited from Hong Kong's modernization was the film of supernatural fantasy. Because Chinese tradition holds that nature is alive with unseen powers, there is a fear of evil spirits and the dead, who can return to disturb the living.⁶ In the 1970s, Hong Kong directors began mimicking the new American horror films by releasing ever-more-explicit shockers from *Black Magic* (1975) to the cult classic



6.1 Mr. Vampire: Kau sends a glowing dagger flying to the temptress ghost.

Human Lanterns (1982; aka Human Skin Lanterns). On the whole, though, it was not until the evercreative Sammo Hung came up with a mix of comic kung-fu and ghost story in *Encounter of the Spooky Kind* (1980) and *The Dead and the Deadly* (1982) that the supernatural film became part of the 1980s upgrading of technique.

Hung also produced Mr. Vampire (1985) for Golden Harvest, a bonanza that yielded three sequels, as well as trading cards and comic books. It remains one of the most amusing exercises in the genre. A fat si, or Taoist master of things supernatural, assigns his clumsy assistants to shift an unluckily buried corpse, but they allow the body to escape, and it becomes a vampire. In Chinese lore vampires suffer from an imbalance of yin and yang and must feed on the living. Unlike debonair Western vampires, they are simply rotting corpses, hopping stiff-legged after their prey. How do you halt a movie vampire's attack? By slapping a yellow Taoist scroll to its forehead, by holding your breath, by dipping a string in ink; best of all, as in Western movies, by driving a stake through its heart.⁷ Such elements serve as comic devices in Mr. Vampire, which also made use of some Tsui-style special effects (Fig. 6.1).

The genre hierarchy changed in another way during the early 1980s. Because of the need to satisfy a broad audience at home and overseas, producers developed the "multigenre" movie. An influential model was the action comedy *Aces Go Places* (1982). Sek Kei argues that Stephen Chow's *All for the Winner* (1990) succeeded so spectacularly because it combined action, comedy, gambling, romance, and the theme of China.⁸ Virtually no Hong Kong movie would become a "pure" genre piece; at the least, there would be dashes of comedy, and even an intimate drama might throw in a fight or chase for the export market.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 6

The history of local production and regional distribution is largely a history of the emergence and eclipse of certain genres. As kung-fu declined and the softcore import Emmanuelle (1974) proved successful, many producers switched to lowbudget eroticism.⁹ In the rapid-copy frenzy of the 1990s, Hong Kong was particularly prone to cycles. There were, for instance, the "big-timer" films. These *Godfather*-like movies traced the rise of powerful criminals over the last two decadesgangsters in To Be Number One (1991), corrupt police in Lee Rock (1991) and Powerful Four (1992). Heavy on period detail, the big-timer films betrayed a fascination with wealth and a nostalgia for the 1950s and 1960s. Local critics saw them as glorifying Hong Kong greed and evading the 1997 issue by a fraudulent immersion in local history.¹⁰

Because Hong Kong movies are conceived and shot so quickly, a cycle has a brief shelf life. There had been gambling cycles before the one made famous by *God of Gamblers* (1989), but the rapidity of its spinoffs is impressive. Besides the authorized series (which included 1990 and 1991 installments) there were:

In 1990: All for the Winner, King of Gambler (sic)

In 1991: All for the Gamblers, The Top Bet, Queen of Gamble (sic), Money Maker, Gambling Ghost

In 1992: Casino Tycoon, Casino Tycoon 2,

The Mighty Gambler

Turnover was even quicker in another cycle, the historical kung-fu movie as revived by Tsui Hark in Once upon a Time in China (1991) and Once upon a Time in China II (1992). In 1993 kung-fu sagas set in premodern China, preferably starring Jet Li, poured out month after month: Once upon a Time in China III (5 February), Fong Sai-yuk (4 March), Last Hero in China and Master Wong versus Master Wong (two parodies released on 1 April), Once upon a Time in China IV (10 June), Fong Saiyuk 2 (30 July), and Iron Monkey (3 September). Younger filmmakers realized that shrewd packaging could suggest that disparate films formed a cycle. P. U. Productions, which specialized in horror, tagged each film with a specific day of the year: 14 July (1993, known in English as Thou Shalt Not Swear), which is the date of a ghost festival; 15 January (1994, known in English as Third Full Moon), set on the Chinese equivalent of Valentine's Day; and 30 February (1995, known in English as The Day That Doesn't Exist).

Cycles illustrate the need for any popular cinema to seek out innovation within a framework of familiarity. This process was evident when the New Wave generation found its entry point in the late 1970s. Most of the New Wave directors began with genre renovations, thrillers like Ann Hui's The Secret (1979) and Yim Ho's The Happenings (1980) and swordplay films like Tsui Hark's The Butterfly Murders (1979) and Patrick Tam's The Sword (1980). Even the comedies, like Tsui's All the Wrong Clues (for the Right Solution) (1981) and Yim Ho's Wedding Bells, Wedding Belles (1981), were somewhat out of the ordinary. "The strength of the new wave directors," Stephen Teo writes, "was forged in genre cinema where established conventions and forms were critically and stylistically reworked to suit the modern audiences of the 1980s."11 Ann Hui moved from suspense drama (The Secret, 1979) to ghost comedy (The Spooky Bunch, 1980) to crime thriller (The Story of Woo Viet, 1981) to woman's melodrama (Song of the Exile, 1990), each time seeking to deepen the emotional resonance of the genre.

It is always tempting to explain genre development as a reflection of social trends, but we get more pertinent and proximate explanations if we also consider filmmaking practices and the genre's

specific tradition. Take the female-cop movies that earn local critics' scorn but captivate Western fanboys and fangirls.¹² We might posit that Yes, Madam! (1985), Royal Warriors (1986), Angel (1987), The Inspector Wears a Skirt (1988), She Shoots Straight (1990), and other girls-and-guns movies reflect the growing importance of women in the local economy. But there are more proximate explanations. First is the variorum nature of popular entertainment. Once a genre gains prominence, a host of possibilities opens up. Horror filmmakers are likely to float the possibility of demonic children, if only because the competition has already shown demonic teenagers, rednecks, cars, and pets. Similarly, once the male-cop genre is going strong, someone is likely to explore the possibility of a tough woman cop. Local traditions could sustain the innovation: swordplay and kung-fu films had celebrated the woman warrior, and in many 1970s erotic movies a raped woman takes violent revenge. Moreover, energetic heroines might attract women viewers, who made up half the local audience, even for action pictures.¹³ The studio that launched the heroines cycle was D & B, an independent company anxious to establish itself in the market. Sammo Hung suggested the female variant on the cop-buddy formula, and Dickson Poon, D & B's owner, found an actress ready for stardom, the former Miss Malaysia Michelle Yeoh (Fig. 6.2). When Yeoh retired after marrying Poon, D & B launched other female fighters.14

Although genre pictures bear the traces of the cultural conversation, they are also talking among themselves. A form of "intertextuality" spontaneously emerges, as with the iconography of gangsters smoking Salems or red-box Marlboros, or as in *Mongkok Story* (1996), when the aspiring teenage triads eagerly discuss *A Better Tomorrow*

(1986) and its ethics of brotherhood. These annotations can accumulate, building into a rewriting of the genre tradition. Whereas *A Better Tomorrow* was homosocial and homoerotic, *Mongkok Story* is explicitly homosexual, with the young waiter, Leung, becoming attracted to the triad leader, Ching (meeting him in the toilet, singing the woman's part in a karaoke ballad), and eventually being "courted" by Ching. Leung is eventually captured by a rival gang and forced to fellate its leader. In dialogue with its predecessors, *Mongkok Story* was one of several films deflating not only the hyperromantic "bloody heroes" but also the *Young and Dangerous* series.

In genre films predecessors are honored but criticized; current competitors are referenced and mocked. In either case, a film may flaunt its difference from the rest. Parody is a common way to accomplish this, as the Russian Formalist literary critics pointed out long ago. 92 Legendary La Rose Noire (1992) and Rose, Rose I Love You (1993) set themselves apart from competing comedies by parodying the "Black Rose" adventure cycle of the 1960s. The opening of Jackie Chan's Half a Loaf of Kung-Fu (1978) satirizes the martial-arts exercises usually on view in credit sequences. Parody has been a constant source of artistic renewal in Hong Kong cinema, helping to give films the doses of comedy that audiences enjoy.

Popular genres are of course shaped by market conditions as well. When certain genres dominate, marginal filmmakers will compete by floating alternatives. The late 1980s boom drove small companies out of business, since the emphasis was on



6.2 Michelle Yeoh about to go into action in Yes, Madam! (1985).

big-budget spectaculars that could play in Taiwan. Directors who didn't want to make swordplay or triad action films sought other options, and one that seemed plausible was the film of intimate romance or family life. This came to be known as the "relationship" movie. Dickson Poon believed that local audiences would come to movies centered on "chuppies" (Hong Kong yuppies), so his D & B Films released not only femalecentered action fare but also medium-budgeted films like An Autumn's Tale (1987) and Heart to Hearts (1988). The strategy was only moderately successful, and D & B went out of business in 1992, but the impulse was taken up by United Filmmakers Organization, which targeted the same public. The shrinking of the overseas market encouraged UFO to develop a local flavor in Tom, Dick, and Hairy (1993), He Ain't Heavy, He's My Father (1993), He's a Woman, She's a Man (1994), and other "relationship" films mixing warm comedy with drama.¹⁵

Perhaps almost as important in promoting new genres was the creation in 1988 of a ratings system that included Category III films, forbidden to people under eighteen. The law had the effect of creating a safety zone hospitable to exploitation items. Since ordinary Hong Kong films have a high quota of blood, sex, defecation, and vomit, a film has to go far to earn a Category III rating. The system spurred the development of downmarket pornography and sadomasochistic exercises, but some glossy, lucrative films like *Erotic Ghost Story* (1990) and Sex and Zen (1991), both distributed by Golden Harvest, made Category III more respectable. Wong Jing's Category III Naked Killer (1992), not much of a success in Hong Kong but a cult classic in the West, pits an impotent, traumatized cop (who vomits at the thought of firing his pistol) against a team of lesbian assassins (Fig. 6.3).

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 6

Wong's followup, *Raped by an Angel* (1993), was one of four Category III films that year to break into the top thirty local titles.

A cult-friendly cycle of Guignol films resulted from alliances among actors Anthony Wong and Simon Yam Tat-wah, actor Danny Lee, and directors Herman Yau and Billy Tang Hin-shing. Lee and Tang directed Yam in Dr. Lamb (1992), about a taxi driver who becomes a compulsive stalker of streetwalkers, killing and dismembering them and occasionally indulging in necrophilia. He is caught after he sends his photographs of their mutilated bodies to a lab for processing. Dr. Lamb was the top-grossing Category III film of its year, surpassing many prestigious mainstream offerings. This success undoubtedly encouraged Danny Lee to go ahead with The Untold Story (1993, directed by Herman Yau), which did even better at the box office and won Anthony Wong a Hong Kong Film Award for portraying the Sweeney Todd of Macau. In the same year Tang brought back Yam and Lee for Run and Kill, a tale of murder for hire that includes one of the most famously barbarous scenes in Hong Kong film. A fan's description gets to the nub: "Fung [Yam] turns the little girl into a bonfire while her father [Kent Cheng] is forced to watch the incineration. This repulsive scene accelerates into excruciating bad taste as Fung takes the charred corpse and puts it at Fatty's feet, all the while imitating the girl's voice: 'Daddy, I'm all dark. Don't you recognize me?""16

In Hollywood, no actors as famous as Lee and Yam would appear in such fare. But Lee followed up with *Twist* (1995), a police procedural on the lines of *The Untold Story* in which Simon Yam commits brutal murders and then is even more brutally tortured into a confession. Like a lot of exploitation cinema, many entries in the cycle are based on actual cases, but they are presented with a mixture of sensationalism and cinematic flair that renders them deeply disturbing. Somewhat milder was a run of psycho-killer films spun off by Herman Yau, featuring Anthony Wong (*Taxi Hunter*, 1993; *Underground Banker*, 1994), and one directed by Wong himself (*The New Tenant*, 1995).

By the end of the gore cycle, many Category III items were going straight to video. Still, the label was not completely disreputable. Derek Yee and Law Chi Leung parodied and celebrated the erotic film in *Viva Erotica* (1996), which traced the problems of making a Category III movie. For her portrayal of a porn star in *Viva Erotica*, former porn star Shu Qi earned two Hong Kong Film Awards in 1997. Even the Category III phenomenon relied upon an interplay of familiarity and novelty, operating within the boundaries of craft and industrial pressures.

THE SAME DIALECTIC makes stars perennial fixtures of any popular cinema. In Hong Kong, the public is unusually loyal. Japanese and American audiences are fickle, says Leslie Cheung, "But in Hong Kong, once the audiences love you, they go on loving you for a very long time."¹⁷ Josephine Siao Fong-fong, who began acting in films as a child, is revered throughout the territory. At the 1997 Hong Kong Film Awards Shek Kin, a martial-arts actor of great longevity, received a standing ovation. Stars are not merely local celebrities; they have been crucial to the foreign markets, particularly during the boom of the 1980s and 1990s. Stephen Chow was popular not only in



6.3 *Naked Killer*: A flamboyant wide-angle shot of an assassin flinging off her coat in preparation for a hit.

Hong Kong but also in Taiwan, while Jet Li and Michele Yeoh were huge draws in Korea.¹⁸ Some female action stars like Moon Lee and Oshima Yukari had little appeal in Hong Kong but did good business overseas. Stars who could command large regional audiences won big salaries, up to HK\$12 million for Chow and Li.

"If you want to create a film industry," notes one Hollywood producer, "then you've consciously got to encourage stars to emerge."¹⁹ Hong Kong had several breeding grounds. The long-established stars of Cantopop music-Jacky Cheung, Leslie Cheung, Aaron Kwok Fu-sing, and Leon Lai Ming-all launched robust film careers, while Ekin Cheng, Sammi Cheng, and others of the new generation also began as singers. Another source of stars was television, the proving ground for the Hui brothers, Andy Lau, Chow Yun-fat, Simon Yam, Carol "Dodo" Cheng Yu-ling, Tony Leung Chiu-wai, and Stephen Chow (who hosted a children's show). The martial-arts world brought to fame not only Bruce Lee and Jackie Chan but also Cynthia Rothrock, Jet Li, and Donnie Yen Yi-don. Beauty contests, particularly the Miss Hong Kong pageant, revealed Maggie Cheung Man-yuk, Anita Yuen, and many other female stars.

Virtually no Hong Kong movies generate income from toys, games, and other merchandise, so stars become the most exploitable element. Stars are sold through fan magazines, fashion shoots, postcards, posters, calendars, games, puzzles, tote bags, and albums. They are kept before the public eye in TV guest appearances, charity shows, tours, premieres, and photo spreads in generalinterest magazines.²⁰ By endorsing products and working in TV, film stars maintain their following throughout Southeast Asia. The biggest ones, like Jackie Chan and Jet Li, have the power to launch projects, hire directors, and oversee production. After Li's success in the first three *Once upon a Time in China* films, he was able to break with Tsui Hark and form his own company to produce *Fong Sai-yuk* (1993), for which he chose the director and composers, even managing to hire Ann Hui as production designer.

How do stars' familiar faces, voices, and bodies shape the art of entertainment? Most broadly, genres demand character types, so stars must to some degree match fixed roles: the ingenue, the middle-aged lothario, the "sour beauty" incarnated in heavy-lipped Anita Mui Yim-fong (Fig. 6.4).²¹ The comedies often trade on types like the randy husband, the ditzy girl, the stingy wife, the butch biker girl. But part of the fun of a star system is that there is no inevitable match-up between traditional roles and star images. In Hong Kong many mid-range stars can play heroes, villains, or clowns (for example, Waise Lee, Ng Man-tat). Although the biggest stars like Jet Li, Stephen Chow, Maggie Cheung, and Michele Yeoh are guaranteed to play positive roles, those parts can be shaded in a great many ways.

One thing that makes stars resist univocal typing is that they are seldom just vacantly beautiful. They have marked, often exaggerated physical features. Leslie Cheung's delicate overbite gives



6.4 Anita Mui in Saviour of the Soul (1991).

him a slight pout; Tony Leung Kar-fai is remarkably tall and possesses a jawline that could plane a plank; Maggie Cheung's wide-spaced eyes make her seem perpetually alert. Compare Stephen Chow's goofy, slightly impudent grin with Chow Yun-fat's blinding smile; the one is mischievouschildish, the other debonair-boyish. Films may build in references to the star's uniqueness, as when somebody is likely to comment on Anita Yuen's small breasts or Lau Ching-wan's dark complexion.

The star's distinctiveness would seem to dictate that we get the same character in every film. Doesn't John Wayne always play himself? Actually, no; there is a great distance between the awkward youth of Stagecoach (1939) and the driven racist of The Searchers (1956). Danny Lee, who loves to play a cop, can invest the role with jaunty amorality (The Untold Story, 1993), tortured conscience (The Law with Two Phases, 1984), or canny selfrestraint (The Killer, 1989). Stephen Chow often plays a bungler who has one outstanding skill, so each film must come up with a new area of expertise: kung-fu in Fight Back to School (1991), courtroom disputation in *Justice My Foot!* (1992), cooking in God of Cookery (1996). Different aspects of the star's physical presence can be brought out for particular roles. Leslie Cheung and Tony Leung Kar-fai have played both womanizers and gay men, each role activating slightly different aspects of their faces, bodies, and voices.

Popular filmmaking constantly remakes its stars from project to project, if only to differentiate the product. Give your star a new hairstyle or wardrobe or role, shoot some publicity photos, and your audience may get curious. *Tristar* (1996) puts Leslie Cheung in a priest's outfit and an Elvis costume, gives Lau Ching-wan a beard and a cigar, and makes the virginal Anita Yuen a cigarette-smoking hooker. *Don't Give a Damn* (1995) gives Sammo Hung a ponytail and Yuen Biao a goatee. To enjoy *The East Is Red* (1993) or *The Eagle-Shooting Heroes* (1993) fully one must savor the prospect of big stars promenading in ever more outrageous costumes (Fig. 6.5). Part of the pleasure of a new film lies in the new look it assigns a favorite actor.

Apart from the masquerade element, a popular cinema achieves variety by exploiting star personas in myriad ways. Stars can yield fast, barely noticeable exposition: the very presence of a star in an early scene signals us that this character is important, even if he or she is quite inconspicuous. A novel offers no equivalent means for suggesting that Rosamund Kwan, who is initially just one reporter in a crowd, will be a major character in *Undeclared War* (1990). But because we probably know who is in the film before we see it, exposition can also be somewhat reticent, exploiting what might be called "star ellipsis." In Peter Chan's Comrades, Almost a Love Story (1996), the first two scenes introduce the triad chieftain, played by Eric Tsang, in very brief shots. Prolonged shots of Tsang, a veteran comedian, might have elicited automatic laughs from the audience, so cutting and framing keep the emphasis on other characters to sustain a serious tone.²² More positively, we can fill out Tsang's portrayal thanks to our knowledge of his star persona. Since Tsang seldom plays a villain, we are ready to take the gangster for a fairly nice guy, an impression reinforced when he becomes more visible in his third scene (Fig. 6.6).

A film's plot may also manipulate the star's image in unpredictable ways. The obvious route is



6.5 *The Eagle-Shooting Heroes*: Campy costumes for Joey Wang (left), Maggie Cheung (center), and Tony Leung Chiu-wai (foreground).

casting against type, which usually means casting to a different type. This alone can pique audiences' anticipation. What will happen when Dodo Cheng, known for playing cute, slightly sharp-edged romantic heroines, dowdies herself up to play a puritanical Mainland policewoman loose in Hong Kong (Her Fatal Ways, 1990)? What if demure Maggie Cheung becomes a foul-mouthed biker (The Heroic Trio, 1993)? The performance can become a charade, letting the audience glimpse a private party in which Dodo and Maggie cut loose. The gender-bending in Hong Kong cinema has sources in traditional theater-men playing female parts in Peking Opera, women playing male parts in southern and Shanghai theater-but when in Swordsman II (1992) and The East Is Red the female movie star Brigitte Lin plays Asia the Invincible, the man who has become a woman, there is the bonus of the star who develops two dimensions of skill.²³

There are less obvious ways to let the plot revise the star persona. Jet Li is known as a rather impassive actor, specializing in parts that require stoic heroism mixed with a boyish awkwardness around women. The first scenes of *The Bodyguard from Beijing* (1994) present him as a ruthless war-



6.6 *Comrades*: Eric Tsang as the sympathetic triad, with Maggie Cheung.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 6
rior. Quite late, when the woman he is guarding falls in love with him, the plot begins to evoke the shy side of his star image, treating it now as a nervous hesitation about emotions he has never known. In such ways the star image can foreshadow character change. In *Days of Tomorrow* (1993) the Andy Lau character develops, quite late, into Andy Lau proper, the tormented and self-centered but sincere youth.

One can distribute aspects of the star persona among parts of the plot. Chow Yun-fat's roles frequently do this. With his almost plump face, cupid's-bow lips, and flickering, soulful glance, Chow is an enormously versatile actor, probably the finest working in Hong Kong in the 1980s. He has excelled at both silly comedy and intense drama. His costumes have run from gorgeous suits to plaid pants and suspenders, and he treats no part as shameful: in one film he has played a stud who pretends to be effeminate in order to attract sympathetic women (The Eighth Happiness, 1988). Such a rich star image can be exploited for narrative twists. Tiger on Beat (1988) opens with him as a buffoonish cop (his masquerade includes a shirt of blinding loudness and a tiny red plastic cap reminiscent of Carnaby Street), but the plot gets more serious, and at the end he is the heroic Chow, taking on villains with shotgun and machete. In God of Gamblers (1989), he starts as the supremely self-possessed professional gambler Ko Chun, but when Ko Chun gets amnesia and reverts to a childish state, Chow mugs endearingly. The actor exploits contrasting bits of business-sliding a wafer of chocolate into his mouth at the gaming table, gobbling and smearing chocolate in his amnesiac phase-and at a crucial point Chow must fold the two performance styles together, when childish Ko Chun must pretend to be suave Ko Chun.

Chow demonstrates how an exaggerated acting style can also be rich. Drama historian A. C. Scott observed that the Chinese "have always sought their enjoyment of theater in the sensory immediacy of the actor's presentation."²⁴ In the martialarts films and new Cantonese movies of the 1970s, playing is quite broad: evebrows shoot up, eves fill with tears, people strike poses. Perhaps the growth of new export markets, where the films had to communicate with diverse publics, required more explicit emotional displays. The most extroverted tradition is that of Peking Opera (kept alive by Jackie Chan and Sammo Hung), but even less flamboyant performers adopt styles that are exaggerated by Western standards.²⁵ Action pictures, melodramas, and comedies showcase the facial expressions that travel best-fear, anger, sadness, disgust, contempt, and enjoyment, all recognizable across many cultures.²⁶

The flexibility available to the star performer typifies the range of creativity available in popular cinema. Far from being straitjackets, genres and a star system can provide a framework—to those prepared to use it. The artists who rail against these components of entertainment are usually ones who have not found a way to exploit the norms in a way that satisfies them. The system encourages and rewards those who can make something fresh out of solid but supple formulas.

NORMS OF GENRE and stardom are more visible to ordinary viewers than are those of cinematic technique, yet style is no less important for being invisible. One of the essential facts about cinema as a popular art is that since the silent era, filmmakers have tacitly agreed on a single broad approach to staging, shooting, and cutting. This approach, called the "continuity" style or the "classical" style, emerged in its most elaborate form in the United States around 1917 and was rapidly adopted throughout the world.²⁷

Continuity filmmaking conveys each scene's story information in several shots, typically with an orienting long shot (the establishing shot) and closer views to emphasize characters or objects at the proper moment. Lighting, composition, and camera movement keep the characters foremost. More specifically, scenes are laid out according to an "axis of action"-an imaginary line connecting the major participants-and all camera positions, from long shot to close-up, are typically kept on one side of that axis. This approach yields continuity of space: when a man looks off to the left in one shot, the woman who returns his look in the next is looking off right. To anchor the space still more firmly, there will be "over the shoulder" (OTS) shots, which favor one character or another in an exchange; this is the most common form of "shot/ reverse shot." The sequence from Heat (Figs. 1.10-1.16) illustrates several axis-of-action techniques. When characters move, they obey the same principle of continuity, going out of one shot on frame right and entering the next on frame left. This treatment also yields a sense of continuous time. As a result, not only dialogue scenes but also chases and fights adhere to the axis-of-action principle.

Still, this is not the only way to make a movie. One obvious alternative is to treat each scene as a continuous block of time and space, to be recorded in a single shot. Static as this may sound, this option flourished in Europe and America until the mid-1910s and yielded some stunning results.²⁸ It was replaced by the continuity system, which became a lingua franca of world cinema, a stable craft tradition that enabled directors to tell stories clearly and efficiently. It has displayed remarkable longevity and is a safe bet to continue for many years to come. But that is not to say the system is inflexible, for there is always room for variation. Some Japanese directors for example, brilliantly recast continuity norms.²⁹

The Chinese cinema, from at least the 1920s on, adhered firmly to the continuity framework. Love and Duty (1931), one of the triumphs of Shanghai cinema, is as "classically" staged, shot, and cut as anything from the Los Angeles studios. This tradition migrated to Hong Kong. Polished productions like A Dream of Spring (1947), Song of a Songstress (1948), Tears of the Pearl River (1950), and Festival Moon (1953) hewed to the norm as strictly as lesser vehicles like Ghost of the Old Mansion (1949). Postwar movies made extensive use of shot/reverse shot, varied by occasional long-held two shots of characters conversing. Most scenes were filmed in studio sets, where the control over lighting and sound recording allowed the average shot to run well over ten seconds. The production values were less impressive than those on display in Japanese or Indian cinema of the same era, but the films remain solid, sometimes stolid, pieces of continuity craft, showcasing their stories and performances.

As Hong Kong films won regional markets in the late 1950s, budgets and production values rose, so studio settings could be more elaborate. Filmmakers also began to go on location more frequently, yielding the relaxed, open-air look of *June Bride* (1960) and *Father Takes a Bride* (1963). Directors loosened up, perhaps partly as a result of exposure to the "new cinemas" of the West. The swordplay films of the late 1960s often displayed bravura style, and other genres became more technique-conscious. Lung Kong's *Story of a Discharged Prisoner* (1967) flaunts handheld shots and rapid cutting, particularly in fight scenes. Shu Shuen's *The Arch* (1970) is full of stylistic flourishes, including ambitious depth staging. Location shooting increased in the 1970s, since kung-fu films and crime dramas could be made cheaply outdoors. Michael Hui's comedies exploited actual exteriors, and his typical opening showed the city's streets at rush hour, crowded with people hustling to make a living.

Throughout the world filmmakers have sought to give classical continuity a greater expressive charge, and an easy way to do so is to vary the editing pace. While many 1960s Hong Kong films, particularly the opera movies, continued to hold shots for several seconds, some moved more quickly. The Story of a Discharged Prisoner has an average shot length of only five seconds, guite guick for any 1960s film from any country. Action pictures became rapidly cut, with Chang Cheh and King Hu in the vanguard of the reforms. Throughout the 1970s the Cantonese gang-chan-pian (Hong Kong-made films) were designed to hold their midnight viewers, and Shu Kei has suggested that this purpose encouraged fast editing. Since all scenes were shot silent, filmmakers could cut the film for dynamic visual effect and then add bits of dialogue in the mixing stage. Certain exhibition customs also picked up the pace. In order to squeeze in more screenings, theaters often projected films faster than the standard, at twenty-six or even twenty-eight frames per second!³⁰

So the 1970s begins that era of breathlessly accelerated tempo—whirlwind action scenes, conversations ever on the move, rapidly changing angles, constantly mobile camera—that we associate with modern Hong Kong film. In these movies virtually nobody stays still unless he or she is dying. (And even then...) Just a frame entrance can pick up the tempo, since quite often we get a close-up of a head popping in, most exhilaratingly from the bottom edge. Zooms enliven not only kung-fu combats but also the simplest expository scenes. By the 1980s, the low-angle wide-angle shot became commonplace. Slow motion, a staple of action scenes, could mark emotionally significant flashbacks, and directors experimented with different rates of fast motion.

Color was being handled expressively too. As early as 1979, Alex Cheung Kuok-ming's *Cops and Robbers* presented Hong Kong's characteristic nighttime palette, blue-steeped streets dotted with glowing reds. The final reel of *Peking Opera Blues* exploits the clash of brilliant reds, pinks, and blues onstage with the ominous blacks and browns of the police on the benches watching. As Hollywood became ever more drab and desaturated in its color schemes, assuming that realism required pale earth tones, Hong Kong filmmakers used blazing palettes.

Cutting got even faster. By the early 1990s, an average of four to six seconds per shot was normal in all genres. Michael Hui's 1981 comedy Security Unlimited averaged nearly seven seconds per shot; his 1990 film The Front Page ran closer to four. Action scenes, which could hardly get faster, did. The climactic fight between Bruce Lee and the Japanese villain in Fist of Fury (1972) rattles along at 2.7 seconds per shot, but the parallel scene in the quasi-remake Fist of Legend (1994) not only lasts three times as long but has an average shot length of merely 1.6 seconds. Over the same years, editing on shape and movement became refined to a degree not seen in other national cinemas. Look only at the concluding warehouse shootout of Pom Pom and Hot Hot (1992), and you will see cutting that is as imaginative yet rigorous (mirror compositions matched to symmetrical tracking shots) as anything in the 1920s European avant-garde. Mature Hong Kong film teaches a central lesson about popular film: scintillating style can override jerry-built plots.



6.7 He's a Woman, She's a Man: Yu Lo scoffs at Wing's adulation of Rose...

 請我玫瑰?! 侮辱我玫瑰,打得你少呀?

 How dare you insult my idol Rose?

6.8 ...and she forces him out the window toward us.

Over the years that Hong Kong editing became rapid-fire, Hollywood movies were accelerating too, but with dire results. Music videos and digital editing technology encouraged filmmakers to cut fast without much regard for how compositions would flow together. To sell a song or a fight, a vague sense of busy excitement sufficed. The result was the pointlessly nervous cutting of the 1990s James Bond films and Michael Bay's *The Rock* (1996) and *Armageddon* (1998).³¹ It would be a mistake, though, to deplore fast cutting because of Hollywood abuses; the skill of Hong Kong editing reminds us that in the right hands this film technique can be captivating.

Throughout the 1980s, Hong Kong directors pushed the premises of continuity filmmaking toward a pulsating expressive intensity. The films correlate gesture, composition, color, and music more exactly than we expect in Western liveaction cinema (though it's common enough in our cartoons). An actor turns, and holds the pose. Now comes a blast of sound, a cut to a dynamic composition, even a flash of color to create a kind of visual vibrato. In *He's a Woman, She's a Man* (1994), Wing scuffles with her roommate, Yu Lo, shoving him through the window toward us; for an instant, his white shirt flares yellow from a street light (Figs. 6.7, 6.8). The shot moves from noisy dialogue to thrusting movement, capped by an unexpected color burst.

A more elaborate example occurs in Saviour of the Soul (1991). May (Anita Mui) has just seen her friend Koo killed and knows that she, her friend Chin (Andy Lau), and Koo's sister are the next targets. To draw away enemy fire she must abandon them. So she strides in as they wait in a corridor. Telling Chin that he has no claim on her love, she brusquely departs. The film is a futuristic fantasy, so directors Yuen Kwai and David Lai Dai-Wai can coordinate complementary hues without regard for realism. The first stretch of the scene, when Chin and Koo's sister are mourning Koo's death, is dominated by pure, hard blues in lighting, walls, and Chin's costume, along with some pale greens in stretches of background (Fig. 6.9). Only some purplish reds, in the sister's baseball



6.9 Saviour of the Soul.

uniform, serve as accents. When May enters, she too presents a sharp-edged blue in her angular cape (Fig. 6.10). She tells Chin she has no love for him and leaves him weeping as she strides out (Figs. 6.11–6.12).

But as she passes through a pair of swinging doors, we cut to her coming to the camera. The image shifts to slow motion, and the color scheme explodes (6.13–6.14). Tightly framed, the door fills the screen with an intense mustard yellow, the shrieking complement to the blue-drenched scene we have just witnessed. As May walks

toward us in close-up, unseen light sources turn her a brilliant orange (Fig. 6.15). A surge of trumpets coincides rhythmically with this color burst and the pace of her walk, as does her voice-over monologue: "Sorry, Chin. Only this can get you out of danger." As a color echo, her hair suddenly glows with a streak of russet light, the same shade that had lit her hair earlier—when Chin was about to propose marriage and when she bowed over the dying Koo (Figs. 6.16–6.18). Here imagery and sound cooperate to give tangible expression to May's pang of guilt.

Such bold expressivity surely owes something to Cantonese Opera. In this dancelike theater virtually every gesture is matched by musical pas-



6.10 Saviour of the Soul.



6.13 Saviour of the Soul.



6.16 Saviour of the Soul.



6.11 Saviour of the Soul.



6.14 Saviour of the Soul.



6.17 Saviour of the Soul.



6.12 Saviour of the Soul.



6.15 Saviour of the Soul.



6.18 Saviour of the Soul.

sages, particularly percussion, and a cascade of movement and music will be accented by a flash of color in the garments. Opera films occasionally underscore these synchronizations by means of rhythmic cuts and camera movements. Eisenstein



6.19 Peking Opera Blues.



6.20 Peking Opera Blues.

spoke of the tendency in kabuki theater to "transfer" a feeling from one channel to another. When a quality reaches its maximum expressiveness in one domain, it leaps synesthetically to another: "Whatever notes I can't take with my voice I'll show with my hands!"³² Eisenstein's observation applies to Chinese opera and to Hong Kong cinema as well. In *Saviour of the Soul*, the somber mood materializes in rain, the sound of drizzle, and drenched blues and greens; then May's sorrow at departing "leaps" from Mui's performance to the music, the movement, and the dazzling color shift. No wonder local filmmakers admired Leone, a director who syncs up twitching eyes with screeches and yowls.

The dynamics of color shifts can be just as affecting when they're quieter. In *Peking Opera*



6.22 Peking Opera Blues.

Blues, Bai Niu is enthusiastic about her chance to perform. But when she peers into another backstage area, she sees Cao Yun, General Cao's crossdressing daughter, being embraced by the young activist Bai Niu has her heart set on. Tsui Hark presents this conventional moment in a series of brusque color shifts using layers of curtains (6.19– 6.25). Tsui underscores the moment of revelation by washing out the color values on Bai Niu's face. But then her gesture of turning away from the sight is softly amplified when her red make-up starts to burn even redder. Thanks to the curtain's reflection on her cheek, she's now flushed with unhappiness.

This sort of expressive intensity can be coordinated with swift cutting and elaborate staging. In *A Chinese Ghost Story* (1987) the tax collector



6.24 Peking Opera Blues.



6.21 Peking Opera Blues.



6.23 Peking Opera Blues.



6.25 Peking Opera Blues.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 6

Ling Choi-san falls in love with the ghost woman Lip Siu-sin. But Siu-sin has been condemned to serve the hermaphroditic tree spirit Lao Lao, who feeds on men's souls (thanks to a vards-long tongue). Choi-san visits Siu-sin unexpectedly. Hearing Siu-ching, her ghost sister, coming, Choisan ducks underwater in a bathtub. Siu-ching is soon followed by Lao Lao, who whips Siu-sin and tells her that she must marry the Master of the Black Mountain. The rest of the scene is devoted to Siu-sin's attempts to keep Siu-ching and Lao Lao from finding Choi-san in the bathtub. She blocks Siu-ching's path to the tub, tosses a towel on the water, rips her dress when Lao Lao approaches, and falls forward into the tub in order to conceal Choi-san (and to give him a boost of air in an underwater kiss). Eventually she manages to steer Siu-ching and Lao Lao out, haul Choi-san up, and help him escape.

An effortless pleasure to watch, the scene in Siu-sin's boudoir is casually virtuosic. (We should expect no less from director of record Ching Siutung, though perhaps producer Tsui Hark had a hand in things.) The passage runs only a little over five minutes, but it consumes 170 shots. With the average shot less than two seconds long, the scene risks becoming choppy in the Michael Bay manner. The filmmakers make continuity harder for themselves by using only a couple of establishing shots, relving almost completely on medium shots and close-ups. Add to this the fact that the scene employs segment shooting, in which almost every shot is taken from a camera position not seen before, so we are constantly readjusting to a new slice of space. Finally, several of the shots are canted, creating off-kilter compositions that take a bit longer to grasp. Nearly everything proceeds according to the rules of the continuity system,

but the filmmakers have set themselves some difficult problems of clarity and expressivity.

Which they solve triumphantly. The action is broken into cogently composed bits, with most shots highlighting the direction of a gaze or movement and some images presenting two elements in dynamic but well-designed depth (Fig. 6.26). The sequence relies on mastery of shot/reverse shot and eyeline matching; even without establishing shots we know exactly who is looking at whom and, more important, who is looking at the tub. The control of instant-by-instant legibility is admirable. When Siu-sin opens her dress before dropping into the tub, the shot's first phase emphasizes her gesture, then clears a spot in the composition for Choi-san, who pops up from underwater and stares (Figs. 6.27–6.28).

The filmmakers give the scene a firm flow by letting gestures echo one another. Early on, as Siu-ching glances suspiciously toward the tub, there is a suite of rocking, symmetrical movements when the two women slightly turn their heads toward and away from it (Figs. 6.29-6.32). At one point, Lao Lao swings toward the tub, and the sequence sacrifices perfect axis-of-action continuity in order to let her-his body's movement continue the swiveling eyelines of the previous shot.33 Then the sisters rise, and a series of matches on action makes this an effortless surge of drapery. In a final canted angle, Siu-ching lunges toward the tub, but Siu-sin delicately deflects her, the two sisters pivoting in unison (Figs. 6.33-6.34). I do not believe any Hollywood filmmaker, in 1987 or today, could mount movement with this degree of rhythmic exactness. American directors never learned the sort of discipline enforced by the Hong Kong martial-arts film, which transformed the way local filmmakers conceived cinematic action.

An Eisensteinian "transfer" of expressive elements resonates through the Chinese Ghost Story scene. The main quality here is liquidity, manifested not only in the tub water but in the rippling veils and robes associated with Nie Siu-sin throughout the film. The fluidity of fabric becomes explicit first when Siu-sin floats the towel on the water, then when Lao Lao snaps a long robe toward the camera like a crashing crimson wave. Siu-sin slips the dress on, a moment accentuated by a leap on the musical track (a burst of her theme) and in the images (sudden close-ups of her hands gliding into the sleeves and knotting the sash). Soon, however, this robe is cast off, and Siu-sin arcs gracefully into the tub for the kiss. Likewise, Siuching coasts toward the tub as if borne by a current-a suitable gait for a ghost but still a pretty mundane counterpart to Siu-sin's soaring and swooping. All this fluidity is counterpointed by an earthier instance of transfer. Offscreen Lao Lao snarls, and the noise is synchronized with a shot of Siu-ching sneering. In the West only cartoon directors (and Eisenstein) would so exactly match one character's expressive sound to another character's expressive movement, palpably doubling the note of disdain.

Full of movement but never seeming rushed or confused, this sequence from *A Chinese Ghost Story* displays a patterned precision typical of 1980s Hong Kong cinema. *The Story of a Discharged Prisoner*, despite its rapid pace, has rather awkward staging and cutting; in 1967 most local filmmakers had not yet learned how to make brief images instantly legible and integrated into vivid patterns. Twenty years later, filmmakers had mastered a smooth, dynamic variant of the continuity system. So sure were they of their skill that they set themselves technical challenges that could be overcome with brisk panache.



6.26 A Chinese Ghost Story.



6.29 A Chinese Ghost Story.



6.32 A Chinese Ghost Story.



6.27 A Chinese Ghost Story.



6.30 A Chinese Ghost Story.



6.33 A Chinese Ghost Story.



6.28 A Chinese Ghost Story.



6.31 A Chinese Ghost Story.



6.34 A Chinese Ghost Story.

The craft pride underlying this technical skill never withered, but some filmmakers explored other options. They avoided the crisp, functional look and cultivated a softer image, blurred slow motion, and self-consciously abstract shots. The most famous exponent of this style is Wong Karwai, but early examples include Patrick Tam's fine underworld romances *The Final Victory* (1987) and *My Heart Is That Eternal Rose* (1989). Wong's *Chungking Express* (1994) popularized this moody "software" technique, and it has cropped up in several genres.

Another alternative was a less slick style. Filmmakers began to explore direct sound (that is, recording dialogue on the set), which by the end of the 1990s had become a mark of the "quality" movie. When Li Xiaojun (Leon Lai) fastens shut Li Qiao (Maggie Cheung)'s jacket in Comrades, the scratch of buttons comes forward over ambient traffic noise. In addition, some directors cultivated longer takes, letting the editing do less of the work. In some genres filmmakers began to build the entire film out of sustained shots of the actors' performances. Peter Chan's shots in He's a Woman, She's a Man and its sequel Who's the Woman, Who's the Man? (1996) average between ten and eleven seconds. As usual, economic imperatives have exerted some pressure too. With declining production budgets, direct sound and long-take filming have proved to save time in postproduction. Forced to shoot Love Amoeba Style (1997) very fast, Shu Kei deliberately used lengthy shots (averaging about thirteen seconds).

The most impressive example of this approach I know is Jacob Cheung Chi-leung's *Cageman* (1992). Most of the action takes place in a large hostel where homeless men live in stacked cages. The film celebrates communal spirit; the men would rather live here than be dispersed to state

housing. Given such a cramped set, the easiest option is to build the film out of short, repeated setups. But Cheung boldly goes in the opposite direction. The average shot lasts an astonishing forty-two seconds, and each scene averages only six shots. Some takes go on for several minutes and involve elaborate camera movements snaking through the hostel's aisles. (The film was one of the first Hong Kong productions to utilize a Steadicam.) Cheung's long-take images jam the frame with faces, bodies, wire grids, and the clutter of each man's possessions. Because the story is about the group as a whole, the action almost never centers on any character. Cheung adroitly uses depth, figure movement, and camera movement to trace out the fluctuating dramatic tensions in this cramped space (Figs. 6.35–6.41).

This tactic yields one last contrast with Hollywood. Virtually no American directors of theatrical releases working between 1980 and 2000 habitually utilized shots averaging more than nine seconds. (Woody Allen, M. Night Shyamalan, and Paul Thomas Anderson are the exceptions.) Because of their bias toward fast cutting, most American directors have never learned how to choreograph a moderately complex ensemble performance within a sustained shot. True, De Palma takes pride in the marathon camera movement opening Snake Eyes (1998), and Scorsese tries to catch us up in the exhilaration of a mobster swaggering into a restaurant in GoodFellas (1990): but these moments involve virtuoso following shots, a convention that became prominent in early talkies (The Threepenny Opera, 1931; Scarface, 1932), and they demand a talent more logistical than pictorial. Could any American director today sustain for minutes a shot in depth within such a constricted set-let alone a shot with the dozen characters and deft timing of *Cageman*? Despite Hong Kong cinema's commitment to fast cutting, some directors have preserved the skills of staging complex movement, and not only in the action genre. The results show once more that the continuity system offers a stable yet flexible framework for popular filmmaking. It assures a minimal clarity and economy, and it permits innovation—if filmmakers cultivate a solid craft tradition.

R. G. Collingwood famously criticized mass art's reliance on formulas, claiming that a genuine work of art is one of a kind, irreducibly singular. Noël Carroll has vigorously questioned this claim, pointing out that art of all sorts often depends upon "generic forms inherited from a tradition."³⁴ The composers of Tin Pan Alley worked with unusually confining forms, but they were no different in principle from Renaissance painters adhering to a patron's program or a classical composer writing a minuet. All art, high or low, operates in complex relation to norms—complex because each work will mingle familiarity and novelty.

In popular art, novelty may also spring from the sheer demands of craft. When an artist begins reworking a received device, matters of workmanship impose themselves. The artist, at least the alert and ambitious one, gets caught up in intricacies of elaboration. New opportunities are flushed out. Here is a chance for a symmetry or an echo; there I can counterbalance something earlier; over there I can create a new expressive nuance. In passages like those from *Saviour of the* Soul and A Chinese Ghost Story, elaboration of this sort comes to the fore. The convention is still there, like the melody in an ornamented passage of music, but the treatment claims our attention too. Entertainment has an ornate, even mannerist side, and Hong Kong popular cinema displays it again and again.



6.35 Part of a nearly four-minute shot in *Cageman*: The cop Lam has come into the hostel looking for a petition. As he interrogates the young Mao, the landlord, Fatso, slips the papers to Koo.



6.36 Track in with Koo as he tries to pass through the ring of cops in the background while Fatso steps out right to quarrel with Lam.



6.37 Turning, Koo comes past the camera...



6.38 ...and circles around Fatso...



6.39 ...before he's blocked by another cop in the background.



6.40 While Lam continues to lecture Mao offscreen, Koo starts back around Fatso.



6.41 The camera tracks back to show all of them, now opposite to the angle from which it started (Fig. 6.35).

Whatever You Want: Wong Jing

DURING THE 1990s, when he was not playing video games, the round-faced Wong Jing produced up to a dozen films a year under the auspices of two companies, Wong Jing's Workshop and BoB (Best of the Best), a partnership with director Andrew Lau and writer-producer Manfred Wong. Hong Kong was Wong's most important market, but he was one of the few producers still able to presell projects around Asia. Taiwan was his prime offshore target. He built his packages around stars who were popular there, and he visited regularly to study market conditions. A keen believer in promotion, Wong focused audience interest from the moment he announced a project. He began publicizing a film before shooting and invited the press to visit locations and interview actorsuncommon in Hong Kong, where the buzz usually started just before the midnight screening. The theatrical trailer for God of Gamblers' Return (1994), which marked the reappearance of Chow Yun-fat in the series, teased the audience with just one shot of the star. For the prequel God of Gamblers 3: The Early Stage (1996), Wong wrote a novella that was serialized in a newspaper, and he gave the story to a comic-book publisher so that a series could be launched before the film appeared. Star Leon Lai's hairstyle and clothing were kept secret until a few days before release.1

Wong Jing directed infrequently in those days, preferring to write scripts and farm them out. His units shot several films at once, and he often toured two or three locations in the course of a day. When he did direct, he worked very fast. Adopting a sort of second-unit system-the fights and chases were entrusted wholly to the action choreographer-Wong devoted himself to the dialogue scenes, which he could polish off in ten days. The scenes he most enjoyed filming were the poker duels that climax many of his gambling films. These depend on dozens of close-ups of cards, hands, and shifting glances, all of which can be shot simply and quickly. Wong allowed a week for editing and dubbing. His streamlined system enabled him to affix his directorial signature to five films in 1991, five in 1992, nine in 1993, and six in 1994.

He held the decade's winning hand. From cheap program-fillers and Category III sensationalism to "event films" starring Chow Yun-fat, Jackie Chan, Stephen Chow, and Jet Li, Wong's work proved amazingly popular. In 1993 his productions accounted for 15% of all box-office receipts for local films. In 1996 he participated in fifteen films, which commanded 30% of local receipts. In the teeth of massive video piracy, BoB's *The Storm Riders* (1998) beat every U.S. movie except *Titanic* at the domestic box office.

In keeping with Kirk Wong's injunction to please everybody at every moment, Wong Jing's films string together bits of comedy, action, and pathos. They are the ideal example of script-bybrainstorming; each scene is stuffed with gimmicks. The opening is likely to be breathless. Within the first sixty seconds there will be a gag, a chase, or a suspenseful encounter. The curtainraiser of God of Gamblers (1989) is low-key but gripping, introducing the hero first at a Las Vegas poker table and then in a prolonged gambling duel with a Japanese. Last Hero in China (1993), a mock-heroic version of Tsui Hark's Once upon a Time in China series, opens with a gigantic floating lantern pursuing women through a forest and seizing them with grappling hooks. After the unavoidable pause of the opening credits, Wong hurls us into a fight at a ferry station. Fourteen seconds into High Risk (1995) a gang of thugs mounts an incendiary assault on a schoolyard.

Thereafter the busyness does not cease. There is seldom pure drama; there is seldom pure anything. The Wong Jing comedy consists largely of farcical interludes based on pranks, disguise, mistaken identity, amnesia, and the splendors of human excretory processes. He has done interesting work with sweat, spittle, and nose mucus, but he excels in the rituals of the water closet. *Tricky Brains* (1991) strikes its dominant note early when "tricks expert" Stephen Chow traps his victim on the toilet seat. The walls start to close in on the man, squirting him with water. Suddenly the back wall flies open, and both man and commode are rocketed into the center of a shopping mall. Later an office worker (played by Wong Jing) tricks Chow into using the women's bathroom. Learning fast, Chow tricks a woman into the men's room. At one point Chow offers Wong Jing himself a piece of toilet paper as a tissue, explaining as Wong wipes his face, "It was used, but I cleaned it off." This is vulgar cinema at its most gleefully appalling.

Wong Jing's Hollywood predecessors would be Bob Hope, Jerry Lewis, and Jim Abrahams and the Zucker brothers (Airplane! and The Naked Gun!). Yet even these farceurs look somewhat Racinean alongside Wong Jing's determined efforts to shred plausibility, character consistency, and good taste. Once tricked into the women's restroom, Stephen Chow takes out lipstick and calmly applies it. City Hunter (1993) stages a Jackie Chan fight scene as a video game and periodically interrupts scenes with a lounge-girls' chorus breathing "Citeee Hun-ter" as Jackie pauses to smooth his hair and beam roguishly at the camera. In Last *Hero in China* as Jet Li performs a lion dance he is challenged by a giant centipede brandishing power-saw legs. At the end of the film Li wins out by learning the "chicken style" of kung-fu-which he executes in a chicken suit.

Nothing adolescent is off limits: we get love potions in Cokes, itching powder in shorts, scenes centering on drool and superglue. *Royal Tramp* (1992) endlessly mocks the male member and includes a penis point-of-view shot. In *Whatever You Want* (1994) a horny clerk begs a genie to give him a penis that touches the floor. The genie obliges by shortening the man's legs. *Boys Are* *Easy* (1993) presents a Triad Olympics, complete with cheerleaders and execution by javelin; the starting pistol becomes an AK47. Traditions are tossed. Characters burst into rhyming song and deliver dialogue while performing Chinese opera footwork; a lion dance ends when the lions indulge in a passionate kiss.

When he casts off the antic mood, Wong Jing can provide lively storytelling. *God of Gamblers*, modeled on Wong's straight drama *Casino Raiders* (1989), works out a fairly coherent plot, although it does resort to giving supergamester Ko Chun (Chow Yun-fat) a bout of amnesia that delivers him into the hands of scam artist Dagger (Andy Lau). The crisp fight scenes are punctuated by humor: after Lone Ng dispatches the thugs who attack Ko Chun on the subway, Ko Chun points out that they are Vietnamese like Lone. Lone Ng replies: "They're from North Vietnam." The disparity between gambler Ko Chun, with his dazzling smile and hair like black ice cream, and infantile Ko Chun provides broad comedy (Fig. WYW.1). When Ko Chun recovers his identity during a gunfight he becomes the blazing hero of the John Woo films (Figs. WYW.2). The gambling showdowns are kung-fu combats with cards and dice, spiced with adroitly timed close-ups and showy depth effects (Fig. WYW.3).

Wong Jing soon swerved the series toward crazy comedy, largely, it seems, because another company parodied his poker-artist hit. *All for the Winner (Saint of Gamblers*, 1990) stars Stephen Chow as Sing, an emigrant from China gifted with X-ray vision and the ability to alter cards' faces by



WYW.1 God of Gamblers: Apparently the victim of amnesia, Ko Chun cowers.



WYW.2 Later, Ko Chun becomes a Woo-like hero. (Compare Fig. EM.3.)

concentrating on them. Sing's uncle introduces him to gambling by dressing him in a black suit, soaking gel into his hair, and making him watch a video of *God of Gamblers*, studying the scene in which Ko Chun enters the gaming room in slow motion. Sing obligingly saunters into the gambling hall in slow motion, while everyone around him walks normally. The film ends with the triumphant ex-Mainlander strolling in, slow-motioned once more, dazzling in a white Mao jacket.

In a gesture of Hong Kong intertextuality, Wong Jing paid his plagiarists back by ripping off *All for the Winner*. In *God of Gamblers II* (lit. *Knight of Gamblers*, 1990), Dagger (Andy Lau) is introduced in a curtain-raiser proving that he is Knight of Gamblers, successor to his retired *sifu*, Ko Chun. But then Sing (Stephen Chow) and his uncle arrive on the scene and demonstrate Sing's magical winning techniques. To combat Sing, Dagger's opponent brings another supernaturally gifted master from China. The two wrestle psychokinetically to rearrange dice and alter each other's cards. In an epilogue, both Sing and Dagger wave to Ko Chun, glimpsed in an outtake from the first film. In reworking *All for the Winner* Wong Jing hit upon his most successful formula; fittingly, Wong Jing may have swiped the Wong Jing style itself.

So the franchise went on, expanding into wilder comedy and more grandiose drama. In *God of Gamblers III: Back to Shanghai (Knight of Gamblers II: The Gambling Saint of Shanghai Beach,* 1991) Sing is carried back to 1937, where he continues to battle the Chinese master. To forget his



WYW.3 A Wong Jing trademark: The gambling showdown, audaciously filmed.

lovesickness he opens a McDonald's, which occasions a musical number in praise of pork buns (Fig. WYW.4). With *God of Gamblers' Return* Ko Chun is forced out of retirement by the murder of his wife; now his gambling skills include hypnosis. The prequel *God of Gamblers 3: The Early Stage* is uncharacteristically sentimental, but the direction is sharp and restrained, using superb camerawork in the final fifteen-minute poker combat.

Central to all these films is the idea of trickery. The most extended comic example is *Tricky* Brains, a Jonsonian exercise in pranks and petty spite, in which virtually everybody is fooling everybody. The same impulse finds dramatic expression in the gambling tales. The series format allows Wong Jing to build ever more elaborate patterns of deceit, double bluff, and tit-fortat. Each God of Gamblers film demands that the viewer recall how the trick was played last time, and instead of simply recycling an old maneuver, the next film spins it in a fresh direction. If in one film Sing can change cards through telepathy, in the sequel his waning powers can change only the top half of a card. In the follow-up Ko Chun can therefore hypnotize his opponent into *believing* he is using the split-card device; it is as if he has

been inspired by watching Sing in the series' previous entry. Here again, "collective creation" proves its usefulness. A roomful of screenwriters wracking their brains can come up with ever more intricate twists.

Cross-referencing is a constant in Wong Jing's scavenger movies. Their in-jokes are too opportunistic to count as homages. In *God of Gamblers*, Wong Jing himself appears as a man whom Chow Yun-fat threatens with castration. In *Tricky Brains*, the character played by Wong tries to pick up a woman by inviting her to a midnight show of *God of Gamblers II*. When Sing recognizes the Mainland gambling master, the music from the *Swordsman* series is heard; then Sing forces the master to hallucinate playing a role in the kung-fu film *Fong Sai-yuk* (1993), but as a woman. In *Boys Are Easy*, at a male bordello, women order men to enact famous movie scenes; Tony Leung Kar-fai bursts in reprising Chow Yun-fat's role in *A Better Tomorrow*, striding in slow motion, pistols extended. Silliness becomes brutally tasteless when, after Tony's client responds by firing upon him, his cry of protest—"I'm playing Mark, not Bruce Lee's son!"—alludes to the on-set death of Brandon Lee.

Wong Jing manages to make even a Category III film seem jolly. *Naked Killer* (1992; directed by Clarence Fok), a cult favorite in the West, is an elaborate penis movie. The female assassins shoot or stab men in the privates, there are recurring jokes with sausage, and the policeman hero has become impotent since accidentally shooting his brother. At one point, when characters discuss



WYW.4 Fast-food pork buns meet "Mambo Italiano" in God of Gamblers III.

the male member, the offending word is bleeped out, mocking a ploy filmmakers use to dodge a Category III rating. At the end, the hero kisses the heroine and fires his gun convulsively; the shot triggers a gas explosion in which both die.

Whatever You Want (1994) is a bacchanalian celebration of pop connoisseurship and vulgar entertainment. Wong Jing steals the audition montage from *He's a Woman, She's a Man* (1994) and throws in a pastiche of 1950s Cantonese cinema. In an echo of *Speed* (1994), Anita Yuen dreams of steering a runaway bus across a gap in the highway. Anita has such fantasies because she watches videos all night; she once watched eight, she says, but they were by Wong Jing, so she ran them fast forward. She meets a genie who has the power of a VCR's remote control: he can pause scenes, freezing people in place while he leaves for a moment, and he can rewind an event so as to try a different course of action.

Through *Whatever You Want* drifts film director "Wong Jing-wai," a poseur sporting sunglasses and a painfully sensitive expression. Anita Yuen bumps into him while he is filming *Chungking Expect* with a man dressed as a woman in a trenchcoat and blonde bouffant wig (Fig. WYW.5). When Anita snatches her autograph book away



WYW.5 For Chungking Expect, Wong Jing-wai ponders a scene with his actor/actress.

from Wong, he shouts after her, "You have no personal style!" Later she goes to a screening of a film featuring the same actor stretched languidly out on a cow (mocking Wong Kar-wai's *Ashes of Time*, 1994). When a critic is mistaken for the director, the bored audience starts to beat him. He protests: "You know nothing about postmodernism!" This is not light satire, but the shameless overplaying makes it quite funny. Earlier, as Anita runs through the streets while everyone around her is floating in slow motion, Wong Jing creates a trim parody of Wong Kar-wai's visual signature.

Unable to let a halfway-good idea alone, Wong belabored Wong Kar-wai at full length in Those Were the Days (1997). Arty Wong Jing-wai is now so louche he pirates VCDS and declares that he hates old Cantonese movies. He is mysteriously transported back to the 1960s. In order to return to 1997 he must make a successful film for a mogul suspiciously resembling Run Run Shaw. Wong tries to cater to public tastes, even inventing wirework, but instead of a hit he can turn out only Ur-versions of Days of Being Wild and Ashes of Time. Yet Wong Jing-wai's artistic integrity attracts the admiration of a round geeky boy named...Wong Jing. Little Wong pesters big Wong endlessly, declaring he wants to make art movies when he grows up. Wong Jing-wai tells him to stick to gambling and sex farces, and asks him to throw in lots of urine and feces. "Making your movies lousy makes my movies first class."

Referentiality is played out more wrenchingly in *High Risk*, a big-budget action comedy that becomes a patchwork of Hollywood and Hong Kong movies. The curtain-raiser, in which schoolchildren are locked in a bus that is wired to detonate, milks another motif from *Speed*; the difference is that the bomb specialist Jet Li accidentally triggers the explosion, killing his own wife and child. This grim prologue contrasts with the next scene introducing Frankie Lone (Jacky Cheung), an egomaniacal action-movie star who purports to do all his own stunts but who actually uses Li as a double. Frankie is at once Jackie Chan (goofy mugging, dependence on his manager), Bruce Lee (fighting style, nunchaku sticks, yellow jumpsuit and *kiai* cries, as well as music from *Enter the Dragon*), and Jet Li himself (occasionally the music links Frankie to Wong Fei-hung).

All the characters converge on a jewelry show, which the archcriminal Doctor aims to rob. Soon the film becomes a Hong Kong Die Hard, with character roles and even musical themes copied literally. In his cowardly clumsiness Frankie becomes an antiheroic Bruce Willis skittering through the mêlée, except that instead of losing his shoes Frankie loses his pants. In the process the Hong Kong martial arts tradition is mercilessly travestied. The climactic punch-up lets Frankie parody Bruce Lee's cockiness, and it guys Jackie Chan's comic kung-fu, featuring prominent crotch jokes and the villain's explanation of where he got his nunchakus: "Bought from your shop-10 percent discount." Jet Li's own angular combat skills, which include driving a minicar through a hotel lobby and onto an elevator, play as fierce counterpoint to Frankie's ineffectuality-which he eventually overcomes with a cartoonish burst of energy reminiscent of Young Master (1980).

High Risk is smorgasboard à la Wong Jing. There are jokes on urination and potency. There are fights staged by Yuen Kwai with great brio. There are comically speeded-up scenes, obligatory references to China (the villains are veterans of the People's Liberation Army and the Vietnam border war), and outrageous shifts of tone. As the hotel staff are slaughtered in the lobby, one wounded man crawls up to where Frankie is hiding and begs for help, seizing Frankie's genitals in a death grip. There are wholly unprepared surprises, as when a woman hiding (in a lavatory stall, needless to say) thinks that she is safe until the chuckling villain empties a bag of poisonous snakes at her feet.

A rhapsodic critic in a vulgar-modernist mood could praise Wong Jing's as a naive cinema that, in its frenzy to fling together too much of everything, inevitably winds up creating perverse novelty: pop postmodernism. But Wong Jing is better understood as one edge of mainstream norms. His comedies carry to a noisy extreme long-standing conventions of episodic construction. As with the Hope-Crosby *Road* pictures, his swipings, parodies, and retoolings rejuvenate popular traditions in a wholly traditional way. In celebrating games of skill and misdirection, Wong Jing reveals himself as less a freewheeling naïf than a canny craftsman.

At the end of *High Risk*, Frankie takes public credit, offering to let the each audience member exchange a ticket stub for a roll of toilet paper. As guiltlessly self-promoting as Frankie, Wong Jing has built his career on a mixture of cheerful coarseness, zestful silliness, and assured technique. In *Whatever You Want*, Anita's rutting boss, who watches Category III videos at work, defends himself. "Vulgarity is the basic instinct of human beings. Humans misinterpret vulgarity. I change vulgarity into art so as to let you enjoy it." It is hard not to see this as the Wong Jing credo.

7 | Plots, Slack and Stretched

By THE STANDARDS of festival cinema, Hong Kong's approach to narrative seems obstinately naive. While the West was watching Angelopoulos' The Traveling Players (1975), Ruiz's La vocation suspendue (1977), Kiešlowski's Blind Chance (1981), Greenaway's The Draughtsman's Contract (1982), Carax's Boy Meets Girl (1983), von Trier's The Element of Crime (1984), and Varda's Sans toit ni loi (Vagabonde, 1985), this popular cinema clung to embarrassingly straightforward, even slapdash storytelling. The typical Hong Kong movie, as Geoffrey O'Brien puts it, proceeds by an "accretion of incidents" that yields an "improvisatory messiness."1 The chronic weakness of Hong Kong film, says critic Li Cheuk-to, is "its inability to handle plot effectively."2

Which may make this tradition all the more appealing to those film scholars who argue that any mass-entertainment movie is a grab bag of appeals. In place of overarching coherence, they suggest, we should look for a tension between "spectacle" and "narrative." If we think of a story as a chain of causes and effects, actions and reactions, then what do we do with those moments when the story seems to halt and we are forced simply to watch and listen? The musical serves as a prototype: the plot becomes a clothesline to which brightly colored song-and-dance numbers are pinned. Once you start to distinguish between narrative and spectacle in this way, all movies start to look like musicals. Isn't a fight or a chase, a string of gags, a vast set, or a breathtaking landscape spectacle? What about those lingering close-ups of stars, or credits sequences with engaging music? At the limit, all popular film becomes a "cinema of attractions," to invoke Tom Gunning's influential term, and the plot becomes simply a pretext for the real *raison d'être*, the parade of splendors.³

Sensuous appeals are surely part of popular cinema, but the narrative/spectacle dichotomy is probably not the best way to understand them. For one thing, the split presupposes a fairly austere conception of narrative. In fact, storytelling is going on constantly in a popular film, and moments of spectacle are usually integral parts of the broader plot. Lovers express their attraction in "Dancing in the Dark" no less than in a rapidfire banter; a lengthy close-up of Marlene Dietrich is a narrative event, marking her as a character inclined toward sultry contemplation. Car chases, gunfights, and explosions-all frequently invoked as signs of pure spectacle-develop the action, test the heroes, complicate the villain's plans, often kill off minor characters. Furthermore, these stretches of spectacle often have an

internal narrative structure of their own. There are goals (outrace the bad guys), obstacles (is that a woman with a baby carriage up ahead?), and decisions (steer onto the sidewalk). Spectacle sequences are often mini-stories.

Even if some parts of a film seem detachable, such as a string of gags that goes nowhere in particular, we should recall that stories also need padding and stretching. A folktale may incorporate three brothers or three wishes or three tests of the hero, even though not much of the point would be lost if there were only two or one. The literary theorist Viktor Shklovsky called this a "stepped" structure, or "deceleration."⁴ Any storyteller must fill out the story to the proper length, and so assorted materials—repetitions, gags, digressions, delays are stitched in, more or less tightly. Instead of relying on the spectacle/narrative duality, we might better presuppose a continuum running between tightly woven plots and looser, more episodic ones.

Akin to the idea that popular cinema bounces between narrative and spectacle is the belief that in "postclassical" Hollywood (that is, since the 1960s or so) coherent storytelling has collapsed. Critics of a postmodernist stripe like this view because it coincides with what they take to be the rise of merchandising, distracted and interruptive viewing habits, and a general breakdown in our understanding of the modern world. To fragmentation of the audience and fragmentation of experience corresponds a fragmentation of the text.⁵ One might then argue for Hong Kong cinema as exemplary of this postmodern chaos.

As so often, though, a close look at the films raises problems. Usually what the postmodernist critic takes as a fragmented film is just an episodic one, and there is nothing new about episodic narratives. Further, not all of today's film stories are particularly episodic. Many recent films, like The Silence of the Lambs (1991) and Groundhog Day (1993), display the sort of structural felicities to be found in The General (1927), His Girl Friday (1940), and The Pajama Game (1957). For some time, Hollywood movies have possessed more unity than they seem to need. It is as if filmmakers set up subtle echoes, balances, and refrains not to enhance thematic meaning (often the film's significance is not deepened by the patterning) but for the pleasure of creating an intricate design, the challenge of slipping in one more detail, and the desire to display skill, if only to peers. It may be that Hollywood, largely middle-class in its orientation, has absorbed enough canons of high art to prize the unities celebrated in eighteenth-and nineteenthcentury aesthetics.

Granted, most Hong Kong cinema has yet to achieve Hollywood's degree of craft cleverness at the level of plotting. Yet it isn't useful to insist on these films as casual assemblages of narrativespectacle or postmodern fragments. Their approach to storytelling, simple and insouciant as it may look, rests upon some powerful and longstanding principles of construction.

SINCE FORM OFTEN follows format, size limitations can govern structure. The short story is built differently from the serialized novel. Hong Kong

filmmakers standardized film length at 90 to 100 minutes, or nine to ten reels. This maximized turnover in theaters, allowing audiences to be hustled in and out at two-hour intervals across a day. As we've seen. 1980s filmmakers took the reels as units of plot construction, so that the first reel would grab the audience's interest, the fourth reel would start a significant line of action, the sixth or seventh would provide another major turning point, and the last two or three reels would yield a protracted climax and conclusion, leaving the audience with a strong final impression. Sometimes the template was conceived of as a threepart structure, with beginning (reels 1-3, all major characters established), development (4-6, main story gets going and reaches a crisis about an hour in), and climax (7–9, the final half-hour).⁶ These principles of construction also govern "art movies" like *Boat People* (1982) and *Rouge* (1988). Although some filmmakers claim not to follow the reel-byreel formula, many still do. Kirk Wong, for instance, asks his screenwriters to prepare a nine-paragraph plot breakdown, each paragraph devoted to a ten-minute reel.⁷

Conventional plot anatomy is quite visible in Jackie Chan's *Project A* (1982). The first reel introduces Jackie as a cheerful coast guard officer and sets up the main problem. Pirates are roaming the seas around Hong Kong. The British rulers are wringing their hands while the coast guard and the police squabble over jurisdiction. The reel ends with a comic barroom brawl, establishing Jackie's quarrel with Yuen Biao, the police chief's son. Reel two offers lower-key exposition, showing the pirates' Hong Kong collaborator hiding in the British private club and introducing Sammo Hung, the shifty conman. Reel three: more comedy, with Jackie assigned to the police force. Yuen does all he can to make parade-ground life miserable for Jackie.

Not until reel four does the main action kick into gear, when Jackie and Yuen join forces to invade the club and capture the collaborator. Jackie throws down his badge in disgust at police timidity and runs into Sammo, with whom he hatches a plot to capture the rest of the gang. In reels five and six, Jackie and Sammo try to swindle the gang. They botch the job, which leads to a sustained chase-and-fight sequence. Most viewers find this the most engaging stretch of the film (Fig. 7.1), but with respect to the main action it serves largely to fill out the format.

Project A's major twist comes on schedule in reel seven: the pirates seize a British ship and kidnap the Rear Admiral, and Jackie is put in charge of the rescue mission. He disguises himself as the pirates' local contact and leads Sammo and Yuen to the pirates' island. Reels eight and nine present the siege on the lair, the final protracted battle with the pirate chieftain, and a quick epilogue showing Jackie and company on a raft, dragging the British captives back home.

Hong Kong's reel-by-reel template encourages vivid curtain-raisers. *Aces Go Places* (1982), a prototype of modern construction, opens with the hero cheerfully pulling off a death-defying jewel heist that initiates the whole plot. Sometimes, however, the opening scene may have little relation to the action that follows. For example, at the start of *The Dead and the Deadly* (1982) a comic visitation from a ghost establishes the Sammo Hung character as good-hearted if meddlesome and sets up a key motif, but the ghost and the unfaithful wife he catches in *flagrante delicto* do not affect the main plot.

The nine-reel format also permits judicious padding. Any scriptwriter knows the problem of using up one's ideas too quickly and having the

plot peter out in the second or third act. But if you treat minutes eleven through thirty as exposition and filler, you can insert the first major twist far enough along to build a solid story in the time remaining. In other words, padding is better placed sooner than later. So comic situations can be exploited at length, as in The Dead and the Deadly, when the entire third reel is devoted to Sammo Hung's antics with a corpse; the fourth reel gets the main plot going. In Gun Men (1988), the third reel consists of the aftermath of a fight, the mourning for a slain officer, and the administrative shake-up of the police force. Only in the fourth reel does Ding reunite with his army buddies, recruit them to the force, and launch his crusade against the opium dealers. The comedy All's Well End's Well (1992) sets up its plotlines in the first three reels before the primary situations emerge: a husband installs his mistress in his house in order to defy his wife, while his brothers become involved with other women.

Very often, right after the fourth reel we find more filler. In A Chinese Ghost Story (1987) the fourth reel presents a major turning point. The demon controlling Lip Siu-sin demands that she kill her lover Ling Choi-san so that in three days she may marry the Master of the Black Mountain. By contrast, the fifth reel consists mostly of extended riffs: the old swordsman's frenzied rap in praise of Taoism and swordplay, followed by the demon Lao Lao's claiming another victim and a lyrical song montage recapitulating scenes we've already seen. The sixth reel recovers the story thread when Siu-sin tells Choi-san she's a ghost, mistaken identities are cleared up, and the swordsman proposes to use Choi-san as bait to catch the demon. Alternatively, the middle reels can accumulate reversals and miniclimaxes. In the decisive fourth reel of She Shoots Straight (1990), the



7.1 Jackie Chan dangles from a clock tower in *Project A*.

policewomen confront the main villain for the first time and kill his partner. This precipitates his act of vengeance in killing Tsung-pao in reel five, which also includes a poignant scene of the fallen cop's wife and sister concealing his death from his mother on her birthday.

The Cinema City company encouraged filmmakers to enliven each scene with special effects, fights, chases, and comedy bits, color-coding each on a reel-by-reel chart. If a scene did not have at least one colored bar, Clifton Ko recalls, it was punched up or dropped.⁸ All of these factors, someone might suggest, involve breaking up "narrative" (the story line) with freestanding "spectacle." We get more exactness, though, by analyzing this practice as a formula for episodic construction. Screenwriters seem to have had no guidelines for motivating the middle climaxes or the attractions that pack each reel, so the plots tend not to develop Hollywood's long-running chains of action/reaction, with goals formed, blocked, recast, and fulfilled. Instead, as in Project A and A Chinese Ghost Story, the plot consists of shortrange, fairly closed-off strings of scenes. As a result, complications get resolved *seriatim* rather than converging at a single climax. At the end of reel four of *Skinny Tiger and Fatty Dragon* (1990), the cop partners apparently solve a drug case. Reel five takes us through their vacation in Singapore, where they decide to quit the force and open a karaoke bar. But in reel six they run into the kingpin they captured earlier, and their pursuit simply resumes.

Because plot phases tend to halt and restart, they are often stitched together by coincidences. Chance meetings, that old favorite of popular narrative, are the principal linking devices. In *My Heart Is that Eternal Rose* (1989), a woman becomes the mistress of a triad boss; unknown to her, her former boyfriend has become the boss's hitman. They meet again on the street just after he's fulfilled a contract. In *Hero* (1997), the man who is thrown off a bridge and left to drown is rescued by a passing fishing boat; his former lover happens to be living on the boat.

Some Hong Kong critics would trace episodic plotting back to the moralistic Cantonese films of

the postwar era,⁹ but it may also be that such plots spontaneously revive much older strategies. Piecemeal plotting is characteristic of popular narrative, from oral epics to pulp adventure stories. Most kung-fu films resemble folktales, centering on barely motivated fights, near-repetitions of events, a hierarchy of subsidiary villains, and flagrantly patched-in comic interludes. Perhaps vernacular narrative in general tends toward episodic construction. For most audiences across history the intensity of strong moments has evidently counted for more than niceties of overall architecture. Madeleine Doran describes a tendency in Elizabethan drama to "organize events around several episodic centers, with the connections falling slack between them"-a good description of most Hong Kong movies.¹⁰ Hollywood's concern for detailed motivation on many fronts probably makes it unusual among popular cinemas.

The popular origins of Hong Kong plotting also emerge in screenwriters' fondness for the disguises, cross-dressings, pranks, and foiled schemes beloved of many narrative traditions, from commedia dell'arte to silent cinema. Misunderstandings and mistakes of timing are put to both comic and dramatic uses. There are folkloric tests of ability, trials of strength and will, and challenges from strangers, not just in the martial-arts movies but also in the gambling cycle, where games of chance become dazzling set-pieces. Loose construction can set off a moment vividly, spring surprises through unprepared-for events, and even vield a peculiar sense of realism, in which life becomes just one damned thing after another. In Lifeline (1997), the daily routines of the firefighters are interrupted by abrupt accidents-a perilously hanging elevator, a car wreck-and by the personal problems plaguing each member of the team. There is a culminating moment in which all cooperate to conquer and survive a catastrophic fire, but the rescue effort is merely one more incident in their lives; it does not, as it might in a Hollywood film, solve their problems.

Accordingly, the central character may not trace out the "character arc" of the Hollywood hero. Character development is less important than revealing characters' persisting traits through a variety of incidents. The march of events in The Untouchables teaches Elliot Ness to be more flexible and pragmatic, but *Gun Men* simply assigns Ding to root out corruption and avenge his colleague's death. The breathless energy of Speed (1994) may recall Jackie Chan, but the plot sketches a psychological maturing rare in Hong Kong films: Jack (Keanu Reeves) must give up impulsive bravado and learn to outthink his adversary. The centrality of revenge to the Hong Kong action movie assures a rhythm of blow and counterblow that doesn't require character change. The hero, clinging to his mission, must fight for his pride (and sometimes his principles) without radically questioning himself. And the luck that attends the hero's efforts-the chance meetings, the empty pistol chamber-becomes a kind of poetic justice, as if the accidents of the world naturally favored his unswerving refusal to change his personality.

Episodic construction favors tonal ruptures. Vulgar comedy can be slapped alongside pathos or suspense. The opening of Wong Jing's *God of Gamblers' Return* (1994) shows the murder of Ko Chun's wife and child, which sets him on the path of vengeance. But once he arrives in the People's Republic the situations become comic, with chases involving crotch jokes, garden shears, and references to the fact that Chow Yun-fat has gained weight since the first installment. The film's climactic poker game is a tense confrontation, but it is broken by two sidekicks' silly asides about Dragon Ball comics and AIDS. Similarly, Yuen Kwai's *Hero* is a serious gangster melodrama, but when the protagonist starts to spin lies about his pals, the film veers into anachronistic absurdities (such as making a 1930s triad leader into a pop star, complete with 1990s light show). Perhaps even stranger is *The Untold Story* (1993), which alternates the restaurateur's cruel murder spree with brazen gag scenes featuring the beautiful women in the chief investigator's life.

And endings? Episodic construction makes resolutions harder to predict than in most Hollywood films. Helen Soo, a U.S. importer of Hong Kong movies, has put her finger on it. "Anything goes in Hong Kong cinema. In American movies, the hero never dies. They never kill the child. Most Americans like a happy ending. In Hong Kong films you never know quite how the ending is going to be. It's very unpredictable, which makes it fun."11 In many kung-fu films, such as The Killer Wears White (1980) and The Bare-Footed Kid (1993), the hero dies while eliminating the villain. The original version of Righting Wrongs (1986) includes a scene of a henchmen blowing up children, and at the end *both* protagonists die. No one is killed in the comedy The Eighth Happiness (1988), but after a happy ending in which every man gets the woman of his dreams, an epilogue shows the most hapless man losing his. Such surprises could be read as realism, or as simply a narrative artifice that elicits a broader range of emotions than American cinema has usually allowed.

The last example reminds us that like other popular cinemas Hong Kong has recourse to the double ending, which though defying plausibility allows a popular film to evoke a wide bandwidth of emotions. *Always on My Mind* (1993) centers on a harried TV newsman stricken with cancer. The film milks a long farewell scene and then indulges in a lachrymose montage to the title song. Suddenly, a final scene shows him recovered and living happily with his family. *The Iceman Cometh* (1989) goes even further. At the climax of this time-travel fantasy, the ancient swordsman (Yuen Biao) leaves the streetwalker (Maggie Cheung) to return to the Ming dynasty. But then an epilogue shows her having given up prostitution and working in a snack shop. With even less motivation than the second ending of *An Autumn's Tale*, a shy young student passes her. It's her warrior, apparently reincarnated, and the film ends as she seizes him in delight.

The double ending can work in reverse, promising an affirmative ending before going downbeat, as in the boxing drama *Somebody Up There Likes Me* (1996). By winning the climactic bout, the hero redeems himself in the eyes of his parents and is assured of his girlfriend's love. He immediately dies from the injuries of years of street fighting. The viewer can feel that he is vindicated and yet cheated of full happiness.

The films with epilogues are the exceptions. Many 1970s kung-fu dramas ended on an abrupt freeze-frame at the close of the final combat, leaving other plot elements dangling. One premise of reel-by-reel construction was that the finale should leave the viewer with a vivid impression. Perhaps as well the midnight screenings made filmmakers unwilling to tack on epilogues, when impatient spectators would begin chatting and heading for the exits. With the sudden freezeframe, filmmakers could hold the viewer to the very last moment. Shu Kei suggests that filmmakers were influenced by the freeze-frame at the end of Butch Cassidy and the Sundance Kid (1969). In any event, the last shot of Fist of Fury (Fig. TD.3) proved so dramatic that the halted final image became a firm local convention.

During the 1980s, Gordon Chan recalls, screenwriters debated how to add epilogues conveying a thematic point. (The epilogue that Chan shot for Fight Back to School, 1991, was cut.)¹² When epilogues were used, they tended to provide a final plot twist, as in The Iceman Cometh, Always on My Mind, and An Autumn's Tale, rather than the brief celebration of the plot's achieved stable state we typically find in Hollywood. (Think of the epilogue of Alien, when Ripley slips gravely back into her sleep capsule as the pod floats off into space.) The endings of Tsui Hark's Shanghai Blues (1984) and Woo's The Killer (1989) carefully avoided abruptness by dwelling on characters' reactions to the final turn of events. By the 1990s filmmakers were creating unhurried final moments, as in Fong Sai-yuk (1993), In the Heat of Summer (1994), The Big Bullet (1996), and Task Force (1997).¹³

EVEN A PLOT built out of discrete episodes can gain coherence by mobilizing two universal storytelling strategies: parallel situations and motivic associations. Neither is specific to episodic plotting, of course, but they stand out when a film lacks sustained cause and effect, and they can unify episodic action in surprisingly rich ways.

Parallels can govern entire plotlines, as in the double or triple courtships that wind through romantic comedies like *The Eighth Happiness* (1988) and *Boys are Easy* (1993). In *All's Well End's Well* (1992), three love affairs alternate in importance before two get resolved at the in-laws' eightieth birthday party (and the third is settled a bit later). *The Banquet* (1991) oscillates between two rival property developers, each with an assistant and a romantic partner, hatching scams to swindle a mogul who wants to rebuild Kuwait after the Gulf War. Parallels can have a more folkloric quality too, as in *The Dead and the Deadly*,

which works variations on dead husbands returning to chastise randy widows.

Kung-fu films like *King Boxer* (1972) often play off parallels between competing schools, with the good son and his benevolent *sifu* set against the heartless killer and his even more wicked master. In *policiers* the parallels between cop and crook constitute a genre convention, running from Fritz Lang's *M* (1931) through Clint Eastwood's *Tightrope* (1984) to *Gun Men* and Michael Mann's *Heat* (1995). John Woo's heroic triad sagas, obsessed with doubling their protagonist, underscore parallels by emphatic visual devices. Parallel characters or lines of action are time-honored ways to fill out any plot, and they can make loosely connected episodes seem rigorously related.

Parallel elements are often signaled by recurring motifs—lines of dialogue, lighting, costume, props, setting, bits of gesture, snatches of music, anything that can be highlighted and then repeated. Some motifs recur through all of popular cinema, such as the photo of the beloved that can be gazed at in moments of reverie or torn up in anger. Some are folkloric, such as the genie who grants three wishes (*Whatever you Want*, 1994), or the symmetrical wounding that one finds throughout Hong Kong action cinema. After one hero of *Casino Raiders* (1989) is slashed in his left hand, his partner demands that the villain risk his son's right arm and leg, while the villain counterbets on the heroine's right hand.

Sometimes the motifs are simple, localized, and strongly signaled. In *Moment of Romance* (1990), a feather-stuffed Macau pillow starts as a young man's gift to his girlfriend; when she fights off her parents at the hydrofoil station, she flails them with the pillow, which bursts open. The title of *Summer Snow* (1995) marks the central motif. At first the summer snow is the flutter of blossoms

when the heroine leaves the clinic with her Alzheimer's-addled father-in-law. At the end, the two of them on the roof face the future reconciled, as pigeon feathers flutter down. Or the motif may be more oblique. In Somebody Up There Likes Me, the prizefighter and the woman meet when she brings water bottles to ringside for his opponent. Later, after he accidentally kills her brother in a bout, she bashes him with a water bottle. In God of Gamblers (1989), the wounded Ko Chun has a bandage wrapped around his head, with a single spot of blood in the center (Fig. 7.2). It resembles a *hachimaki* sweatband with the rising sun in the center, recalling that he has agreed to represent the Japanese in a gambling contest. Such visual motifs remind us how strongly popular cinema is weighted toward purely pictorial expression.

Music too gains a great deal of power through motivic organization. Since the 1980s, chiefly under the influence of New Wave directors, composers began to write through-composed film scores. The arrival of the synthesizer allowed them to turn out lots of music fast and cheaply, and so the sheer amount of music swelled. Only about five minutes of *The East Is Red* (1993) are without musical accompaniment.

Ingenious directors began using music to pull story episodes together. The opening credits of Tsui Hark's *Peking Opera Blues* (1986) tell us that the music will be important: a painted-face actor rolls his eyes on the beat, and the title's three written characters, *dao ma dan* ("Sword and Steed Woman"), flash onto the screen as they are belted out by the offscreen female singer. This pulsepounding song immediately promises rapid action, an effect enhanced by Tsui's cutting the credits shots to match the musical phrases. Apart from generic accompaniment for suspense and chases, specific musical motifs play off the film's drama. The main theme, a wistful and languid melody, is first associated with the general's daughter, Cao Yun, but it quickly expands to denote the group of young people she befriends. Eventually the motif will serve as an all-purpose love theme, paralleling her affection for her brutish father with the attractions among her friends that never quite blossom into romances. By the end the theme has come to evoke Beijing itself, first when a whiff of the tune is heard as the three women study a globe, and later when the film bids farewell to the opera house.

Interwoven with this languid motif are two others. A lilting song ("Snowflakes Are Dancing") is first heard when two of the heroines are cast out into the wintry night; later it underscores their subterfuges. The rousing title theme reappears quietly when two of them and their male accomplices take refuge in the theater, then bursts out exuberantly in the epilogue as the five friends ride off in different directions. All three main motifs are also treated to different tempos and orchestrations. Perhaps most subtly, the languid theme is introduced in teasing bits, each occurrence adding a bar or two before it blossoms in its full form when Cao Yun becomes anguished at her betrayal of her father's trust. *Peking Opera Blues*' score helps bind together a fairly episodic intrigue.

This example also shows how any motif, auditory or pictorial, can heighten emotion. It can be invested with feelings on its first appearance, and when it returns those feelings can be enriched, qualified, or undercut. In *He Ain't Heavy, He's My*



7.2 God of Gamblers: Ko Chun's bandage.

Father the son has a locket given to him by his elderly parents, a token of sacrifices of which he is largely unaware. After he is mysteriously flung back to the past, he meets his parents in their youth. He comes to respect his father as a leader of their tenement neighborhood and his mother as a woman who has left her wealthy family for love. He gives the locket to them as a token of his more mature appreciation of their struggles. What pleases us is not only the young couple's naive puzzlement at his gesture but our knowledge that at some point later in their lives they will spontaneously give the locket (back) to him, and he will now treasure it.

Or take the rather different emotional circuit hooked up in God of Gamblers. The master gambler Ko Chun is introduced through two motifs, his jade ring and his love of gold-wrapped slices of chocolate. The motifs suggest his upscale tastes and his adherence to Chinese tradition (jade and gold connote royalty), and they balance his maturity (the ring) against an incipient childishness (a passion for chocolate). The film goes on to expand each motif into a large-scale plot phase. The childish side of Ko Chun emerges in extreme form when a blow on the head gives him amnesia. He reverts to an infantile dependence on those around him, and his panic attacks can be calmed only by chocolate. The jade-ring motif gets developed in a more roundabout way. We know that Ko Chun has a habit of touching the ring when he is anxious about the cards he holds, and his opponents, having studied videotapes of his games, notice it too. But after the climactic game it is revealed that he knew they knew, and he deliberately stroked the ring to make them bet in error. The audience enjoys seeing a familiar element given a new significance: the grown man's idiosyncratic taste becomes a boy's demand for chocolate; the tic we

have noticed is noticed by Ko Chun's adversaries too, but he proves to have been one jump ahead of them, and us.

This interplay of motif, implication, and emotion can extend beyond the single film, thanks to sequelitis. Critics normally deplore follow-ups as crass efforts to cash in on hits, but sequels and prequels can rework motifs we know, enriching them through new associations. God of Gamblers 3: The Early Stage (1997) reveals the origins of Ko Chun's slicked-down hair (Alain Delon) and jade ring (a woman he loved in his youth). More ambitiously, Tsui Hark's A Better Tomorrow III (1989) inverts the macho ethic of Woo's first two films, showing Mark's trademarks-flapping duster, smoky glasses, two-fisted shooting-to be derived from a woman who trained him in combat. It is not so much that such prequels, understood as prologues, make us rethink previous installments. Rather, we bring knowledge of the first entries to the prequel and watch how that knowledge is stretched or qualified by a new context. We know that Ko Chun will touch his ring, but in the prequel the gesture is more than a tic or stratagem, becoming a reminder of the dead woman he loved. We know that Mark is a resourceful warrior, but in the prequel a new range of feeling is invoked when his style is traced to a strong, clever woman. Since audiences are unwilling to give up characters they love, making a good sequel involves coaxing new shades of feeling out of the emotions already invested in familiar iconography.

The emotional resonance of motifs becomes particularly noticeable in two unusual strategies of Hong Kong storytelling. The momentary flashback not only reminds us of earlier scenes but also intensifies the emotion. The device goes back to the silent era and for a time vanished from Western cinema, but it flourished in Hong Kong from

the 1970s on. At the climax of Feel 100% (1996), Cherrie strums an old song on the guitar, and while she sings we see dissolving views of earlier scenes with Jerry, the young man she's not sure she loves. John Woo's The Killer (1989) likewise makes lyrical use of the fragmentary flashback. A second strategy is also anachronistic by Western standards. Many Hong Kong films reprise shots from the film while the final credits roll. These images replay enjoyable moments and may also recapitulate motifs that have bound the film together. At the limit, the credit sequence can provide a rereading of the film's action. The reprise in She Shoots Straight gathers scenes emphasizing male foolhardiness and female bonding, stressing the traumatic moment of loss, the death of the son/husband/brother. The end of Shanghai Blues (1984) cites an earlier scene, and the credits montage then replays that scene, as if the film were caught in an endless loop.

One artistic strength of Hong Kong cinema, then, is its use of parallels and motifs—musical, visual, or verbal—to bind together episodically plotted films. Chang Cheh, King Hu, John Woo, Tsui Hark, Patrick Leung Pak-kin, and other outstanding filmmakers have understood how these craft traditions can also enhance a movie's emotional dimensions. The devices can be just as useful when the action is more tightly constructed. Thanks largely to the early 1990s generation, a finer-grained plotting is emerging in Hong Kong cinema.

Take again the hoary device of the flashback, but not the brief one showing a character overcome by a traumatic memory or a kung-fu hero suddenly recalling a technique that will defeat his opponent. Instead, some recent movies build their plots around extensive flashbacks, some of which take surprising turns. Jeff Lau's *Days of* *Tomorrow* (1993) centers on a film company seeking to remake an old movie. A young woman working on the set meets people who tell her about her mysterious father, the star Shing, and the brief flashbacks are mixed with her voice-over monologue. So far, so ordinary. Gradually the frame story is forgotten as the plot unwinds a long flashback that culminates in Shing's suicide attempt. At this point we get, for the first time in the film, his inner monologue; the shift in point of view occurs when the daughter understands the reasons for her father's suicide.

More viscerally, the key flashback in Herman Yau's *The Untold Story* (1993) catches the viewer between sympathy and loathing. The film intercuts the exploits of the cannibalistic killer with the police squad's casual investigation. It is hard to attach feelings to anyone in this carnival of sadism and petty ineptitude. But when the killer is captured and the police extract a confession under torture, we can hardly withhold some sympathy from a demented man who is suffering so much. Then comes the flashback to the crime we have not seen—the killer's relentless butchering of a family. We are left with no one to feel for; the killer commits suicide, his crimes disturbingly unexplained and unexcused.

Other recent films shrewdly refine parallels and motifs. One of the best examples is *He's a Woman, She's a Man* (1994). Wing worships her singing idol, Rose, and idealizes Rose's long-running affair with her composer and record producer, Sam Koo. To get closer to Rose and Sam, Wing disguises herself as a boy and answers an audition for aspiring singers. She is utterly untalented, but to show that he can make a star out of anybody, Sam picks Wing. Thanks to careful packaging, she (as a he) becomes a teen idol. All of Sam's team assumes that the androgynous Wing is gay. When Wing moves in with the energetically heterosexual Sam, Sam finds himself disturbingly attracted to his protégé. Sam is worried that he is becoming gay and so breaks off with Rose and flees from Wing. Having destroyed the love between her two idols, Wing returns crushed to her platonic roommate, Yu Lo. He convinces her to return to Sam, revealing herself as a woman. When Sam sees her all he can say is: "I don't care if you're a woman or a man, I love you."

Although director Peter Chan and screenwriter James Yuen Sai-sang were rewriting the script throughout shooting, the plot is satisfyingly trim.14 The film begins with Rose winning the televised Music Awards, and she uses the occasion to thank Sam. The film ends a year later, with the next awards broadcast, in which the winning Rose announces that she and Sam are finished. Two celebratory parties, one after each award ceremony, measure the distance the story travels: the first party hints at Sam's dissatisfaction with Rose, while the second shows him pining for the lost Wing. Yu Lo teaches Wing to walk like a man: at the climax he shows her how to run like a woman (Figs. 7.3, 7.4). There is also a strong character arc, with Sam moving from annoyance at Wing's naïveté to friendly interest and then to anguished desire. At the same time, Wing gradually sheds her fangirl illusions and ungainly androgyny, becoming in the final scene a young woman. Even Rose, who could have been a comic harridan, is given a mature dignity in accepting her loss. During the first party Rose gives Sam a comically sexy T-shirt, but in the final one she gives him a plane ticket to Africa-where he had always wanted to go for inspiration ("like Paul Simon," as the script caustically has him say).

Objects are marked for plot functions: Rose's roses, to which Sam is growing allergic; Wing's

Sesame Street puppets, with which she carries on imaginary dialogues; the piano at which Sam composes Wing's song, "My Life's Search"; and above all the green-glowing wands that fans wave during concerts. Wing naturally has plenty, and in her disguise she straps a bundle together to give her trousers a plausible bulge. When she and Sam get trapped in his jammed lift, she whips out one to calm his claustrophobia. At the end, Wing and Sam embrace in the lift, and in good Hollywood tradition it jams again; but now his voice comes out of the darkness: "Who cares?"

As an upscale, self-consciously sophisticated UFO production, *He's a Woman* locates its charms firmly in plot geometry. Chan and his collaborators understand that the rhymes and echoes, the



7.3 *He's a Woman, She's a Man*: Wing learns to walk like a man...



7.4 ...and run like a woman.

Plots, Slack and Stretched | 121

foreshadowings and twists, the interanimating parallels can all serve emotion. Audiences laugh delightedly when Wing brings out her glowing wands to brighten Sam's elevator. Your skin prickles when, as Wing runs through the streets to find Sam, up surges the music of his song to her: "Search for all the moments in life." At the party, Sam dejectedly watches Rose working the room; cut to Wing running through the streets to find him (Figs. 7.5-7.7). The three shots draw a contrast (worldly Rose, simple Wing), arouse hope of a last-minute meeting (the crosscutting convention), and suggest Sam's wish that Rose be replaced by Wing. A dynamically shaped plot can map out emotional buildup and intense release, while salting in surprises that retrospectively feel inevitable. This design provides a different sort of pleasure from the abrupt oscillations and sharp contrasts of episodic storytelling. Critics whose tastes run to postmodern fragmentation often ignore tight plotting, but even in a tradition favoring slackness it can be a powerful part of the art of entertainment.

MARTIAL-ARTS FILMS display many of Hong Kong's storytelling principles with particular vividness. These unpretentious movies illustrate how a popular cinema can draw on indigenous subject matter to create distinctive, strongly profiled plots.

The history and legends surrounding China's martial arts provide a treasure house of stories, akin to Arthurian romance or tales of the U.S. frontier. Warlords promoted combat techniques well before 300 B.C., but the most important development occurred when the Bodhidharma carried martial arts from India to China along with Ch'an Buddhism (known in Japanese as Zen). Around 500 A.D., tradition has it, the Bodhidharma installed himself at the Shaolin Temple at the foot of the Songshan Mountains and taught monks meditation, breathing exercises, and fighting skills.

The Shaolin Temple is the emblem of Chinese martial arts, and its legends have been recycled in film after film. The temple is said to have housed about 1,500 monks, 500 of them trained fighters. Over the centuries the martial monks were occasionally called to help emperors with military campaigns, and Shaolin fighting techniques spread throughout East Asia. In 1736 the Qing ruler razed the temple. According to legend, the five disciples who survived became the "Five Ancestors" who founded the secret societies known generically as triads. In fact many monks survived the fire and became street performers and Peking Opera actors. Later the Shaolin Temple was rebuilt, but in 1928 it was burned again in a battle among local warlords.¹⁵

Whereas martial arts were quickly integrated into Japan's warrior society, in China they survived in the shadows. The Qing dynasty (1644–1911) outlawed them as a threat to security. Some martial artists became entertainers, putting on harmless public demonstrations, lion dances, and Peking Opera plays. The more devoted students of fighting went underground, developing batteries of secret techniques. Kung-fu plots often draw upon this tradition: the rivalry between schools, the duty to avenge a master or punish a disciple who has misused the master's teaching, and the pupil's need to learn a devastating style.

Popular literature drew extensively on martialarts lore. Chivalric tales had circulated for centuries before coalescing in folk epics like *The Water Margin*, which in turn became the basis of a flourishing family of genres during the Qing dynasty. There were stories of martial exploits, love stories with a chivalric element, and fantasies of supernatural swordsmen. These formed a central part of China's pulp fiction during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.

The 1920s saw a boom in Shanghai *wuxia* (heroic chivalry) novels. Some of these were



7.5 Sam looks...



7.6 ...and sees Rose.



7.7 Cut to Wing, also in white, running to Sam.

highly nationalistic tales about Chinese striking back at Western imperialists. Others featured warriors with the power to fling swords great distances, emit death rays, ride on giant birds, and slay monsters. In one novel a giant serpent confronts the hero. He turns his sword into a ray of light and rides it up to a cliff edge, where he joins the heroine Ling-yun. The serpent spews a ball of fire at the humans, but Ling-yun flings her secret weapon, Heavenly Yellow Pearl, into the fireball, blowing up the serpent.¹⁶ Admittedly, this sounds like a Tsui Hark scene, but even less fantastic tales present heroes vaulting great distances and developing ironlike palms, feats that some martial-arts schools claim are attainable through arduous training.17 (The rebellious Boxers imagined themselves invulnerable to Westerners' bullets.) In any martial-arts film, there will be a thin line between what might be barely possible physically and what seems to outsiders pure fancy.

Martial-arts fiction continued to flourish after World War II in Hong Kong and Taiwan. There emerged a new-style wuxia novel centering on independent heroes who gradually matured, both as fighters and as men. The tone was somewhat antiheroic, displaying suspicion of authority and criticizing the hero's egotism and greed. The Taiwanese writer Gu Long published a series celebrating aggressive, ascetic heroes, and these spawned many films, such as Chor Yuen's Killer Clans (1976) and Magic Blade (1976).18 The most famous exponent of the new wuxia novel was the Hong Kong writer Jin Yong, also known as Louis Cha. Jin developed the idea of a disabled hero, prototypically the one-armed swordsman. Many 1970s films were based on episodes in Jin's stupendously popular works, and more recently Wong Kar-wai's somber Ashes of Time (1994) and

Jeff Lau's spoof *The Eagle-Shooting Heroes* (1993) derive from Jin tales.¹⁹

The wuxia novels and films created a heroic, mythicized past that was also on display in another story form. Early in the nineteenth century the Peking Opera emerged as a distinct entertainment. It seized audiences through vigorous music, gorgeous costumes and makeup, and above all the virtuosity of the actor, who was obliged to sing, dance, mime, perform acrobatics, and recite dialogue. Peking Opera created a set of stock types to be recycled in play after play. The actor's skill lay in the flawless execution of set-pieces and in subtle changes in formulas. Like filmmakers later, the troupe worked without a script, stringing together routines to suit new plots and devising fresh lyrics for traditional melodies. Cantonese Opera, the sweeter and less refined variant of Peking Opera that settled into Hong Kong, adapted similar premises.

In fiction, stage plays, and movies, martial arts came to saturate Hong Kong's popular culture. Legendary swordsmen and kung-fu masters are recycled through television series, and flying heroes fill comic books. One can't accuse it all of being boys' schoolyard games either, if only because women play a heroic role in these sagas to an extent without parallel in European or Anglo-American adventure yarns. From the swordswomen of silent Shanghai films through Xu Feng of the King Hu films and Angela Mao to Michele Yeoh in *Yes, Madam!* (1985) and Anita Mui in *Saviour of the Soul* (1991), Hong Kong action cinema has celebrated women warriors.

What brings audiences back to these tales? To some extent, they represent a China made for exiles. Roger Garcia has called martial-arts films a form of "mythic remembrance" for the diaspora, a legitimating fantasy.²⁰ However unhappy Chinese history has really been, one can imagine belonging to a country of stable traditions—not of law or government, but of honorable personal conduct. The *wuxia* tales make honor a matter of family, school, and ultimately the individual.

As each generation reworks these myths, Hong Kong critics often note, there emerges a recurring heroic plot. The *wuxia* hero is a gifted exponent of the fighting arts, and however bloody his or her deeds, the hero possesses righteousness (vi). The hero's loyalty is to kin, friend, or teacher, all of whom must be defended against socially sanctioned violence. In the American western, the hero may bring civilized justice or right the wrongs that have evaded authority. But China has no strong traditions of impersonal, objective law. "The most striking characteristic in our political life as a nation," wrote Lin Yu-tang, "is the absence of a constitution and of the idea of civil rights."²¹ The hero must exercise vi because the larger community offers no legal recourse; indeed, often the villains rule. Violence becomes the only solution.²² The tradition continues in Hong Kong policiers, where the rogue cop, male or female, pursues illegal investigation, intimidation, torture, and vendetta punishment.23

By duty the hero is pledged to avenge any harm done family, friend, or teacher. The basic motive, in most martial-arts and gunplay films, is revenge. Often there is little psychological backstory about why the villain is cruel or how the hero came to possess *yi*. There is seldom any scruple about the morality of taking revenge, any sense that it taints the avenger. It is a rare film, such as Lau Karleung's *Eight-Diagram Pole Fighter* (1984), that shows revenge leading to obsessiveness, madness, and the slaughter of innocents. Usually the only price of revenge is that you may be obliged to kill a treacherous friend or relative. In Woo's *The* *Killer* (1989), John's drama revolves around the replacement of a betraying friend (Sidney the gobetween) by an admiring adversary (detective Li). John's suffering springs partly from his wounding of an innocent woman, partly from his reluctance to punish Sidney for disloyalty.

Revenge can govern the whole action. As in Jacobean tragedy, the villain's initial act of aggression or betrayal may force the hero to spend the entire plot getting payback. Alternatively, things may be set in motion by competition in a tournament, or business rivalry among gangs, or (less often) competition for a woman. Typically someone dear to the hero will be hurt, or the hero will lose face through public humiliation. Thereafter action is fueled by vengeance. This can yield an escalating rhythm, since truly satisfying retaliation must raise the stakes. And whereas American films tend to let the vengeful hero off the hook at the end, allowing destiny to do the dirty work, Hong Kong heroes tend to be pitiless. Near the climax of Lethal Weapon (1987), Danny Glover allows the accidentally trapped villain to blow up. But at the start of Righting Wrongs (1986), Yuen Biao punishes the trapped assassins by firing the shot that sends their car into flames.

Granted, this conception of masculine honor is playground morality. Yet it is powerful for this very reason. If one had to pick dramatic motivations that would be understood around the world, regardless of the civil society in which one lived, revenge would be high on the list. One cross-cultural appeal of Hong Kong storytelling, particularly for male audiences, is clearly the central role it assigns to honorable vengeance. The films' power is probably intensified for viewers who live in cultures in which civil justice is as untrustworthy as in classic Chinese society.

Vengeance as a driving force can vield intricate plots, as in Webster's Duchess of Malfi, but in Hong Kong movies it usually serves to enhance episodic tendencies. A film's raison d'être is the action, laid out in semi-detachable set-pieces. The stretches between the fights are filled out with delaying devices: episodes of scheming between rival schools or gangs, displays of combat techniques, the sufferings of the hero's sidekick, the arrival of secondary villains (each of whom must be dealt with in a separate fight). Regardless of the genre, the delaying devices-a series of torments or slavings-may serve to make the villains ever more worthy of punishment. The tone need not be consistent. Kung-fu films have a weakness for low-comedy digressions, a feature that carries over into cop-and-gangster films of the 1980s.

Whereas the 1960s swordplay films often developed some complexity of intrigue, the 1970s kungfu films stripped the plot down to its essentials: a string of fights. Either the hero works his way through a host of enemies (the Bruce Lee variant), or the hero undergoes training, then works his way through a host of enemies (the Wang Yu/ Jackie Chan variant). In any case the climax is a lengthy duel between the hero and the principal villain. Often the hero is put at a disadvantage before he wins by superior skill or a secret tactic, or with the help of allies.²⁴ (Ganging up on a powerful antagonist is perfectly acceptable.) The modern *policiers* are not so different, with plot modules devoted to the discovery of traitors, the death of innocent witnesses, and the hero's public disgrace, wrapping things up in a culminating fight that can last as long as two reels.

Screenwriters of the 1960s may not have realized that by highlighting impressive fights they weakened plot structure. One recalls: "We wanted

to emulate what Kurosawa had achieved. For instance, when we saw the ending of Sanjuro and Yojimbo, we'd say, 'That's the effect we want!' I think we were preoccupied with dramatic effects, and didn't think enough about the narrative as a whole, character development and relationships."25 The same holds true for most Hong Kong action films today. Yet the very simplicity of the plotlines has helped the films travel well, and sometimes intriguing variations emerge. You can have several heroes, even five as in Shaolin Avengers (1976). You can proceed by a sort of "numerical exhaustion" principle. Invincible Armour (1977) centers on a villain whose body seems impregnable, so the hero must discover that this "armor" actually has five weak points, each of which he tries to penetrate in turn (and each of which is signaled by a flashing red dot).

You can also multiply revenge plots. As we've already seen, one virtue of episodic plotting is the possibility of developing vivid contrasts of tone among parallel lines of action. In Ninja in the Dragon's Den (1982) a ninja comes to China bent on avenging the death of his father, and his target is Li, an old master who was once a member of a ninja society. This is a serious revenge plot. Running alongside it is another one involving a young martial artist who, when Li is killed by the avenging ninja, sets out to avenge his death. Eventually, in a comic version of the father-son motif, the youngster and the ninja join forces to defeat a magician who pursues them because they humiliated his son. Like many popular traditions, martial-arts plots often reveal a surprising formal ingenuity.

Modern urban action pictures have found another way to knit episodes together—by taking the spotlight off a single protagonist and interweaving various characters' stories. This can sug-

gest a measure of realism, and it encourages the filmmaker to develop parallels and recurring motifs. The Log (1996), a police procedural directed by Derek Chiu Sung-kai, presents a cross-section of cops' personal problems-the overzealousness of a tough inspector, the sick fear felt by a rookie who accidentally shoots a thug, and the inarticulate pain of a dogged veteran who discovers that his wife is having an affair with his superior. The Chinese title, which translates as Three Injured Policemen, underscores the parallels. Although energetic action scenes trigger each man's crisis, there are moments of reflection and irony, as when the inspector is put in a cell with the suspect he has beaten and is abashed when the suspect forgives him "because I have accepted Jesus." Like other police procedurals, The Log pressurizes its action by setting all three plotlines within a brief span-ominously, the New Year's Eve that brings in 1997. The three working stiffs, all of whom plan to stay in Hong Kong after the handover to China, are counterposed to the colonialist top brass, who have comfortable bolt-holes abroad.

The same sort of compressed time scheme accentuates ironic parallels in another triplestrand crime plot, that of Cha Chuen-yee's Once upon a Time in Triad Society 2 (1996). Dagger is a nervous pimp who would rather play mahjong than cut up rough. Dinosaur is a triad rascal who idolizes his mentor, an invincible fighter known as the God of the Sword. Dummy is a young, subdued plainclothes cop who wants to do his job without fuss. Through alternating editing, the film's first third contrasts Dagger's cowardice, Dinosaur's exhilaration in inflated heroics, and Dummy's dutiful, somewhat dull prudence. Each young man has a woman: Dagger's impudent whore, J.J.; Dinosaur's teenybopper girlfriend, Deda; and Dummy's pregnant wife, Crystal. The couples' lives intersect when rival triad chiefs call for a confrontation in Mongkok. Dagger and Dinosaur, who are friends, face each other on opposite sides of a crowd's shouting match, while Dummy and other cops race through the streets to prevent a gang riot.

Inadvertently, Dagger and Dinosaur trigger the fight, and the central stretch of the film shows, in an utterly unromanticized way, the gangs laying waste to the neighborhood. Dagger tries his best to hide but has to come out to protect J.J. After hacking up attackers on all sides, Dinosaur finds his gang wiped out. He is gushing blood. At the same time Dummy, who thinks his wife has been wounded, hunts for her. Each plotline is resolved in a vivid climax. Dummy finds his wife unharmed; Dinosaur dies listening to a romantic ballad on Deda's Walkman; and in a sequence of grotesque comedy Dagger is sent out to assassinate his boss's rival. The film concludes with a trick ending and a grimly comic wrap-up.

Once upon a Time in Triad Society 2 blends genre-based suspense and fights with a carefully measured range of tone. Here Hong Kong's inclination toward episodic narrative creates a tissue of parallel scenes, and the tendency to mingle physical agony, sadness, and humor is controlled by confining each emotional register to a distinct line of action. Hong Kong critics saw Cha's film as debunking the hip glorification of twentysomething triads. Through its contrasting plotlines the film dismantles the conventions of loyalty and chivalry that defined the "heroes" movies and the *Young and Dangerous* cycle.

Interwoven plotting permits a nice balance between severity and slackness. In Patrick Leung's *Task Force* (1997), each detective-centered plotline has its share of pathos and humor, incidents accrue in a somewhat open-ended way, and the

film teases us with romantic rivalries that never quite crystallize. Fruit Chan Goh's Made in Hong Kong (1997) presents two main sets of characters: the young debt collector Chung Chiu along with his parents and friends, and his girl friend Wing, with her family and friends. The plot centers on Chung's efforts to make peace with his mother, protect his retarded friend Sylvester, carry on a romance with Wing, and avoid becoming too deeply involved with the triads. Sylvester witnesses another girl's suicidal jump from a building, and he brings Chung two bloodsoaked letters. Chung's efforts to deliver them lead him to explore the girl's reasons for killing herself. After Sylvester and Wing also die needlessly, Chung faces the same despair the girl had felt. Adding his own codicil to her note and delivering it to her parents, Chung kills himself. Made in Hong Kong's adolescent anguish is sharpened by its hero's gradual discovery that a stranger shares his disillusionment with the adult world.

SLACK OR TIGHTLY WOVEN, Hong Kong films reveal principles of popular plotting as if under an X ray. These principles may seem simple, but the tempo of production obliges filmmakers to deploy them in novel ways. And sometimes the sheer familiarity of a device can arouse the thrill of circumstances clicking neatly into place. Early in Casino Raiders (1989), Sam refused to help his friend Crab and allied himself with his businessman father-in-law. This decision led to the friend's death and the abduction and rape of Sam's wife Ko-yan. The masculine code of honor enters: Sam will seek revenge sheerly for his friend; his wife scarcely comes into his reckoning. The showdown comes in a high-stakes poker game. As a rule of play the game officials make Sam take off his wedding ring, as Ko-yan looks on apprehensively (Fig. 7.8-7.9).

A straightforward gesture like this provides an occasion for the audience to recall earlier events, to speculate on the characters' emotional states, to dwell on the loss, risk, and hope that the moment bears. The surrender of the ring, even though ordered by the authorities, encapsulates Sam's purpose: he plays not for Ko-yan but for Crab. Judging by her glance and his somewhat shamefaced response (Fig. 7.10), Ko-yan seems to recognize the gesture as inadvertently laving bare Sam's ultimate lovalties. (Later she will learn that he has made her a pawn in a larger scheme, and a comparable gesture will convey her new state of mind; Fig. 7.11.) Two brief shots drive home to us, and the characters, the cost of male honor. Thanks to norms of storytelling and performance, style and genre, a moment takes on a vivid coloring, becoming at once fresh and deeply traditional. The forms and formulas of popular entertainment need not be subverted in order to command our interest. They have proved themselves over time, and they can, often with very little revamping, authentically engage an audience's eyes and ears and feelings.



7.8 Casino Raiders.



7.9 Casino Raiders.



7.10 Casino Raiders.



7.11 *Casino Raiders*: Learning that she was a pawn in Sam's scheme, Ko-yan removes her wedding ring.

8 | Motion Emotion: The Art of the Action Movie

Hong Kong POPULAR CINEMA will be remembered chiefly for its preposterously exciting physical action. Without benefit of film schools or postmodern referentiality, three generations of directors spontaneously recaptured the visual dynamism of Chaplin, Keaton, Lloyd, and Fairbanks. Just as intuitively, they put into practice ideas articulated by the 1920s Soviet montage masters. The result is exhilarating entertainment. Many of these fights, stunts, and chases remain gems of audacious invention, and the best action films from Hong Kong are among the glories of popular art.¹

Making a case for entertainment often requires us to take despised genres seriously, but perhaps you don't want to go as far as *The Smiling Swordsman* (1968), *Kung-Fu from beyond the Grave* (1982), and *Hong Kong Godfather* (1991). Yet even if you find the Hong Kong action movie nasty, brutish, and long, looking closely at the genre repays us in other ways. These films have managed to grip audiences throughout the world, and they help us understand how cinema can achieve kinesthetic artistry.

"The public loves action," Run Run Shaw observed, "all sorts of action."² Films showcasing chases, fights, stunts, and gunplay have found international popularity since the 1910s, when European "sensation films" of detection and espionage were widely exported. Douglas Fairbanks and William S. Hart opened up world markets for Hollywood. Decades later, peplum and spaghetti Westerns helped revitalize Italy's film industry. Action films can be cheap to make, and there has long been a niche market for them in Third World countries and lower-end theaters in the West. Today, they are top-renting video fare. When you step off the Moscow subway, faded pink Stallones and Schwarzeneggers glower at you from the video kiosks.

During the late 1960s Hong Kong filmmaking distinguished itself through its bravura physical action. This helped make it a dominant industry in East Asia and gave it access to Europe and North America. Export success encouraged makers of swordplay and kung-fu films to borrow techniques from Hollywood. The rise of the Hollywood action-adventure genre in the early 1980s spurred Hong Kong filmmakers to push their distinctive approach still further, mixing gunplay with martial arts and acrobatics. Soon audiences around the world intuitively recognized the uniqueness of the Hong Kong action movie.

One can argue that this tradition attracts notice chiefly because it shows things that lie outside Hollywood's range of tolerance: torture, dismemberment, disembowelings, gouged-out eyes, jets of blood. Following the precedent of Japan (which since the 1920s has offered up the most gruesome films in the world), Hong Kong filmmakers have pushed the boundaries of taste. The prizefights in *Raging Bull* (1980) are hard to watch, but Patrick Leung's *Somebody Up There Likes Me* (1996) shows what a really rough boxing movie can be. There seem to be no limits. A kung-fu duel may go on for a dozen minutes, and a 1980s cop film may feature a car chase or gunfight in every reel. *In the Line of Duty IV* (1989) runs ninety-two minutes, forty-two minutes of that consisting of vigorous physical action.

Yet if exciting cinema were no more than Grand Guignol shock and a flurry of motion, Hong Kong films would not stand out from their competition in the international market. Their success owes a great deal to their command of the film medium. They are just better made than most other action movies. They adhere to principles of design and execution that we can only call formal, and these principles are intriguing and far from obvious. They spring from a controlled dynamic of standardization and innovation, that interplay of norm and novelty we have already seen at work in genres, stars, style, and storytelling. Like the Cantopop song which mixes Eastern scales with American four-bar structure and Latin instrumentation, the action movie has creatively reworked international conventions of film style.

I USED TO THINK that an American action choreographer must be the best in the world or an American director must be the best. But now I know we are the best when it comes to action. They learn from us. If you ask any American director to come and make an action movie our way, they can't.

Jackie Chan

The kinetic precision of martial-arts films arises partly from the stringent codes governing Asian fighting traditions. The Chinese martial arts, which include both armed and unarmed combat. are known in Mandarin as wushu ("crafts of combat"), with the colloquial Cantonese kung-fu, a more recent term, indicating combat based on hitting and kicking. Any martial-arts style will have its distinctive weaponry, from swords and halberds to jointed staffs and the Okinawan nunchaku, but the basis of any style remains the control of the body. Combat arts are divided into "internal," or passive, ones and "external" ones, based on strong, penetrating attacks. The external approach in turn splits into "soft" arts and "hard" arts. The adept of the soft arts turns the attacking force against itself, employing a low center of breathing, circular movements, and a knowledge of vital points. The most famous soft approach is t'ai chi. In the hard approach, force meets force, and a high center of breathing sustains an explosive release of energy. The fighter attacks in straight lines, using not only arms and legs but also knees, shoulders, and head. Most kung-fu films display the hard approach.

Films also exploit a subdivision of the hard arts, known to all Chinese as "Northern Leg, Southern

Fist." Asian legwork has a solid physical rationale. The legs have a longer reach than the arms, and their muscles are more thickly knit, so proper kicking can deliver devastating impact. Kicks are used in all variants of the hard arts, but in dry northern China, where people ride horses and walk great distances, legwork formed the basis of wushu styles. Northern schools, and particularly northern Shaolin kung-fu, use the arms to defend the torso while the fighter is jumping, twisting, spinning, and kicking. The graceful northern techniques were probably the basis for the acrobatics of Peking Opera, and the flying kick (which may have originated as a technique for unseating horsemen) has become the indelible image of kung-fu movie fighting.³

The southern hard styles, also known as southern Shaolin kung-fu, rely more on the upper body, supposedly because southern Chinese, rowing and poling along waterways, built up powerful shoulders and arms. Southern styles present solid stances and intricate arm and hand techniques. When kicks are used, they tend to be low. Several historical heroes of Hong Kong martial arts movies are identified with southern styles. The legendary Wong Fei-hung, for example, is known as an exponent of the Hung Gar style, a closequarter tactic that is one of the five original forms of Shaolin kung-fu. Bruce Lee began as a student of Wing Chun, a short-range punching style. There is scarcely a style that has not been showcased in one film or another, such as Choi Li Fat (1970) and Mad Monkey Kung-Fu (1979). Many styles are modeled on animal movements, so a kung-fu film may show the hero discovering a technique by observing an animal's attack. The most famous example is probably Jackie Chan in Snake in the Eagle's Shadow (1978), in which he gets inspiration from a cobra's fight with a cat.

The distinction between Northern Leg and Southern Fist is not absolute, since many schools merged aspects of each. Thus the Praying Mantis system combines balanced footwork with lightning grabbing and clawing techniques. This pluralistic approach quickly carried over into cinema, which demanded the showiest forms of each style. Bruce Lee deplored the inconsistency of movie martial arts, but even he incorporated the flashy northern flying kick. Although a character may claim allegiance to one style or another, the performer may mix styles freely. A northern technique will be followed by something southern or an acrobatic trick. "In films you can't play just one style," remarks Yuen Wah, an outstanding actor and choreographer. "It often depends on the camera angle or your distance from the camera as to what looks good."4

Conceptions of what looks good are derived as much from theatrical spectacle as from combat traditions. As a presentational mode, Peking Opera correlated each role with a set of correct movements, which were in turn broken down into precise gestures. A song's lyrics were treated as "timemovement units" like the patterns to be memorized in martial-arts training. Opera's combat forms were stylized elaborations of wushu movements, spiced with acrobatic displays.⁵ In addition, opera plays could be very violent. In a plot that could fit a 1960s swordplay film, the play *Wu Song's Revenge* incorporates both swordfighting and unarmed combat: a tiger hunter tosses servants into a wine vat and hacks off conspirators' heads before slathering a message on the wall in their blood. Significantly, many Hong Kong action filmmakers came from Peking Opera academies. The most famous academy, Yu Jim Yuen's Opera School, produced the "Seven Little Fortunes," a boys' troupe that included Jackie Chan, Sammo Hung,

Yuen Biao, Yuen Kwai, and Yuen Wah—supreme acrobats who have been fighting onscreen for decades.⁶ *Painted Faces* (1988) presents a warm, idealized version of Master Yu's training; a striking result of the regimen, complete with poses and a rhythmic spear fight, can be seen in Jackie Chan's *Fearless Hyena* (1979; Fig. 8.1).

Because of the films' origins in literary, theatrical, and martial traditions, Hong Kong critics usually see a profound continuity among the action genres. The gunplay films of the 1980s are indebted to the martial-arts movies of previous decades, where John Woo, Yuen Kwai, and many stars of crime movies began their careers.⁷ In order to grasp the action aesthetic, it's worth sketching some lines of historical development.

The first martial-arts films were silent-era productions of Shanghai studios. Films like *The Nameless Hero* (1926) and *The Hero of Guandong* (1928) centered on the adventures of swordsmen and swordswomen. They came to be known as

wuxia pian, "films of chivalrous combat." Stylized fighting, derived from the opera tradition, is said to have been introduced in Li Feifei, the Heroine (1925).8 The eighteen-part serial Burning of the Red Lotus Monastery (1928-1931) was the prototype of the fantasy swordplay movie; already there were flying daggers, weightless leaps, and palm power, the ability to shoot bursts of energy from one's hands (Fig. 8.2).9 Many of the cinematic tricks that present superheroic feats were developed in this era, including wirework and double exposures. Heroine of the Wild River: Big Trouble at Deer Horn Gulch (1930) features fast-motion swordfights, a huge bird that carries off a little boy, animated silhouettes of eagles, and a woman warrior who tosses around gigantic barbells.

Fearing that the martial-arts films would harm children, the Chinese government suppressed them, but when Shanghai directors emigrated to Hong Kong in the late 1930s they revived the genre. Hong Kong films dropped many of the fantasy elements and based themselves more closely on Chinese opera and acrobatic stunts. One famous series centered on Fong Sai-yuk, the Cantonese hero trained by his mother. As the Hong Kong industry revived after the war, several companies devoted themselves to action pictures, which had strong export potential throughout Asia. Some firms relaunched fantasy swordplay, creating plots based on superheroes, stylized fighting, and magical effects, while others relied upon more realistic manual combat.¹⁰

Of the more authentic efforts the most popular was the series of seventy-six films devoted to the great Cantonese martial artist Wong (aka Huang) Fei-hong (1847–1924), who performed and taught in southern China. Because little was known of his real life, a mythology grew up around his devotion to the Hung Gar school of martial arts, his powerful punches and "shadowless kick," and his skills as a physician. (Students get hurt while sparring, so masters traditionally know anatomy



8.1 In maneuvers reminiscent of Peking Opera, Jackie Chan evades spears in Fearless Hyena.



8.2 Palm power on display in *Burning of the Red Lotus Monastery*.

and herbal medicine.) Soon after World War II, newspaper articles and radio broadcasts revived interest in Huang and Shaolin kung-fu.11 Writer Ng Yat-siu and director Wu Pang launched a film series with The Story of Wong Fei-hung, released in two parts in 1949. The series snowballed, becoming a major part of Cantonese production. In 1956 twenty-five Wong Fei-hung films were released. Kwan Tak-hing, a beloved theater performer, portrayed Huang as a peace-loving Confucian who used kung-fu as a last resort (Fig. 8.3). The villain was often played by Shek Kin, who became as familiar and revered as Kwan, not least because he usually reformed after Wong Fei-hung trounced him. (Shek is best known in the West as Han, the Doctor No figure of *Enter the Dragon*.) Often awkward in their staging and shooting, the Wong Fei-hung films were significant in showcasing genuine kung-fu. "Before," said Kwan, "everything was swordplay and magic!"12 The series halted in 1970 (although Golden Harvest brought



8.3 Kwan Tak-hing wields a broom in this lobby card for *Huang Feihong and the Courtesan's Boat Argument* (1956).

Kwan back as Huang in *The Skyhawk*, 1974). It served as a training ground for many of the leaders of kung-fu filmmaking in the decades to follow.

While Kwan Tak-hing regaled audiences, other Cantonese companies continued to make *wuxia pian*, mostly swordplay fantasies. These stabilized a repertoire of conventions that would never leave Hong Kong cinema: reverse motion, fast motion, hidden trampolines, and whirs and whooshes to emphasize colossal leaps. These technical devices demanded time and money; preparing a swordsman's leap on guy wires took five or six hours, and flying swords were usually produced through color effects or drawing on the film (Fig. 8.4). But when Shaws and MP & GI entered the market in a major way in the 1960s, the Cantonese martial fantasy lost ground before the rise of more violent and realistic Mandarin swordplay.

The Mandarin films marked a new sophistication in Hong Kong martial arts. Xu Zhenghong's *Temple of the Red Lotus* (1965), King Hu's *Come Drink with Me* (1966) and his Taiwan-made *Dragon Gate Inn* (1967), and Chang Cheh's *One-Armed Swordsman* (1967) and *Golden Swallow* (1967) dis-



8.4 A publicity still from *The Sword from the Sea* (Part I) (1964). Compare Figs. 6.1 and 8.2.

played a freshness, compactness, and brutal intensity. Color and widescreen began to be used. The biggest studios had sophisticated cameras like the lightweight Arriflex, which enabled filmmakers to employ handheld shots. Cutting became more rapid, particularly to hide wirework and other trick effects. The 1950s kung-fu films had simply allowed actors to improvise their fights, but now the martial-arts instructor became an important crew member. Many directors simply let the choreographer and cameraman supervise the action scenes. Choreography was staged very cinematically, using camera movements to exploit the widescreen format. Shooting a martial-arts film now consumed a month or more, and filming the fight sequences could take up to two-thirds of that time.13

The Mandarin swordplay films shrewdly absorbed lessons from the Italian Westerns and, as we would expect, from the Japanese *jidai-geki* (historical films). Kurosawa and his colleagues featured lightning swordplay, bodies crashing through screens, amputations, and geysers of blood. Hong Kong filmmakers had ample opportunity to study the films featuring the blind masseur-swordsman Zatoichi, a series that Shaws distributed locally. Shaws sent staff members to Japan to learn about production methods and began to hire Japanese directors and cameramen.¹⁴ Run Run Shaw and his manager Raymond Chow would screen a Japanese film for directors and decide how to borrow its plot.

Hong Kong's "new *wuxia pian*," as they were called, drew material from the martial-arts novels being devoured by readers in Hong Kong and Taiwan: plots of vengeance, competition for the highest rank in the martial world, the search for treasure or a combat manual.¹⁵ King Hu's intricate political intrigues and Chang Cheh's blood-

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 8

sodden, masochistic treatment of the martial tradition were far removed from the simpler, sunnier virtues on view in the Cantonese films. The Mandarin swordplay dramas trounced American imports at the local box office. They also played the major role in expunging Cantonese-language films; Shaws and Cathay held so many theaters that Cantonese action films were consigned to peripheral houses. But within a few years the Mandarin swordplay films were themselves overtaken in popularity by the return of unarmed fighting arts.

Shaw's Chinese Boxer (1970) is usually credited with reviving the kung-fu film. Assimilating the sword films' brutal violence and heroic suffering, the new kung-fu film reached its apogee in the early 1970s-still in Mandarin, but with a strong emphasis on southern Chinese fighting styles like Wing Chun. The genre's very name had a local flavor. In Cantonese kung-fu basically means skill in any domain, from cooking to teaching, but with the popularity of the Bruce Lee films it came to indicate manual fighting skills. In the 1970s, when local audiences were sensitive to Hong Kong's cultural uniqueness, kung-fu, a southern martial art with demonstrable historical origins, seemed strikingly modern compared to the northern wushu, which was rooted in myth and associated with fantasy and the supernatural.¹⁶

Kung-fu thrust Hong Kong films into Japan, South Korea, the United States, Europe, Latin America, and Africa. Major American studios were briefly buying kung-fu movies, and bottomfeeding distributors lived off them well into the 1980s. A kung-fu piece, with its simple costumes and props and a vague turn-of-the-century period setting—a village, a clearing or two—could be filmed more cheaply than a sword movie. Dozens of independent companies sprang up, many turning out films solely for overseas audiences. "Chopsocky" the cruder ones were called, and for many viewers the Hong Kong film is still synonymous with the quickies' disjointed plots, grotesque acting, and otherworldly dubbing, a mixture of snarling delivery, vernacular American vocabulary, and erratically fractured phrasing. (A favorite fan example: *Your kung-fu has...gotten real...ly good.*)

Kung-fu became the leading edge of Hong Kong cinema. Jimmy Wang Yu, originally a star swimmer, played in sword films before starring in The Chinese Boxer and other kung-fu classics, some of which he directed. Chang Cheh smoothly switched from swordplay to kung-fu, eventually creating a crew of superb martial artists who became known in the West as the Five Venoms (from their 1978 film of the same name). To save money producers began to hire martial-arts choreographers to direct entire films. Martial-arts directors incorporated more fight scenes, filled expository passages with action and physical comedy, and gave up on sustaining even a moderately complex story line. The genre also passed to television, which revived the legendary figures Fong Sai-yuk and Wong Fei-hung.

Stephen Teo has plausibly argued that the kungfu cycle hastened the end of the didactic, tradition-laden Cantonese cinema. Kung-fu established a more vernacular realism, laying the foundations for the New Wave and the slicker films of the 1980s.¹⁷ Yet in other respects kung-fu set back production standards. Most filmmakers lost interest in the period detail and sumptuous decor of the swordplay epics. Endless zoom shots and slow motion gave the films a low-end reputation, and producers shamelessly recycled Western film scores, from James Bond tunes to *The Sting* and *Once upon a Time in the West*. Comic players had crossed eyes, buck teeth, mountainous pimples, and hairy warts. A hero might sport sequined aqua vests worthy of Liberace. Like Italian peplum and Mexican masked-wrestler movies, kungfu screamed kitsch.

Nonetheless, the finest of the choreographersturned-directors took kung-fu in fresh directions. Lau Kar-leung went beyond the genre's superficial interest in rival fighting styles and paid homage to what he saw as a rich, complex tradition. Yuen Woo-ping (*Snake in the Eagle's Shadow*, 1978) and Sammo Hung (*Magnificent Butcher*, 1979) developed the kung-fu comedy. Yuen went on to revise the Wong Fei-hung saga in the Jackie Chan vehicle *Drunken Master* (1978).

The late 1970s generation of choreographersturned-directors soon recast the kung-fu film as the contemporary action-adventure movie. Films like Jackie Chan's *Project A* (1982) and Sammo Hung's *Winners and Sinners* (1983) and *My Lucky Stars* (1985) seamlessly integrate martial arts, modern stunt work, chases, and pyrotechnics. Yet old-fashioned kung-fu could still attract local audiences; lush Mainland-shot productions starring a fresh-faced Jet Li and many superb martial artists won big box office during the same years.

In the mid-1980s crime films became the dominant action genre, largely through the success of Woo's *A Better Tomorrow* (1986). The somewhat grubby look of much 1970s film was cast off for a hard-edged style that compared favorably with Hollywood. What the 1960s *wuxia pian* had owed to Japan, the 1980s *policiers* owed to *Road Warrior* (1981), *Raiders of the Lost Ark* (1981), and 48 *HRS* (1982). Fight scenes were shot from a wide variety of angles and were cut to mere flashes. All over the territory buildings exploded and cars burst into picturesque fireballs. As Peter Chan remarks, Hong Kong films became "more Hollywood than Hollywood."¹⁸

A revealing detail is the new look of slow motion. Since the late 1960s Hollywood had employed it for action scenes, and it was a staple of the kungfu films. By the mid-1980s, both American and Hong Kong action films relied heavily on the technique. Usually Hong Kong directors controlled speed of motion not during filming but during printing, which was cheaper and allowed some fashionable blurring of contour. Using slow motion to show characters simply walking or reacting, as Scorsese had in Taxi Driver (1976), became an index of quality, and so the films were padded out with achingly slow strutting and head-turning and ominously billowing trenchcoats. Slow motion could also smooth out bumpy camera moves, becoming, Herman Yau notes, "a way of making handheld shots that don't look too cheap."19

Martial-arts stars and directors eased into the new urban thrillers. Philip Kwok Chun-fung (Kuo Chui), for example, found fame as one of the "Five Venoms," then became an action director and actor (*The Big Heat*, 1988; *Hard Boiled*, 1992). After some lost years Ti Lung, star of many Shaw Brothers sagas in the 1970s, relaunched his career in *A Better Tomorrow* (1986). At about the same time, martial-arts experts could also practice their trade in the new cycle of *wuxia* fantasies initiated by Tsui Hark. Ching Siu-tung, who had choreographed Shaw martial-arts films and directed the sword film *Duel to the Death* (1983), took on *A Chinese Ghost Story* (1987) and its successors, as well as Tsui's *Swordsman* trilogy (1990–1993). Other masters of martial-arts filming helped supernatural sword-fighting tales become sure-fire exports.

Also popular overseas was another Tsui update, the old-fashioned kung-fu film bulked up with modern production values. The prototype was his version of the Wong Fei-hung tale, the *Once upon a Time in China* saga (1991–1997). Tsui's competitors scrambled to find vehicles for Jet Li, Donnie Yen, and other martial stars. Yuen Kwai shifted from directing modern-day actioners like *Top Bet* (1991) to costume kung-fu sagas like *Fong Saiyuk* (1993), and Yuen Woo-ping was called in to choreograph Gordon Chan's *Fist of Legend* (1994), a loose remake of Bruce Lee's *Fist of Fury*.

Like the flying swordsman movies, the new kung-fu films had a short heyday, and purists complained that Jet Li's northern *wushu* style hardly prepared him to portray Cantonese kungfu heroes like Wong Fei-hung and Fong Sai-yuk. Yet these cycles served to keep the action movie varied throughout the 1990s. Filmmakers streamlined camera tricks like speeded-up motion, becoming very skillful at judging the subtly different rhythms yielded at twenty-two, twentythree, and twenty-four frames per second. Cinematographers cunningly concealed wirework by shooting straight into the sun or by the "Charlie bar," which blocks the light beam so that the edge of the wire is not visible.²⁰

Directors seemed to compete in finding flashy uses of old techniques like reverse motion. We see a ninja run and leap to a rooftop; in the filming he dropped from the roof and ran backward. If a dagger is to fly in and strike a doorjamb inches from the hero's eye, it can be filmed already in the jamb, then jerked away on a wire. During the 1980s reverse motion appears in dozens of guises. At the start of God of Gamblers (1989), gamblers compete in raking up mahjong tiles to make a high score. Ko Chun's rival knocks a tile away from him, the tile flies into the air, and the two men parry for it. Thanks to reverse motion, Ko Chun miraculously catches it on the edge of his wand (Figs. 8.5-8.7). During filming Chow Yun-fat started by holding the wand and smiling (Fig. 8.7), then waved the wand away (Fig. 8.6); as the camera zoomed in on the tile, he flipped it up (Fig. 8.5). This piece of low-tech effrontery is indiscernible on the screen.



8.5 God of Gamblers: As the tile lands...



8.6 ...the camera zooms back to reveal Ko Chun smoothly swinging it around...



8.7 ... to his smiling face.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 8

Hong Kong Action CINEMA, then, developed by pulling foreign techniques—age-old photographic tricks, samurai swordplay, New Hollywood gloss —into a dynamic genre tradition. Hong Kong has never stopped swiping. As an earlier generation had studied Japanese imports in Shaws' screening room, 1980s directors rented laserdiscs of Hollywood action pictures. From *Die Hard* (1988) Lau Kar-leung's *Tiger on the Beat 2* (1990) lifts the idea of heroes fighting on shards of broken glass and the use of a firehose as a whip and escape rope. *Saviour of the Soul* (1991) owes more than a little to *Highlander* (1986).

This borrowing reached down to the very texture of the action films. As we've already seen, one reason Hong Kong cinema travels well is that at the level of style—the moment-by-moment unfolding of the story in shots and scenes—it is conserva-



8.8 Intricate choreography in *The New One-Armed Swordsman* (1971): Lung with his jointed staff lunges rightward...

tive. It obeys international norms of popular cinema. Filmmakers employ simple, legible compositions and combine them by means of continuity editing. Just as Hollywood musicals and Bombay song sequences use lengthy shots to fasten attention on the performers during dance numbers, in the 1970s some swordplay and kung-fu bouts are shot like musical numbers. Long takes and a panning camera allow us to see the flow of the choreography. This smoothness is difficult to provide on location, but in a studio one can carefully rehearse an extended combat sequence. Many of the Shaw films use the long take to display delicate choreography within the anamorphic frame (Figs. 8.8–8.12).

Many action scenes, however, also rely on a tactic of continuity cinema called constructive editing. This technique builds up a sense of the entire



8.10 Feng sinks to the lower left, pauses...

action by showing only parts of it. Whereas an analytical breakdown gives us an establishing shot before showing the details, constructive editing denies us any overall view. With constructive editing we infer the entire action by mentally assembling the portions of the action seen in separate shots. The filmmaker must stage the shots carefully so that, cut together, they convey the action unambiguously.

Since the 1910s filmmakers have realized that constructive editing can make scenes of violent physical action very simple to follow. The first shot shows a cowboy firing his pistol; the next shows another cowboy ducking away. In the absence of an establishing shot showing both men, we infer that the first cowboy fired at the second. The technique is in every filmmaker's toolkit, and it was well-known to Chinese directors of the silent era. Soviet directors pushed this technique further in their pulsating action scenes. Here the pieces of action might consist only of brief, close shots of gestures and facial expressions, as in Eisenstein's Odessa Steps sequence in *Potemkin* (1925).

The constructive editing principle is not, of course, restricted to martial-arts combats, but it became central to Hong Kong action films. It was ideal for scenes demanding fantastic or supernatural feats, such as flying through the air or



8.9 ...to clash with Feng as the camera tracks back.



8.11 ...and attacks again, spinning Lung around.



8.12 Feng moves to the lower right and pauses while Lung holds his stance.



8.13 The opening of *The First Sword*: The hero leaps from the rafters.



8.15 ...and over the villain...



8.14 He somersaults down...



8.16 ... before landing.

destroying an enemy from a distance through palm power. Feats of skill could be faked by inserting shots of doubles or by cutting together, say, a shot of a man leaping up, then a shot of the man very high in the air (launched by a trampoline or springboard). For example, *The First Sword* (1967), a fantasy swordplay film, opens with the hero plunging down from the rafters, somersaulting over the villain, and landing, striking a stance preparatory to fighting. This would be very difficult to execute in a single establishing shot, but separate shots take care of it easily (Figs. 8.13–8.16). The closer views fasten the spectator's attention on the main action, while the rapid changes of shot maintain visual interest. There is an additional payoff: at the film's end the villain will be pinned to the ceiling in an image that echoes the first shot of this passage (Fig. 8.17).

But can't constructive editing make any actor look like a good fighter? Yes, and for this reason many aficionados consider it fakery. They prefer the long-take approach, which displays the fighters' genuine skills more honestly. Bruce Lee insisted on longer takes and more distant views to



8.17 The villain punished at the end of *The First Sword*.

assure viewers that his feats were real, although he did have recourse to deceptive editing (Figs. 8.18, 8.19). There is a virtuosity in staging extended combats in single shots, yet partly because of the importance of acrobatic attractions like the weightless leap, constructive editing has been a mainstay of Hong Kong action cinema.

Some directors have used constructive cutting to set a rhythm or to create a percussive interplay among shot compositions, while King Hu stretched it to the breaking point. Even a fairly routine film shows how constructive editing can be fine-tuned. Chang Chang Ho's *King Boxer* (1972) presents a climactic tournament bout between the hero, Chih Hao, and his arrogant opponent, Meng. The decisive air battle is handled in seven shots. A brisk symmetry is immediately established between the fighters' parallel leaps (Figs. 8.20, 8.21), followed by a third shot mapping out their impending clash (Fig. 8.22). Then a pair of reverse shots of the fighters in midair gives us the essential information, one blow per shot: Chih Hao's kick that doubles Meng over (Fig. 8.23), then Chih Hao's punch (Fig. 8.24). Meng spins head over heels (Fig. 8.25) and crashes to earth (Fig. 8.26).

A single establishing shot could not so rivet our attention to the core events—the leaps, the clash, the loser's fall. The editing also creates a piece of Hong Kong physics: in midair a kick to the chest somehow drives a man's head down so that a downward punch can launch a midair somersault. In an earlier bout, each combatant was given parallel shots throughout, so that at the end



8.18 At the climax of Enter the Dragon (1973), Lee starts to kick Han.



8.20 King Boxer: Meng leaps up, frontally (9 frames).



8.19 A sudden cut allows a dummy to be substituted in the foreground, and Lee kicks it violently out of the shot.



8.21 In a mirror-image shot, Chih Hao leaps up (8 frames).

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 8


8.22 The two fighters sail toward each other (10 frames).



8.25 Meng spins over in the air (12 frames).



8.23 Chih Hao kicks Meng in the chest, bending him over (11 frames).



8.26 Meng lands hard.



8.24 Chin Hao punches Meng (7 frames).

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 8

we got a shot of Chih Hao landing, then one of Meng landing. Here, however, devoting two shots to Meng's fall breaks with the even-handed coverage at the scene's outset, underscoring Meng's defeat; no need to see Chih Hao land. The hero is privileged in another way. Although every shot is brief (the longest lasts only half a second), the hero always gets shorter shots than his adversary. There is a neat disproportion in the decisive instant: the key punch is given in the scene's shortest shot (seven frames; Fig. 8.24), but Meng tumbles over in nearly twice that time (twelve frames; Fig. 8.25). The blow must have been powerful if its effects last so long.

King Boxer's director is a journeyman, but he has mastered his craft. The force of the clash, the tactic that wins Chih Hao victory, and the insistence on Meng's shame are projected forcefully by the constructive editing. The impossibility of presenting this fight in a long shot has been turned to advantage: obligatory artifice has yielded artistic emphasis.

Constructive editing can stand as an index of how Hong Kong's action genres mastered the international cinematic idiom. Without such techniques, the films would not travel as well as they do, nor achieve their particular force. But



8.27 Flames engulf firefighters in *Lifeline*.

Hong Kong filmmakers have not only borrowed. They have innovated.

WHAT MAKES HONG KONG action scenes so thrilling? The spurting blood and gruesome details, sustained by a mastery of international film language, can't wholly account for the sense shared by hardcore fans and first-time viewers that these action films are radically different from those on offer elsewhere. They elate us. And calling them cartoonish, eye-popping, or over the top doesn't get us very far in understanding how they work, and work us up.

There is, most obviously, pure daring. Even though many stunts are faked through constructive editing and wirework, Hong Kong filmmakers put risk on the screen to an extraordinary degree. This is one premise of Jackie Chan's charm, executing harrowing stunts with a desperate eagerness to please and then under the credits rerunning the bone-crushing outtakes. Part of the adrenaline rush of these films comes from the camera's recording of real danger. I recall a friend telling me that I would like the firefighting movie *Lifeline* (1997). "It uses Hong Kong special effects," she said. "That is, no special effects." The firefighters are swallowed in flames and knocked down like tenpins by lumbering spools of burning fabric. Just shooting it was a job for daredevils (Fig. 8.27).

Along with the display of danger, these films put at their center sheer physical extravagance. By blending martial-arts techniques with the Chinese acrobatic and theatrical traditions, choreographers have created flamboyantly stylized combat. Heroes fight by turning cartwheels. A man thwacked by a staff doesn't just double over; he spins forward in a somersault (Fig. 8.28). A perforated gunman is hurled up and back in a parody of loss of balance -while his partner ducks under him to fire in retaliation (Fig. 8.29). Even graceless falls look perfectly timed. In Police Story (1985), thugs bounce down escalators and smash their spines into glass cases. The tradition of showy combat makes Hong Kong physics pretty flexible. Swordfighters soar (Fig. 8.30). Warriors can fight on any



8.28 Crippled Avengers (Chang Che, 1978).



8.29 Once a Thief (John Woo, 1991).



8.30 Duel to the Death (Ching Siu-tung, 1983).

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 8

surface: a cliff face, the tips of fence poles, even the heads of a crowd (Figs. 8.31, 8.32).

Fighting in films, Westerners seem to believe, ought to be realistically messy, its impetus dissipated by awkwardness and fatigue. Watch Harrison Ford, master of reluctant, logy combat, in *The Fugitive* (1993) as he wearily grapples with the murderer of his wife, and wonder why Hollywood heroes don't study a little acrobatic kung-fu. Why not learn to dodge blows, to hit the ground rolling, to leap over your adversary? Instead of a telegraphed uppercut, why not use a back flip to kick your opponent in the jaw (Fig. 8.33)?

We evidently think that effortless grace and geometrical precision belong to the circus. Hong Kong cinema frankly embraces a circus aesthetic, mixing amusement, astonishment, and a delight



8.31 Redress (Kiu Chong, 1969).



8.32 Fighting on heads in *Fong Sai-yuk* (Yuen Kwai, 1993).

in farfetched feats. In *Dance of the Drunk Mantis* (aka *Dance of the Drunken Master*, 1979), an old master and his callow pupil defeat a skillful fighter



8.33 The Iceman Cometh (Clarence Fok, 1991).

by locking themselves into a cartwheel formation. Grinning cheerfully, a thief rides his motorcycle off a bridge, onto the top of a bus, and bounces safely down to speed off (Fig. 8.34). An attacker falls; a woman jams a pole into his chest, using him as a brace for vaulting up to a brick wall, where she perches like a spider (Fig. 8.35).

Any account of the Hong Kong action style must start with the sheer audacity of hundreds of stunts, all outlandish by Hollywood canons of plausibility. Perhaps, as Noël Carroll has suggested, the fascination of these scenes springs from imagining an escape from gravity and "the reality of flesh."²¹ If so, this universal fantasy is one source of the films' power. The Hong Kong tradition challenges filmmakers to come up with ever more inventive ways of displaying the human body's efforts to burst its earthly bonds.

But this is not the whole story. Starting from astonishing movements of the body, filmmakers amplify them through the materials of cinema movement, cutting, image composition, color, and sound. Hong Kong's commitment to rigorous patterning shows that a popular cinema can be as formally stringent as rhyming iambic pentameter or the rules of sonata form.

In any Hong Kong combat scene, each fighter's movement carves a clean path through the image, outlining a well-defined trajectory. Long ago the Soviet filmmaker Lev Kuleshov told his students



8.34 A bus stunt from Aces Go Places II (1983).

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 8

that the most efficient movement looks best on the screen. "Only organized work comes out well in cinema."22 Hong Kong filmmakers realize that sharp, easily read fighting gestures are necessary for a forceful effect. The director then enhances this legibility by well-chosen camera positions. As we've seen, the swordplay and kung-fu films perfected the segment-shooting method. Before filming, the instructor would decide on each character's school and combat style, but the fights would not be prepared in advance. Each fight was worked out on the set, with the shooting proceeding in continuity. Thus each camera position was adjusted to the exact bit of business that the shot would highlight. This is unlike the Hollywood "sandwich" style (Figs. 1.10-1.14).

The clarity of the action is furthered by one paradoxical quality. These scenes seem fast because they make calculated use of fixity. They seem constantly in movement because they incorporate stasis.

Very often the performers' movements aren't continuous. First there is a rapid thrust and parry, or a string of blows, or the whirl of a sword or spear. There follows a slight pause, often at the moment a blow is blocked, and the fighters are immobile, perhaps only for a fraction of a second. Then comes another burst of activity. The result onscreen is an overall flow that harbors a percussive rhythm. The short pauses articulate stages of action, giving them staccato efficiency. The static instants also make the movements seem more rapid by contrast. And each section of the pause/ burst/pause pattern can be adjusted to different rates of movement.

Take a moment from Bruce Lee's *Way of the Dragon* (1972). Lee is in Rome helping some friends protect themselves from gangsters. He is about to return to Hong Kong when he must intervene in an alley fight. Armed with a pole, he

knocks the knife from one man's hand (Fig. 8.36), pauses a split second, then jabs the pole into the attacker's groin. As the man slowly doubles over in the foreground, Lee, open-mouthed, holds his stance (Fig. 8.37). Then he uses the pole to press the man down (Fig. 8.38). When the thug has collapsed below the frameline, Lee pauses again (Fig. 8.39) before deliberately raising his hand to pluck his air ticket from his pocket (Fig. 8.40) and lift it over his head (Fig. 8.41). Then he throws it down furiously onto his victim's body (Fig. 8.42).

Print fails to capture this moment's fluidity, and it takes more time to tell it than to see it. Lee's movements engage us through a drum-tap microrhythm of pause/burst/pause. "Move like sound and echo," he advised his pupils.²³ Each gesture, itself Euclidean in its economy, is bracketed by instants of stillness, and these lend a subtly decelerating pulse to the scene. The first attacking stroke is followed by a pause of about an eighth of a second, the second thrust is followed by a quarter-second pause, and there is a pause of nearly half a second as Lee looks down at his victim. Finally, his resolve to stay with his friends and fight on is conveyed in a single gesture, itself rhythmic: he takes about a second to retrieve his ticket and raise it over his head, but only a quarter of a second to snick it down contemptuously. He discards the ticket as curtly as he thrusts the pole.

The alternation of swift attack and abrupt rest is characteristic of the Asian martial arts. The hard styles of northern and southern kung-fu emphasize force meeting force, and the result is a visual snap. In these styles, blocking or deflecting



8.35 Yes, Madam! (Yuen Kwai, 1985).



8.36 The Way of the Dragon.



8.37 The Way of the Dragon.



8.38 The Way of the Dragon.

a blow is as important as delivering one; each kick or punch is an explosive release of energy, synchronized with the release of a single deep breath. Moreover, kung-fu students learn that every technique consists of patterns, each one a specific configuration of arms, legs, and torso. During training the student learns to combine dozens of these patterns into "sets," sequences that have proved effective in combat. Each set is a series of rapid movements broken by poses.²⁴ Many kung-fu credit sequences feature the main



8.39 The Way of the Dragon.



8.40 The Way of the Dragon.

actors performing sets, not only announcing to connoisseurs what styles will be showcased in the story but also laying down the pause/burst/pause scheme that will be seen throughout the film.

Another source of the scheme is Peking Opera, which is at bottom a rhythmic theater. The orchestra is led by the percussion, songs are molded to the metrics of accented syllables, the ensemble leader beats out a rhythm on clappers and drums, and gongs and cymbals mark a song's climax, a passage of combat, or a fixed posture.²⁵ Battle scenes are a blend of martial arts and acrobatics, with actors leaping and tumbling. They punctuate their movements with moments of pure stasis, the technique of *liang hsiang* ("displaying"; literally, "bright appearance"), often underlined by a cymbal crash.

On film the confluence of these rich traditions brings us extraordinary combat. In a Hollywood fistfight, people punch for a while, usually rather slowly and seldom with the geometrical efficiency



8.41 The Way of the Dragon.



8.42 The Way of the Dragon.

of kung-fu. The fighters seldom stop moving, even when they pause for breath, and they never freeze as abruptly as do Hong Kong performers. In Die Hard John McClane fights the towering blond thief Karl in the skyscraper's boiler room. Karl kicks McClane back against a flight of concrete stairs (Fig. 8.43). As Karl bends forward, McClane seizes his neck in a hammerlock and drags him up the steps, punching and cursing him (Fig. 8.44). The two men grapple against the railing. McClane twists a hanging chain around Karl's neck (Fig. 8.45) and shoves him off, leaving Karl hanging from the rigging. McClane then slides down the rail (Fig. 8.46), pulling the rigging across the room and slamming Karl into the opposite wall (Fig. 8.47). Throughout, the actors' movements are illdefined, and some get concealed by parts of the set; there are no pauses to bracket phases of the fight. The movements lack efficiency, let alone clean-limbed attack and counter. This is a tussle.

Compare another scene in which a hero dispatches an adversary at close range. In the gun battle that opens *Hard Boiled* (1992), Tequila has raced into a kitchen in pursuit of a gangster who has shot his partner. The gunman lies on his back on the floor, firing (Fig. 8.48). Tequila dives onto a tabletop, rolls across it through a cloud of flour, and leaps off. Four shots map his rightward and downward trajectory (Figs. 8.49–8.52). In the last of these, he glides across the frame to come to a sudden halt, the pistol to the gunman's head (Fig. 8.53). There follow three close-ups in which the killer and Tequila stare at each other, motionless and silent (Figs. 8.54–8.56).

Tequila's dive consumes a second and a half; the unmoving exchange of looks lasts nearly as long. This comparatively long pause accentuates the next two movements, executed in quick succession: Tequila contemptuously spits out his toothpick (Fig. 8.57) and pulls the trigger, spattering blood across his own bleached face (Fig. 8.58). This is the lengthiest shot in the sequence, taking nearly three seconds.

There would be more to say about this passage-the fact that the shots of Tequila in movement are all about the same length (19 frames, 17 frames, 17 frames, and 20 frames), the way the flour mask turns Tequila into a ghostly avenger out of Chinese opera. What is relevant for us now is the way that Tequila's roll and dive form a virtually abstract surge of rightward energy before braking to an instant halt, hitting a pose of diagrammatic clarity (Fig. 8.53): two men, one with the advantage. The pose is prolonged in order to throw the decisive acts-spat-out toothpick, bloody execution-into relief. John McClane has no time to reflect on killing Karl and no remorse for doing so, but Tequila's hesitation reminds us that by taking his revenge he will violate his duty as a policeman.

Hollywood filmmakers, once the world's leaders in portraying the dynamism of the human body, today seldom achieve rhythmic vitality. American action films substitute massive carnage and incessant bustle for well-calibrated views of precise, staccato movement. Hong Kong's closest affinity is with the Japanese jidai-geki movies, which display the same pause/burst/pause structure. But there is an instructive difference. As the Way of the Dragon example indicates, a Hong Kong fight scene consists of many small bursts and rests. The pause in the Hard Boiled passage, though only a second and a half, serves as the fraught conclusion of a shootout that lasts nearly five minutes. Postwar Japanese action films shift the balance. They tend to minimize the burst of movement and dwell on the moments of stasis. The typical Japanese fight scene is mostly buildup and aftermath. A near-parodic extreme occurs in the finale of Kurosawa's Sanjuro (1964), which



8.43 Die Hard.



8.44 Die Hard.



8.45 Die Hard.



8.47 Die Hard.



8.46 Die Hard.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 8



8.48 Hard Boiled: The killer fires from the floor.



8.51 Another match cut carries Tequila across the floor toward the killer.



8.54 A close-up shows the killer transfixed.



8.49 Tequila rolls rightward through the flour.



8.52 He glides into the shot...



8.55 A close-up of Tequila establishes his face as a white mask.



8.50 A match-on-action cut continues Tequila's movement as he twists and launches himself off the table.



8.53 ...and in the very last frame of the shot freezes in place before the killer.



8.56 A return to the killer.



8.57 Tequila blows out the toothpick that has miraculously stayed in his mouth during the entire gun battle.



8.58 The killer's blood spatters Tequila.

consists of a two-and-a-half-minute, virtually immobile confrontation between Sanjuro and his adversary—broken by one slash (Figs. 8.59, 8.60). The waiting game is also quite common in the Zatoichi series, a major inspiration for Hong Kong filmmakers, and today in the action films of "Beat" Takeshi Kitano.

The pause/burst/pause pattern is so deeply characteristic of Hong Kong cinema that we can find it in noncombat scenes as well. It can accentuate the most minute bits of business. We have seen several examples already, notably in *A Chinese Ghost Story* (Figs. 6.9–6.17). Directors rhythmicize any scene they can. In *Legendary Weapons*



8.59 Sanjuro: The confrontation.



8.60 Sanjuro: The resolution.

of China (1982), a spy looking for a mysterious stranger is distracted by a doll salesman in the foreground (Fig. 8.61). After a cut in, the hero takes one step forward; pause (Fig. 8.62). Then he takes another step; pause (Fig. 8.63). Then the doll pops into the foreground, in focus, creating a new pause (Fig. 8.64). But the doll salesman is merely a decoy. A cut reveals the stranger sitting elsewhere in the inn (Fig. 8.65). He continues the rhythmic pulse by grabbing his spear and stand-

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 8

ing up, pausing for another beat (Fig. 8.66). A pulse in the action, the cutting, and even lens focus have linked the unwitting hero to his target.

As these examples indicate, hand props can set off the performer's skills and accentuate the rhythm of the action. In *Bodyguard from Beijing* (1994), nearly every item in a modernistic living room and kitchen—sofas, flashlights, drawers,



8.61 Legendary Weapons of China.



knives, Venetian blinds, dishtowels, even a TV

remote and a dripping faucet-becomes a weapon

as it is swept into the rhythm of the fight (Figs.

8.67-8.68). No Hong Kong filmmaker has better

understood the action-enhancing possibilities of

props and setting than Jackie Chan. The final bat-

tle of Mr. Canton and Lady Rose (aka Miracles,

8.64 *Legendary Weapons of China*: The warrior doll with spear.



8.62 Legendary Weapons of China.



8.63 Legendary Weapons of China.



8.65 Legendary Weapons of China.



8.66 Legendary Weapons of China: The warrior with spear.

weapons, traps, and entangling webs. *Young Master* (1980) employs an ingenious skirt motif (Figs. 8.69, 8.70).

Clarity and precision in articulating movement carry an expressive charge: Kuleshov's "organized work" projects a dynamic, streamlined efficiency. John McClane's throttling of Karl is realistically clumsy, but it carries little affective thrust. Tequila's acrobatic roll and dive and his glide across the floor are implausibly graceful, yet here the emotion emerges more strongly than in *Die Hard*. Tequila is driven to avenge his partner, and his arrowlike thrust to the killer powerfully expresses hard-focused rage. In our example from *The Way of the Dragon*, Lee's disgust at his



8.67 Bodyguard from Beijing: To prevent his enemy from reaching the faucet, the hero (played by Jet Li) uses a towel...



8.68 ...to snap the faucet out of his reach.



8.69 *Young Master*: The old official's daughter flashes her skirt to distract and confuse Jackie, but later...



8.70 Jackie snatches a piece of fabric from a shop and turns it into a Spanish dress that confounds his opponents.

bungling attacker mingles with his sense of obligation to his friends, and both feelings are concisely expressed in the scornful flinging down of his air ticket.

The clarity and rhythmic regularity of the Hong Kong style fulfill one goal of popular cinema arousing and channeling emotion. Instead of an impassive, restrained realism, filmmakers present a caricatural version of the action that aims to carry away the spectator. A fight or chase is given a distinct, vivid emotional profile—ferocity, panic, evasiveness, meticulousness—or some combination of such qualities, as in Tequila's mixture of resourcefulness, poise, and fury.

Call it a strategy of expressive amplification. This means, first, that we must be able to read the performer at a glance. In our prime examples, Bruce Lee's fierce concentration and Tequila's icy deliberation are transparently evident. Jackie Chan, mugger that he is, presents angular postures and facial gymnastics just to exhibit the strain of executing a stunt. The "overplaying" we find in Hong Kong films stems from the desire to make manifest the expressive qualities inherent in each moment of the action. Expressive amplification also emerges when film style magnifies the emotional dynamics of the performance. The most obvious tool here is shot scale. Hollywood habitually gives us more of the locale than we really need to see, usually through extreme long shots that show off big sets or the scenery around a car chase. Hong Kong directors judge their scale more exactly, using moderate long shots for acrobatic long takes (as in Figs. 8.8–8.12) and tight medium shots and closeups for the high points, as in Lee's pole maneuverings and Tequila's flour attack. A Hong Kong director would prefer not to send off a major villain in the distant long shot that (apparently) finishes Karl in *Die Hard* (Fig. 8.47).

The commitment to closer views opens several creative doors. First, all the resources of constructive editing are available to link these fragments of action. Second, the viewer's attention is always riveted on the key elements: the moving bodies. The carnal Hong Kong cinema puts the shots on a human scale. Third, a medium shot of the hero keeps some figures in the scene offscreen, so enemies can pop into the frame unexpectedly. In addition, filming the close view with a wide-



8.71 Gun Men.

angle lens can increase the perceived speed of movement coming at the lens, as in our *Way of the Dragon* shot.

Not least, close views allow even the slightest actions to become full-bodied attacks and counterthrusts. Tequila's spitting out of his toothpick is an instance, but an even finer-grained moment appears in Kirk Wong's *Gun Men* (1988). Members of a Shanghai gang invade another gang's headquarters and run wildly to the camera (Fig. 8.71). They are met by their rivals in an astonishing close-up: an empty frame (pause) into which pistols and the main villain thrust (burst) before





8.73 Gun Men.



8.74 Gun Men.



halting (pause) (Figs. 8.72–8.73). Synchronized with the entry of the guns is the tiniest of movements: the villain's eyes shift sharply from left to right! Cut back to a another static, angular composition in which the villain's gaze now slides left at the moment he and his minions start blasting (Fig. 8.74). The close shots let the movement of an eye herald a barrage.

All the technical tricks associated with Hong Kong action serve to enhance clarity, underscore rhythm, and amplify expressive force. Shooting in slight fast motion (kung-fu is often filmed at twenty-one to twenty-three frames per second) quickens the tempo and makes the fighters seem more efficient and precise. Conversely, slow motion can highlight information that would pass too quickly at normal speed. The two heroes of Iron Monkey (1993) attack the villain while balanced on fiery posts, and slow motion enables us to see through the flames and smoke to their precarious maneuvers. Certain stunts can be executed only in slow motion. Slow motion can make a strip of a broadleaf behave like a flexible blade (Fig. 8.75). Slow motion can also be highly expressive, displaying the difficulty of a stunt or the danger in a situation. A slow-motion shot can imbue the performer with strength and adroitness. Even in



8.75 Eastern Condors (1987): Sammo Hung launches a lethal broadleaf in slow motion.

straight dramatic scenes, Woo and Tsui Hark are famous for using slow motion to evoke grave selfpossession (when a hero strides to the camera) or ominousness (when a villain does). At the end of *Lifeline* the firefighters plunge back into the building, loping in slow motion: the technique makes palpable their dogged commitment to duty.

Most commonly, slow motion stretches out suspenseful moments. In *The Big Heat* (1988), the hero must fire at the villain who is about to blast him, but his hand is paralyzed. His girlfriend (now killed by the gangsters) earlier gave him a crucifix on a necklace. He loops it around his pistol's trigger and yanks it, squeezing off shots at the villain—all in agonizing slow motion. Like many Hong Kong sequences, this passage accretes expressive impact from variations in speed of movement. As the hero fires, the chain snaps and flies up in a slow-motion close-up, the crucifix twinkling. In an opposed slow-motion arc, the villain topples over stiffly. We never see the chain descend, but in a third shot the villain's face hits the ground fast. Variations in camera speed have not only prolonged the suspense but have created a sensuous metaphor: the murdered woman's tiny cross floats through the night, but the man drops as dead weight. Hong Kong cinema gives the smallest objects and gestures a kinetic thrust.

Now the much-maligned zoom shot makes sense. The zoom's primary role-enlarging a portion of a scene rapidly, or demagnifying part in order to show the entire context-is to provide spatial information. In swordfight and kung-fu films, however, that aim is often secondary to matters of rhythm and expressivity. A fast zoom often plays out the pause/burst/pause pattern: fixed view, whip in to a detail, hold on it. The zoom often establishes a fight scene's tempo. Typically one shot ends with a zoom in to a fighter's face or arms or legs. Then we cut to a close view of the opponent before immediately and rapidly zooming out. The zoom can be timed around a blow as well, underscoring its force, or singling it out as the decisive one, or even reinforcing a pulse linking one punch to another (Figs. 8.76-8.78). In Yuen Woo-ping's Dance of the Drunk Mantis, the old master and his pupil are cartwheeling around

a banker. He tries unsuccessfully to punch them, and the zoom singles out a punch as the one to which the old master responds (with a kick through the spread legs of the pupil). Such effects are hardly subtle, but the choreography and camerawork that create them are.

The same bold expressivity can be found on the soundtrack. Since Hong Kong action cinema is predominantly visual, creators have not been concerned to develop musical resources very much. They seem content with employing music to highlight emotional qualities, whatever the risk of cliché. In the kung-fu films a sting introduces the villain, a cowboy theme is often associated with the protagonist, and the final bout is filled with loud orchestral thrashings. Original scoring was often unimaginative: many directors believed that no car chase was complete without the bland jazz associated with television. Yet as we have seen, some filmmakers strove for more nuanced scores. Peking Opera Blues' pulse-pounding credits promise rapid action, and the rooftop climax makes telling use of an ostinato highlighted by abrupt stings.

In the action film sound effects are no less formulaically aggressive. Whooshes, slaps, thuds, and explosions are heard close up, even when shown in long shots. They signal the precision and force of each blow or gunshot, and the utter silence between them accentuates the pauses. Because every move is sold so vehemently, the decisive blow must often be oversold: the end of a fight is signaled by extremities of acting plus slow motion plus a cavernously echoing smash. The aggressiveness of kung-fu sounds is taken to a crazed limit in the draining climax of Donnie Yen's Legend of the Wolf (1997), when an endless flurry of fast-motion punches is accompanied by bass-heavy thuds. At the end, having employed virtually every filmic resource for accentuating the fight, the director resorts to a simple device: the frame goes black, over which we hear the villain scream.

Patrick Leung's *Task Force* (1997) presents a more nuanced weave of music and effects, voices and silence. The prostitute Fanny first encounters the dashing killer when he assassinates a gangster and blasts his way out of a restaurant. The entire scene plays as a deflating homage to John Woo gun battles. The soundtrack is constructed in layers: a quickly ticking drumbeat overlaid with pistol shots, an occasional harpsichord sting, and selected noises like the crash of saucepans. The killer tries to shield Fanny and then (in an hom-



8.76 *Invincible Armour* (1977): The first beat, both visual and auditory: During a combat the hero falls back against a shield, striking it like a gong.



8.77 The second beat is provided by a fast zoom out as the master comes sailing in to attack.



8.78 Third beat: As the hero dodges the kick, the master strikes the shield, yielding another resounding clang.

age to Face/Off) tenderly wraps her headphones around her ears. Suddenly all sound drops out except for "Oranges and Lemons Say the Bells of St. Clement's" sung by a warbling boy. As the carnage proceeds, only gunfire disrupts the placid music. The end of the fight is signaled when we abruptly hear one of the killer's victims crashing to the floor; the killer then discovers that Fanny is gone, her Walkman abandoned. The song stops. In a later scene the drumbeat signals the killer's return, and when he fires at his main target, the boy's song recurs during the battle. As the killer strides away the song becomes a hollow requiem: "...And chop off your head and laugh," with the final word repeated mockingly. The song reiterates the childish innocence of Fanny while also commenting on the killer's pitiless dedication to violencean attitude that Task Force repudiates in the name of a policeman's devotion to keeping peace.

Editing has the task of expressively amplifying all these visual and auditory effects still further. Hong Kong filmmakers have become masters of matches on action and cuts on frame-edge activity, not least because these help create illusory stunts. More positively, the cutting preserves the clarity and force of the action from shot to shot. Outside Hong Kong, the normal rule is that close-ups should be cut shorter than long shots, but Hong Kong filmmakers show that if the long shots are well organized, they can be read in an instant. The dazzling climactic battle between spears and poles in The Eight-Diagram Pole Fighter (1984) consists mostly of long shots, but the average shot lasts only two seconds. Recall as well the rapid constructive cutting in *King Boxer*, which highlights symmetrical actions and emphasizes key blows (Figs. 8.20-8.24). Cuts may cooperate with zooms in signaling phases of the fight: a shot may end with a zoom in to a detail, with the next shot starting on a corresponding detail and then zooming back, expanding the arena for the next skirmish.

Editing can also enhance the tempo of the combat. Sometimes a cut to a static shot can interrupt a burst of action, providing a caesura. Or a scene will intercut slow motion and normal motion, providing a syncopated rhythm. Sometimes we will get a string of shots, each with its own pause/ burst/pause pattern, as in the Gun Men sequence (Figs. 8.71-8.74). Or the cuts give continuous flow to a burst of action before interrupting it with stasis. In our Hard Boiled example, Woo's editing creates a smooth surge of action as Tequila rolls across the table to the floor, but the cut at the end of the fifth shot accentuates the instant when the action halts (Fig. 8.53). At the limit, the cuts may mark a beat with graphically clashing images (Figs. 8.79-8.80).

During the 1980s many American filmmakers began to "give the scene energy" just by increasing the number of cuts in it. This tendency was encouraged by digital editing equipment, which makes it easy to throw in dozens of extra shots. The result has been action sequences that lack physical and pictorial coherence.²⁶ It is a pleasure to turn to the Hong Kong cinema, where each shot aims at immediate legibility and maximum force. Here cuts intensify the drama and coordinate the rhythm of the sequence. For versatility and inventiveness of cutting, these filmmakers have no peers in recent popular cinema. One example from one of the finest action directors, Yuen Kwai, must stand for many marvelous passages. The sequence comes from Righting Wrongs (1986).

The policewoman Cindy Si is in a mahjong parlor to arrest a suspect. He resists, and some of his pals attack her. In the course of the fight, she flips a spittoon into one man's face, sends another bouncing off a gaming table (Fig. 8.81), and generally mops up the place. This exuberantly expansive action is prelude to some fine close-quarters work. With one leg Cindy pins a man to a table and digs out a pair of handcuffs to subdue him. But the other men attack again, and Cindy must fight them off. As she does, she manages to capture all four men with the single pair of handcuffs (Figs. 8.82–8.109).

The handcuffing phase of the scene gives us eighteen shots in only twenty-four seconds, making this passage as rapid-fire as any edithappy Hollywood director might wish. Yet each



8.79 *Righting Wrongs* (1986): Hsia kicks with one foot, and his attacker falls rightward.



8.80 In the very next shot Hsia kicks with the other foot, and the attacker falls to the left. The clashing diagonals of the kicks produce a visual clatter.



8.81 Righting Wrongs: Acrobatic fighting.



8.84 Shot 3: She unclips her handcuffs...



8.87 Shot 5: After one frame of pause, the suspect, now brandishing a knife, pops up from the bottom frameline. He pauses.



8.82 The handcuff sequence, shot 1: Cindy has one man pinned. The wide-angle lens exaggerates the length of her leg.



8.85 ...and holds them poised in the shot's final frame.



8.88 Shot 6: He thrusts into the frame and Cindy starts to wrap the handcuff chain around his wrist.



8.83 Shot 2: He grimaces.



8.86 Shot 4: The cuffs come in and one is snapped onto the suspect's wrist.



8.89 Shot 7: Match on action: She completes the movement, now firmly centered, and yanks down...



8.90 ...before slamming her elbow up to knock the two men's heads together.



8.93 Shot 9: She pulls the men down to the chair, dragging them to a pause...



8.96 ...before she turns...



8.91 Shot 8: Their heads fly back...



8.94 ...then flips the chair up to pin them. Pause.



8.97 ...and another man bursts in from frame right.



8.92 ...and Cindy springs into the gap to yank their heads down.



8.95 Shot 10: She pulls their arms through the chair legs, pausing...



8.98 Shot 11: Cindy uses the chair legs to knock his armdown...



8.99 ...then jams him against the wall.



8.102 ...and dodges to avoid a high kick as the camera tracks back...



8.105 Shot 14: She cuffs it, an answer to shot 4 (Fig. 8.86).



8.100 Shot 12: She holds all three men locked. But then...



8.103 ...and the foot strikes the first man; Cindy springs up.



8.106 Shot 15: A reaction shot, like that of shot 2 (Fig. 8.83), underscores what has happened.



8.101 ...she looks left...



8.104 Shot 13: She grabs the attacker's ankle.



8.107 Shot 16: The comic climax: A lady, four men, a chair, and a pair of cuffs.



8.108 Shot 17: A final fillip: Cindy kicks behind the knee of the last attacker, and he crumples.



8.109 Shot 18: Match on action: The fulcrum collapses, and all four drop ignominiously to their knees.

shot is absolutely legible. The color scheme, which dresses the blonde Cindy in a bright yellow blouse and blue skirt, makes her stand out, as do her makeup and her butch-flip hairdo. The pivot points—clapping one cuff on the first man (Fig. 8.86), clapping the other on the fourth attacker (Fig. 8.105)—are presented in simple, quickly grasped close-ups. The medium shots serve to show the geometry of intersecting body parts, as well as to allow for surprise entrances from people offscreen (Fig. 8.97). Each image includes no more of the parlor than we need to see at that instant.

In the "sandwich" method typical of Hollywood, a fight scene is often shot with several cameras, with the cameramen catching the key gestures as best they can. Eventually the director will assemble the scene by alternating long-shot setups with a few closer ones. In the Righting Wrongs passage, by contrast, no camera position is repeated. Yuen Kwai has composed each image around a specific action, and other actions will require other setups. Two men's heads bounce off each other so as to allow Cindy to pop into a gap between them and yank their heads down (Fig. 8.92). Similarly, once Cindy has locked three men to the chair, she halts. This pause lasts only an eighth of a second, but it's long enough to demarcate the next phase of the shot, when she ducks away from the fourth thug's kick, and the camera swiftly tracks back to set up a new composition showing his attack landing on his ally (Figs. 8.101-8.103). The final shot of the four men cuffed (Fig. 8.107) gains its comic force from the fact that each one has been subdued in a string of close shots: this is our first view of their full humiliation. Abandoning Hollywood "coverage" (compare Figs. 1.10–1.16), the scene is a triumph of constructive editing and segment shooting.

The sequence also shows how the pause/burst/ pause pattern can be modulated by the pace of editing. Often the cuts link movement smoothly across shots (for instance, Figs. 8.88–8.89), but a shot can also highlight a marked beat, from the most vivid action (Cindy slamming the suspect to the table with her leg) to lesser ones (the way she reaches smartly down for her handcuffs and snaps them up into the frame, Figs. 8.84–8.85). Cindy yanks an assailant's wrist down (Fig. 8.89), drags two men down with the chair (Fig. 8.93), pins a third man (Fig. 8.99), and finally locks them all up as a package (Fig. 8.107). At each stage the editing provides a distinct pause to allow us to see the result of her maneuver. The scene has two resolutions, each underscored by a pause. The first is a comic shot of the four men cuffed (Fig. 8.107). The second, which tops this, occurs when Cindy kicks behind the knee of the man supporting the whole contrivance and all collapse together to the floor, the final pause of the sequence underscored by a thump (Fig. 8.109).

A charming diversion in a film filled with setpieces, the mahjong-parlor scene of *Righting* Wrongs exudes offhand mastery. To conceive the premise-a woman subduing four men with one pair of cuffs-and then to work it out in incisive detail requires imagination, a modest sense of the absurd, and an awareness of those mechanics of forceful, legible filmmaking pointed out by Kuleshov, Pudovkin, and Eisenstein in the 1920s. The construction is all the more admirable for the fact that it was developed on the set in a single day, with the director quickly deciding on each shot as each bit of action was conceived. This twenty-four seconds of cinema puts to shame the storyboarded fights in big-budget Schwarzenegger films, where firepower substitutes for briskness and finesse.

The best Hong Kong filmmakers understand that movie action is not a scattershot cataclysm. Energy will look more energetic when it is organized. Then the viewer, in the words of director Yuen Woo-ping, can "*feel* the blow."²⁷ This carnal cinema has discovered in the simplest film techniques ways to seize our senses. Granted, violent action is inherently exciting. "Nothing in life," remarked Winston Churchill, "is so exhilarating as to be shot at without result." Yet physical action demands artistic structuring if it is to thrill us. Strict patterning makes the action more intelligible, forceful, expressive. How to explain this? Surely, art forms employing marked rhythms will be accessible to many audiences. We need no special training to grasp vigorous, well-structured movement. More exactly, we grasp it because it grabs us. We respond kinesthetically, as when we tap our toes to music or hammer the air at a basketball game. These films literally grip us; we can watch ourselves tense and relax, twitch or flinch. By arousing us through highly legible motion and staccato rhythms, and by intensifying that arousal through composition and editing and sound, the films seem to ask our bodies to recall elemental and universal events like striking, swinging, twisting, leaping, rolling.²⁸

Although Sergei Eisenstein is usually remembered as a theorist of montage, he claimed that the cornerstone of his conception of theater and cinema was expressive movement. By manipulating his or her body, the actor could impel the audience to imitate the movement, though in weakened form. The Hong Kong cinema may offer a better illustration of Eisenstein's theories than his own films do. At the least, the pause/burst/pause pattern seems to confirm his claim that maximal excitation arises when the actor frames each movement within static "points of excitation."29 Eisenstein also speculated that by shaping the viewer's bodily rhythms, the actor's expressive movement could trigger emotional states. From this standpoint, we might ask whether the sheerly kinetic transport achieved by the Hong Kong action style makes us more susceptible to those feelings laid out for us by the drama. Devices that might seem mere stylistic embellishment, as we saw in Saviour of the Soul's use of color, are central to expressive amplification, and our response to them may be heightened by our body's engagement with the primary action. By impelling us to

invest ourselves physically, the movies prepare us to emote.

But even this speculation carries us only so far. For the films seem to do more than stir our senses and intensify our feelings. They offer us the illusion of mastering the action. Why do we leave these films not only tired but jubilant? Why do we think we can do anything? Partly because the kinetics have stamped the action's rhythm onto our senses; but also, I think, because the very cogency of the presentation has invited us to feel something of what supreme physical control might be like. Part of the pleasure of the *Righting* Wrongs sequence, for example, arises from its tidy simplicity. The whipcrack pulse, the crisp detailing, the effortless integration of props, the poise of Cindy Si, and the exactness of every camera position and cut-all combine to present the maximum compression and the maximum precision. The result is an elation in efficiency. The policewoman's resourcefulness is conveyed through the unruffled neatness of the director's craft. In the best Hong Kong sequences, we rejoice in cinema's power over the physical world.

MORE DETAILED ANALYSIS could differentiate among action styles and trace their historical development. The long shots and fairly long takes of 1960s and 1970s swordplay and kung-fu films seem to have been elaborated by Sammo Hung and others in the late 1970s and refined in such mid-1980s achievements as Hung's *Wheels on Meals* (1984) and Jackie Chan's directorial masterpiece *Police Story* (1985). Over the same years there emerged a rough, handheld-camera approach visible in Lo Lieh's *Devil and Angel* (1973) and in the first modern *policier*, Leung Pochi and Josephine Siao's *Jumping Ash* (1976), then extended in Alex Cheung's *Cops and Robbers* (1979) and the work of Kirk Wong (*The Club*, 1981; *Health Warning*, 1983; *Rock n' Roll Cop*, 1994).

As budgets swelled in the early 1980s, filmmakers were able to incorporate Hollywood filming techniques (extreme wide-angle and telephoto lenses, rack focus, faster cutting, more-varied slow motion). A fairly cosmopolitan style is evident in the trend-setting Aces Go Places (1982) and in Jackie Chan's Project A (1983). In Ninja in the Dragon's Den (1982) Yuen Kwai developed a "precisionist" approach that elaborated the action in depth and in unusual widescreen compositions. Soon a great many films cultivated a polished visual style, seen perhaps most triumphantly in Tsui Hark's Peking Opera Blues (1986). This was easily adopted to the revived genres of kung-fu and swordplay fantasy; it is the look that won fans around the world; it is Hong Kong's version of glossy American technique, although it surpasses Hollywood in vigor and brazen inventiveness (Fig. 8.110).

By the mid-1990s this style was looking old-fashioned. Young directors put it in skeptical quotation marks, as in the fantasy of the God of the Sword in Once upon a Time in Triad Society 2 (1996). In Task Force Patrick Leung paid his tribute to tradition by assigning a different style to



8.110 *Eastern Condors*: Director Sammo Hung uses camera position, the widescreen frame, and a distorting wide-angle lens to provide Yuen Biao with a comic-book kick.



8.111 *Task Force*: The Woo-inspired killer in action; compare Fig. EM.23.



8.112 *Task Force*: As a police official, John Woo faces down a young triad; soon he will congratulate the hero for defusing the fight: "Well done!"

each action scene: "The first is the gunfights that John Woo is famous for [see Fig. 8.111]. The second is swordfights, like in martial arts movies. The third is the fight between Eric Tsang and Orlando To, like those in kung-fu comedies. All three are unique to Hong Kong."30 Leung cited these traditions partly, it seems, to criticize their view that violence could solve problems that are finally matters of law. His hero wins through refusing to kill, and when he carries the wounded killer to his superior, the officer (played by John Woo) congratulates him (and perhaps the filmmaker too, who used to be Woo's assistant; Fig. 8.112).



8.113 Swordplay from the opening of the "international version" of Ashes of Time.

Some directors, often through financial constraints, moved toward a loose, improvisatory naturalism. The graceless gang fights shot with a handheld camera in Andrew Lau's Young and Dangerous films look realistically rowdy. More radically, other directors gave up coherence and clarity for sheer expressive intensity. Sammo Hung staged the combats for *Ashes of Time* (1994) in clean-cut moves, but Wong Kar-wai's stepprinting and fish-eye lenses turn them into a blurry maelstrom (Fig. 8.113). Perhaps out of a desire to look up-to-date, some directors have even turned to the bustling incoherence of Hollywood action methods. As usual, though, several styles coexist. Lifeline and Legend of the Wolf build their excitement out of many of the principles that governed the films of the 1970s and 1980s. Johnnie To Kei-fung's remarkable 1998 thrillers The Longest Nite and Expect the Unexpected mobilize

rigorous cutting and dynamic movement not in order to project the exuberance of movement but to give punishing force to a blunt nihilism. Many films of the 1990s demonstrate that the expressive resources of Hong Kong's action style are far from depleted.

Meanwhile, as if in rebuke to Hong Kong's brash sensationalism, Asian festival cinema slips steadily toward fixity and silence. Scenes of violence become awkward or opaque. The Korean film *Green Fish* (1997) pushes fistfights off into the distance. In Hou Hsiao-Hsien's *City of Sadness* (1989) the shooting of Wen-hsiung is barely visible; shadows and foreground figures conceal the action (Fig. 8.114). Such Asian "art films" have their own agendas, and they remind us of the limits of a popular aesthetic: there is no Hong Kong *Sonatine* (1993) or *Goodbye South Goodbye* (1996). Popular cinema probes a bounded range of



8.114 *City of Sadness*: The death of Wen-hsiung.

options. Still, it does *probe* that range, revealing depths and shadings and unexpected nuance within what is too quickly dismissed as cliché.

The action picture, thriving on vulgarity, carnality, and sensuous appeal, is a paradigm case of popular film. It is also manifestly an instance of craft. If we want to understand the full range of what movies can do, we do well to pause over even a despised genre like the chop-socky or the gunfest. Creating spellbinding excitement out of dozens of well-judged niceties is no small undertaking. The artisans of Hong Kong have entertained multitudes by making motion yield emotion. Their work can still teach us a lot about how cinema works.

Three Martial Masters: Chang Cheh, Lau Kar-leung, King Hu

FROM THE MID-1960s to the early 1980s, three directors rang remarkably diverse variations on Hong Kong's martial-arts traditions. All worked at some point for Shaws, all found fresh ways to treat the episodic structure and combat conventions of swordplay and kung-fu movies, and all have been unfairly neglected by Western critics.¹

THE OLDEST, Chang Cheh (in Mandarin, Zhang Che, 1923–2002), was an emblem of Chinese disasporan filmmaking. Soon after World War II he began writing screenplays in Shanghai. He fled the Communist revolution to Taiwan, where he made the island's first Mandarin-language film and worked on Kuomintang propaganda. In 1957 he settled in Hong Kong. There he began a prolific career, directing more than sixty films before retiring in the late 1980s. Chang was among the earliest to produce updated *wuxia pian (Tiger Boy*, 1966) and kung-fu films (*Duel of Fists*, 1971). Many of his movies are insignificant, but at his best Chang built a masochistic heroism out of vigorous, often brutal action.

Chang cranked out martial-arts movies for Shaw Brothers from the mid-1960s to the late 1970s. He gave the *wuxia pian* a new technical sophistication—rapid cutting, handheld combat shots, careful compositions (Figs. 8.8–8.12)—

while ratcheting up the violence. He packed each reel with fights, and he cultivated a Grand Guignol approach to swordplay. Cantonese swordplay films had been relatively sanitized, but under the influence of samurai cinema Chang reveled in sensational effects. He was not averse to blindings and disembowelings, but he had a special fondness for vagrant body parts. In The One-Armed Swordsman (1967) a master chooses the young Fang Kang to head his school and marry his daughter. Opposed by her and his fellow disciples, Fang refuses the post and leaves. The daughter, distraught, challenges Fang to a duel, and when she loses, she angrily chops off Fang's arm. Thereafter he is thrashed and humiliated until he learns to fight again.

Chang's films, usually prepared with scriptwriter Ni Kuang, find the hero's mutilation echoed everywhere. Throughout *The One-Armed Swordsman*, Fang's father's broken swordblade becomes a totem of his own debility, and the combat manual from which he teaches himself swordsmanship is, like Fang himself, torn in half. Chang's revenge plots set up a grimly playful exchange of appendages: not so much an eye for an eye as an eye for an ear. *Crippled Avengers* (aka *Mortal Combat*, 1978) presents a catalogue of sadistic mutilations. In an opening attack on a kung-fu master's family, swordsmen slice off his wife's legs and his son's arms. The master fits his son with steel arms, and, unsurprisingly, the boy grows up to be a bully. One day he casually blinds a street peddler, deafens a blacksmith, chops the legs off a passerby, and reduces a fourth man to infantilism by pressure on his skull. The victims of his depredations learn kung-fu and return to wreak revenge in a series of astonishing combat scenes, each tailored to the avengers' deficits. At the end, the villainous father is strung up like a side of beef as the blind, deaf, and legless fighters attack; the death blow is delivered by the legless one, now fitted out with steel legs like the son's arms (Fig. TMM.1).

Incomplete, the original Chinese title of *Crippled Avengers*, is not a bad name for many of Chang's films. *The New One-Armed Swordsman* (1971) creates another weird variant of payback. In the opening scene, the cocky young swordsman Lei Li (using *one* sword) is defeated by master Ho, expert with the jointed staff (consisting of *three* rods). As punishment for losing, Lei must hack off his own right arm. Later, his friend Feng fights Ho with *two* swords and is defeated; Ho then chops Feng's body in *half*. In the final battle, shouting, "What you did to Feng Chun-jie I shall do to you!" Lei eventually uses *three* swords in quick succession to counter Ho's three-sectioned

staff. Vengeance is intensified: Ho ends up impaled by three swords (in retaliation for Feng's death) but also minus an arm (vengeance for Lei's own loss). One outrageous shot supplies the final reckoning (Fig. TMM.2). Tit-for-tat sadism is accompanied by themes of compulsive male honor. Even though Chang's early swordplay film *Golden Swallow* (1967) is named after a woman warrior, the plot centers on Silver Roc, the tragic hero. The one-armed swords-



TMM.1 *Crippled Avengers*: The death blow.



TMM.2 *The New One-Armed Swordsman*: Two one-armed swordsmen, with an unclaimed arm pinned between them.

man Fang is the object of two women's love, but the crucial action centers on his learning to fight again. If Chang Cheh brings in a female love interest, she usually offers support from the sidelines while the oblivious hero pursues his obsessions. More often Chang replaces romance with male bonding, producing a series of smoldering masculine couples (Jimmy Wang Yu and Lo Lieh, Ti Lung and David Chiang, Alexander Fu Sheng and Chi Kuan Chun). In The New One-Armed Swordsman, David Chiang is Lei, the brooding and anxious James Dean figure, while Ti Lung plays Feng, the bluff, openhearted, not overbright adventurer. The two pledge brotherhood as Lei's girlfriend Pa Chiao looks on, then set off together, ignoring her (Fig. TMM.3). Later Lei and Feng lounge on a rope like schoolboys, and Feng predicts that soon Lei will be happily married to Pa Chiao. Feng then goes off unwitting to his death. All that remains for Lei is bloody revenge.

In his films devoted to kung-fu, Chang replaces two partners with the superb ensemble known to Western fans as the Five Venoms, multiplying male affinities and leaving no room for women at all. Films like *Avenging Warriors of Shaolin* (1979) are filled with the Venoms' horseplay. An entire reel of *Crippled Avengers* is devoted to the blinded man and the deafened man; adding up to one complete warrior, they fight arm in arm. John



TMM.3 The New One-Armed Swordsman.

Planet Hong Kong

Woo, who assisted Chang on several films, inherits this homoerotic romanticism. The parallel injuries in his films (*The Killer*'s double blinding of John and Jenny, the cycles of Russian roulettestyle torments in *Bullet in the Head*, the villains' wounded eyes in *Hard Boiled*) may owe something to Chang's ruthlessly geometrical settling of accounts.

LAU KAR-LEUNG (in Mandarin, Liu Jia-liang) was Chang Cheh's premier martial-arts choreographer. Born in Guangdong Province in 1935, Lau entered the Hong Kong film industry very young. His father, a martial artist for the Wong Fei-hung series, gave him stuntwork and small parts. Lau started work at Shaws as an action choreographer and then in 1975 as director. The films Lau made over the next decade, connoisseurs agree, represent the high-water mark of the kung-fu genre. The kung-fu films known to most Americans resemble B westerns; a Lau film is often the equivalent of a rough, stubborn Raoul Walsh, say *White Heat* (1949), with Keatonesque comic touches thrown in and some elegiac moments recalling John Ford.

Lau took as his subject the world of martial arts. Trained in the southern Shaolin styles, he focused so single-mindedly on the lore, rituals, and disciplines of kung-fu that he seems to reverse the industry's priorities: instead of using kung-fu to keep local cinema going, he used cinema to document and preserve the traditions he venerated. Characters define and express themselves through their fighting styles. Lau's favored player, his bald, scowling brother Lau Kar-fai, serves less as a character than as an abstract exemplar of the singleminded dedication demanded by the martial arts.

In *The 36th Chamber of Shaolin* (aka *Master Killer*, 1978), a young man's father and friends are murdered by the Manchus and he flees to the Shaolin Temple. He begs the abbot to teach him kung-fu so that he may seek vengeance. Reluctantly the abbot agrees. The training is stupendously rigorous: there are thirty-five test chambers,



TMM.4 Marital discord in *Shaolin Challenges Ninja*.

each devoted to perfecting a single technique. The bulk of the film charts the sheer obstinacy the young man displays in his training. He must learn to run across a stream on floating bundles of twigs; he must learn to shift his eyes rapidly while keeping his chin absolutely still; he must learn to bash sandbags with his forehead. Throughout, the Shaolin monastery is presented in loving detail, and Lau enjoys showing how training exercises spring from homely chores of washing dishes and hauling water. A perfunctory climax presents the youth retaliating against his adversaries; the film's real conclusion shows him as the master of a new, thirty-sixth chamber devoted to training commoners to fight the Manchus.

Lau Kar-leung could celebrate the Shaolin tradition in a comic vein too, as in the delightful Dirty Ho (1979), Mad Monkey Kung Fu (1979), and My Young Auntie (1981). In Shaolin Challenges Ninja (1978), a sort of Cantonese Taming of the Shrew, a young Chinese lord marries a proud Japanese woman. Every day the newlyweds quarrel over which nation's martial artistry is superior, and the dispute always ends in an all-out battle-over the breakfast table, in the parlor, in the courtyard. Every day the wife loses, and in pique she returns to Japan. Her father recruits several ninja from his school, and she brings them back to fight her husband. After he has defeated each one, she becomes a docile wife. Each character is little more than a repertoire of fighting techniques capped by a patriotic attitude, but Lau's one-joke situation showcases a range of weapons and stratagems, creating an entertaining textbook of Chinese and Japanese fighting traditions (Fig. TMM.4).

Lau mixed drama and humor to vivid effect. Legendary Weapons of China (1982) opens with a shocking scene. The Yi Ho Boxer society, convinced that their training allows them to resist Western bullets, line up for a test; all are shot down by their comrades. In despair a branch leader dissolves his cadre, but the main group sends three spies to track him down. The film starts as a charade of disguises, with the spies prowling around one another (Fig. TMM.5), but it quickly modulates into broad comedy, including a parody of Chang Cheh in which a mock-warrior pretends to be disemboweled and then stuffs his intestines back in to fight on. There is also droll voodoolike magic, in which a master makes a distant fighter do his bidding by twisting the arms and legs of a doll. The film concludes with an allout duel incorporating no fewer than eighteen exotic weapons.

Martial-arts experts declare Lau's to be the most authentic combats ever staged for film, displaying fighting styles at their most dazzling. But thanks to the resources of Movietown he developed a robust cinematic sense as well. In *Legendary Weapons*, two rival spies are hiding in an attic. They alternate between trying to spear the suspect in the bedroom below and trying to stab each other with flying daggers. The scene plays out in a rocking, give-and-take rhythm, marked by swift thrusts, sudden stillness, and soft thunks as blades sink into wood or sacks of grain. The cutting and framing here exemplify the pulsating dynamism of the 1980s action style.

Lau's cinematic skill fused with his fascination with martial traditions to yield one of the most impressive of his last Shaw works. *The Eight-Diagram Pole Fighter* (1984) is a somber dissection of the revenge motif. The film opens on a battlefield that owes more to proscenium theater than to realism; out of a black void two color-coded armies emerge and slaughter each other. Two brothers survive. One returns to his mother and goes mad; the other retires to a monastery, where he learns pole-fighting. The final mêlée in the headquarters of the traitor is one of the high points of Hong Kong cinema, and its whirlwind energy puts to shame the contemporaneous "action cinema" of Spielberg and Walter Hill. The hero's pole flails



TMM.5 Intrigue in Legendary Weapons of China.

Planet Hong Kong

his enemies like grain; he leaps and lunges from a pyramid of coffins; he rescues his sister by lashing her to his back. As he is about to be overwhelmed, he is rescued by the monks, who whack their poles into the jaws of their adversaries and yank out the men's teeth.

The Eight-Diagram Pole Fighter insists on the price of revenge. Innocent people are dragged into the second son's vendetta, and he becomes an antisocial obsessive. Once revenge is accomplished, he cannot return to his family. Like Ethan Edwards in *The Searchers* he simply strides out, too consumed with hatred to live among civilized people. Lau's sympathy seems largely with the monks who insist, in film after film, that revenge debases the martial arts and that perfecting one's skill while extinguishing worldly vanity is the mark of the master.

A consummate studio filmmaker, Lau Kar-leung started directing just as the martial-arts movie was giving ground to Cantonese comedy and cop action. While Jackie Chan, Sammo Hung, and the New Wavers were updating kung-fu, he patiently refined its classic forms. When Shaws stopped producing films, Lau made a Mainland coproduction (Martial Arts of Shaolin, 1986) and then moved into the urban action genre (most notably with Tiger on Beat, 1988, and its frantic shotgunmachete-and-chainsaw duel). Working sporadically in the 1990s, he was dismissed from directing Jackie Chan's Drunken Master II (1994) because he demanded that Chan execute the drunken kung-fu style accurately.² It is hard not to see The Eight-Diagram Pole Fighter as Lau's valedictory for an entire local tradition.

KING HU (1932–1997) worked in Hong Kong but was not fully of it. He was born in Peking and died in Taipei. He spent the last several years of his life in

Los Angeles, trying to get backing for The Battle of Ono, a tale of Chinese immigrants caught up in the California gold rush. After emigrating to Hong Kong in 1949 he worked in several studios. first in art departments, then at Shaws as an actor, then as an assistant director on costume dramas, and finally as a director of two films, the war drama Sons of the Good Earth (1965) and the swordplay film Come Drink with Me (1966). When the latter proved successful, he left Shaws and began making films in Taiwan, while keeping some ties to Hong Kong companies. While Chang Cheh and Lau Kar-leung settled snugly into studio routine, Hu's grandiose aims led him to costly one-off productions. (A Touch of Zen, 1971, took years to complete.) None of his five 1970s films found success in Hong Kong, and by the early 1980s his projects could not get backing. He started directing the Tsui Hark production The Swordsman (1990), but after disagreements with Tsui, Hu left the project. His last film, Painted Skin (1993), was a Hong Kong-China coproduction. He died as John Woo, Terence Chang, and other Hollywood newcomers were gathering funding for The Battle of Ono.

In thirty years King Hu completed just eleven features, and his fame rests upon six features and two shorts, all swordplay tales. His first two outings in the genre were triumphs: *Come Drink with Me* helped establish the new *wuxia pian*, and *Dragon Gate Inn* (1967) was one of the biggest box-office successes in Southeast Asia. Yet King Hu was uninterested in combat techniques, and he credited his films' excellent fights to the choreography of Han Yingjie (best known in the West as the title villain of Bruce Lee's *The Big Boss*, 1971).

Despite his slender output King Hu became one of the great Chinese directors. As much a scholar as a filmmaker, he researched costumes and settings in detail. He planned his films fastidiously, handing out each scene's storyboards to cast and crew-a process unheard-of in catch-as-catchcan Hong Kong production. By filming in Taiwan, South Korea, and Hong Kong's offshore islands he could take advantage of spectacular locations, avoiding the slightly stifling studio air that pervades even the best films of Chang Cheh and Lau Karleung. He brought an offhand humor to scenes of outrageous action; after snagging an arrow in a wine bottle or flipping backward across an inn, his poker-faced fighters remain as unflappable as Budd Boetticher heroes. In a tradition that already favored women warriors. Hu gave them not only awesome powers but cheerful dignity, mysterious reserve, and sardonic humor. One of the great pleasures of Hu's cinema is the growing importance of Xu Feng, his main swordswoman; Xu plays both villains and heroines with an intent, unruffled frown.³

Hu used the Mandarin movie as an occasion to explore China's tragic history of state corruption. In a genre that spun out plots of private revenge and family loyalty, he elaborated political intrigues, complete with bluffing, disguise, and shifting alliances. He gravitated to the Ming dynasty, a period in which venal cliques plotted against one another and cooperated only to oppress the people. He tried to capture China's confrontation with external invaders, like the Mongols and the Japanese, and portrayed the Ming as a period when Confucianism, Taoism, and Buddhism jostled one another (*A Touch of Zen; Raining in the Mountain*, 1979).⁴

Steeped in historical atmosphere, Hu's films draw upon Ming traditions of knight-errantry to provide an image of idealistic heroism that is rare in Hong Kong cinema. If Chang Cheh's heroes are defined by male friendship and Lau Kar-leung's by their dedication to the Shaolin heritage, King Hu's heroes fight for a cause—most often, patriotic loyalty—and they protect the weak and innocent. His selfless heroes and heroines have an aloof severity far removed from the sweaty anguish of Chang's mutilated swordsmen and the hard-won discipline of Lau's kung-fu masters. Their psychology is rudimentary at best, and in *The Valiant Ones* (1975) they are mere ciphers, but this only gives them a grave purity, turning them into emblems of the tranquillity earned by mastery of fighting arts. For Hu, this conception of chivalry, a natural nobility and a solemn dedication to justice, is the most shining heritage to emerge from centuries of sordid Chinese history.

Take Dragon Gate Inn. At the start a voice-over narration dates the action precisely: 1457, when the palace eunuch Cao Shaoqin controls two intelligence agencies, under the command of officers Pi and Mao. Cao executes Minister of Defense Yu, and by decree Yu's children are sent into exile. But Cao is determined to kill them before they reach freedom. In a roadside skirmish they are saved by Zhu Ji, a passing swordsman. Cao vows to hurry to Dragon Gate Inn and kill them there. But when the forces of Pi and Mao arrive they encounter another mysterious swordsman, Xiao. In a series of escalating challenges reminiscent of Leone saloon encounters, Xiao resists bullying and eventually kills an officer. Only gradually does it emerge that Xiao has been summoned by the innkeeper, who is actually an old general loval to the executed Yu. And even more slowly does it emerge that Zhu Ji and his sister Zhu Hui (posing as a boy) have also come to join the fight. Eavesdropping, poisoned wine, games of deceit, and sudden death spice up the evening at the inn before the conflict finally surfaces and the long battle to protect the children

can begin. The film relies on subsidiary antagonists who exist chiefly to delay the fight with the principal villain, but it treats the convention with historical specificity, sustained suspense, and subterfuges that keep the audience guessing along with the characters.

Chang's and Lau's fighters use swordplay and kung-fu to express their feelings and communicate with their opponents. King Hu's warriors deploy an unfussy, impersonal technique; their fights chiefly manifest their intensity of purpose. No need for spartan training sessions in a King Hu film. His heroes and heroines arrive completely adequate, utterly self-possessed, gliding through the commotion with a calm concentration that provokes jubilation in the spectator. Only in a climactic battle will the strain start to tell, and even then the heroes seldom succumb to anger; they simply exchange sharp glances, as if transmitting their next tactic telepathically. When a warrior starts to lose poise, an ally leaps in to take things in hand. The most characteristic moment occurs in A Touch of Zen, when after Gu and Shi are about to succumb to the villain Xu Xianchun, the monk Hui Yuan floats in, arcing gently through the air, landing softly, trying to reason with Xu before he deals him a few regretful, devastating blows. He incarnates that touch of zen that, perhaps under the influence of Japanese cinema, all of Hu's heroes and heroines possess.

Hu's choreographer, Han Yingjie, had trained in Peking Opera, and Hu frankly acknowledged that he designed combat scenes as ballets, not as plausible fights. Chases through forests and among inn tables are accompanied by opera percussion, particularly the clapper (*ban*). Hu underscores characters' entrances not only by the *ban*'s stinging crack but also by a sharp rolling of the eyes or an abrupt cut.⁵ Western art, King Hu claimed, is torn between imitation and expressivity, but Chinese art always offers both, using real materials to present something unreal.⁶ Hu's heroes and heroines increase their stature by fighting in ways that are neither strictly realistic nor obviously fantastic. Their combats become visual metaphors of propulsion, grace, power.

Critics commonly divide Hu's principal films into two batches. *Come Drink with Me, Dragon Gate Inn*, the short *Anger* (1970), and *The Fate of Lee Khan* (1973) all center on inns. The isolated inn, King Hu felt, was "the most dramatic of locations. Few places can squeeze time and space together like them, where all kinds of conflicts can occur."⁷ Here intrigues converge, warriors can appear disguised as musicians or harmless travelers, military leaders can set up headquarters, secret messages can be exchanged and conspiracies hatched. A drunkard's innocent song can distract authorities or convey a coded order. The inn's central area also provides a multilevel set for chases and fights. Dining tables are excellent launching pads, and a staircase landing allows waitresses to divebomb churlish guests. The clutter of his inns coaxed King Hu into designing clever widescreen images of combat (Fig. TMM.6).

Even the inn films do not confine themselves wholly to interiors; by the end conflicts spill outdoors. A second set of films is built around exteriors, unfolding across a series of breathtaking landscapes. A Touch of Zen is the prototype. It begins in a village and concludes its first part with one of Hu's greatest scenes, an aerial combat in a bamboo grove (Fig. 1.1). The second half expands further, carrying its fighters through forests and mountains to a seascape transformed into a vista of Buddhist transcendence. The Valiant Ones, a virtual anthology of combat scenes, is a journey from coastline through forests back to rocky coast, with a rest stop in the pirates' ostentatiously formal headquarters. Raining in the Mountain offers a kind of spatial synthesis. The Buddhist monastery becomes at once a vast arena and a honeycomb of corridors, rooftops, and passageways (Fig. TMM.7).



TMM.6 The Fate of Lee Khan: Utilizing the widescreen.

In the end, though, even this porous space proves too confining, and the two villains flee into the mountains, where they encounter a torrent of fighting women swathed in yellow and crimson (Fig. TMM.8).

Along with their heroic idealism and visual splendor, the films display an engaging eccentricity. King Hu was perfectly capable of shooting and cutting a straightforward fight, but he often sought to convey the otherworldly speed and agility of his fighters through stylistic choices that we can only call experimental. Densely crowded settings partially conceal a thrust or leap. Swordfighters swing in and out of the shot, starting to slash as they exit; we must wait for the target to spring back in, showing the wound. Hu's jumpers flit through the frame, as if the camera cannot catch them. He uses doubles to create visual sleight-of-hand. In The Valiant Ones, a "whirlwind" technique lets a warrior come at an opponent from all sides (Figs. TMM.9-TMM.12).

Above all, Hu took pride in rapid cutting, claiming that he was the first Hong Kong director to use eight-frame shots.⁸ He calls on constructive editing, which is supposed to lay out the action clearly, and then does all he can to sabotage it. He likes to shave frames off the launch/leap/land cycle, or eliminate one or two phases altogether, so that the heroes' maneuvers become as abrupt and disconcerting to the viewer as they are to their adversaries. In The Valiant Ones Xu Dong runs and delivers a flying kick at his opponent, then trots back to run forward and kick again; he does this ten times. King Hu gives us each bonerattling assault in a flurry of cuts, but he presents a different combination of shots each time, nearly always eliminating some phase of the action, fanning out a set of variations on how constructive editing can create ellipsis. Hu also accelerates

attacks through flagrant jump cuts (Figs. TMM.13– TMM.14). Instead of floating endlessly like the Hong Kong swordsmen of the 1990s, Hu's fighters are usually up and down in an instant. Did Cao Shaoquin really leap over trees at the climax of *Dragon Gate Inn?* When the heroes do stay aloft, they're still rendered in bursts of cinematic shorthand; the elliptical cutting, granting us only glimpses of their trajectory, makes their prowess seem half-miraculous.



TMM.7 *Raining in the Mountain*: Chases become games of hide-and-seek in the mazelike monastery.



TMM.8 Raining in the Mountain.



TMM.9 The Valiant Ones: Madam Wu dodges the Japanese pirate...



TMM.10 ...and, using the "whirlwind" technique, materializes in the foreground (by means of a double).



TMM.11 As the pirate turns toward her, the double dives under the frameline, and the pirate is struck from behind...



TMM.12 ...by Madam Wu, who finishes him off.



TMM.13 In *A Touch of Zen* the villain (played by choreographer Han Yingjie) leaps up...



TMM.14 ...and the very next frame shows him landing.

Planet Hong Kong

Before Wong Kar-wai's Happy Together (1997), A Touch of Zen was the only Hong Kong film to win a prize at Cannes, and appropriately it received a special award for "superior technique." The award sparked some Western interest in Hu's films, but they are still generally unknown, playing in the occasional retrospective and almost completely unavailable on video. (The Japanese, who have always appreciated him, have produced good widescreen video versions, as well as the first full-length book on him.) From 1966 to 1979, Hu was one of the finest directors working anywhere, and he was certainly the most boldly experimental filmmaker attached to the Hong Kong industry. His achievement lay in his enrichment of the traditions of popular cinema.

9 | Avant-Pop Cinema

ENTERTAINMENT BUILDS NOVELTY into its basic demands, and some genres, such as science fiction and detective stories, welcome innovative storytelling. Entertainment is also playful, and we ought to expect that the very devices that lure in audiences may be occasions for open-ended experiment. The fan-aesthete often assumes that Hong Kong's disorienting originality arises when haste, poverty, low (or no) taste, and a dash of nuttiness spontaneously fuse. Usually the situation is more mundane. In commercial film, experimentation is usually not anarchic messing about but self-conscious craftsmanship. It is hard to argue that the devious use of point of view in Hitchcock, the unsettling flashbacks of film noir, the stylistic surprises in Yasujiro Ozu, and the flambovant montages of King Hu come straight from the id. These innovations are the result of patient care. Driven by competition, contrariness, or just the urge not to repeat oneself, the ambitious artisan presses against tradition, testing how far one can go while still playing by the rules of the game.

IN 1996 THE Hong Kong Arts Development Council set aside the equivalent of several hundred thousand U.S. dollars to fund film and video shorts.¹ At the center of this effort was the Zeman Media Centre, founded at the Hong Kong Arts Centre in 1993 to give local independent filmmakers access to production and postproduction facilities. The Arts Centre also worked with the Urban Council to create an annual video and film competition.

Out of the Zeman Centre came a call for a new cinema. A group named "[ving e chi]" (complete with brackets), which means "the will to create cinema," declared a crisis in Hong Kong production and Asian culture as a whole. The 16mm and 35mm projects made by members of [ving e chi]'s would be more radical. Phrases recall Dziga Vertov's manifestos: "1997, the handover year, [ying e chi] first retrospective of works; independent, hand-crafted and tailor-made with shoestring budgets, outside of the establishment, new tendencies, experimentation, alternative, non-categorizable, neither in nor out, avant-garde, beyond boundaries, very good and not too good, everything altogether...all because we have chosen to make films, to create cinema."² By the spring of 1999 [ying e chi] was distributing several 35mm shorts and features, and Hong Kong seemed to have laid the foundations for a lively sector of independent filmmaking.

Old hands would recall that they had tried something similar long before. In 1968 the student newspaper *University Life* created a cinéclub to screen members' 16mm films. The still cheaper gauge of Super 8 opened up production to many more people, and new film clubs emerged. While a few members of the New Wave were involved with the independent sector, the Hong Kong industry never made room for anything like independent film production as it developed in the United States, Europe, or Japan. Experimental work was relegated to annual festivals.

The difficulties of bringing a fresh attitude into the 1970s industry are epitomized in the case of Shu Shuen, Hong Kong's first significant woman director and a harbinger of the New Wave. Born in China in 1941, Shu Shuen (also known as Tang Shuxuan or Cecille Tang Shu Shuen) moved to Hong Kong and then attended film school at the University of Southern California. Her first film, The Arch (1970), was an early independent production, funded by American backers, shot in Hong Kong and Taiwan, and completed in the United States. The Arch performed weakly in Hong Kong, but it had successful runs in North America and Europe and became something of a festival item. Shu Shuen's next film was China Behind, a story of five Mainlanders fleeing Mao's Cultural Revolution; finished in 1974, it was not commercially released until 1987. She made two satiric comedies before withdrawing from filmmaking and moving to the United States.

Shot in Taiwan, China Behind was a daring criticism of political conditions in the People's Republic. The first half uses newsreel technique to capture Red Guard mayhem, and even static scenes become filled with tension when background loudspeakers blare out political slogans. When the five fugitives take flight, their ideals collapse. In one shocking scene, a pregnant, halfstarving refugee hides in a farmhouse and begins wolfing down rice; a little boy discovers her, and the distraught woman nearly kills him before her lover intervenes. As the woman staggers out through the farmyard, color drains from the shot, as if confirming her moral degradation. The refugees arrive in Hong Kong, where they find menial jobs or turn to religion. Remaining at a cool distance from its characters, China Behind is an exercise in piercing realism far different from the kung-fu movies and sex comedies that ruled the local scene.

Hong Kong critics consider Shu Shuen's earlier film, The Arch, to be a forerunner of the New Wave, and it is remarkably sophisticated for a filmmaker in her twenties. Derived from a Lin Yutang adaptation of a folktale, *The Arch* tells of a widow whom her village is about to honor for her chastity. But Madam Dong is attracted to Captain Yang. At great effort she holds herself back, so he seduces her daughter. As Yang and the daughter marry, the arch honoring Madam Dong is completed; but by then she is nearly mad with frustration. Perhaps influenced by the Western feminist movement, Shu Shuen turns the film into a parable of the repression of women's sexuality. (The Freudianism is quite flagrant: the eager daughter caresses an ear of corn, and at the pitch of desire, Madam Dong chops off a rooster's head.) Pictorially as well The Arch remains impressive. Hong Kong critics have pointed out

its adaptation of methods from Chinese poetry and painting.³ Shu Shuen makes inventive use of the pan-and-zoom style so common internationally, and her superimpositions and pseudofreeze-frames look forward to Wong Kar-wai's (Figs. 9.1–9.2).

The experimental élan of *The Arch*, reminiscent of the "young cinemas" of Europe and Latin America, seems all the more daring in light of the New Wave films that followed. The internationally famous ones, such as Allen Fong's *Father and Son* (1981), Ann Hui's *Boat People* (1982), and Yim Ho's



9.1 *The Arch*: Madam Dong runs to the camera and halts at an angle in the right foreground, leading us to think that the frame is frozen.



9.2 After a beat, Yang steps forward in the background; then he "freezes" too.

Homecoming (1984), were solid realist exercises. In Hong Kong, a naturalistic cinema shot in neighborhoods, using local dialects and unknown actors was a bold gesture, but by world standards most of these films seemed unadventurous. Still, a few directors tried more unusual things. The initial version of Tsui Hark's Dangerous Encounter-First Kind (1980) examined class differences and political violence through a cynical story of welloff schoolboys who plant bombs for the fun of it until they're blackmailed by a policeman's sadistic sister. But censors, fearing a rash of copycat bombings, forced Tsui to shoot new scenes, making the schoolboys guilty of a hit-and-run accident and expanding a sketchy subplot about U.S. Vietnam veterans turned gunrunners. Tsui's style is ragged and grating, and he fills the film with Buñuelesque imagery-a cat impaled on a spike, boys gunned down in a cemetery. The final massacre has some of the disturbingly rousing nihilism of Arrabal's Viva la muerte (1976).

Patrick Tam's Nomad (1982) offers another cross-class intrigue, interweaving romance with the machinations of Japanese Red Guard terrorists. This time the production company recut the film, and Tam disowned it. Tam became known as the most radical of New Wavers; his debut, The Sword (1980), had a quasi-Japanese elegance (arcing tracks, artful silhouettes, elliptical editing), while Love Massacre (1981) was an exercise in yuppie Guignol. Perhaps most imaginative of the New Wave countercanon is Kirk Wong's Health Warning (1983), scripted by Jerry Liu. In the early twenty-first century a traditional kickboxing school clashes with a vaguely punkish neo-Nazi club. The atmosphere of solemn brutality is mesmerizing, enhanced by ceaseless synthesizer throbbing. Nearly devoid of dialogue, unfolding in perpetual night and cavernous, misty interiors,

Health Warning is something of a Hong Kong *Blade Runner.*⁴

These films conveyed their social criticism with vigor and brashness, but alongside the Taiwanese "New Cinema" of Edward Yang and Hou Hsiaohsien, which emerged at the same time, even the most untraditional Hong Kong New Wave films come down firmly on the side of Hollywood. Tsui Hark suggests as much: "We never got into what's behind, the soul or spirit behind the New Wave. And what do we have that makes us so different from the past filmmakers…in the industry? There's not much difference."⁵ Certainly, however, the New Wave succeeded in clearing a small space for art cinema.

Whatever success they found in festivals or foreign markets, Hong Kong's art films remained

strongly rooted in the conventions of local entertainment. A revealing moment occurs at the climax of Stanley Kwan's Rouge. In 1930s Hong Kong a courtesan (Anita Mui) dies in a suicide pact. As a ghost she returns to 1980s Hong Kong searching for the lover who failed to join her (Leslie Cheung). She finds him working as an extra at a film studio. As she advances upon the decrepit old man, Kwan juxtaposes her with a swooping warrior woman being filmed outside (Fig. 9.3). The effect is to contrast cinematic artifice with genuine suffering; but it also invests Fleur's sorrow with the scourging fury of a swordswoman. Even while debunking the artifice of wirework and sword stunts, Kwan relies on genre traditions to intensify the contrasts among an ancient China of martial honor; Hong Kong of the 1930s, licen-



9.3 Rouge: Fleur confronts her aged lover as a woman warrior floats behind her.

tious but still bound by hierarchy; and modern Hong Kong, where no one would die for love. Kwan's very title refers back to the first featurelength film made in the colony, *Rouge* (1925).

While festival filmmaking keeps in touch with entertainment, the mainstream gives some room to play. The craft tradition encouraged King Hu, Tsui Hark, John Woo, and other filmmakers to explore stylistic options that enhanced expressive force. With the production surge of the early 1990s, new opportunities for renovation arose. Since a star-laden movie would probably not lose money in regional markets, some directors were able to experiment in fairly daring ways.

One of the most prestigious instances is Stanley Kwan's *Centre Stage* (aka *Actress*, 1992), an ambitious biopic treating the 1930s Shanghai film star Ruan Lingyu. Kwan intercuts original footage from Ruan's films, footage of Maggie Cheung enacting episodes from Ruan's private life, and documentary interviews with Cheung and Kwan about the project (Figs. 9.4, 9.5). The interplay among levels of fiction, though somewhat tame by Western standards, was virtually unprecedented in Hong Kong cinema.

Less well-known is *To Liv(e)* (1992), by the critic Evans Chan. The story, centering on two couples debating emigrating from Hong Kong, is broken up by a series of letters the heroine considers writing to Liv Ullmann, who criticized Hong Kong's treatment of Vietnamese immigrants. The film has a tendentious air furthered by 1969-style Godardian monologues that pin talking heads against abstract backgrounds, but the schematic message is softened by lyrical montages and passionate voice-over commentary.

The most famous director to benefit from the production boom was Wong Kar-wai. Thanks to the Taiwanese success of his star-laden first film,

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 9

As Tears Go By (1988), he was able to make Days of Being Wild (1990), a breakthrough for the new generation (Fig. 9.6). Despite its three Cantopop superstars (Leslie Cheung, Andy Lau, Jacky Cheung) and the top-line actresses Maggie Cheung and Carina Lau, Wong's languid character study was a financial disaster. But it earned enthusiastic critical support, winning five of the annual Hong Kong Film Awards. Wong returned to screenwriting until he found Taiwanese financing for the swordplay epic Ashes of Time (1994). Chung-



9.4 *Centre Stage*: Ruan Lingyu in her original film.

king Express (1994) and *Fallen Angels* (1995) showcased young stars in offhand plots and fleeting images that evoked both fashion photography and MTV. Unsuccessful with general audiences, Wong's films were felt to have captured the tastes of the "software generation."

All Hong Kong filmmakers have had to come to terms with Wong's prominence. Jeff Lau, for a time Wong's partner in Jet Tone Films, shadowed him closely. Lau's triad romance Love and the City (1994) parallels As Tears Go By; Days of Tomorrow (1993) is somewhat comparable to Days of Being Wild; and the spoof The Eagle-Shooting Heroes (1993) uses the same cast and the same source novel as Ashes of Time. Love and the City is particularly interesting for its domestication of Wongstyle voice-overs and the way ricocheting pager messages inform us of the characters' progress. Even Tsui Hark, a powerful innovator himself, may have felt Wong's influence; The Blade (1995), with its meditative voice-over commentary and nuanced shifts of filming speeds, can be read as a savage, deromanticized reply to Ashes of Time.

Wong's success reshaped the look and sound of local cinema, with many thrillers and romances adopting his interior monologues, grotesque



9.5 *Centre Stage*: Maggie Cheung reenacting her performance.



9.6 The sultry narcissism of Yuddy in *Days of Being Wild*: While Lizhen caresses his lips, he seems locked in a trance.

angles, and scrambled time schemes. A generation of "Wong wannabes" was inspired to push still further. In the short films Out of the Blue (1995) and Out of the Blur (1996) Jan Lamb Hoifung offered vignettes in the Chungking Express vein. Lamb, who had been a graphic designer and disc jockey, steered Wong's style still closer to TV commercials. (Out of the Blur was produced by Commercial Radio and financed by Fuji Film and Polygram.) Lamb and two other directors contributed episodes to the portmanteau feature *Four Faces of Eve* (1996), a showcase of post-Wong pyrotechnics. The end credits are put at the beginning and then run in reverse order, with videodegraded imagery over a scratch-and-mix soundtrack. The four stories, each starring Sandra Ng, purport to display different aspects of Woman, but mostly they are exercises in bobbing camerawork, candy colors, and Fallen Angels pastiche.

Another director represented in Four Faces of *Eve* is Eric Kot, former disc-jockey partner to Jan Lamb and now a fixture of twentysomething comedies like Feel 100% (1996). Kot's debut feature, First Love: The Litter on the Breeze (1997), is by Western standards probably the most experimental mainstream film yet made in Hong Kong. In dark glasses and fright wig, Kot explains that in January 1996 Wong Kar-wai contacted him to make the film. One can only wonder what Wong (let alone the Japanese investors) thought when confronted with this farrago of sketchy imagery, machine-gun cuts, and willfully constipated storytelling. Kot outlines some possible stories of first love, launches two or three, discards them after a few scenes, and after nearly half an hour settles on a sketch about a mentally retarded garbage man who every night runs into a sleepwalking girl. This tale ends (though bits of it will recur later) and another starts up, the story of a grocer

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 9

who flees from marriage to one woman, marries another, and then finds his first love haunting him at his grocery. At the end, Kot returns to tell us, "I don't know how to make this film. What should I do?" He apologizes to Wong Kar-wai.

Kot's reputation as a comedian helps motivate the jumpy digressions and saves the film from pure pretentiousness. The duplex plot is modeled on *Chungking Express*, a fact elaborately pointed out by Kot's commentary, and the style is a frantic collection of fish-eye distortions, antiphonal voiceovers, variable-speed movement, splashes of color, and parodic inserts. Whenever a scene seems to be building, it falls to bits, interrupted by foggy frames or a new bit of action elsewhere. *First Love* is indeed litter on the breeze, but it does dramatize the avant-pop potential of Hong Kong cinema: in few nations would such an offhand exercise employ big stars and wind up screened in multiplexes.

IN ALL THESE films and many more, directors are telling stories with a fresh freedom. Meandering, casually converging plotlines have become a premise of ambitious local cinema. One of the earliest results of Arts Development Council investment was Bryan Chang Wai-hung's feature After the Crescent (1997). Centering on a girl who has learned she is pregnant, the film traces the intersecting paths of several young people across a night of the full moon. Yu Lik-wai's Love Will Tear Us Apart (1999), another ADC project, also presents crisscrossed character destinies. The films' antidramatic sobriety and static staging link them to the Taiwanese tradition of Hou Hsiao-Hsien, but structurally they loop and knot stories in the Wong Kar-wai manner.

Under the influence of Wong, Tarantino, and Kiešlowski, some genre films have also devised

more complex narrative structures. The least disruptive tactic is to weave together parallel tales, as in *policiers* like *The Log* (1996) and absurdist gangster pictures like *Once upon a Time in Triad Society 2* (1996). Interwoven plots have also become common in youth comedies: show a batch of roommates, each one facing a crisis in love or work. An early example is the yuppie romance *The New Age of Living Together* (aka *In Between*, 1994). Clarence Fok's *Passion 1995* (1995) starts out with one couple, explores their relationship for a bit, then lets them pass a man on the street; we follow him and slowly pick up his story. Eventually the two stories connect.

Rarer than the interwoven plot is the spliced one, which presents two or more complete stories, one after the other, linking them through a character or a motif or just spatial contiguity. The prototype of this is Wong Kar-wai's Chungking Express, but one refreshing horror film exploits the same device. February 30 (1995), known in English as The Day That Doesn't Exist, starts with a story about a young woman whose fiancé becomes a zombie after an auto crash. After invading her life, he accepts death. In the second tale a negligent father is killed in another traffic accident. He returns to life in the body of a rich voluptuary who achieves sexual gratification through murder. The link between the tales is provided by a bumbling young policeman who courts the zombie's girlfriend and who meets the reincarnated truck driver in the hospital. Both protagonists are reborn as monsters preying on innocence, but the second tale becomes morally complex by punishing the truck driver for his selfish disregard of his family. The policeman adds a counterpoint of lascivious comedy to the first story's melodrama of lost love and to the second tale's seriosatiric edge. If the interwoven plot

is becoming a staple of the comedy and the *policier*, the spliced plot has emerged as a convenient format for horror films (e.g., *01:00 a.m.*, 1995; the *Troublesome Night* series, 1997 onward).

The most intricate model of plotting depends on forking-path patterns, like those in Kiešlowski's Blind Chance (1981) and Resnais's Smoking/No *Smoking* (1994). Here the plot presents one string of events, hops back to a nodal point, and traces out an alternative set of consequences. In Cha Chuen-yee's Once upon a Time in Triad Society (1996) the sadistic gangster Kwan is wounded by a mysterious assassin and is taken to the hospital. His voice-over monologue introduces a flashback tracing his career and presenting him as more victim than villain. Once he achieves a measure of sympathy, we return to the present as he lies on the operating table, and Kwan's voice admits he's been lying. There follows another long flashback that corrects the autobiography we have already seen. "I was born to be a triad." Instead of being bullied by older boys, he is the bully. Instead of being betraved by a friend, he is the traitor. While the structure has a whiff of overcleverness, it is venturesome in steering the viewer from loathing to sympathy and finally to a more detached contempt for Kwan's irredeemable evil. As in Cha Chuen-yee's follow-up film, the triad mystique is deglamorized.6

Once Upon a Time in Triad Society simply lies about the protagonist's past, eventually supplying a true account. Too Many Ways to Be No. 1 (1997), a more elaborate forking-paths tale directed by Wai Ka-fai, presents a virtual plot that is artifice through and through. Our hero is a petty crook who has his palm read before going to meet his pal in a massage parlor. He agrees to join a scheme to smuggle cars into the Mainland. He and his partners bungle the plan and are killed. Then the film skips back to the hero's rendezvous with his pal. Now, however, he takes another path, refusing the smuggling job and walking out on the gang. Instead he becomes involved with Matt, who begs him to come to Taiwan and strike a deal with the triads. He winds up wheelchair-bound. We skip back in time again, now to the very beginning. The palm reader tells the hero he will go either to the Mainland or to Taiwan. The film concludes with the protagonist encountering his pal on the street and stripping him down to his underwear. As he walks off, his pal shouts after him that he'd better come to the massage parlor tonight...

A whimsical anthology of all these formal options can be found in Anthony Wong's Top Banana Club (1996). The central situation is a radio call-in show, Banana Club, staffed by four disc jockeys. They receive three calls, each constituting a separate tale: a young triad tries to go straight for the sake of his Christian girlfriend and her policeman father; a young wife has her breasts enlarged in order to please her husband; and a preening stud pretends to be gay in order to seduce a lesbian. In outline, then, a spliced plot, framed by interludes of the radio hosts commenting on the callers' tales. But characters from one tale pop up in others, and this tactic yields interwoven action. Finally, the triad plotline is given forking-paths treatment. In the first episode, the DJs outline a hypothetical scenario in which the triad saves the policeman from Mainland gangsters; but in a scene interrupting the wife's story, the confrontation ends with both the triad and the cop slain by the Mainlanders. On top of all this, the actors who play the disc jockeys also play several characters in the embedded stories.

Granted, the adventurousness of these quickies may come from a desperate need to stretch anecdotal script ideas. Wai's *Too Many Ways to Be No. 1*

is self-consciously nonconformist (it includes a fight scene filmed upside down) and thematically somewhat heavy-handed (Hong Kong's future lies neither with China nor with Taiwan). Top Banana Club seems about to fly off in any direction. Yet these and the other genre efforts show more experimental ambition than many of the U.S. "independent" films of recent years. (American independents seem content to echo Tarantino's digressive dialogue, while Hong Kongers seem more inspired by his back-and-fill plotting.) Significantly, most of the films mentioned above have been made under the cloud of the post-1993 industry slump. It seems likely that hard times and the shift to local appeals encouraged young microbudget filmmakers to grab their audience with something fresh-offbeat experiments in style and storytelling.

WONG KAR-WAI became the most artistically adventurous Hong Kong director of current times. Between 1988 and 1999, he directed only six films, only one of which found local commercial success, but he became a critical favorite. After winning five Hong Kong Film Awards for Days of Being Wild, he triumphed in 1994 when Chungking Express and Ashes of Time garnered a total of seven. The next year, Fallen Angels (1995) won three. Ashes of Time won the cinematography prize at the 1994 Venice Film Festival, and Happy Together (1997) earned Wong the Best Director award at Cannes. These films became art-house favorites in Europe and North America; Quentin Tarantino pressed his distributor to buy the American rights to Chungking Express. By 1998 many critics regarded Wong as one of the most important directors in the world.

At last Hong Kong found its exportable festival filmmaker, the one director no intellectual need

be ashamed to like. Wong is cosmopolitan. In an industry that is likely to consider Garry Marshall a director worth imitating, he admires Bertolucci, Godard, Bresson, Antonioni, and Ozu. A voracious reader, he has admitted his fondness for García Marquez and Puig (from whom, he says, he learned to build a plot out of fragments).⁷ Europeans tend to see him as Godardian; Tarantino and other "independent" Americans call him brother; academics claim him as the allegorist of postmodern urban culture and Hong Kong's pre-1997 anomie.⁸ He collaborated with nonconformist New Waver Patrick Tam, writing the script for Tam's *Final Victory* (1987) and hiring Tam to help with postproduction on his films.

Yet Wong denies that he makes art movies. "I think I'm a not very successful commercial director."9 Despite his avant-garde reputation, he came out of mass entertainment. He began by writing TV soap operas and suspense serials, and he claims to have written fifty film scripts throughout the 1980s. He got his chance to direct when Hong Kong cinema was beginning its biggest boom. As Tears Go By was one of a string of Andy Lau tragic-triad movies, spun off the cycle launched by A Better Tomorrow. Once As Tears Go *By* succeeded in overseas markets, Wong was able to make Days of Being Wild (1990). The exportdriven revival of martial arts enabled him to get Taiwanese financing for his chivalric saga Ashes of Time.

For all the films' high ornamentation, they are firmly rooted in genres. The food-stall killing in *As Tears Go By*, with bodies writhing against taut plastic curtains, seems to recast the murder in the street market which opens Ringo Lam's *City on Fire* (1987). (Andrew Lau was cinematographer for both films.) The fusion of brother Yin and sister Yang in *Ashes of Time* derives from Brigitte
Lin's bisexual role-playing in Tsui Hark's Swordsman series, and a scene showing Yin/Yang standing on a lake and tracing an explosive line in the water reworks the imagery of Snow cannonading the ocean beneath her in The East Is Red (1993) (Figs. 9.7, 9.8). The Kurosawa-Leone influence on Hong Kong filmmaking emerges clearly in Ashes, especially during the Blind Swordsman's heroic march to face the bandits. Chungking Express and Fallen Angels have overt policier and film noir ingredients. (Wong got financing for Chungking *Express* by promising that it would be a gangster movie). Days of Being Wild and Happy Together can be seen as skewed versions of early 1990s "relationship" movies. However idiosyncratic Wong's films are, they take popular norms as points of departure. The films' genre origins helped them gain international appeal in a period when arthouse directors were encouraged to make crossovers.

Here is an artist who understands niche marketing. Wong became a mini-industry in Japan, where bookstores devoted entire sections to him. There each film was released with a souvenir program, often including maps of Hong Kong pointing out where each scene takes place. For *Happy Together* Wong's Japanese partners produced an *objet d'art* chapbook consisting of collages, scraps of text, and a few mementos. (Mine had a strip of film tucked inside; a friend got a condom in his.) Locally Wong was a cult taste, but after his success at Cannes, he signed with Motorola to shoot a series of Hong Kong TV commercials. So quickly did the arrangement become known that the commercials did not need to feature a product, and the posters for the campaign quickly became collectors' items.

Wong's production methods push to a limit the local custom of day-by-day creation. He typically starts shooting without a finished script. He arrives at the set early and decides on the camera positions, then gives actors their dialogue, which he has usually composed a few hours before. Christopher Doyle notes: "Each film I see less and less written down."¹⁰ Wong is fond of many retakes, allowing actors to find the rhythm of their part

slowly-because, he says, most Hong Kong stars have fallen into bad habits by working so fast.¹¹ Like a silent-film director, he plays music during filming to enhance the mood. Thousands of feet of footage go unused. None of the two weeks' worth of material shot in Brigitte Lin's home made it into Chungking Express, and the pop singer Shirley Kwan, brought to Buenos Aires for a major role in *Happy Together*, was dropped from the final cut.¹² On the latter project, Chris Doyle filmed at the magnificent Iguaçu falls while the director was in a coffee shop far away: "We're on our own again today," Doyle noted in his diary. "Wong's still working out whether this is a flashforward dream sequence or the last stop on Tony [Leung Chiu-wai]'s physical and spiritual journey and another possible ending for the film. We shoot it both ways."13

Applied to big-budget pictures, this working method becomes very risky. *Days of Being Wild*, which was shot in Hong Kong and the Philippines over two years, is said to have cost HK\$50 million (US\$6.4 million), a huge sum for an intimate



9.7 Ashes of Time: Yin/Yang conjures up geysers.



9.8 The same fantastic imagery appeared earlier in The East Is Red.

drama. Ashes of Time, filmed on location in western China, consumed a year, with a two-month hiatus.¹⁴ In that interval, Wong returned to Hong Kong and shot Chungking Express, starting with Andrew Lau as cinematographer and finishing with Chris Doyle.¹⁵ Lacking time to set up a tripod or to get permission to film the locations, Wong turned out an intoxicating piece of off-the-cuff filmmaking, proof that he could work fast when he had to. By contrast, Happy Together, filmed in Buenos Aires and Taipei, was planned for a sixweek shoot, but it dragged on for five months. Although Wong often tries for a more leisurely production tempo than his contemporaries can afford, his reluctance to finish a script and his self-created deadline pressure make him no less dependent on haste and cost-cutting. Wong's fragmentary plotting, his reliance on post-production optical effects, his use of voice-over monologues and music montages (which can smooth over disjointed footage) are not only expressive artistic choices but ways of meeting the financial demands facing all Hong Kong directors.

Central to Wong Kar-wai's work, critics agree, is the theme of time. It appears in many guises the mysteries of change, the ephemerality of the present, the secret affinities among simultaneous incidents, the longings created by memory and nostalgia. The characters are constantly watching the clock, laboring under due dates or meditating on missed chances and mistaken choices. "They are all trying to master the unbearable lightness of the present moment," writes Larry Gross, "to possess what can't be possessed, the passing of beauty and successful emotional expression."¹⁶

This cluster of themes is generated by a simple strategy of construction. Wong's films center on isolated characters hanging out, usually to the accompaniment of soulful music. These stretches of down-time are broken by sidelong conversations, bursts of physical activity, and epiphanic moments in which characters realize an ineffable truth about themselves or the world. This core rhythm of wistful waiting/disjointed interchanges/ violent action/epiphanic revelation is laid out in rich, vibrant images. Like Ozu and other filmmakers interested in transience, Wong fills his films with images of mutablity-boiling clouds, wafting steam, and cigarette smoke; zigzag traffic patterns and pulsating city lights; shadows that drift across a landscape; a gigantic waterfall (Happy Together); wind ruffling a sheet of orange plastic as a man's life pumps out of him (As Tears Go By).

Within all this flux, there must be moments that crystallize into shining clarity, or, as the Chinese title of *Happy Together* puts it: "Spring Brilliance Suddenly Pours Out." The film presents a crescendo of epiphanies. There is one when Lai Yiu-fai stands before the Iguaçu falls, letting the spray blast him; at the same moment Ho Po-wing, idling in Yiu-fai's apartment, toys with the lamp representing the falls and then bursts into tears (Figs. 9.9–9.10). At the film's close a pensive Yiufai is carried by a nighttime Taipei train into a cataract of speed and light (Fig. 9.11). Such bursts of brilliance function as dramatic climaxes in Wong's films.

Because the moments of revelation are rare, a lot of the film may seem passive. Characters just loiter, hoping to be available for that instant that will transform their lives. The woman waits for the man, draping herself in languid poses (Fig. 9.12), while the man, pounding his beat, lolling in his apartment, or hunching over a drink, waits for her. But waiting has its correlative in pensive reverie, when Faye dreams in *Chungking Express* or when Ouyang Feng's brother's wife muses by the sea in *Ashes of Time*. Wong's characters often have to learn to relax, to suspend egotism and desire and simply unwind, like Wah on Lantau Island with



9.9 *Happy Together*: Lai Yiu-fai at the edge of the falls.



9.10 *Happy Together*: Ho Po-wing and the lamp depicting the falls.



9.11 *Happy Together*: The train rushing through a station.

Ngor in *As Tears Go By. Happy Together*, says Wong, has two parts, the first involving action, the second when the protagonists reflect on what they've done. At the close Yiu-fai finds in Chen's placidity a way to let go of Po-wing: unhappy together, happy alone. Waiting tensely or just musing: the trick is to be ready. Once the epiphany comes, it can be treasured—kept, as Ouyang Feng says in *Ashes of Time*, in your memory forever. The worst fate is to drink the wine of forgetfulness.

Treating time as at once unmanageable flux, a stretch of reverie, an instant revelation, and an undying memory, the films invite critics to search for allegories of impermanence reflecting Hong Kong's pre-1997 anxieties.¹⁷ At least as important, and a key factor in the films' nervous beauty, is the way in which Wong imbeds his themes of time within a bedrock formula of popular culture: young people in search of love. Wong has pointed out that all the characters in Ashes of Time slip into despair when their love is rejected, while in Chungking Express the characters survive precisely by risking everything on the game of romance.¹⁸ The images of mutability become at once echoes of the characters' emotional states-Chungking Express's protagonists live their lives by the Pathetic Fallacy-and disarming expressions of love hoped for, strained at, just missed, or shared for an instant.¹⁹ A candle dropping into darkness signals the extinguishing of an affair (Ashes of Time), while drips from a drying dishtowel (Chungking Express) become tears lamenting a lover's departure.

What epiphanies are Wong's people waiting for and dreaming of? More often than not, a love that will break them out of solitude. These narcissistic and vagrant characters—the preening Yuddy of *Days of Being Wild*, constantly caught in a mirror; the wandering swordsmen of *Ashes of Time*; Ho Chi Mo in *Fallen Angels*, who "borrows" a different shop to manage every night—are all wooing and waiting to be wooed. Wong is often, inadequately, called the MTV auteur, but his films do conjure up the wistful yearning of languorous pop ballads like "Perfidia" (*Days of Being Wild*) and "Take My Breath Away" (*As Tears Go By*). This Romantic and romantic cinema, however concerned with the city in history, is centrally about being young and in love.

With spring brilliance about to pour out at any moment, the chance meetings that are central to the plots of most Hong Kong films become mysterious convergences of forces. Officer 223 in *Chungking Express* reflects that you brush by people every day, "people we may never meet or people who become close friends." Most of the films assemble five or six characters and shake them into fresh combinations. Half the plot, it seems, consists just in getting a new assortment of people together in hope that a spark will illuminate the instant. While filming, Wong Kar-wai stages various encounters that he might not use; Doyle tells us that in *Fallen Angels* we might have seen Killer running into Charlie Young, or all the characters meeting in a gay bar.²⁰

Yet as in a kaleidoscope, the fragments usually tumble into a coherent pattern. Wong's plots create vivid parallels among characters and situations. Yuddy is defined through his relation to his adopted mother and the two main women in his life, while in *Ashes of Time* Huang Yaoshi and Ouyang Feng find themselves enacting parallel relations with Yin and with Ouyang Feng's brother's wife.



9.12 Ngor waits for her lover to call her in *As Tears Go By*.

Rhyming gestures and settings make the characters analogous, as when Huang Yaoshi meets the Blind Swordsman's wife in the stream and later Ouvang Feng meets her in the same place. Crosscutting brings out affinities too. A bold moment occurs in Fallen Angels: Wong intercuts shots of Killer and Agent passing through the same locales at different times, so that they seem simultaneously to accompany and to miss one another. Wong's voice-over technique is particularly useful in binding the film together. It is initiated in a fairly orthodox way in As Tears Go By, where Ngor recites a letter she has left for Wah. By Days of Being Wild, though, the monologues are purely confessional, issuing from some parallel realm, pouring out across sequences to create links and symmetries, recollections and prefigurations.

Disparate plotlines meet. In *Days of Being Wild*, it is one character, the intense but cold Yuddy, who brings everyone together; even though he dies at the end, Wong envisioned a second film in which Yuddy's spell would still grip the others. In *Fallen Angels* the link between the two plots is initially spatial: Agent lives in the guest house of Chungking Mansions managed by Ho Chi Mo's father, and some of Killer's murders are committed there. As events unfold, both stories turn out to center on aggression—the murders for hire engineered by Agent and Killer, Ho's nonstop harassing of passersby, and Charlie Young's vindictive hysteria at Blondie, who has stolen Charlie's boyfriend.

Wayward as they look, Wong's films are well organized, with each character or couple becoming the focus of a string of scenes. *Days of Being Wild* assigns one reel to Lizhen and another to Mimi, each section tracing the woman's obsessive reaction to losing Yuddy. The first two reels of *Fallen Angels* are spent on Killer and Agent, the

third on Ho Chi Mo, the fourth again on Killer and Agent. Then the plotlines mix across several reels until they separate again: the eighth reel shows Killer's last job and his death; the last reel follows Ho after his father's death to his final encounter with Agent. In Ashes of Time, reel one establishes Ouyang Feng's inn and Huang Yaoshi's visits. Reels two and three are devoted to the story of the Murong siblings Yin and Yang, reels four and five to the Blind Swordsman, reels six and seven to Hong Qi and the fulfillment of the Egg Girl's vengeance, and reels eight and nine to Huang Yaoshi, Ouyang Feng, and the woman they both love. (Ashes' brief, disconcerting flashbacks fret this arrangement somewhat, creating a distressed surface that puzzles first-time viewers.) Wong Kar-wai has taken up the reel-by-reel scheme that has ruled Hong Kong scenario writing and used it as both a way of organizing footage (handy if you don't script much in advance) and a means of shifting the spotlight across characters whose destinies are interwoven.

Within and across these large chunks, the films trace repetitive loops, both big and small. Characters return to the same places and replay actions and lines of dialogue. Huang Yaoshi visits Ouyang Feng every spring, and the Egg Girl begs passing swordsmen to take up her cause. In Happy Together Yiu-fai's job as doorman at the tango bar builds up a rhythm of routine varied by Po-wing's brazen paradings of new johns. Wong ingeniously explores cyclical patterns of plotting-usually to show his apparently free-floating characters locked in work habits and ill-starred loves and friendships. Our last view of Po-wing shows him slumping drunkenly against the doorway in which Yiufai crouched throughout earlier scenes. In As Tears Go By, Wah is forever obliged to go out to rescue Fly, constantly forced to pass between

Hong Kong and Lantau Island, again and again laying siege to the mahjong parlor over which his rival Tony presides. The triads take turns playing victim and bully in ever more dangerous games of bravado.

Wong often clusters these cyclical scenes in ways that highlight the repetitions. Days of Being Wild opens with abrupt, very similar sequences of Yuddy visiting the snack bar and gradually seducing Lizhen. Later the beat cop (Andy Lau) encounters Lizhen searching for Yuddy over several evenings, and the scenes, played out side by side, seem to melt into one long night. The same effect obtains in Ashes of Time when the rapidly alternating visits of Yin and Yang to Ouyang Feng's inn create a roundabout quarrel between brother and sister, with Ouyang Feng as the relay. A kind of limit is reached in Chungking Express's second tale, when Officer 633 visits the Midnight Express snack bar in four successive scenes, each registering a fluctuation in his love life while setting the terms of his and Faye's out-of-synch courtship.

Although time is presented as evanescent, then, the Wong Kar-wai film is built out of moments which replay something that has gone before. An instant becomes a curiously static point condensing both past and future, as when Officer 223 bumps against the blonde woman in Chungking Mansions, and his voice-over declares: "Fiftyseven hours later I fell in love with this woman." Again, Wong turns a Hong Kong tradition to his advantage; instead of abandoning episodic construction in favor of a tighter Hollywood dramaturgy, he loosens his plots still more and then gives them formal and thematic density through cross-referenced motifs.

"Each film has to be 'different," notes cinematographer Doyle. "In narrative style, in structure. In its so-called 'look."²¹ Wong is proudly

polystylistic. As Tears Go By is filmed in hard blocks of red, ultramarine, and orange, all pierced by solid black shadows; silhouettes and unexpected angles are linked by restless cutting. Days of Being Wild has a more languid rhythm, with softer lighting and much longer takes. Ashes of Time, shuffling back and forth in time, charts the changes in the desert from brilliant ochre to thick, shadowy brown. Chungking Express is all highkey available-light shooting, while Happy Together presents grimy, bleached colors. Fallen Angels indulges in an almost unprecedented visual grotesquerie in performance and camerawork: not only are the characters always shrieking or brooding, but the outrageous wide-angle shooting turns them into gargoyles (Fig. 9.13).²²

And each film is hardly of a piece, for Wong delights in trying out technical alternatives in dif-

ferent scenes. *Fallen Angels* mixes frenetic editing with a few complexly orchestrated ensemble takes. *Days of Being Wild* was planned in four movements, each corresponding to a different set of stylistic options (Bressonian close-ups, B-film long takes, vigorous depth of field, and a return to the long take).²³ *Ashes of Time* is virtually a compilation of different ways to present a swordfight. Yin/ Yang is filmed in the flying swordsman manner, the Blind Swordsman fights in the "Japanese style" of Zatoichi, and Hong Qi's breathless step-printed battles are, we might say, pure Wong Kar-wai.²⁴

Despite a pluralism verging on eclecticism, Wong leaves authorial fingerprints. There is his urge to travesty star images. He dirties up pop idols, luring them into twitching and chainsmoking, and generally turns staggeringly attractive men and women into masks or freaks—a tactic in



9.13 Fallen Angels: Agent pines and smokes in a wideangle shot.

keeping with post-Punk conceptions of beauty. (One critic has suggested that *Ashes of Time* is Hong Kong's first grunge movie.)²⁵ Then there is Wong's signature slow motion. In *As Tears Go By* he devised the technique of shooting action at only eight, ten, or twelve frames per second and then "stretch-printing" the result to the normal twenty-four frames. The comparatively long exposure during filming makes movement blur, while the printing process, repeating each frame two or three times, produces a jerky pulsation.²⁶ Wong shifts visual accents by using different rates of stretch-printing, adding or deleting frames at different points.

He goes on to stage his shots so that actions in different zones unfold at different rates. The most famous, and most parodied, example is the shot in *Chungking Express* in which Officer 633 is slowly drinking coffee at the snack bar as crowds flash by (Fig. 9.14). In *Happy Together*, Yiu-fai smokes and ruminates with normal fluidity in the foreground, while behind him out-of-focus soccer players float in ghostly slow motion. In *Fallen Angels*, Ho Chi Mo's mooning over Charlie is given a dreamy tentativeness by a more marionettish treatment,



9.14 Chungking Express: 633 drinks in slow motion while the crowds surge around him in fast motion and Faye stares at him as if in a freeze-frame.

enhanced by dripping distortion (Fig. 9.15). For a director interested in memory and ephemerality, variable-speed movement provides an ideal expressive vehicle. Still, the experiment is facilitated by a popular tradition that has routinely slowed or speeded up filming and printing in order to make combat scenes clearer and more forceful. By varying the speed of action, often from shot to shot, Sammo Hung, John Woo, and others (Fig. 9.16) provided a schema that Wong could revise for his own ends.

Like his colleagues, Wong has exploited the resources of music. There is probably some influence from music videos, seen most clearly in his tendency to give over nearly an entire sequence to a pop-song accompaniment for the action. In *As Tears Go By*, stretches of "Take My Breath Away" weave in and out during Wah's visit to Lantau Island and his meeting, missing, and remeeting Ngor, while in *Chungking Express* Faye cleans Officer 633's apartment to the tune of



9.15 Dissolving melancholy in *Fallen Angels*: The camera filmed through the bar window while water was sprayed onto the window's edges. The actors moved very slowly, the extras in the background moved very fast, and the whole scene was shot at four frames per second. The shot lasts two minutes onscreen, but it took twelve to shoot.

"Dreams" (sung by the actress, Faye Wong). At other times a solemn single shot will be accompanied by a hypnotic musical passage, as in the gentle coasting through the Philippines forest (*Days of Being Wild*) and the slow rotation above the Iguaçu falls (*Happy Together*). This Scopitone aesthetic, which recalls *A Hard Day's Night* (1964) and *A Man and a Woman* (1966), becomes overt when a character activates a jukebox or even, as in *Fallen Angels*, curls herself over one. The jukebox may pump out the song that will accompany the next action; or it may not, as in the California Café scenes of *Chungking Express:* just before Officer 633 inserts his coin, a haunting jazz theme drifts through the stretch-printed shot.

The debt to music video again connects Wong to popular entertainment, but his style transforms the formula. Instead of selling the song, he makes the song part of the expressive atmosphere bathing his characters. He has said that he would like people hearing the song in everyday life to recall the moment in his film.²⁷ It is a bold bid: the tune triggers a reverie that includes your memory of the film's own scenes of reverie. Moreover, Wong's visual style has a precision and patterning untyp-



9.16 All the Wrong Clues (For the Right Solution) (1981): A femme fatale asks for a chair, and she stands waiting in the foreground while men behind her scramble in fast motion to find one.

ical of MTV. Consider the "Take My Breath Away" music montage in *As Tears Go By*. Wah pursues Ngor, then he leaves her, and then she races to catch up with him. Wong introduces a series of devices one by one—rhythmic cutting, figure movement paced to the beat, bursts of color (a red-and-yellow bus shearing across the image), conflicting lateral movements through the frame, and stretch-printing when Wah springs into the shot, grabs Ngor, and jogs her into the phone booth for some desperate kissing. Wong caps it by slowly scalding color out of the image as the couple clutch each other (Figs. 9.17–9.18). Unlike most music videos, this sequence holds each image long enough to permit expressive elements



9.17 As Tears Go By.



9.18 As Tears Go By.

to accumulate and step up the lyrical intensity. It is flashy and ingratiating but also rigorous.²⁸

Wong, like von Sternberg, shifts between a nearly hypnotic stare and a teasing glimpse. The landscape shots, the lengthy close-ups of the characters (Days of Being Wild lingers on Yuddy's pout), and the long tracking shots following a figure through a crowd or up a staircase invite the spectator to study a slowly unfolding spectacle. By contrast, the film will reward us with a perversely blocked view-a nearly subliminal shot of Yin leaving the inn (Ashes of Time), hair straggling in front of a face, slits in curtains, semiopaque plastic curtains, and narrow alleys and stairways. The freeze-frame is demanded partly because without it we could not see the essential moment, but the freeze-frame can also tantalize us by coming a little too late (Fig. 9.19). Elliptical cutting can achieve the same end, as when the Blind Swordsman abruptly kisses the Egg Girl before setting out to die. However important the music and voice-over monologues are, Wong remains faithful to the Hong Kong tradition of seizing the viewer's attention with an energetic image-even if we just miss seeing it completely.

The music, the slurred gestures, the sideswiping visuals all lend Wong's characters an unashamed romanticism. Nature echoes their passions. Lowering clouds always threaten rain, the formulaic climate of movie sadness. At moments of crisis people lift their eyes to the skies, as if seeking deliverance. Peggy Chiao Hsiung-ping points out that the characters nurse visions of utopia (the tropical forest in *Days of Being Wild*, the California of *Chungking Express*, the waterfall of *Happy Together*).²⁹ They also love to exhibit their passions, if only to themselves. A young man's girl-friend gives him a drinking glass; when he thinks she's dumped him, he throws it into the ocean.

A young man, convinced his girlfriend won't call, leaves his pager clipped to a fence. Gay lovers nurse each other through sickness and quarrel over cigarettes. The airhead Baby can run whooping through McDonald's just to sit beside Killer; in the modern world, this becomes a passionate declaration of love. People expire with the image of their loved one before them (Fig. 9.20). Yuddy dies on a train remembering the girl he met at three o'clock on April sixteenth, but then he asks his friend to tell her he didn't remember her—the better to confirm his reputation as a cad. Romantic gesture verges on tear-jerking cliché. Killer cannot bear to hear the song ("Losing You") that he used as a message to tell Agent he didn't love her. In Ashes of Time Yin wants Huang Yaoshi to die longing for her; another woman dies longing for Ouyang Feng, who in turn mourns her death by setting his inn afire. These characters pine flambovantly.

To treat these lovelorn films as abstract allegories of Hong Kong's historical situation risks losing sight of Wong Kar-wai's naked appeal to our feelings about young romance, its characteris-



9.19 The drug smuggler in *Chungking Express* tosses off her blonde wig in pulsating freeze-frames. Shortly after this image, the stop-action makes her a blur of black hair and dark glasses.

tic dilemmas, moods, and moves. He dramatizes the sidelong eye-play of flirtation (Is she looking at me? Do I look cool smoking or eating in this booth? Am I willing to wait for him?) and takes seriously all youth's conceits (Will I forget this moment with my lover? Is my towel crying?). Some might find the whole package too self-consciously cute, too full of twentysomething attitudinizing. These youths-Wong has yet to make a film organized around an older person's perspective-are often living out childish fantasies. The men in Chungking Express decorate their apartments with toy airplanes and stuffed animals; the swordsmen of Ashes of Time are slackers. The women wait or tidy up the men's disheveled lives. Youthful exuberance can turn into infantile rage, as when Yuddy thrashes his mother's lover, or in Fallen Angels when Charlie and Ho beat up an inflatable sex doll. Cute kids can turn nasty. When Killer struts into lethal action, firing his pistol to the beat of a soundtrack rapping out, "Cause I'm cool...," we are invited to take him at the song's word.

These are the faults of a deeply sentimental cinema. Almost devoid of irony, Wong's films, like classic rock and roll, take seriously all the crushes, the posturing, and the stubborn capricious-



9.20 Ashes of Time: Ouyang Feng's sister-in-law dies remembering him.

ness of young angst. They rejoice in manic expenditures of energy. They celebrate the momentary heartbreak of glimpsing a stranger who might be interesting to love. The best comparison is surely not with Godard, whose romantic streak has a bitter edge. In Wong Kar-wai, Hong Kong may have its Truffaut, the director who in *Tirez sur le pianiste* and Jules et Jim concentrated on not-quite-grownup characters brooding on eternally missed chances. In any case, Wong stands out from his peers by abandoning the kinetics of comedies and action movies in favor of more liquid atmospherics. He dissolves crisp emotions into vaporous moods. For all his sophistication, his unembarrassed effort to capture powerful, pleasantly adolescent feelings confirms his commitment to the Hong Kong popular tradition.

Romance on Your Menu: Chungking Express

Wong Kar-wai's *Chungking Express* (1994) might seem a paradigm of light cinema, beguiling but insubstantial. Compared to Edward Yang's somber *The Terrorizers* (1986), another disjointed tale of intersecting urban lives, it looks like pure fluff. Yet in *Chungking Express* entertainment fosters genuine experimental impulses. Analyzing the craft that shaped this insoluciant exercise reveals how a play with popular forms can be at once diverting and innovative.

The film offers Hong Kong's best-known spliced plot. First comes the tale of Officer 223. On the eve of his twenty-fifth birthday, realizing that his girlfriend has left him for good, he strikes up a one-night acquaintance with a mysterious blonde woman who is a drug smuggler. There follows the story of Officer 633, who is dumped by his airlinehostess girlfriend. While he pines for her, he becomes the object of affection for fast-food countergirl Faye. She sneaks into his apartment and spruces it up with new towels, goldfish, clothes, and canned goods. When he finally asks her out for a date, she stands him up and leaves to become an air hostess herself.

The first story takes place chiefly in Tsimshatsui, in and around the decaying warren of Indian restaurants and guest houses known as Chungking Mansions. The second takes place in the Lan Kwai Fong neighborhood of Hong Kong island. The two plotlines converge at the Midnight Express fast-food counter in Central, which both policemen patronize. The hinge between the plots is a casual moment: 223 bumps into Faye, the frame freezes, and as "California Dreaming" rises on the soundtrack, 223's voice-over tells us, "Six hours later she fell in love with another man," and we see 633 strolling to the counter. Symmetrically, the film closes with an epilogue at the Midnight Express, when, after a year, Faye drops by to find that Officer 633 has bought the place.¹

This split structure arose from the need to whip up a movie in short order. In early 1994 Wong Kar-wai's painfully slow production of Ashes of Time was interrupted for two months. Pressed to fulfill his contract for a second feature, Wong stitched together script ideas he had been considering for years. (A third story was to have been included, but as the shooting developed there was no room for it; it became the Killer plotline of Fallen Angels.) Chungking Express was filmed in sequence ("like a road movie," Wong says), with Wong writing each scene the night before or even the morning of the day it was to be shot.² Specific motifs, such as the canned goods, arose from the contingencies of shooting, which favored working in brightly lit convenience stores.³

Originally Wong wanted the stories to intersect fairly often; he envisioned an ending in which the four main characters would meet at the lounge in Taipei airport.⁴ As the film stands, he says, "The two stories are quite independent. What puts them together is that they are both love stories. I think a lot of city people have a lot of emotions but sometimes they can't find the people to express them to."⁵ Although most critics treat the film as an allegory of time and space in modern (or postmodern) Hong Kong,⁶ taking it as an exercise in comparative romance accounts better for its formal finesse—its doubled motifs, its web of parallels, and its echoic time structures.

The film has a rough reel-by-reel plot outline,⁷ and within each reel, Wong has coiled his modular cycles of routine: not only both officers' visits to Midnight Express but also the blonde woman's visits to the drug dealer's bar, 223's repeated efforts to phone May and other ex-girlfriends, and the constant alternation of scenes in which Faye sees 633 eating at a street stall and scenes in which she invades his apartment. Although *Chungking Express* feels loosely structured because it depends on coincidental encounters, the plot is built out of a daunting number of minutely varied repetitions of locales and routines. As these cycles compare characters and situations, cause and effect become less important than parallels among congruent or contrasting aspects of love.

The mere lineup of characters creates matching couples. In the first part, 223 and the blonde woman share a chaste night, far more innocent than the voracious clinches of the drug-lord bar owner and his Filipina paramour (who dons a blonde wig). In the second part, the love play between 633 and his stewardess girlfriend-her mimicking safety instructions before takeoff, his gliding a toy plane along her sweat-speckled back -offers yet a third version of romance, a cuddly sexiness midway between the two love affairs of the first story. As the blonde replaces May for the lonely 223, so Faye replaces 633's lover-first by becoming his clandestine cleaning lady, then by becoming a stewardess herself. Like the primary pair of the first story, Faye and 633 sleep together innocently, but both 633 and Faye have some of the childlike qualities of 223; neither is as worldweary as the blonde.

Among these four couples, cross-references abound. 223 bumps into the blonde as he will later brush against Faye, triggering the second plotline. The blonde announces that she can't sleep, but after several drinks with 223 she passes out. 633 drinks lots of coffee and can't sleep, so Fave dissolves some sleeping pills in his bottled water to make sure he rests. Both stories invoke air travel: the blonde fails to load her drug-laden stooges onto the plane, 633 conducts an extended romance with a stewardess, and Fave becomes an air hostess at the end (Fig. RYM.1). Three of the four women are associated with music. The bargirl dances to sensuous reggae from the jukebox, Faye prefers the more innocent "California Dreaming," and the stewardess poses playfully to "What a Difference a Day Makes." Even shoes matter: Officer 223 scrubs the blonde's pumps, an

image that prefigures our views of the stewardess' pumps when she rides past 223's apartment on the escalator and of Faye's pumps when, now a stewardess herself, she pauses before the Midnight Express in the film's last scene.

Most of these motifs hint at different attitudes toward love. Nearly all the characters are hopelessly romantic. In quest of a date, 223 dials up every girl he has ever met, while 633 locks himself up at home and imagines his apartment crying, his towel sobbing, and his soap wasting away, all in sympathy for him. When Faye is introduced at Midnight Express—significantly, cleaning up she is presented as even more self-absorbed and otherworldly than the boyish cops. She listens endlessly to "California Dreaming," scrubs and sweeps to the wistful wail of a Cantopop version of the Cranberries' "Dreams," even apparently dreams that she is crashing 633's apartment.

If love is a soliloquy and a dream, it is also devotion: as Faye will spruce up 633's apartment, 223 dutifully wipes off the blonde's shoes while she sleeps. Love is loss but also hope. Both men will be abandoned once more, but each is rewarded with a teasing sign of affection. The blonde leaves a birthday message on 223's pager, while Faye dodges a date with 633 but a year later gives him hope by filling out a new boarding pass on a fresh napkin.

Above all, love is food. "It's heaven when you / find romance on your menu," sings Dinah Washington as 633 and the air hostess nuzzle in his



RYM.1 The air hostess and the future air hostess.

apartment. 223 waits a month for May to take him back, marking it not on a calendar but by the expiration dates on cans of pineapple-her favorite food, on which he will gorge the final night. While the blonde sleeps beside him, 223 eats four chef's salads. Officer 633 is introduced ordering chef's salads to take home to his stewardess girlfriend. The night he changes his order marks the moment their affair wanes, because, he claims, she now realizes that she has a choice. The avuncular owner of the Midnight Express urges the forlorn 223 to date his countergirl (another woman named May) and then her replacement, Faye; later he will urge 633 to forget Faye and take up May. The owner grants that each love, like each dish, is different, but one should broaden one's tastes. Try fish and chips, he urges, or pizza, or a hot dog.

The blonde woman states the point most baldly in her voice-over commentary on 223's mooning over May: "Knowing someone doesn't mean keeping them. People change. A person may like pineapple today and something else tomorrow." But each lovelorn cop treats his woman as irreplaceable. May is wrong, 223 suggests, to think of him as "no different from a can of pineapple." Officers 223 and 633, gulping down their canned fruit and sardines, are idealists. Each man is looking, in Wong's words, for the person to whom he can express his emotions, the one right dish on which he may dine indefinitely.

Love takes time too. As in all Wong Kar-wai films, the theme of time is prominent, but the romance angle enables *Chungking Express* to explore different ways in which time may be lived. A film centrally about flirtation is ideally suited for Wong's aesthetic of the glimpse. The principle is announced at the beginning, when the blonde woman flees a stalking camera and dodges behind a curtain just before the opening title interrupts our view. Faces are blocked by dark glasses or room clutter; compositions are fractured, or the camera pans away before we have fully registered an expression. Each main character in the second story is given one very brief shot in the first part. Intensifying this sense of ephemerality is the freeze-frame, capturing the elusive moments of affinity or revelation (Fig. RYM.2). More specific to this film is the use of slit-staging; at Midnight Express and various bars people are squeezed together to narrow and impede our vision (Figs. RYM.3, RYM.4). Yet the film also bores in on lived duration, lingering pensively as the characters do (Figs. RYM.5, RYM.6), allowing us to stare at the objects that sustain the characters' reveries. A can of pineapple or a bottle of beer can evoke love's disappointments.

The split structure helps Wong show how time shapes the vicissitudes of romance. Part one, with all its expiration dates and ominous digital clocks, is ruled by a single deadline. By May 1, 223's twenty-fifth birthday, he vows to reconcile himself to losing his girlfriend May. The same day is the blonde's deadline for consummating the drug operation (assigned to her by the bartender in the form of tins of sardines); after the deal collapses, May 1 becomes her deadline for escaping Hong Kong. So the first story condenses its action into a few days, from April 28 to the morning of May 1. Part two stretches over several weeks before providing an epilogue a year after 633's and Faye's



RYM.2 When 223 bumps into Faye, a freeze-frame initiates the second main plot.



RYM.3 Slit-staging at Bottoms Up as the drug dealer caresses his doxy.



RYM.5 Faye lounging and pining at Midnight Express.



RYM.4 Slit-staging at Midnight Express: Faye at work.



RYM.6 Faye mooning at California Café. (Compare Figs. 9.12, 9.13, and 9.15.)

aborted date. Without clocks or expiration stamps, the second story makes flight its master metaphor: the toy jet in 633's apartment, the plane that soars overhead, the imagined escape to California, the jokey ways in which the argot of airline travel becomes the language of love, and finally the two boarding passes Faye prepares for 633 ambivalent Dear John letters. The only deadline presented in the second story is the rain-soaked boarding pass, promising travel somewhere a year later.

Surely the two parts' different senses of time have a topical significance for Hong Kong: the looming deadline of 1997, the more undefined options of staying or emigrating or floating in between, like an airline attendant or an astronaut businessman. But again, these two conceptions of time are subjected to a plot which insists that the search for romance must adjust to changes on the menu.

"What a difference a day makes," sings Dinah, "twenty-four little hours." Although the song is played in the second tale, it could serve as a title for the first part. Officer 223 wants love fast and sudden, like a snack in an OK store or Midnight Express. Once May is gone, any woman he brushes against could be the One. 223 tries so hard to make the future happen that he invests each day with fateful significance. Will May finally accept him? Will he find romance with the blonde woman? The clock is ticking. By the end, when he gets the blonde's birthday message, he has a typical Wong Kar-wai epiphany. Happily letting himself be drenched by a spring shower ("I think it will rain," the woman had said), he clutches his heart and looks up. His voice intones that he'll remember her all his life: "If memories could be canned, would they also have expiration dates? If so, I hope they last for centuries." The future has become an

open-ended vista, thanks to a moment's kindness and the pleasures of reverie and recollection.

Officer 633's love life has none of the fraught urgency of 223's. 633 lives an apparently solid affair with his stewardess. Romance is always on his menu, a predictable round of sex charades and chef's salads. Then his woman leaves him. 633 will have to learn the lesson proclaimed in Dennis Brown's reggae song, "Things in Life," played in the first part: "It's not every day we're gonna be the same way / There must be a change somehow." Or, if 633 really listened to Faye's pick hit, the Mamas and the Papas' tale of abandoning brown leaves and gray skies for California, he would learn that a change is also a chance.

Both men linger over lost love. Officer 223 tries for a return to the status quo (recovering May), then an instant change (a date for the night, a new romance with the mysterious blonde). Officer 633, cut loose from his girlfriend, just drifts-hence the loose, episodic cycles of the film's second story. As his life spins slowly around his routines -pounding his beat, sipping strong coffee at the same food stall-Faye introduces tiny changes: new toothbrush mug, new bedspread, new sandals, new goldfish, and a snapshot of herself stuck to his mirror. All at once he notices them, and when he finally catches her remaking his apartmentthat is, the texture of his life-her devotion to the enterprise seems to make him realize that he might change. Packing up the stewardess's clothes, putting on the shirt Faye has left for him, he goes out to meet her and start fresh.

By now, though, their rhythms no longer mesh. Faye can be seen as a condensed version of the film's other women. She works to canned music as the bargirl does, she wears dark glasses and puts her hand to her chin like the blonde, and she becomes the new flight attendant in 633's life. Like the last two women, she is also committed to varying the menu and moving on. Her signature tunes are "California Dreaming" and "Dreams," in which the singer announces that her life is changing in "every possible way." Like the air hostess, Faye leaves 633 for the real California, as her song always promised she would. He sits in the California Café talking to his beer bottle and wondering if Faye, in her California, will remember their date. A year later, Faye belatedly keeps the appointment, waiting for him: "I wonder if he ever read my letter." Their out-of-synch affinities are marked by Wong's typical slurred, biplanar rates of motion (Fig. RYM.7).

Still, 633 has budged. He would not read the first hostess's letter to him, but after discarding Faye's message he rescues it from the rain. Drying it in a fast-food oven, he finds that she has picked up his flight metaphors, promising him another chance by leaving a boarding pass. His epiphany, like 223's, comes in the rain and is highlighted by parallel compositions (Figs. RYM.8, RYM.9).

The epilogue of the second story wistfully balances the end of the first. As 223 loosened up, cherishing his memories and accepting an indeterminate future, 633 embraces change. Earlier



RYM.7 California Café: As 633 inserts his coin, crowds hurry past him. (Compare Fig. 9.14.)



RYM.8 At the track, 223 reacts to his pager message.



RYM.9 At the fast-food shop, 633 reads Faye's note.

Faye invited him to come to California with her, but he was indifferent. When Faye returns a year later, she finds him running the Midnight Express (the previous owner "needed a change"), and he's renovating it.⁸ 633 is even listening to "California Dreaming," at the volume she had preferred. He explains, "It takes time to get used to things." At last he's ready for her. As she fills out the boarding pass on a napkin he volunteers to go "wherever you want to take me."

So Wong Kar-wai's characteristic preoccupation with time finds concrete embodiment in different attitudes to romance. Brutal sexuality, incarnated in the drug smuggler and his lusty bargirl, is sterile and ends in death. By contrast, four women show two rather innocent and obtuse men the need to accept the changes brought on by love. You can relax impetuous demands (Officer 223) and break out of mundane routines (Officer 633). Like the popular cinema out of which it comes, *Chungking Express* focuses on boys meeting, losing, and getting, or not quite getting, girls. But Wong revivifies the formula. Instead of tightening up the plot, he slackens it beyond even Hong Kong's episodic norm, letting a fine network of parallels and recurring motifs come forward. Deeply indebted to popular tradition, committed to a conception of light cinema, his confection nourishes all filmmakers who dream of movies that are at once experimental and irresistibly enjoyable.

10 | What Price Survival?

THE OWL OF MINERVA, Hegel said, flies at twilight. By the time you understand what's happening, it's already ending. This maxim well describes my spring 1995 visit to Hong Kong. I thought I was catching up with a flourishing creative community, and I was dazzled by the array of films and filmmakers I encountered. Under the surface, however, it was already in trouble. Years later, after I'd written a fair amount on Hong Kong cinema, people would ask, "How did 1997 affect filmmaking?" By then I had begun to understand that although some events of that year had an impact, the local industry had already begun a long slump. My sense of that slump was already recorded in the rather mournful ending of Chapter 3, but I couldn't have predicted the dramatic shifts of the 2000s.

Before the handover, many local filmmakers felt that Hong Kong could become China's premiere source of filmed entertainment. The Mainland had decrepit studios, aged technology, provincial standards of good cinema, and a commitment to stultifying propaganda. Jet Li, the only Chinese star from the Mainland with box-office power in the global market, had become identified with Hong Kong. In the new arrangement, some said, Hong Kong would be the big brother, the sophisticated partner. It had ties to foreign investment and boasted famous talent in front of and behind the camera. The pirate DVD market had woven Hong Kong films into Mainland popular culture. Once the wall came down, the former colony would have easy access to cheap labor, a marketplace that needed capital and entrepreneurs, and an audience of a billion people.

Things did not work out that way. Local cinema, already weakened before the handover, suffered from sudden crises and persistent internal weaknesses. It was outmaneuvered by the shrewd delays and decisions of the bureaucracy to the north. Hong Kong did not become the Hollywood of China, at least not in the way many had expected.

Two EVENTS OF 1997 seemed to announce the end of Hong Kong cinema. The handover of the British colony to Mainland China, promised in 1984, threatened to make the territory a mere satellite of its northern neighbor, whatever Beijing's promise to maintain "one country, two systems" for fifty years. In fact, nothing short or sharp happened to the film industry as a result. More immediately damaging was the financial recession that swept Asia at almost exactly the moment of the handover. The collapse of Thailand's *baht* triggered a cycle of declining currency values, rising interest rates, decreasing loans, and unemployment throughout Asia. The crisis staggered Malaysia, Indonesia, and South Korea. These were all Hong Kong cinema markets, and the crisis served to devalue payments for films in the pipeline.¹

But even before 1997 the regional market was at risk. For decades, the Hong Kong industry's profits came chiefly from regional distribution. In the early 1990s that market began to shrink. Taiwan and South Korea changed their quota policy after signing the General Agreeement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) in 1993. These populous countries became more hospitable to American films, especially with the global success of Jurassic Park (1993) and Speed (1994). In Korea by 1997, American imports climbed to over two hundred and fifty titles per year, while Hong Kong films had fallen to around forty. By 2003, only ten Hong Kong titles found theatrical release.² In Taiwan the landscape was even more bleak, with Hong Kong films dropping from a 47% box office share in 1992 to a mere 3% in 1999.³

Soon Hong Kong's centrality to Asian popular culture was challenged by South Korean media. Thanks to government incentives for investment and the emergence of a new generation of talent (who learned from Hong Kong as well as Hollywood), Korean blockbusters won their local audience and became popular across the region. (See Table 10.1.) Western fans of Hong Kong film began to turn their attention to Korea's romantic comedies (*Christmas in August*, 1999; *My Sassy Girl*, 2001) and sleek action pictures (*Shiri*, 1999; *Friend*, 2001). It did not hurt that many of the Korean New Wave films, notably *Lies* (1999), *The Isle* (2000), and *Oldboy* (2003) embraced extremes of sexuality and violence that Hong Kong had not attempted. More experimental pictures by Hang Sang-soo and Lee Chang-dong captured festival attention in Europe. Reinforcing Korea's reputation as a cutting-edge culture, the mid-2000s saw a pan-Asian craze for Korean pop singers and television shows.⁴

Regional distribution of Hong Kong films was also damaged by East Asia's rise as a world center of piracy.⁵ The shift to digital formats encouraged illegal copying, as VHS was replaced by the lowend VCD, and after 1997 the DVD. Cheap copies of Hong Kong movies (often manufactured in the People's Republic) jammed the stalls and shops of Beijing, Shanghai, Taipei, Seoul, Manila, Bangkok, and Kuala Lumpur. DVD bootlegging waned in the 2000s, but largely because it was easier simply to snag the film online. *House of Fury* (2003), a relatively minor production, underwent at least one million illegal downloads, 96% of them from outside Hong Kong.⁶ Manfred Wong's dictum still held good: Pirates had the best distribution system.

What of export opportunities outside the region? After *Crouching Tiger, Hidden Dragon* (2000) became the highest-grossing foreign-language film in U.S. history (\$128 million), it seemed that Hong Kong films might once more have good prospects in the world's most lucrative market. Early signs were promising. The 2001 U.S. release of *Iron Monkey* yielded a remarkable \$14.7 million, and in the same year *In the Mood for Love* earned \$2.7 million in a limited arthouse release. Jackie Chan and Jet Li guaranteed more sturdy returns, partly

because of their Hollywood careers. Their Chinese-language imports reliably yielded between \$20 million and \$55 million at the North American box office. But films featuring anyone else were risky. Stephen Chow's Shaolin Soccer, recut and dubbed in 2004, earned less than half a million dollars. In the following year Chow's Kung Fu Hustle brought in over \$17 million on several thousand screens, but that was a final surge. Kung Fu Hustle was the last Hong Kong film without Chan or Li to win significant theatrical exposure in the States. The condensed Red Cliff, opening in late 2009, played on fewer than fifty screens and earned only \$600,000 at the box office. European territories were a little more open to imports, but there, as in America, Hong Kong films were confined mostly to DVD and cable television.

At the same time, low-budget producers in the West continued to churn out their own versions of kung-fu and gangster sagas, often enhanced by

Table 10.1	The Hong Kong Industry and Its Rivals.
------------	--

	Hong Kong		China		Taiwan		South Korea	
	productions	audience (millions)						
2000	150	21.9	83	115	35	21.7	59	64.6
2001	126	20.8	71	120	17	19.6	75	89.4
2002	92	18.6	100	110	21	19.7	78	105.1
2003	77	17.5	140	117	10	16.8	70	113.3
2004	64	17.6	212	137.5	24	21.6	82	140.3
2005	55	16.8	260	157.2	17	22.1	82	140.3
2006	51	16.6	330	176.2	24	22.6	110	163.8
2007	50	19.4	402	195	30	20.2	124	157.5
2008	53	20.1	406	202.7	36	21.9	113	250.6
2009	51	20.8	456	263.8	32	22.8	139	156.8

Source: Screen Digest 2001–2010; Hong Kong Motion Picture Industry Association.

the growing powers of computer-generated imagery. Flamboyant gunplay, already domesticated in American films of the 1990s, persisted in the word-of-mouth hit Boondock Saints (2000) and in the enigmatically titled Ballistic: Ecks vs. Sever (2002). Since videogames often relied on kung-fu action, film adaptations of games turned naturally to martial arts stunts, from Resident Evil (2002) to Street Fighter: The Legend of Chun-Li (2009). French producer-director Luc Besson proved an especially adept packager of Asian action. He launched a series of English-language pictures featuring Jet Li (starting with Kiss of the Dragon, 2001) and employed Yuen Kwai as choreographer, both for Li projects and for the Transporter films (2002, 2005).⁷ At the limit lay the outrageous Crank (2006) and its sequel (2009), whose brutal violence, videogame graphics, and lurid compositions and color schemes gave a comic-book spin to Asian techniques.

On a higher budget level, the *Matrix* trilogy (1999–2003) blended martial arts and floating gun battles, while the *Charlie's Angels* diptych (2000, 2003) used the image of warrior women to inflate a TV staple. Tarantino's "two-volume" *Kill Bill* (2003, 2004) paid more explicit tribute to the martial arts tradition by flaunting the Shaw Bros. widescreen logo in the very first shot.

By the mid-2000s, any extended fight in a Hollywood blockbuster was likely to involve high kicks and midair combat. Yuen Woo-ping, action choreographer for *The Matrix, Kill Bill*, and some Besson productions, became known as the man to give your film some Hong Kong panache. In *Batman Begins* (2005) the caped crusader gained a sifu, and even the warriors of *The Lord of the Rings* seemed to have studied some martial arts. Why bother to import Hong Kong action films when their look and feel had been so fully assimilated by Western movies?

FILMMAKERS WERE FORCED back to the local market. But that market was steadily collapsing. Annual movie attendance plunged from around fifty million admissions in the early 1990s to about twenty million at the end of the 2000s. (See Table 10.2.) Total box office receipts fluctuated more, largely because of increases in ticket prices, but across the period Hong Kong films were getting a smaller share of the revenues. (See Table 10.3.)

Market share dropped from 1994 onward. By 1997, local productions garnered less than half of box-office receipts, and by the end of the 2000s that share had dropped to about a fifth. Fewer and fewer local films won a place among the top ten releases. The aftermarket suffered even more drastically. Local video rentals fell from \$60 million in 1993 to \$7 million in 1999.⁸

Why? For one thing, video piracy was at least as brisk in Hong Kong as elsewhere. When pirates shifted from discs to downloads in the early 2000s, Hong Kong was utterly unprepared; it had no legislation forbidding online piracy. The territory had one of the highest penetrations of broadband connections in the world, and it became the capital of music copying.⁹ As download speeds increased, pirating films became easy. In the case of *House of Fury*, 40,000 downloads were tracked back to Hong Kong; the number was significant because the film had only 220,000 theatrical admissions.

The downturn in theatrical admissions can also be traced to changing leisure patterns. As in other countries, the expansion of cable and satellite television and the emergence of videogames drew customers away from movies. There was probably a change in taste too: many viewers had become more committed to American movies. Partly this was a result of the worldwide multiplex initiative, which brought middle-class consumers into theatres and offered a moviegoing experience on the U.S. model, complete with popcorn. But the nature of the imported films contributed as well. As we've seen, throughout the decades, Hong Kong audiences had been loyal to the local product, spurning big-budget imports to a surprising

Table 10.2Hong Kong: 1977–2009 Local Releasesand Attendance.

	films released	attendance (million) all theatrical films
1977–1989	100–156/yr	59–65
1990	120	50
1991	125	51
1992	201	47
1993	234	45
1994	112	35
1995	131	28
1996	98	22.2
1997	84	27
1998	83	21.5
1999	149	18.6
2000	150	21.9
2001	126	20.8
2002	92	18.6
2003	77	17.5
2004	64	17.6
2005	55	16.8
2006	51	16.6
2007	50	19.4
2008	53	20.1
2009	51	20.1

Source: *Screen Digest; Hong Kong Panorama 1999–2000*; Hong Kong Motion Picture Industry Association.

extent. Yet in the 1990s, like their counterparts elsewhere, many viewers were won over by Hollywood's new commitment to superproductions, from *Titanic* (1997) to 2012 (2009). These behemoths offered spectacle on a scale that no other countries could match. The top local box-office attractions—the *Harry Potter* and *Lord of the Rings* franchises, the Pixar entries, the *Pirates of the Caribbean* and *Spider-Man* installments, *The Dark Knight* and *Avatar*—showed that Hong Kong audiences had joined the global obeisance to the blockbuster.

The box-office downturn was driven by other conditions specific to the territory. Most dramat-

ic was the 2003 outbreak of the respiratory disease SARS, which probably affected attendance less than it did the tourist trade and city morale. Michael Curtin has pointed out a longer-term structural factor: Distributors, worried about piracy, began to compress release windows for video. Sometimes a film would appear on DVD or on cable television merely a week or two after its theatrical opening.¹⁰ Audiences realized that they could soon watch the film at their convenience and stayed away from theatres.

During the same years, many of the most prominent talents left. While his home industry languished, John Woo found Hollywood success

 Table 10.3
 Box Office Receipts for Hong Kong Films 1987–2009.

	annual box office (US\$)	receipts for local releases (%)	amount for local releases (million US\$)	number of local films in top ten
1987–1993	144–180	67–78	96–140	8-10
1994	120.8	62	113	8
1995	174	57	95	8
1996	158	53	79.5	5
1997	149	47	70	6
1998	122.7	43	52.8	3
1999	130	33	42.9	5
2000	123.6	43	53.1	6
2001	132.6	40	53	5
2002	116.4	40.5	47	4
2003	111.2	47	52.3	6
2004	122.4	44.5	54.5	4
2005	116.3	31	36.1	2
2006	116.5	21	24.5	2
2007	128.9	22.5	29.0	2
2008	140	23	32	2
2009	152.4	20.7	31.6	1

Source: Screen Digest; Hong Kong Motion Picture Industry Association.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 10

million. That led to the Rush Hour series (1998, 2001, 2007) and comic adventure films with Luke Wilson (Shanghai Noon, 2000; Shanghai Knights, 2003). Chan dropped his longtime manager in 2003 and signed with William Morris in Los Angeles. His success allowed him to move between local projects and international English-language productions, returning to Hollywood for his 101st film The Karate Kid (2010). But Chan's films became increasingly irrelevant to local cinema. His Western outings never caught on there, and his Hong Kong films played to steeply declining audiences. This didn't prevent him from founding a branded mini-empire: a cartoon series, two film companies, a talent management firm, stakes in other film companies, a chain of restaurants and coffee shops, a gymnasium franchise, and a line of leisure clothing. While making large donations to charities and educational institutions, and investing in environmentally friendly technology like Segway, he seemed insatiable in his zeal for endorsing products, from air conditioners to shampoo-a habit that became something of a local joke.¹¹

with Broken Arrow (1996), Face/Off (1997), and

Mission: Impossible II (2000). America imported

other directors: Ringo Lam (Maximum Risk, 1996;

Replicant, 2001), Tsui Hark (Double Team, 1997; Knock Off, 1998), Stanley Tong Gwai-lai (Mr.

Magoo, 1997), Peter Chan (The Love Letter, 1999),

and Ronnie Yu Yan-tai (Warriors of Virtue, 1997;

Bride of Chucky, 1998; Formula 51, 2001; Freddy vs.

Jason, 2003). Had they stayed in Hong Kong,

some of these directors would have probably fos-

Star power was draining away as well. After try-

ing since the 1980s, Jackie Chan finally found his

niche as America's favorite Chinaman with Rum-

ble in the Bronx (1995), which grossed over \$32

tered successful projects.

Less successful abroad was Chow Yun-fat. In the 1980s he was practically a one-man movie industry, sometimes starring in ten or more releases per year. He became more selective in the early 1990s, but he remained one of the territory's top stars. Leaving for Hollywood at the height of his popularity, he found work in a couple of routine policiers before making his most high-profile Western film, Anna and the King (1999). His performance in Crouching Tiger, Hidden Dragon of the following year marked his return to Chineselanguage filmmaking. Again, there seems little doubt that Chow's absence and Chan's divided commitment were major setbacks for the industry. In 2003, the moviemaking community lost two more of its biggest attractions-Leslie Cheung, a suicide, and Anita Mui, a cancer victim.

Stars who stayed worked hard. Andy Lau, Tony Leung Chiu-wai, Tony Leung Kar-fai, and Lau Ching-wan became the most prominent leads in high-budget films. Cantopop kings Jacky Cheung, Leon Lai, and Aaron Kwok continued to find leading roles as well. They were flanked by a roster of excellent character actors like Eric Tsang, Anthony Wong, and Francis Ng. A younger generation of second-line players surfaced as well, like comedians Chapman To Man-chat, Cheung Tat-ming, and Sam Lee Chan-sam.

But where was the next generation of stars? Ekin Cheng and Jordan Chan, of the *Young and Dangerous* series, lost some of their lustre. A few serious actors emerged, notably Nick Cheung Kafai, but on the whole the industry continued to recruit teen idols, models, and pop singers. Taiwanese singer Richie Ren Xian-Qi graduated from *jeune-premier* roles to more adult parts in films by Johnnie To and Dante Lam Chiu-yin. Louis Koo Tin-lok was a model and MTV karaoke singer before entering television acting and singing. Miriam Yeung Chin-wah was a singing star whose romantic comedies gave her cachet as an awkward, unconventional-looking heroine with whom "OLs" — "office ladies" — purportedly identified. At the top of the heap was Sammi Cheng Sau-man, an elegant porcelain-skinned diva who followed Madonna in daringly remaking her image for each album. Thanks to songs, movies, and cosmetics endorsements. Sammi Cheng was judged the highest-paid female entertainer of the early 2000s. Working with top male stars, she was often paired with Andy Lau in concerts and films.

For a time producers brought in "ABCs"-"American-born Chinese" (although many hailed from Canada). After Daniel Wu Yin-cho graduated from the University of Oregon he went to Hong Kong on a vacation in 1997. "The Handover was cool. I saw Grace Jones and Boy George perform in one night at a really fucking huge rave. It was way nuts."12 After picking up modeling work, Wu was noticed by a film director. Other ABCs included Christy Chung Lai-tai, Francois Yip Fong-wa, Theresa Lee Yee-hung, and Edison Chen Koon-hei. Another member of the group was Nicholas Tse Ting-fung, born in Hong Kong but educated in Vancouver. Domestic or foreignborn, most young stars lacked the distinctive faces of Jackie Chan and Sammo Hung Kam-bo and Anita Mui, Chow Yun-fat and Simon Yam and Brigitte Lin.

The big production companies created talentmanagement units, which signed young singers and actors and put them to work for other branches of the company. The Emperor Entertainment Group made a star of Tse by taking him from singing to cinema. It did the same, more boldly, with Twins, a pair of (unrelated) twinkly young women. Gillian Chung Yan-tung and Charlene Choi Cheuk-yin had had minor film careers before EEG packaged them as a duo. As singers, Twins won devotees across Asia with hit tunes like "The Boy in the Girls' School." They moved to roles in youth pictures before headlining *The Twins Effect*, the second-highest grossing local film of 2003 (Fig. 10.1).

Sammi Cheng tried to be edgy, including bits of porn-film tracks in one song's final mix, but Chung and Choi went for a clean image. Relentless publicity cast them as girls who loved cookies, dogs, and the same pop music their fans did. They cut albums of children's songs. One of their managers explained, "Parents have allowed the Twins to become idols for their kids because they have such a nice image."¹³ Less guardedly, Gillian Chung remarked, "I guess it is all a game. Just sometimes we can't see what is happening because we are just the pieces on the board. We are not the players."¹⁴

The implausibility of the new stars' wholesome image was eventually brought home to the public. In 2008, after Edison Chen took his PowerBook to a repair shop, technicians found hundreds of photos documenting bedroom romps with starlets, including Gillian Chung and Nicholas Tse's wife. (Remarkably, in shots of himself Chen exudes a weary boredom.) The pictures hit the internet, Chen fled Hong Kong, and soon both he and the errant Twin apologetically announced their withdrawal from show business.¹⁵

From 1993, then, Hong Kong faced the collapse of the regional market, new levels of piracy, narrowing windows, the emigration of talent, and the absence of new superstars. Combined, these factors shrank consumer demand. Movie production fell off sharply. Granted, the number of 1990s releases had been artificially high. One weakness of the industry was its reckless overproduction, driven by video output deals and the rise in Category III erotic films. Nonetheless, the slump was dramatic. Table 10.2 shows a bumpy plunge from a high of 234 theatrical releases in 1993 to fewer than a hundred in 1996-1998. During the first half of the 2000s, Hong Kong output fell further, from 126 in 2001 to 64 in 2004.

A few films found success. During the 1990s, the local and regional hits came principally from Jackie Chan and Stephen Chow. Their films, sometimes released at the pace of two or three a year, routinely gained between US\$4 million and \$7.4 million at the local box office and did well overseas. While Chan took up a Hollywood career, Chow continued with *King of Comedy* (1999) and the mega-hit *Shaolin Soccer* (2001).

Veteran directors such as Ringo Lam and Tsui Hark stumbled at the box office in the post-handover years, but less heralded filmmakers gained a chance at bat. *Needing You*, the number one local film of 2000, was the first of Johnnie To's romantic comedies featuring Andy Lau and Sammi Cheng. Andrew Lau provided 1998's top-grosser with *The Storm Riders*, Hong Kong's first extensive foray into special-effects fantasy. Lau returned to the forefront more spectacularly with *Infernal Affairs* (2002), a clever crime film driven by a clockwork plot and a stellar cast. Combined with its pair of 2003 sequels, the *IA* franchise took in US\$14 million in Hong Kong alone, a stunning sum that seemed to prove that the right chemistry could restore some of the industry's energy.

That did not happen, any more than the European and American triumph of *Crouching Tiger*, *Hidden Dragon* ignited a worldwide craze for more *wuxia pian*. Despite its casting of local stars Michelle Yeoh and Chow Yun-fat, Ang Lee's film



10.1 Gillian Chung and Charlene Choi battle a plague of vampires and CGI in *The Twins Effect*.

was only a moderate performer in Hong Kong. In China its release was delayed so long that pirate DVDs swamped the market. True, *Crouching Tiger* was a Hong Kong coproduction, guided by Bill Kong Chi-keung of Edko Films, but it was from the start an American initiative, as part of Sony's emerging interest in funding and distributing Asian productions that could travel to the West. (Two less rewarding efforts were Tsui Hark's *Time and Tide* and Kitano Takeshi's *Brother*, both 2000.)

Lee's \$15 million film did provide a new recipe for coproductions: piece together international financing, blend talent from several Asian countries, and set up global distribution at the start.¹⁶ In the early 2000s, the average Hong Kong film had a budget of US\$1-2 million, but some filmmakers decided to raise the ante along Crouching Tiger lines. Michelle Yeoh, out of retirement and a hot star after Crouching Tiger and a stint as a Bond girl, joined with Thomas Chung Choi-Sze, formerly an executive at Media Asia, to form Han Entertainment. The first Han production was a mystical quest adventure called The Touch (2001), starring Yeoh. Daringly, its primary language was English, and it was budgeted at US\$20 million drawn from Hong Kong, Taiwan, China, and America. The film did not do well worldwide. although Chung claimed that it recouped its costs through presales to Miramax.¹⁷ When the \$15 million followup, Silver Hawk (2004), performed even more feebly, Han Entertainment closed down. Still more risky was Jackie Chan's Highbinders, at \$35 million the most expensive production in Hong Kong history. Troubled from the start, with its American backer Columbia forcing the original director to be fired, the film was eventually released as The Medallion. It grossed only \$34.2 million worldwide.18

Clearly, the *Crouching Tiger* recipe was not working for what were essentially revivals of 1980s adventure tales revolving around one action star. The model had a better chance when China became a partner in the proceedings. Decision-makers there used the coproduction strategy to fuel a cycle of costume pictures based on historical sagas and palace intrigue. Luxuriant production values would put the money on the screen, and Hong Kong stars would join an international ensemble cast.

As the industry declined after 1993, companies identified most fully with Hong Kong film were fading from the scene. Shaws had withdrawn almost completely from cinema production but was planning a ten-acre production facility that would open in 2005. Its brand was kept alive through the restoration of classics distributed on DVD and satellite television across Asia. Cathav reentered exhibition in Singapore and Malaysia and started restoring its own library for television. At Golden Harvest, the 1998 death of Leonard Ho, Raymond Chow's less visible but equally powerful partner, seemed the end of an era. The company's losses led to a reorganization with the aid of Australian money and production tailed off, ceasing in 2003.19 The company focused on acquiring and building theatres in Taiwan and China.²⁰

For decades, fans could identify companies by their distinctive credit logos: the tinny trumpets that accompanied the SB shield for "Another Shaws Production"; the kettledrums, followed by an electronic sizzle, that heralded the four almost identical rectangles of the Golden Harvest emblem. I have known audiences to cheer those logos. But we saw them less and less. Instead we got bland CGI indicia for new companies. What sort of firms would get into moviemaking just when the market was plummeting?

China Star had been an independent production company since 1984, with major successes like God of Gamblers (1989) and Fight Back to School (1991) to its credit. As the regional theatrical market dried up, China Star focused on making local hits, such as the Johnnie To-Andy Lau-Sammi Cheng comedies. Just as important, Michael Curtin has shown, was the decision of the boss, Charles Heung Wah-keung, to expand video distribution in China. Heung's policy was to release the DVD edition on the Mainland on the same day that the film was released theatrically in Hong Kong, and at a price only a little higher than a bootleg. "We have to beat the pirates to the customers."21 From 2000 to 2004, China Star produced between nine and thirteen titles each year, most of which it distributed as well.

Media Asia began in 1994 when seven executives left Star Television to create a company handling rights to Star's movie catalogue. But new projects were needed to maintain the distribution network, so Media Asia launched a film fund to share risk over several low-budget projects in production. The success of I Have a Date with Spring (1994) helped establish the company, and some years later a large cash infusion from the conglomerate eSun encouraged expansion. Two powerful executives, Thomas Chung and then Peter Lam Kin-ngok, steered Media Asia into the 2000s by emphasizing marketing, young stars in Pan-Asian projects, and action films that could travel to the West's ancillary markets (Purple Storm, 1999; Gen-X Cops, 1999). Under Lam, the company made fewer films per year than China Star did, but these tended to be hits, such as Elixir of Love (2004) and The Magic Kitchen (2004). The Infernal Affairs trilogy (2002-2003) became the model of a successful franchise and gave Media Asia worldwide prominence. The company

also engaged in big-budget coproductions with China. Throughout the 2000s, Media Asia was probably the most visibly successful film firm in Hong Kong.²²

The other major firm, Emperor Motion Picture Group, was a subsidiary of a large conglomerate with holdings in jewelry, real estate, hotels, and the press. Establishing a music group in 1999, the company moved to film in 2000, launching its movie efforts with the ill-fated Medallion. Gordan Chan Ka-seung, who had directed films for both Media Asia and China Star, served for a time as Emperor's CEO, seeking to create a pan-Asian distribution network. He promised involvement in up to twenty-six films, but this proved far too optimistic. The company shifted more easily into distribution than production, and its tentpole projects were Jackie Chan vehicles (New Police Story, 2004; The Myth, 2005; Rob-B-Hood, 2006) and music-movie crossover acts like Twins.

These companies deployed a mixture of strategies in coping with the shriveled film market. They exploited video rights, worked as best they could with China, and allied with powerful figures; Jackie Chan had financial interests in both Media Asia and Emperor. The firms pushed pop musicians to cross over to film, aiming at local fans and diasporan Chinese overseas. They collaborated with US investors (Emperor's Medallion was a coproduction with Miramax), and they tried to peg Hong Kong films to international standards. Media Asia, one executive remarked, wanted to provide "all the ingredients you expect from a Hollywood film."23 Comparable strategies were deployed by more minor production/distribution companies, such as Gold Label, Mei Ah, Gold Typhoon, Universe, Mandarin, and Panorama. If these companies did not always flourish, at least they kept going in what had become an arid climate.

Hong Kong public agencies and professional groups tried to take survival measures. A government film fund was announced in 1999, although no money was forthcoming for some years. Filmart, an annual film market and trade show, was established in 1997, but initially it attracted little international interest. Some enterprising producers launched regional projects. Peter Chan founded his Applause company as a pan-Asian enterprise. Hong Kong could, he suggested, become known "not as the Chinese film capital but as an Asian film capital. It will become like Europe, where you can't always tell which film is French, Italian, or English. Think Il Postino being directed by a British guy."²⁴ Applause productions found some success mixing stars and directors from Korea, Hong Kong, and other countries. Hollywood also entered the game, with Sony Asia initiating several projects, most notably Crouching Tiger. But the big question was China. When would it fully open its market to Hong Kong films?

IN THE MID-1990s, it seemed that China desperately needed Hong Kong cinema. The studio system of production was in ruins. The propaganda films celebrating triumphs in the history of Chinese communism drew small audiences. With the growth of television ownership and film piracy, theatrical admissions dropped stupendously, from over sixteen billion in 1990 to three billion in 1994.²⁵ By the end of the 1990s attendance was even lower, hovering between 120 and 140 million, and 70% of features failed to cover their production costs.²⁶

The government was forced to institute some controlled countermeasures. State funding would flow into "big-theme" or "main melody" nationalpolicy films (*zhuxuanlü*), while more commercial projects would be financed through independent investment. To keep people coming to the theatres, foreign films were admitted. In 1993, a policy permitting ten imports per year was instituted, and in 1999 the quota was raised to twenty. Imports were contracted under either a flat-fee arrangement or a revenue-sharing scheme. The latter



10.2 An early set at Hengdian for *The Opium War*.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 10

allowed the foreign company around 15% of the box-office income. Ticket prices averaged about ten cents, so Western companies hardly got rich on the arrangement, but they wanted access to what would some day be a lucrative market.

The Fugitive (1994), True Lies (1995), Titanic (1997), and other blockbusters saved exhibition from collapse. With Hollywood films reviving the industry, China began to build a cinema infrastructure.²⁷ In 1996, Xie Jin wanted big sets for his handover-celebration film The Opium War (1997), and a former silk factory in the small city of Hengdian was converted to a studio (Fig. 10.2). Therafter the facility received millions in funding, from both the local government and investors encouraged by special tax incentives. The result was an 815-acre compound containing two sound stages and thirteen outdoor sets. It boasted dynastic palaces and a life-size Forbidden City that filled 100 acres. Hengdian World Studios, the largest studio facility in the world and a major tourist destination, became the most visible emblem of China's new ambitions.28

Since the country was drastically short of screens, American companies were permitted to erect modern multiplexes, with controlling interests remaining in Chinese hands. Investment in theatres poured in from Japan and South Korea as well. Over the same period the government moved to centralize distribution. In 1998, six state-owned film companies merged to create the China Film Group Corporation, a conglomerate that would coordinate all film, television, and home video business. It was a vertically integrated combine, involved in production, distribution, exhibition, technology, and investments in subsidiaries and joint ventures. For some years it also controlled all film imports.²⁹

Private and semi-private conglomerates sprang up. The Huavi Brothers Media Corporation, which began as an advertising agency, was a pioneer in privatized film production in the 1990s and became the largest private media company in the country. The very large China Poly Group, a horizontally integrated conglomerate, got into media through film production, cable and satellite television, and theatre circuits. The Hengdian studio set up its own production and financing group as well. At the same time, filmmakers were now independent of studios and could hunt for private capital. Some directors, notably Feng Xiaogang, had popular hits. The market turned a corner in 2001-2002, when domestic box-office revenues jumped from US\$77 million to \$109.9 million.

Zhang Yimou's *Hero* (2002) showed dramatically how far Mainland filmmaking had come in a decade. Backed by the US firm Miramax and featuring Hong Kong and PRC players, *Hero* made use of the *Crouching Tiger* model of transnational finance and staffing. But it was mounted on a much grander scale, displaying vast sets and hordes of extras filling the Hengdian studio (Fig. 10.3). *Hero* grossed nearly \$180 million worldwide, with a third of that coming from the US. The most internationally lucrative PRC film in history, it announced that China had become a major player on the world cinema scene.

Meanwhile Hong Kong cinema languished. Nothing seemed to have changed since 1997. In China, Hong Kong products still counted as foreign imports and were thus subject to the annual quota. The clutch of imported films were dominated by Hollywood blockbusters. In 2000, one writer estimated that each year only three Hong Kong films were admitted that weren't coproductions.³⁰ Only coproductions could be considered domestic films instead of imports, but such ventures brought their own constraints. Films had to be set on the Mainland and involve Mainland subjects. In addition, both imports and coproductions had to submit to government censorship. Horror, eroticism, and the glorification of crime all Hong Kong specialties—were forbidden.

China still had problems, but the industry had built the foundations of a popular cinema. It had put in place a centralized production facility, a variety of investment channels, and a new structure of monopolistic and bureaucratic control. By delaying Hong Kong integration long enough to establish a structure of control, it could dictate terms to its desperate little brother. Hong Kong talent and funding would help the PRC grow even more.

The crucial year was 2003. That was when China instituted the Closer Economic Partner Arrangement. The essential purpose of CEPA was to integrate Hong Kong business activity into China's economy, and audiovisual products formed one of the target sectors. The relevant provisions took effect in 2004 and they were revised, usually broadened, over the following years.

Under the terms of CEPA, Hong Kong films and television programs could be imported into China on a quota-free basis. Cantonese-language media could be circulated in nearby Guangdong—a "domestic turf," a Media Asia executive called it, of seventy million people.³¹ Demands on coproductions were relaxed, with no restrictions on the number of creative personnel from Hong Kong. Stories no longer had to be set in the PRC, a huge benefit for Hong Kong productions. Footage from coproductions no longer had to be processed and printed on the Mainland.

Other realms of the industry were liberalized as well. Hong Kong companies could set up Mainland firms to distribute Mainland-produced movies. Initially, companies were permitted to build, renovate, and operate theatres on a joint-venture basis with Mainland firms; in a later revision, Hong



10.3 A torrent of arrows assails Jet Li in *Hero*. By now the Hengdian facility had huge sets made more impressive by digital effects.

Kong companies could wholly own cinemas.³² In all domains of the industry, the new rules allowed overseas investors to use Hong Kong companies as a base for entering the Chinese market.

At the same time, CEPA measures were always "subject to approval by the relevant authorities." In the case of imported films, that approval entailed censorship. In the realm of distribution and exhibition, approval required companies to comply with supervisory departments in the relevant city or province. Moreover, China had no rating system, so filmmakers would be constrained by demands that they make films appropriate for all audiences. But such problems seemed minor now that Hong Kong companies had preferential access to a market of over a billion people.

The prospect of building theatres attracted investors; real estate in the growing cities of China promised endless returns. Hong Kong companies had already invested in Mainland exhibition, but CEPA spurred a building boom. Since the new arrangement also permitted non-Chinese companies to share some cities' multiplex business, Japanese and South Korean firms joined the land rush. By the end of 2009, one new screen was opening every day, and the pace was picking up. China was already the world's secondbiggest territory for digital screens and for Imax installations.³³

CEPA's promise extended as well to local productions. "There have been many Hong Kong films," producer Nansun Shi remarked, "including social dramas and our 'cops-and-robbers' films, that have great production values but are too small to qualify as revenue-sharing imports and can't be made as coproductions because they're set in Hong Kong. These can all be imported into China now."³⁴ Most such films would in fact soon be made as coproductions; even a romance or an action film set wholly in Hong Kong would usually have some Mainland participation. A filmmaker who wanted to go beyond low-end Hong Kong budgets could hardly resist a coproduction offer. But that meant submitting to new pressures.

Censorship loomed large. The Hong Kong film community lobbied China to set up a ratings system, but it seemed that the northern bureaucrats were well aware that once a category was set aside for violent and sexy films, a lot of violent and sexy films would be made. For a time, Hong Kong filmmakers completed two versions of a picture, one for local consumption (and international video distribution), and one for the Mainland. The Mandarin-language version of Johnnie To's Breaking News (2004) did not start with the single-take shootout that Western cinephiles have come to admire. A new prologue established our heroes as conscientious cops, so the shooting spree could not be seen as their fault. Derek Yee prepared two versions of One Nite in Mongkok (2004), while Infernal Affairs (2002) had to tack on an ending that showed that a corrupt police officer did not escape punishment. Most notably, the Category III film Naked Ambition (2003), a comedy centered on the porn-film industry, replaced the sex scenes of the original with scenes of a PRC policewoman investigating the two heroes. Chinese authorities grew wise to the game and soon insisted that jointly produced films had to create a single version for release in Hong Kong, the Mainland, and overseas.

Besides censorship, there were problems with release patterns. To thwart piracy, Hong Kong films had to be opened day-and-date in China as well. But the China Film Group, the other major distributor Huaxia, or state authorities could change a release date at will. They often postponed Hollywood and Hong Kong films when they wanted to fill theatres with "main melody" patriotic films during key national holidays. These blackout periods were also used to bolster attendance at flagship coproductions. Such selective booking could delay a film and allow the pirates time to flood the market with DVD copies.

With the growth of coproductions, moreover, filmmakers worried about the loss of a local flavor. Would many of the memorable films of the 1980s or 1990s have been made under the rules China now laid down? No *Front Page* (too much mockery of Mao) or *Chinese Ghost Story* (too superstitious) or *Happy Together* (too erotic) or *Untold Story* (too....well, everything). Who would pay attention to Hong Kong film now if its major talents were committed to clones of the swordand-shield war pictures and palace intrigues epitomized in Zhang Yimou's *House of Flying Daggers* (2004) and *Curse of the Golden Flower* (2006)? The new arrangement would wipe out what had made Hong Kong films unique since the 1960s.

Surprisingly, CEPA did not increase Hong Kong's feature output. In fact, releases fell to between 50 and 55 per year in the late 2000s. In effect, CEPA created two tiers of filmmaking: very low-budget local films, made for less than US\$200,000, and bigger projects that had some funding from across the border. Mid-range films were squeezed out. The territory's attendance stabilized in the final years of the decade, to between 19 and 21 million annually, but this was not to the benefit of local films. Hollywood had about three-quarters of that market. At the same moment, video sales were falling, by some estimates by 70%.³⁵ More and more, filmmakers had to look toward the Mainland.

There market conditions were very different. China's film market was the fastest-growing in the world, perhaps in all of film history. Attendance was still surprisingly low given the population, but the growth in box-office receipts was impressive.³⁶

2003: US\$130 million 2004: US\$210 million 2005: US\$280 million

- 2006: US\$364 million
- 2007: US\$462 million
- 2008: US\$606 million
- 2009: US\$907.9 million

By October 2010, the year's box-office income had already surpassed a billion dollars and the projected total for the year was \$1.5 billion.³⁷ Compared to America, which generates \$9 to \$10 billion in ticket sales annually, the amount was moderate. But thanks to the surge in middle-class urbanites, China's market was now one of the top seven in the world outside the US, and unlike most others, it was growing. There were 160 cities with over a million population, and 80% of the nation's middle class were spread across 212 municipalities.³⁸ China was now in that enviable position enjoyed by very few countries in the modern era: A big-budget film could recoup the costs of production solely in its domestic market.

This great leap forward at the box-office was still dependent on American imports, but Chineselanguage films usually claimed half or more of the receipts. Partly this situation was due to a surge in PRC production. (See Chart 10.3.) Partly it was due to shrewd manipulation of imports and blackout periods. But market supremacy also owed a good deal to Hong Kong talent. Most of the topearning Chinese-language films in the PRC were coproductions, relying on directors and performers from the former colony.

The films that worked best were the star-filled costume spectacles (*dapian*). In the wake of *Hero*, two more by Zhang Yimou firmly set the pattern of recruiting Hong Kong stars. *House of Flying*

Daggers starred Andy Lau and Kaneshiro Takeshi, and Curse of the Golden Flower featured Chow Yun-fat and Jay Chou Kit-lun. Both films were backed by several PRC and Hong Kong companies and got wide European and North American distribution. Media Asia and Warner Brothers coproduced The Warlords (2007), with Jet Li, Andy Lau, and Kaneshiro Takeshi. Several China companies cooperated to bring out Three Kingdoms: Resurrection of the Dragon (2008), with Andy Lau, Sammo Hung, Maggie Q, and Vanness Wu Jian-hao. Kaneshiro was paired with Tony Leung Chiu-wai in John Woo's two-part saga Red *Cliff* (2008–2009). Donnie Yen, a martial arts star, gained greater prominence through his topline roles in battle-filled coproductions like Painted Skin (2008) and An Empress and the Warriors (2008). All these follow-ups to Zhang's trilogy were signed by Hong Kong directors.

Not all coproductions were costume pictures; the crime film Protégé and the romance Hooked on You were among 2007's coproductions. The \$8 million Invisible Target (2007) was produced by Universe (Hong Kong), PolyBona (PRC), and the Weinstein Company. Thanks to its Mainland input, Invisible Target went out on a very wide release of 500 prints there.³⁹ But the biggest-budget items tended to be "greater China films," combining talent from Hong Kong, the Mainland, and Taiwan to aim at all three markets. Peter Chan's musical Perhaps Love (2005) brought together Jacky Cheung, Mainland star Zhou Xun, and the ubiquitous Japanese-Taiwanese-Hong-Kong hybrid Kaneshiro Takeshi. Forever Enthralled (aka Mei Lanfang, 2008) was directed by Mainlander Chen Kaige and starred Leon Lai and Gillian Chung alongside Zhang Ziyi. Oddly, it was Hong Kong's official Academy Award submission, but it was

not considered sufficiently local to compete in the Hong Kong Film Awards.

These films, like most of the historical epics, did not receive significant distribution in Europe or North America. By the end of the 2000s, East Asia had become an integral market that could sustain regional blockbusters, and China had become that market's center.⁴⁰

AFTER THE CEPA regulations went into effect in 2004, the Hong Kong film community was roused from its torpor. The government began moving forward with a plan to help fund small-scale productions. The policy was overseen by the Film Development Council, a group of industry figures and business executives appointed in 2007. The scheme did aid a few small-budget productions, but some producers were reluctant to participate because the money injected was not a subsidy but an equity investment requiring profit participation. In addition, the policy gave the government copyright title in any films produced (although that provision was eventually relaxed somewhat). Several films of local appeal, such as the animated McDull Kung Fu Ding Dong (2009), Claustrophobia (2009), and The Break-Up Club (2010) received support. One Council-supported film that found outside acclaim was Echoes of the Rainbow (2010), which won a prize at the Berlin Film Festival.

Broader in its impact was the redefinition of Filmart, the annual market created by the Hong Kong International Film Festival. In 2005 the event was shifted to late March as part of an annual "Entertainment Expo" including the festival, the Hong Kong Film Awards ceremony, the newly established Asian Film Awards, and other events. Very quickly Filmart became a crossroads for the international film business. Each year hundreds of sellers and thousands of buyers, a great many from China, converged on this trade show. Conveniently slotted between the Berlin and Cannes festivals, Filmart became a hub for dealmaking. It also housed the Asian Film Financing Forum, which matched producers and investors with film projects from Chinese territories as well as from Iran, Thailand, South Korea, and Indonesia. After CEPA, Hong Kong became a gateway to China, and Filmart and the Forum smoothed outsiders' access to this precious market.

Naturally the new arrangement spurred the creation or recasting of companies. Hong Kong filmmakers had since the 1990s created new firms at the drop of a hat, but now there was the opportunity for significant Mainland backing. Peter Chan, who had founded Applause Pictures as an effort to produce films for a pan-Asian audience outside China, launched Cinema Popular with PRC money and declared, "For the first time we can finance and produce films for just one audience."41 Sundream Motion Pictures, owned by Hong Kong's leading cable television company, moved into filmmaking through coproductions such as the dapian Battle of Wits (2006) and Twins Mission (2007). Johnnie To and Wai Kafai's Milkyway company, founded a year before the handover, gained new strength from shrewd alliances across the border, inducing PRC firms to finance films with a distinctly, sometimes eccentrically, local tang.

Andy Lau had performed in over a hundred films across his career, and his product endorsements turned up in subway stations and bus shelters. Surprisingly, he also emerged as the new face of entrepreneurial Hong Kong film. Lau, ubiquitous in PRC coproductions, created his own production firm, Focus. While supporting Lau's own PRC collaborations (e.g., *A World without Thieves*, 2004), Focus was wide-ranging in its interests. It helped finance offbeat local projects (*Gallants*, 2010) and art films (*After This Our Exile*, 2006), as well as the surprise Mainland hit *Crazy Stone* (2006). Lau's company also sponsored a "First Cuts" project investing in six debut features by young filmmakers across Asia, with guaranteed theatrical and cable television screenings.⁴²

Perhaps even more unlikely was the transformation of Stephen Chow from a local comedian into an international star. His films, with roots deep in Hong Kong slang and mores, had built a cult following in the PRC; students memorized entire dialogues, and his catchphrases entered common speech. He had gained practice in coproduction strategies by selling the worldwide rights to *God of Cookery* (1996), *King of Comedy* (1999), and *Shaolin Soccer* (2001) to Miramax. No wonder Chow called his production company The Star Overseas.

Kung Fu Hustle (2004) was backed by Columbia Pictures' Asian unit, with coproduction support from the two biggest Mainland players, the stateowned China Film Group and the privately financed Huayi Brothers. *Kung Fu Hustle* cost US\$10 million and grossed more than \$65 million in Asia alone.⁴³ Released on 2500 screens in North America, it accumulated another \$17 million. The final theatrical reckoning put it at over \$100 million worldwide.

Some Hong Kong observers noted that *Shaolin Soccer*, set in a city resembling Shanghai, aimed to win the favor of the Mainland market. *Kung Fu Hustle*, whatever its links to Chinese and Hong Kong traditions, was designed as a "world film," offering less of Chow's vernacular humor and more cartoonish sight gags. The appeal of these two comedies did not carry over to Chow's children's film *CJ7* (2008), which failed outside Asia but still garnered nearly \$50 million, half of that at the PRC box office. While Andy Lau went for a high-output turnover, Chow released carefully considered projects at longish intervals. The question remained whether he would remain a regional wonder or break out once more onto the global scene.

Many of the new companies faded quickly or released far fewer films than planned. In the churn, however, there was a new energy and hope. The prospects of reaching the world's biggest, still undeveloped audience; new money flowing in from the PRC, Japan, Taiwan, Korea, and the US; the vast production and distribution opportunities that had recently been denied to Hong Kong filmmakers: these were powerful attractions. How could these new prospects not crowd aside something as elusive, as evanescent, as "recovering the traditional Hong Kong flavor"? Who would even notice if that flavor evaporated? Over twenty years the local audience had fled the cinemas, cheated the industry by buying pirated DVDs, and switched their loyalties to Hollywood. Why try to woo them back? One can't blame producers, directors, and stars for facing blunt facts. Hong Kong filmmakers, confessed a motion picture executive in 2009, "are almost not thinking about the Hong Kong market any more. The China market is so important that we can recoup our costs from China alone."44

WHAT, THEN, were the major creative options in the post-CEPA era? As often happens in popular cinema, filmmakers found ways to adopt some traditional genres to new demands. We can get a sense of the array of options by considering four films from late in the 2000s.

Most transparently ideological is *The Founding* of a *Republic* (2009), a two-hour-plus epic about the civil war that ousted Chiang Kai-shek's

Kuomintang and installed Mao's Communist regime. A vast "main-melody" project, it recalls Soviet classics like *October* (1927) in commemorating a political anniversary. The Chinese Communist Party's 1949 ascension to power is presented in a string of stiff but sumptuous tableaus —ceremonial meetings, back-room plotting, political assemblies that express The Will of The People (Fig. 10.4). These are interspersed with generic battle footage and aerial shots deploying video-game-level CGI. A circling and swooping camera, offscreen choirs, and other Hollywood tics are faithfully present. The moments when Mao steps down from his pedestal, as when he gets drunk and dances while celebrating Chiang's flight to Taiwan, come off as the usual efforts to show that great men have the common touch. But the ideological line is softened. To avoid alienating the Taiwanese audience, Chiang is treated mildly, his wife becomes sympathetic, and his son's cold calculation is somewhat modulated into misguided zeal. Other scenes praise Hong Kong's progressive role in the political struggle. In a gesture that identifies the film as post-Deng, Mao is even made to say, when he runs out of his favorite cigarettes, "We need the capitalists back." *The Founding of a Republic* was a China Film

Group release, with backing from several Beijing

and Shanghai companies as well as Media Asia and Emperor Classic. The leading Chinese release of the year, it briefly became the all-time box-office hit. It was stuffed with over 170 well-known actors, most in cameo roles (recalling the star walk-ons in Hollywood behemoths like *The Greatest Story Ever Told*). Several Hong Kong stars took part. Jackie Chan plays a reporter, while Donnie Yen is shown composing the lyrics for China's national anthem. Some of the biggest Hong Kong stars portray KMT figures, with Andy Lau as a general and Jet Li as an admiral; Leon Lai plays an officer who later joined the Communists. Like the participation of Hong Kong pop stars in the Beijing



10.4 *The Founding of a Republic*: A victorious Mao greeted by ranks of the populace.

Olympics celebration, the presence of these actors certified the film as a patriotic one-country, onesystem effort, while sending a clear signal that Beijing's version of history would prevail.

Alternatively, Hong Kong talent could concoct an independent project that suited the Mainland's taste for blockbuster costume pictures. After languishing in Hollywood, John Woo and his producer Terence Chang came up with Red Cliff (2008-2009), at US\$80 million said to be the most expensive Asian production of all time. According to Chang, it was financed wholly from private regional sources, including Japanese, South Korean, Taiwanese, and Mainland firms, along with Hong Kong's Emperor Media Group.45 Released under the auspices of the China Film Group, Red Cliff fits snugly into the Hero-Warlords cycle. It presents another Greater China cast, along with some Japanese talent. The genre's familiar features-gigantic interiors, stunning landscapes, restless camera, rousing score, slowmotion combats, showers of digital blood, overstrained CGI– are blended with Woo's signature theme of brotherhood arising from shared combat. "In this alliance," says one of his warriors at the end, "I found true friends."

Yet *Red Cliff* refreshes the genre formulas. It is, as few such military spectacles are, centrally about strategy. The overweening effort of prime minister Cao to subdue southern warlords comes



10.5 Red Cliff: Nature as inspiration.

down to concrete choices about where and when to fight. Land or sea? Flat or rugged terrain? The victory goes to the man in tune with nature. The serene battle architect Zhuge Liang can read the sky, the wind, and the living world around him. His duck-wing fan modestly announces his holistic martial strategy. In the whorls on a turtle shell he sees the geometry of a defensive maneuver that traps Cao's cavalry in a maze (Figs. 10.5-10.6). Doves have fluttered through Woo's work before, usually as glancing references to Christianity, but now Zhuge's white pigeon, in a virtuoso aerial shot, carries messages to a spy in Cao's camp. Studying the clouds, Zhuge can predict when the winds will sustain a fiery attack on the enemy fleet. The strategist's reward will be the horse he helped bring into the world.

Zhuge's grasp of the spirits is complemented by a character who understands human nature. Zhou Yu's wife Xiao Qiao, for whom Cao has lusted, visits the enemy camp with the goal of poisoning him. Cao's sexual jealousy and military arrogance exemplify the limits of human vanity. In the very first scene, he is revealed as harshly unnatural when he affrights the bird that is playing with the Emperor. Now, his legions crushed, Cao reflects that he never imagined he could he could lose because of a gust of wind or a cup of tea. Zhou replies: "You don't understand the will of Heaven."

Red Cliff proves that a modern PRC production can be satisfying in the manner of Hollywood roadshow spectacles of the 1950s. Part of the pleasure comes from the makers' strenuous efforts to summon up a panorama almost too good for the likes of us. You can't begrudge an emerging capitalist society its pleasure in entertainments we might consider lavishly middlebrow. Nor can you fault Hong Kong filmmakers for turning their idiosyncratic skills to non-blockbusters that also harmonize with the new climate. Such, for instance, is the strategy behind *Bodyguards and Assassins* (2009). Working with PolyBona and, inevitably, China Film Group, Peter Chan's Cinema Popular company came up with something far less



10.6 Red Cliff: A battle trap modeled on a turtle shell.

grandiose than *Red Cliff* and *The Founding of a Republic*, but the result marks out another creative opportunity in the new era.

Sun Yat-sen is revered by both the PRC and Taiwan as the thinker who led the overthrow of the Qing Dynasty. Bodyguards and Assassins posits that during his 1905 travels Sun made a day's stopover in Hong Kong to meet with provincial revolutionaries. The Empress, learning of his visit, sends out a team of fighters to eliminate him. The first stretch of the plot is organized around a pro-democracy newspaper in the colony, and it establishes the political factions and characters' relationships. The final forty minutes, virtually one long action sequence, is devoted to the final day. Sun's people must transport him from the pier to the meeting, distract the assassins with a double, and get Sun back to his ship. Snipers and swordfighters line their route, and the British authorities have vowed to look the other way.

The result is a classic ticking-clock assassination drama, seen from the standpoints of several locals who unite to protect Sun. Most are from the working class—street peddlers, a rickshaw man, a swordsman who has become an opium addict but two characters enact the classic arc of socialist conversion drama. A sympathetic but aloof bourgeois joins the cause when he sees the carnage pile up, while a dissolute laborer in the pay of the assassins switches sides and fights for the revolutionary cause. There is as well a Mainland woman whose father is an early victim of the hit team. As Sun's procession moves through the ambushes, the assassins pick off our heroes one by one. They have sacrificed themselves for a leader none of them ever sees.

In its parent-child conflicts, romantic courtship, and political quarrels among old friends the film supplies the sort of intimate backstories missing from *The Founding of a Republic*. It is an old-fashioned tale of political solidarity, updated with the gauntlet-running premise. The emotion-filled subplots give local actors opportunities to play against type: Donnie Yen as the redeemed street fighter,



10.7 *Bodyguards and Assassins*: Nicholas Tse as the servant who pulls the decoy rickshaw.

Leon Lai as the dissolute swordsman, and pop idol Nicholas Tse as a simple-minded but good-hearted servant (Fig. 10.7). The Mainland performer Wang Xue-qi, star of contemporary classics like *Yellow Earth* (1984) and *In the Heat of the Sun* (1994), adds to the prestige of the project as the merchant whose son puts himself in the line of fire. Producers Peter Chan and Huang Jianxin (co-director of *The Founding of a Republic*) are not above a bit of stunt casting, bringing in basketball star Mengke Bateer as a benevolent giant. The splashy fights, highlighted by Donnie Yen's brawl with a maniacal kickboxer, have the authentic Hong Kong touch. In all, it's a triumph of what Hong Kong filmmakers have long called "packaging."

Bodyguards and Assassins was a box-office hit in China and throughout Asia. Very expensive by Hong Kong standards, at a budget of US\$23 million it was still far removed from the megapicture that was *Red Cliff*. The film's pragmatic adjustment to new circumstances provides a more down-to-earth model of how an ideological message—the unity of Chinese of all classes behind a national hero—could be shaped to the norms of the historical kung-fu movie.⁴⁶

Those norms race at full throttle in *Connected* (2008), the local version of the lively American Bpicture *Cellular* (2004). Things have come to a pretty pass when Hong Kong is remaking a Hollywood movie with permission, but the remake's alterations follow the rule of Hong Kong inflation. We get more comedy, more action, and more sentiment. Here the woman held hostage is a single mother, and the innocent guy who gets her call is a harried single father who happens to be a triad debt collector (Louis Koo Tin-lok, disheveled). The kidnappers are an assortment of oversized international thugs led by a sinister Mainlander in dark glasses, and the hero's only ally is an

inquisitive young cop (Nick Cheung) who has been busted down to traffic detail. There's less social satire than in the Los Angeles version, but more sheer thrills, including an actual cliff-hanger and a climactic warehouse fight that beats the American denouement all hollow. Director Benny Chan, expert at tongue-in-cheek action sequences, conjures up several diverting moments, most notably a nonchalant glimpse of cars flipping over in the distance as our desperate hero tries to rescue Grace's daughter (Fig. 10.8). Naturally, the epilogue shows Bob and Grace about to start dating, while the cop is on the way to a promotion.

So far, so 1990s. But *Connected* turns out to be a tidy US\$6 million coproduction, involving Warner Bros. China, Emperor of Hong Kong, and the China Film Group.⁴⁷ The heavy is played with relish by PRC star Liu Ye (*Postmen in the Mountains*, 1999; *The Little Chinese Seamstress*, 2002), while Taiwanese actress Barbie Hsu Hsi-yuan portrays the mother, recently moved to Hong Kong from the Mainland. Despite their diverse origins, the people are indeed connected: Hong Kong is now a fully bilingual city. Character A speaks Putonghua, character B replies in Cantonese, and everybody understands perfectly. The two languages shape the plot as well, since a crucial clue depends on a gang member's too-perfect Cantonese.

The academic propaganda saga; the pan-Chinese costume blockbuster; the kung-fu film based on approved subject matter; the urban action picture treating Hong Kong as a cosmopolitan crossroads of Greater China-these are major options emerging for Hong Kong in the post-CEPA era. Familiar genres, stars, and storytelling techniques are recruited to handle new themes and subjects. True, there are the usual small-scale local projects, often aimed at teenagers and holiday filmgoers. A few other films, such as Vincent Chui Wan-shun's Dogme exercise Leaving in Sorrow (2001) and Patrick Tam's quietly adventurous After This Our Exile (2006), continue to slip into the festival circuit and overseas arthouse distribution. But on the whole the Hong Kong indus-



10.8 Offhand car crashes in Connected.

try's missteps, along with external pressures and the maneuvers of Mainland policy, changed its popular cinema. In the 2000s a new landscape emerged out of the haze, and ambitious filmmakers had to find their niches in it.

THAT LANDSCAPE HAD little room for old firms. Golden Harvest died a lingering death. Through the 2000s Raymond Chow sold off pieces of the company, chiefly theatre circuits. Another newlyminted Mainland company, Chengtian, gradually bought out the firm, until in 2007 at age seventyeight Raymond Chow resigned as Chairman and Executive Director. By 2009, Chow's key executives were gone and Chengtian renamed the company Orange Sky Golden Harvest. It announced that it would produce a few midsize films and coproduce and co-finance big-budget projects while investing in overseas productions. It also planned to build six hundred Mainland screens in three years.⁴⁸

As for Shaw Brothers, after video rights to its classic library were sold in 2000 to the Malavsian company Celestial, the negatives and prints for over six hundred titles were deposited in the Hong Kong Film Archive. Shaws' new studio complex, completed in 2008, offered five sound stages, and a full-service laboratory and post-production facility. But local productions tended to favor the lower-cost Hengdian facility on the Mainland. The company also opened a new state-of-the-art multiplex in a fashionable shopping mall. Certain houses in the complex featured Shaw Sound, a process which sent vibrations pulsing through each seat, so that a pistol shot could literally jolt the viewer. In 2007 Run Run Shaw began to think of retirement. After all, he had turned a hundred years old. But the financial crisis of 2008 made him cancel his plans to sell majority stake in Shaw

Brothers and TVB. As of this writing, he and his wife Mona Fong Yat-wah continue to oversee his family empire.

For all the vicissitudes of Hong Kong cinema in the post-handover period, however, the Golden Age continues to animate the culture. Bruce Lee, the locomotive of Golden Harvest, has provoked endless reappropriation. There are remakes of his classics (Legend of the Fist: The Return of Chen Zhen, 2010), films about his sifu (Ip Man, 2008, and its 2010 sequel), a project called Bruce Lee, *My Brother* (2010), and talk of a Bruce Lee museum that would attract tourists. Lee's daughter took charge of the brand and tried to launch new product lines, including a television biography that would run to fifty parts.49 Even Wong Kar-wai, soon after CEPA, announced an Ip Man project. Jackie Chan, another Golden Harvest mainstay, has been recycling his brand of kung-fu comedy for decades. The local hit Fantasia (2004) was Wai Ka-fai's retro revival of the personas of the Hui brothers and Josephine Siao Fong-fong's Plain Jane (Fig. 10.9). As for Shaws, decades of sequestering its classic titles ended with a generous opening-up of the studio vault. Hundreds of Celestial DVD editions made the world newly aware of the musicals, melodramas, and wuxia pian of the studio's prime years. Filmmakers had not forgotten either. Peter Chan's Warlords revisited Chang Cheh's Blood Brothers (1973), while 72 Tenants of Prosperity, a remake of the 1973 House of 72 Tenants, was the top local movie attraction of Lunar New Year 2010.

If Hollywood movies today endlessly referenced John Wayne or *Jaws*, we would complain about a lack of imagination. But any admirer of the Hong Kong tradition can't wholly condemn the recycling of classic material. Perhaps the community hopes that recalling moments of glory will sustain it through hard times.



10.9 In an update of *The Private Eyes*, Cecelia Cheung Pak-shi as Plain Jane, Louis Koo as Sam Hui, and Lau Ching-wan as Michael Hui pay homage to the Golden Age of Golden Harvest.

Not So Extravagant, Not So Gratuitously Wild: Infernal Affairs

By 2002, Hong Kong cinema was apparently finished as a viable business. Despite a substantial output (about ninety releases), box office receipts were slim, and imported films claimed about 60% of them. Attendance hovered at around twenty million per year, as it had since 1997—a far cry from the sixty million or so of the booming 1980s. Exhibitors cut ticket prices on Tuesdays and Wednesdays, but this did nothing to compensate for the vast losses due to video piracy.

In December there appeared a film that raised hopes for a new era. *Infernal Affairs* opened on eighty screens, an exceptionally wide release. Featuring an all-star cast headed by two matinee idols, it became a must-see movie. In the final two weeks of the year, it scooped up US\$5.6 million dollars, twice that of any other local film released in 2002. It even beat the top import, *Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrets*. By the end of its run, *Infernal Affairs* had earned US\$7 million. It confirmed Media Asia's position as a major producerdistributor and became a touchstone in Hong Kong popular culture. A Japanese fusion restaurant named itself after the movie, and Wong Jing mounted a parody.¹

The film community wondered whether the famine might be ending. Here was a hit that did not depend on the audience's enduring loyalty to Jackie Chan, Jet Li, or Stephen Chow. Instead it was an ambitious ensemble drama that played as a trimly appointed genre picture. Endowed with a Buddhist subtext (the original title refers to a journey to Hell), it suggested that all of the characters were plunging toward eternal torment.² A cop drama that took itself very seriously, it was booked in foreign festivals and received a batch of festival awards. Surely, it seemed, Hong Kong cinema had not lost its touch; it might even be on the route to recovery.

The two followups, in October and December 2003, together attracted as much local business as the original had, ranking fifth and first respectively among Hong Kong productions. Part III also showed the virtues of product placement, with local fashionista Joyce dressing the stars in Armani, Hugo Boss, and other brands. Through tie-in advertisements, the project garnered US\$2 million worth of commercial sponsorship.³ Better still, after the first part sold two million legitimate video units on the Mainland, a version with a more moralistic ending was released theatrically there. Part III was set up as a revenue-sharing coproduction, and a sympathetic Mainland character was obligingly written into the plot. The strategy paid off: Part III did strong business in the PRC.⁴

The first installment was screened for Hollywood companies in early 2003, and several studios bid for the remake rights.⁵ Warner Bros. won, and eventually Martin Scorsese signed on to direct. The first acknowledged US remake of a Hong Kong film, *The Departed* became a worldwide success and won Scorsese his first Best Director Academy Award. After all the years of Hong Kong cloning American hits, Hollywood finally repaid the compliment.

THIS SERIES OF TRIUMPHS came from some unlikely sources. The creators of Infernal Affairs weren't leaders from earlier decades like Tsui Hark or John Woo or Wong Jing. Nor were they a more recent headliner like Johnnie To. Director Andrew Lau had started in his early twenties as a cinematographer at Shaws. He went on to direct many low-budget crime films, most famously the Young and Dangerous series. Like Wong Jing, his producing partner for some years, Lau proved himself a fast, efficient worker committed to pleasing audiences. Soon he moved up to bigger projects like The Storm Riders (1998), a CGI-heavy comicbook adaptation. Codirector and coscreenwriter Alan Mak Siu-fai had assisted on projects in several genres before moving to directing mid-range pictures like A War Named Desire (2000) and

Rave Fever (1999), an experiment in Gen-X network narrative. Felix Chong Man-keung had done some acting before working on the script for hits like *Gen-Y Cops* (2000) and *Tokyo Raiders* (2000). The men had worked together in pairs on some projects, but all three teamed up when Lau formed his Basic Pictures company in 2002. *Infernal Affairs* was the company's first effort.

Not much in the trio's prior work prepares one for the formal intricacy and somber tones of the trilogy. Crime films have long featured undercover cops who join a gang, or gangsters who infiltrate the police. In their script for Infernal Affairs, Mak and Chong combine these two sorts of plots. The result is a chessgame, far more cerebral than the standard cop film. The double plot creates symmetrical lines of action, tense efforts to send or block information, and the pressures put upon each man to track down his counterpart. Across the second and third installments, this dynamic of competition, role-playing, and covert investigation becomes elaborated-not only through the efforts of each man to hide his identity, but also through the proliferation of other people working undercover for the cops or the gang. Add to this a play with time: The second part fills in much of the distant backstory for the first part, while Infernal Affairs III splits its story duration into the period just before the first part and the period immediately after it. The scale and coherence of this plotting are very rare in Hong Kong film.

The trilogy's consistency is even more striking in the light of all the constraints placed on the later entries. The enormous success of the first film virtually demanded a sequel. But the more sympathetic of the two protagonists was killed, so any events taking place after that had to present him in flashbacks. Younger stars from the first part, cast in cameos to play the protagonists in the early years, now had to carry more dramatic weight. To obtain coproduction funding from China for the third entry, it was necessary to add a Mainland actor to the cast. The temptation to succumb to hasty, strained sequels is often seen in Hong Kong, as when *A Better Tomorrow II* gave Chow Yun-fat a twin brother in order to bring the beloved actor back. For the *Infernal Affairs* sequels, the three creators find fresh and coherent ways to expand the first film's core situations.

Just as remarkable is the solemnity with which the tangled threads of action are presented. The *Infernal Affairs* films are in a sense anti-Woo action pictures—no extended firefights, no balletic violence. A more minimalist approach to underworld action had already emerged in the late 1990s, with Johnnie To's *The Mission* (1999) being the most famous example. But that film also includes gorgeous bullet-riddled set-pieces. In the *IA* films nearly everything is calm, understated, almost flat.

The subdued color scheme, with cool silvers, greens, and blacks, is echoed in the rather neutral performances of the major stars. Andy Lau as the gang's mole Lau Kin-ming strides virtually expressionless through the saga. He is characterized more by his tight, severe gait than by his masklike face. The older actors, Anthony Wong (Superintendant Wong) and Eric Tsang (Hon Sam), hade been facile muggers through the decades, but here they are studies in edginess masked by blandness. Likewise Francis Ng had chewed many yards of Hong Kong scenery in the past, but he plays Ngai Wing-hau as a soft-spoken gang boss in glasses and a cardigan. The understated performance style of the trilogy suits the younger actors, like Edison Chen (the teenage Lau) and Shawn Yu (the teenage Chan), who have limited expressive range. Kelly Chan Wai-lam and Sammi Cheng, two more teen idols known mostly for

romances and comedies, are appropriately quiet as well. Leon Lai Ming, virtually a blank in any film he adorns, becomes the iciest figure of all and makes his Superintendant Yeung impossible to read.

The down-gearing of performance is most evident in the restrained range available to Tony Leung Chiu-wai as the tormented undercover cop Chan Wing-yan. In the moment when he realizes that his mentor and protector Wong has been killed, we get subtle modulations of expression. He shifts from his customary grim demeanor, his armor in the world he has infiltrated, to shock at seeing Wong's body, to a mix of sorrow and desperation (Figs. IA.1–IA.3). He has lost his only friend, and he's now in even greater danger.

Another mark of restraint is the trilogy's refusal to rely on explicit violence. "There's only maybe thirty seconds of gun scenes," remarked screenwriter Chong of the first film, "and everything else is concentrated on the dramatic elements."⁶ Chong is exaggerating only a little. The first pistol shot in *IA* is heard thirty minutes into the film; the first major act of violence comes an hour in. The gun battles are remarkably brief, adding up to no more than four minutes of the film's running time.

The body count is slightly higher in the sequels, but all three parts rely remarkably little on the shattering glass, hurtling vehicles, and bullet ballets that rule local cinema. In *IA III*, after a burst of violence in a restaurant, fully an hour passes before any gunplay ensues, and that consumes less than a minute. Lau, Mak, and Chong keep a great deal of death offscreen, from the rooftop fight leading to Wong's fatal fall in *IA*, to Yeung's subduing of a demented officer in *IA III*. Even the opening police raid, which builds toward a freefire assault, comes to nothing and is abandoned. Throughout the trilogy, onrushing music, a cliché

Planet Hong Kong

of action scenes, is largely abandoned in favor of quietly building orchestral chords, an ominous march, sporadic drumming, and occasionally a string threnody accompanied by a wailing chorus or a keening soprano.

Compared with the profane and operatic *Departed*, *Infernal Affairs* looks practically repressed: for once it's the American movie that's over the top. Andrew Lau, adept with the handheld camera and casual composition in his cinematography for



IA.1 Infernal Affairs.



IA.2 Infernal Affairs.



IA.3 Infernal Affairs.

Wong Kar-wai and in the Young and Dangerous series, here commits himself to sober tracking shots and unfussy shot design. True, scenes are decorated with flourishes like a prowling camera, rapid cutting among close views, and an occasional whooshing pan or bumpy reframing. But these are the current default in international film style.⁷ Mostly, however, things are stable to the point of quietude. The dry handling was considered Hollywoodish in its lack of sensationalism, but people forgot that Tony Scott and Paul Greengrass are overwrought to a degree that Hong Kong policier directors might admire. Even by Hollywood standards, Infernal Affairs is fairly dispassionate. Characteristic of this strategy is the scene in IA in which Lau betrays Hon Sam and kills him during a warehouse raid (Figs. IA.4-IA.9). This major turning point in the plot consumes seven seconds.

What replaces extravagant carnage? Trailing, spying, making inferences, rigging surveillance, setting traps, and above all sending messages (by phone, computer, Morse code). In short, the film is based on classic suspense to a degree rare in Hong Kong tradition. In a cinema that always considered it easier to dream up delirious stunts than to plot a cat-and-mouse thriller, this strategy sets the film apart. For instance, the standard Hong Kong film shows a cop squeezing information out of a suspect by beating him up. In IA, Lau gets what he needs by pretending to be a mobappointed lawyer. This trick displays the sort of cleverness that will win Lau esteem from his colleagues, which furthers his undercover activities. In addition, the scene sets up the importance of cellphones and the traces they leave; one can track one's adversary digitally.

The suspense is given an elegant form. The first film, presenting two protagonists, lays down the principle of doublings and symmetries that will

rule the series. Then the dualities multiply: cops versus crooks, gang versus gang, gang boss versus gang boss, Ngai family versus Hon Sam family, Internal Affairs versus Security, Lau versus Yeung. There are even two women named Mary. Given all the lines of action, crosscutting is crucial to monitor the flow of information, to contrast the two protagonists' situations and stratagems, and, eventually, to tie together two large-scale periods of time. Meanwhile, wristwatches, playing cards, elevators, rooftops, surveillance cameras, salutes, and above all the Taiwanese pop tune "Forgotten Times" create motifs that bind characters and situations. Fluid transitions are provided by dialogue hooks and imagistic links. Flashbacks, either as bursts of images or recalled scraps of dialogue, interrupt present action to reiterate information or clarify a character's stream of thought. All of these tactics, openly borrowed from American



IA.4 A pair of unemphatic shots...



IA.5 ...shows the two men confronting one another.



IA.6 When Lau fires, the action is shown in a distant image.



IA.8 Cut back to Lau lowering his pistol while we hear a soft splash.



IA.7 This gives way to an even more distant framing, as if providing a visual equivalent of the shot's echo.



IA.9 After Lau turns and leaves, cut to Sam lying in a puddle of spreading blood. The camera tracks gently in as the shot fades out.

films, are presented with an unassuming ease seldom seen in modern Hong Kong films.

The theme of the loss of identity, like the Buddhist references, doubtless helped the films gain critical respectability. Likewise, the reigning semantic fields of modernity and postmodernity can be mapped easily onto the films' action. Academics will be interpreting these films for many years to come. But if we want to understand the artistry of popular cinema, we should not expect philosophical profundity or incisive social critique. Mass-audience filmmakers are opportunistic. They scoop subjects and ideas floating in the public domain into their movies, with the aim of creating forms that have force. The result may not have conceptual integrity, but it should have artistic impact.

The film will be shaped by expectations about genre and other conventions. It will be subject to the constraints and opportunities of a production system. (Knowing that audiences would want several scenes between the two big stars in the first part, Lau asked Mak to create exactly six.⁸) And all these factors combine with creative choices about narrative structure, narration, and cinematic style. In *Infernal Affairs*, the artists' goal was to innovate within a tradition that had begun to flag. "We wanted our film to be different from previous Hong Kong movies," said Lau. "If we don't change, we'll die."⁹ Ironically, however, the makers innovated by mimicking American cinema—in concept, plot structure, and film technique—in a slightly different tone of voice. *Infernal Affairs* called out for an American remake because it had already smoothly absorbed some of Hollywood's norms.

UNDERCOVER COP Chan Wing-yan is a trusted member of Hon Sam's gang, while Lau Kin-ming is an inspector working under Superintendant Wong. In the course of the plot each mole stalks the other. In private life, each man has a sympathetic woman: Mary, Lau's novelist wife, and Dr. Lee, Chan's therapist. After Lau engineers the death of Superintendant Wong, he kills his true employer Hon Sam in a police raid, which brings Chan out of hiding. Abruptly Chan realizes that Lau is the traitor in the force and flees. He tries to pressure Lau into revealing himself, but the first installment ends with Chan shot by Billy, a police inspector now revealed to be another mole. Lau remains in place, although Mary, having discovered his secret, leaves him.

Infernal Affairs has a strict three-act plot structure, each part running a little more than thirty minutes. The first section establishes that Chan, embedded in the gang, can transmit information secretly to the police using Morse code on his cellphone. This enables Wong's squad to disrupt Hon Sam's deal to buy a shipment of cocaine from Thailand. Although the drugs are seized, Hon Sam himself avoids prosecution thanks to his mole Lau's warning him via cellphone during the operation. The collapse of each side's effort tells both senior figures, Sam and Inspector Wong, that each has a traitor in his crew. This section ends in a stalemate, with Inspector Wong's squad facing off Hon Sam's gang. Wong warns Sam that the loser of this game will die. Both men will be dead before the film's end.

The film's second phase begins with Sam instructing Lau to identify the traitor, and Wong

asking the same of Chan. At this point the two moles' personal lives are fleshed out with romantic interests. Unaware that her lover Lau is a triad, Mary raises the question of his split identity by explaining that she's writing a novel about a man with twenty-eight personalities. The problem is: Who is he when he wakes up in the morning? The sleep-and-waking motif is furthered by the parallel female figure, the psychologist Dr. Lee. Her couch is the only place where Chan can get a night's sleep, so instead of therapy she offers solace.

The bulk of this section involves intertwined efforts to reveal the moles. The dramatic high points consist of two pursuits, one the inverse of the other. Chan follows Hon Sam to a movie theatre. where Sam gives Inspector Lau dossiers he's gathered on his gang. Chan doesn't manage to identify Lau at a distance, and eventually he loses the scent. In the parallel pursuit, Chan is meeting with his mentor Inspector Wong. They are trailed by Sam's gang, who catches up with Wong after he has separated from Chan. The gang throws Wong off the skyscraper's roof. This lengthy sequence ends with Chan escaping along with the gang's driver, Wai-keung, who has been wounded in the firefight. He dies saying that he realizes that Chan is the mole.

At the end of this second act, Chan is trapped. Through Wong's violent death he has lost his sole contact in the force, and Wai-keung's accusation makes him realize that his disguise is imperfect. In fact, he has been fatally vulnerable from the start. Although the film gives us two parallel protagonists, they are unevenly matched.

The film's opening scene has already established the triads as being a jump ahead of the cops. At a temple Hon Sam recruits teenage boys to go to the Police Academy as spies. By the time Wong talks the young Chan into becoming an undercover cop, there is already a traitor marching through training in the courtyard outside. Years later, at their first encounter in a stereo shop, Chan pretends to be a salesman and recommends an audio cable, but Lau shows Chan a better one from the store's stock.

Chan will always be a beat behind. During the initial raid, Chan sees Sam getting a phone message from his police spy, but he isn't given a clue to the spy's identity. By contrast, Lau watches Wong tapping out Morse code (Figs. IA.10–IA.11). Through point-of-view shots, the narration emphasizes that Lau realizes that this is how Wong communicates with his mole. Later, after the movie theatre rendezvous, Chan catches Lau snapping the dossier briskly against his thigh (Figs. IA.12-IA.13). But this parallel gesture doesn't give Chan a trail to follow. More generally, he simply can't undertake an investigation on the scale that Lau can, with all the police technology at his disposal. A string of brief sequences shows Lau checking records and studying Morse code. The corresponding passages of Chan's life show him given Sam's authority to vet the gang members, but he pursues this assignment off screen.

Similarly, while Lau is given some affectionate domestic scenes with Mary, Chan is shown running into an old girlfriend, a moment that emphasizes the emotional barrenness of his gang life. Soon he will turn again to Inspector Wong, the only friend he has. But Lau, proactive as usual, sends his squad to trail Wong, while also dispatching Sam's triads to find Wong's snitch. Lau precipitates Wong's death and the gunfight that ensues, while Chan is reduced to standing transfixed in shock.

The power disparity plays itself out in the third section of the film. Lau cleverly takes the blame for Wong's death, pleading that he was simply trying to consider every cop a potential suspect.


IA.10 Internal Affairs.



IA.11 Internal Affairs.

His superiors issue a new order: "Find our man and get him out of there." Again the narration emphasizes Lau's stratagems: checking Wong's computer files, calling Chan on Wong's phone, even tapping out Morse code on the handset. This last stratagem inspires a degree of trust in Chan. Another raid parallel to the first ensues, but this time Lau is even further ahead of the game. He allows Chan to transmit his message, brings the police on the scene, and then kills Hon Sam another mentor eliminated, another step toward finding the mole. Now Lau is a completely free agent. Chan now trusts him enough to come in from the cold ("Was the stereo okay?") but in Lau's office he glimpses his own scribbled note on Hon Sam's dossier. He realizes that Lau is the traitor and flees.

While Lau destroys Chan's identity as a policeman by erasing his file, so Chan belatedly begins to fight back. He plays the only card he has. He mails tapes of Lau talking with Hon Sam to Lau's house. Mary intercepts them and realizes that her lover is a triad spy. But Lau shrugs this off and takes steps to eradicate Chan. On the rooftop



IA.12 Internal Affairs.



IA.13 Internal Affairs.

where Chan had met with Wong, Lau arrives, followed by his right-hand man Billy. In the elevator Billy murders Chan—as ever, outmatched and outmaneuvered. Billy then reveals himself as another triad mole, recruited in the same ceremony in which Lau had participated as a teenager. Since Billy's knowledge threatens Lau's security, Lau kills him and arranges the elevator carnage to seem that Lau and Billy both died in a shootout.

Lau gets off scot-free. He is able to attend the police funeral for Chan, now acknowledged as a heroic undercover man. It's the third funeral in

Planet Hong Kong



IA.14 Billy's cellphone rings, and he answers. It appears to be another officer, and Billy says they must use walkie-talkie channels.

the film (one in each part), and Chan is buried beside Wong. Dr. Lee's mourning for Chan contrasts sharply with the impassivity of Lau, who is now revealed as even more hollow than at the start. Chan, more sensitive and anguished, living on a hair-trigger, has throughout expressed the most expansive emotional range. This corresponds to one aspect of Tony Leung Chiu-wai's star image; his early films Love unto Waste (1986) and My Heart Is That Eternal Rose (1989) portrayed him as a sensitive youth struggling in a corrupt world. Lau, always a step ahead, is far colder, with his calm demeanor hiding his feelings. Andy Lau has in his hundred or more films played many different sorts of roles, but his more stoic side here works to present Lau as initially all business but eventually a moral nullity.

A crisp, sober technique maintains tension across the parts. The song "Forgotten Times" threads through the film, changing its implications from scene to scene. Apart from its evocations of a lost past—both men have had their youth stolen by others—it becomes a spectral reminder of both men's inability to find or sustain a woman's love.¹⁰ Ironically, in death the loner Chan gets Dr. Lee's devotion, while Lau survives and prospers but loses his woman. What makes him a good traitor makes him a failure as a human being.



IA.15 As Billy hangs up, Wong stares suspiciously at him.

The training of each recruit is counterpointed through crosscutting, both between the young men and between images of them as boys and shots of them as men. The rest of the film will be built on ingenious intercutting—not only to build suspense with messages crisscrossing different lines of action but also to contrast the lives of the two protagonists. Chan is already driven and fraying, sampling cocaine and grabbing packaged noodles. Lau enjoys an upscale flat, tailored suits, and a beautiful girlfriend; in headquarters he is trim and brisk and on the way up.

As the film skips among lives and plotlines, the crosscutting is often motivated by cellphones. A constant means of contact, they become dramatically pivotal when Lau's signature ringtone alerts Hong Sam to his approach in the warehouse. And in the welter of fake calls and covert calls during the first, aborted raid, a neat piece of misdirection shows Inspector Billy, a character we have scarcely noticed, answering a call and being upbraided by Inspector Wong for violating radio silence. In retrospect we realize that the pattern of shots could imply that like Lau, Billy is tipping off Sam (Figs. IA.14-IA.16)-prefiguring the revelation that Billy too is a mole. This sort of suggestive storytelling has always been rare in Hong Kong film, but Infernal Affairs carries it off smoothly.



IA.16 Cut to Hon Sam on the phone. He's actually now in conversation with someone else, which leads us to think that he wasn't the one calling Billy. Once we know that Billy is another of Sam's moles, we're likely to speculate that the first was a call from Sam, and the cutaway to Wong covers the time during which Billy hung up and Sam dialed someone else. In retrospect, this is an almost throwaway hint about Billy's true loyalties.

AFTER THE SUCCESS of the first film. Lau and his colleagues had a franchise. How to continue it? The balance between familiarity and novelty driving all popular film becomes acute in a series because the choices contract sharply. If actors are unavailable for the new project, their roles must be filled with new faces or written out altogether (e.g., George McFly in the Back to the Future sequels). Some characters must continue, but they must either be given new things to do or new traits of personality. Some basic données have to be respected (such as Indiana Jones' fear of snakes) while new motifs need to be invented. The whole enterprise has to be scaled up, leaving escape hatches and potentially unresolved issues in case more future episodes can be launched.

What comes to the aid of the popular filmmaker is the flexibility of narrative. A story can always be added to: *So they didn't live happily ever after*. New characters and goals can be conceived, perhaps building on elements of the first entry. The

original story can also be split open. A string of scenes in the original can be pulled apart, gaps opened up, and new material inserted, as in The Bourne Supremacy and The Bourne Ultimatum. As viewers have become more adroit at following a complicated tale, and as home video has permitted them to replay a movie at leisure, filmmakers have created works that exploit time-jumps and shifts in point of view across a series. But the latitude available in popular storytelling threatens to diminish the founding entry in a series. A clean, cogent film like the first Infernal Affairs can seem fractured by the digressions, repetitions, and overdecoration seen in later installments. The three films were eventually issued on DVD in chronological order, chopped and reshuffled in the manner of The Godfather: A Novel for Television (1977). While straightening out the story line, this tactic somewhat spoils the integrity of the initial film.

In keeping with the new sobriety of the series and the new ambitions of Hong Kong storytelling, the makers of Infernal Affairs didn't resort to the trick of A Better Tomorrow II (1987) and invent a lookalike substitute for Chan that would allow Tony Leung Chiu-wai to appear in a sequel. Instead, Infernal Affairs II provides a prequel, following the template of the God of Gamblers series (1989–1997). But because the prologue of Infernal Affairs had cast different actors as the young Chan and Lau, the filmmakers were obliged to make those minor players central to the prequel. Part III brings back the big stars, Tony Leung Chiu-wai and Andy Lau, but to do this it resorts to the sort of time-juggling that had become common in Western popular cinema. By showing what immediately preceded and immediately followed the events of the first film, the third entry risked a narrative strategy that would tax the

local audience and mystify overseas viewers unfamiliar with the series.

Infernal Affairs II shows how each mole burrowed into his milieu. This premise allowed the filmmakers to revive vigorous characters like Inspector Wong, triad boss Hon Sam, and the driver Wai-keung. But the protagonists of the first film were played by fairly weak young performers Edison Chen (Lau) and Shawn Yu (Chan). The solution was double-barreled: to create subplots that take the spotlight off Chan and Lau and to surround them with new characters. Connoisseurs of Hong Kong film tend to enjoy IA II because it allows much-loved secondary actors to carry a good deal of the action.

The subplots establish the situation in place at the beginning of the first film, but they also create a web of new character relationships. Before both men go to the police academy, Lau is shown as a ruthless street punk, attracted to Hon Sam's wife Mary. It's now revealed that Chan is related to another triad family, the Ngais. The murder of the head of the Ngai family impels his eldest son, Wing-hau, to plot revenge on the other triad clans. Chan, dismissed from the academy on the pretext of being distant kin to the Ngai family, joins their gang. Lau learns that Mary is a police informer, put in place by Superintendant Wong. The plot builds toward a confrontation between Hon Sam's gang and the Ngai crime family. Lau, having helped send Mary to her death, wins respect in the force for killing Wing-hau in a standoff. Now Hon Sam is the triad supreme, the status he enjoys at the start of the first installment, and he will take Chan into his gang.

If Hon Sam instigates the action of the first film, Inspector Wong initiates the plot of this one. Seeking to bring down the Ngai family, and to avenge the death of his partner, he cynically sets up a gang war. Once the Ngai patriarch is killed, there follows a grand struggle between Ngai's son, the quietly dangerous Wing-hau, and other gang lords. In the process, the film develops strong backgrounds for both Wong and the triad Hon Sam. Wong is in friendly competition with his colleague Luk, while Hon Sam becomes more sympathetic through his devotion to his wife Mary.

By shifting emphasis to the triad world, in which the young Chan and Lau are still novices, the film gives screen time to an array of practiced character actors who anchor the film emotionally. For example, the first film presents the vagaries of male pain largely through Chan, but here this expressive material is played for variations. Wing-hau turns his grief at his father's murder into a program of vengeance carried out as virtually an intellectual exercise. Hon Sam is more resigned to suffering, fleeing to Thailand to start a new family after Mary's death; but he eventually accepts Wong's offer to bring down his enemy Wing-hau. Inspector Wong himself, so equable in most of his confrontations in the first part, collapses when he sees Luk murdered and realizes that he has set in motion a killing spree. He would welcome, he says, being put out of his misery.

Expanding the underworld milieu seen in the first part also allows treachery and double-crossing to expand. Here we have not only Lau, the triad embedded in the cops, and Chan, the cop among triads. The plot turns on the revelation of more moles: Wong has recruited Mary, and Luk has embedded Law among Ngai's number. When we see Luk following Wong, we're likely to recall Inspector Billy from the first part and wonder if Luk too is bent. The effect is to spread complicity. Here the central figure is Mary. She has betrayed Sam and ordered the murder of the senior Ngai. Even as relations between Wong and Hon Sam become warm and respectful, Wong cannot reveal that Sam's wife was in Wong's pay. But Mary knows that Lau is an informer planted in the police, yet she never tells Wong. In this installment, no one can afford sincerity because no one has clean hands.

The story unfolds in three eras: 1991, 1995, and 1997, with the periods echoing the three-part template of the first film. The 1991 section presents the triggering incident, the murder of Ngai Kwun by young Lau. He is already attracted to Mary, who rebuffs him. Chan, half-brother to Wing-hau, is approached to join the Ngai gang. But neither of the young men has as yet gone underground. The bulk of this section is devoted to explaining Wong's plan to bring down the Ngai gang and Wing-hau's establishing himself as fully capable of ruling over the rival gangs. At Mary's urging Lau is sent to the police academy, while Chan is dismissed and sent undercover. Chan's kinship with the Ngais is now seen to motivate his expulsion in the first part. The section ends with Chan building up his credibility by sharing a cell with the hapless Wai-keung, whose father has just died. The two men become friends through shared suffering, establishing this as Chan's emotional register throughout the series.

In 1995, both young moles are in place, and although they rise in each organization, they mostly watch and wait while their elders carry out maneuvers. Wong's unfolding scheme to unseat the Ngais runs up against his rivalry with Luk, who says he has his own mole in the family. As the first part built toward a (failed) police raid, this one moves toward a series of violent deaths. Ngai's brutally wipes out his rivals, with the killings crosscut much in the manner of the climax of the *The Godfather*. The massacre is followed by an even more harrowing scene that Chan witnesses: Wing-hau kills one of his closest associates, Law, whom he's convinced is a police spy. (He's right: Law is Officer Luk's man.) Luk is blown up when a bomb in Wong's car explodes. Sam, brokering a deal in Thailand, is shot. And after Mary resists Lau's advances, he arranges for her to be run down at the airport.

This flurry of killings would be the climax of a typical Hong Kong film, but in keeping with its more serious demeanor, the film supplies a 1997 section, and it takes its time in winding up the plot. As Wong faces discipline for setting up the gang war, Lau has been advanced in the ranks. Chan has moved up in the gang, becoming his half-brother Wing-hau's trusted lieutenant. With the handover to China approaching, Wing-hau looks forward to a political career. But Wong's pressure pays off, and in a rapid exchange of phone calls reminiscent of those in the first film, the bosses engage in tit-for-tat kidnappings. The upshot is a face-off in a night café, with Lau killing Wing-hau-but not before Wing-hau discovers in his last moments that his beloved Chan is wearing a wire. The protracted epilogue shows Sam assuming power and hesitantly ordering the execution of the Ngai family. As the handover arrives, Wong turns his attention to Hon Sam, who is celebrating with the new power brokers. In the final sequence, Chan has joined Sam's gang and Lau meets a woman who comes to the police station for help; her name is Mary-in effect, a substitute for Hon Sam's wife. The plot has laid the groundwork for the first Infernal Affairs, but it has also provided a fresco of the triad world that is engrossing in its own right.

IA III CONFRONTS the nagging problem of what to do next. Having provided a prequel to the first film, the obvious move is to supply a sequel. But

then the only continuing character would be Lau. That wasn't an option: the film's investors demanded that both major stars, Tony Leung Chiu-wai and Andy Lau, return. So Chan was revived, along with Inspector Wong and Hon Sam. The creative team settled on a narrative structure indebted to The Godfather Part II: a combination prequel and sequel. IA III consists of two alternating time schemes, one set in 2002 just before the incidents of the first installment, the other set in 2003 just afterward. In effect, the linear parallels and doublings of the first two parts are now carried out at two levels of time. This was a daring gesture in local filmmaking, especially since it makes the film virtually incomprehensible to anyone who hasn't seen the initial entry. Variety's critic complained: "Pic would take several viewings and hours of computer time to figure out whether the plot actually makes sense."11 Moreover, Part III expands its purview by deepening the character of Lau. He cracks under the pressures of keeping up his charade and eventually succumbs to madness.

The 2002 thread introduces the film's two new characters. Shen Ching is a Mainland gun-runner who wants a deal with Hon Sam. Part of the plot involves Chan's inquiry into him, on behalf of both Sam and his real mentor Wong. Another newcomer, Superintendant Yeung, seems to be pursuing Shen. A secondary line of action fills in Chan's growing romance with the therapist Dr. Lee.

The 2003 portion, while continuing the plotlines involving Shen and Yeung, wedges in some bits that "rewrite" moments seen at the climax of the first film.¹² This is a good instance of the expandability of narrative. No matter how smooth or tight the surface, there is always a way to open up a gap. In the aftermath of what we saw in the first installment, Lau is exonerated for Billy's death, and Billy is identified as Hon Sam's mole. But new flashbacks to the elevator scene in the first film reveal that another corrupt cop has died leaving evidence of Hon Sam's infiltration of the police. This initiates a fresh line of action, putting Lau on the defensive and obliging him to hunt for the cop's dossier.

Part II concentrated on the power plays among the triads, but there's little of that here. Long stretches of 2002 scenes are taken up with Chan's affair with Dr. Lee. But in the 2003 sections, now that Lau has lost his lover Mary, he has no romantic interest, and these scenes are filled with an emphasis on police bureaucracy. We are introduced to staff in Wong's bureau, in the Internal Affairs office, and in Security, headed by Yeung, who is charged with ferreting out Hon Sam's agents. Under these pressures, Lau succumbs to guilt-filled hallucinations, imagining himself as Chan and coming to believe he's heroically exposing all the moles among the police. In a standoff he is shot by Shen, who's revealed as Yeung's mole. Although Lau recovers, he seems to remain in a mental limbo, summoning up figures both alive and dead from his past. The overall result is

a structural equilibrium across the franchise. *IA* balances triad world and cop world, *IA II* expands on the former, and *IA III* probes the latter.

The opening of *IA III* provides a condensed introduction to the film's method. Arrested in 2002 after a massage-parlor brawl, Chan and his sidekick Wai-keung leave the hospital under police escort. The film's credits appear over looming shots of an elevator descending. When the elevator arrives, the doors open and we are in 2003. Inspector Lau enters on his way to attend the inquiry into Chan's death. Such hooks between scenes are used throughout (Figs. IA.17–IA.18).

After the prologue, the film alternates blocks of time from each period. The sections are strictly chronological, apart from brief flashbacks that clarify information. An epilogue brings us to November 2002, with Chan entering a mob-run stereo shop and retrieving some hidden cocaine. When Lau comes in to ask about a stereo, we have a replay of the two moles' encounter in the early part of the first film. Now we even know why Chan's arm was in a cast at that point. The entire series buckles shut.

Novel as the alternating structure is, probably the most daring, and debatable, experiment here is the change in Lau. The issue of identity, raised by Lau's wife Mary when she asked about the man who had twenty-eight personalities, resurfaces. Lau gradually becomes convinced he is the dead Chan. This is in a way appropriate, for his mask of bland severity throughout the first film suggests what was revealed at the end: He is empty, ready to be filled by a new self. As he does so, several subjective techniques are used, including one man's voice heard over the other's face, and Lau glimpsing Chan's face in a mirror. The crucial scene, set in 2003, shows Lau obsessively bent over his surveillance screen. He answers a phone call-from the dead Chan. "Boss, I saw Yeung with Sam today. He may be a mole. Run a check on him." Chan goes on to suggest that he's still working on the Shen case.

What we have is a call from the past, reporting incidents whose consequences have long since been played out. Lau's reaction, rubbing his eyes and replacing his contact lenses, is rendered in abrupt jump cuts uncharacteristic of earlier scenes. Lau then answers a call from Mary, who reports



IA.17 In 2002 Chan agrees to see Dr. Lee and approaches her office door.



IA.18 Cut to inside the office, with the furniture shrouded. The door opens and we see Dr. Lee and Lau enter, in 2003.

getting a tape from Chan—another impossible call from the past. This last moment is a good example of how difficult the third film would be for a viewer unfamiliar with part one.

The phone calls initiate Lau's descent into madness, in which he first assumes the role of Wong's colleague, let in on Chan's role. In a scene in a hospital lobby he imagines sitting in on a meeting between Chan and Wong, culminating in Chan pulling his gun and pointing it at Lau's head, as in the climax of the first film. Eventually Lau envisions himself as Chan, righteously exposing the police mole Lau. "All I want is to be a good cop!" Gathering his colleagues, he plays the incriminating tape that Yeung has discovered, and then, claiming Yeung is Lau, shoots him. He is shot as well. Recuperating in a hospital he sees Sam's dead wife Mary pointing a pistol at him, andperhaps-his own wife Mary visiting to announce that their son can say "Papa." As Lau listens, his fingers restlessly tap out Morse code, as if he had become at once Chan and the man who learned Chan's secret. With his mad outburst in headquarters and this ambivalent detail, the film summons up a mite of sympathy for the most enigmatically inhuman character in the series.

THE THREE INSTALLMENTS of *Infernal Affairs* show how popular narrative strategies can adjust to the presence or absence of stars. Part I was able to showcase two of Hong Kong's top players, filling out the rest of the canvas with strongly profiled character actors. Part II, lacking top stars, deployed more complex plotting, which in turn gave secondary performers more to do. Part III brought back the original stars, and when one is subtracted (Tony Leung Chiu Wai, from the 2003 plot), another big name (Leon Lai) was added.

In the wake of the trilogy's success there appeared a cycle of undercover films that shows the variorum nature of popular cinema. Wilson Yip Wai-sun's Flash Point (2007) halts its mole plot a third of the way through, while Undercover (2007, Billy Chung Siu-Hung) and Herman Yau's On the Edge (2006) emphasize the suffering of an undercover cop returning to the force, trusted neither by his colleagues nor by his criminal buddies. Perhaps encouraged by the time-bending experiments of the IA films, On the Edge alternates between two parallel periods, the cop's rise to power in the gang versus his plunge into dissolution after his return to the force. Wong Jing provided unexpectedly serious variants on the mole premise, including The Colour of the Truth (2003) and Wo Hu (literally, "Crouching Tigers," 2006). The latter is an ambitious effort to trace out the overlapping lives of several gang members, somewhat in the manner of IA II. Although the later entries in the cycle avoided the designer colors and unsensational pacing of the original, preferring instead harsh realism (On the Edge) or flamboyant action scenes (Flash Point), the Basic Pictures team had opened a path to a renewal of the triad genre.

The team members also boosted their own careers. Lau prospered the most, taking on the blockbuster manga adaptation *Initial D* (2004), a pan-Asian hit in an eye-candy style. He made a foray into Hollywood (*The Flock*, 2007, taken from him for recutting), into Korea (*Daisy*, 2006), and into television with an adaptation of a martial arts novel (*Fox Volant of the Snowy Mountain*, 2006). He also continued to work in many local genres, making, again with Mak and Chong, the slick thriller *Confession of Pain* (2006), full of red herrings and point-of-view gambits. Lau's 2010

project was a PRC coproduction providing a sequel to Bruce Lee's *Fist of Fury*. Meanwhile, with help from Lau, Alan Mak and Felix Chong set up their own company and collaborated on *Moonlight in Tokyo* (2005) and *Lady Pop & Papa Crook* (2008), the latter plagued by PRC censorship problems. Their police surveillance thriller *Overheard* (2009) has something of *IA*'s play with mind games and split loyalties. Chong moved to directing with *Once a Gangster* (2010), a late-in-the-day parody of Lau's *Young and Dangerous* series.

However much the Infernal Affairs trilogy benefited its creators, it did not rescue the filmmaking community. Despite the success of the first installment, local films earned 24% less in 2002 than in the previous year. 2003, the year of the SARS outbreak, was even worse, with only 77 films released and a new low in attendance. Soon the slump got steeper. From 2005 through 2009 annual box office takings for all local releases sank to between US\$25 million and US\$32 million. By 2009, local productions were claiming only a fifth of total receipts. The three IA films showed that Hong Kong cinema could pull off a coup occasionally, but a fresh foray into longform storytelling, even one firmly attached to local traditions, could not revive an entire industry. The future lay in China.

11 | A Thousand Films Later

QUANTITATIVELY, HONG KONG cinema was still thriving. About one thousand films were released between 1998 and 2009. As of 2010, Hong Kong's annual output of theatrical releases surpassed that of Australia, Austria, Denmark, Egypt, Finland, Greece, Ireland, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Sweden, Norway, or Switzerland. All these countries have much bigger populations, and most offer substantial government support of production. Under discouraging circumstances Hong Kong filmmakers have simply kept putting movies out there.

As we've seen, however, after the mid-1990s and the rise of CEPA, this popular cinema has changed. Most countries that have abandoned authoritarian regimes have given filmmakers more artistic freedom. For filmmakers in the former Soviet Union and Eastern Europe, as well as in parts of Asia, that freedom consists largely in the chance to participate in the international film business. Now Hong Kong cinema, always subject to capitalist dictates, submits as well to China's experiment in state-sponsored crony capitalism without democracy. Once Hong Kong cinema had simply to be profitable. Now it must also be politically correct.

We've already seen the choices that filmmakers faced. Go to Hollywood? John Woo led the exo-

dus, but most—Tsui Hark, Peter Chan, Andrew Lau, Ronnie Yu, Woo himself—returned, chastened. Danny and Oxide Pang, twin brothers who worked together and separately, had perhaps the best luck. They managed to shuttle between Hong Kong and Hollywood for low-budget thrillers and horror efforts like *The Tesseract* (2003), *The Messengers* (2007), and a remake of their first feature *Bangkok Dangerous* (1999, 2008).

Go to to the People's Republic? That was the most robust option, and many accepted it, notably Woo (*Red Cliff*), Peter Chan (*The Warlords*), and Jacob Cheung (*A Battle of Wits*, 2006). But censorship, approved topics, and investors' demand for big films nudged creative talents into the bestselling genres.

Stay local? In the wake of CEPA, it was hard to find a purely local film. A film aimed strictly at the Hong Kong market would have a minuscule budget and would pass quickly to home video and television. Profits were meager. A bigger production would require major investment by Mainland companies or Hong Kong firms with alliances there. The upside was that PRC distribution could at least double local box-office takings. But then the familiar constraints—eroticism kept in check, no witchcraft, no political statements—would lock in. After 2004 China would no longer screen films that designed one version for the Mainland and another for Hong Kong or overseas audiences. So a local film was likely to be a coproduction, mixing stars from "the three Chinas" in plots that were politically conformist, like *Bodyguards and Assassins*, or ideologically innocuous, like *Connected*.

Yet there were other choices open to two of the territory's most prominent filmmakers. Stephen Chow and Wong Kar-wai had opportunities that others did not—the one because of box-office might, the other because of art-cinema cachet. They made some of the most important films of the post-handover period, and in doing so they sought to remake their brands in new surroundings.

Less lucky filmmakers were still able to adapt. Influenced by Chow and Wong, but steeped in the forms and formulas of local traditions, they strove to update, and occasionally upgrade, the romantic comedy, the domestic drama, the action picture, and other familiar genres. These directors did not recover the local cinema's status either at home or overseas, but they kept the fires burning and occasionally produced films that continue to explore the expressive possibilities of popular cinema.

The post-handover period allowed one veteran director to forge a new career. Johnnie To, a mainstay of Shaws television and nearly every 1980s movie genre, reengineered the Hong Kong crime film, all the while adroitly responding to the new constraints of PRC domination and global markets. Johnnie To kept alive much of what was valuable in the Hong Kong tradition—its zest, its off-center imaginativeness, and its sheer unpredictability.

SINCE THE EARLY 1990s Stephen Chow was the emblem of nuttiness, Hong-Kong style. His boyish good looks gave him a polish that belied the half-naïf, half-conman within. His adversaries and allies might be ugly and irascible, but he stood out as clean-cut and good-natured. Whereas most local comedians mugged shamelessly, his amiable deadpan only threw into relief his stream of slangy patter. Add to this endless jokes on farts and penises, Chow's self-conscious breaking of the frame to address the audience, and his absurd violations of physics and probability, and you have a cinema aimed directly at a mass audience, from children to grannies. To them he was *Sing Jai*, "Little Stevie," and he could do no wrong.¹

From his breakthrough in *All for the Winner* (1990) through 1998, Chow's films ruled the box office to a degree unimaginable in Western cinemas. For several years, he had two films in the top five, and in 1992 the top *four* films were all Chow vehicles. He routinely trumped foreign releases as easily as local ones. His films, circulating on pirate video, were widely seen on the Mainland too. There, lines from his portrayal of the Monkey King in *A Chinese Odyssey* (1995) became catchphrases among young audiences.² By the turn of the millennium, Chow was directing his films, and he was getting more ambitious.

"To go international is very important to me," he explained. "In the next ten years, I can make maybe two or three movies, so I just want to do something really good, something I can be remembered for, not only by the local people but by the whole world."³ Accordingly, Chow slowed down. He abandoned his customary quickies and started planning what Americans would call event pictures. Taking charge of producing, scripting, and direction, he created only three films in the 2000s. Each one remade Chow's brand by blending ingredients taken from Hollywood, China, and Hong Kong.

The films were crafted for international consumption, but the center of the target was the Mainland. Shaolin Soccer (2001), a story of a soccer team that triumphs by harnessing martial arts techniques, is set in a Shanghai-like city and mixes Hong Kong stars with Mainland ones. Kung Fu Hustle (2004) likewise takes place in an unidentified south Chinese city, where a criminal gang is ruling during the 1930s or early 1940s. (A poster for the Astaire-Rogers Top Hat, 1935, is featured prominently.) CJ7 (2008) centers on a young boy living in a Chinese city who gets a magical pet from a UFO. Chow gave Kung Fu Hustle a score reminiscent of Cultural Revolution "model operas." For CJ7 he auditioned children all over China, picked a title referencing China's space program, and filmed in Ningbo, his family's ancestral town. Crucially, he made Mandarin the film's primary language.4

Chow also decided to ease himself off-camera.⁵ He is the central force in *Shaolin Soccer*, rallying his team of losers to conquer their opponents, but he is absent from long stretches of *Kung Fu Hus*-*tle*. In *CJ7*, most scenes deal with the adventures of the boy Dicky. Chow, the wise, hard-working father, has virtually no comic scenes: All the laughs come from Dicky and CJ7.

Chow remade his persona from film to film, trimming away aspects of his native comedy and reshaping others for his wider audience. For example, his 1990s plots often presented his hero as magically endowed with a single skill, such as gambling (*All for the Winner*) or cuisine (*God of Cookery*, 1996). In *Shaolin Soccer* he is Mighty Steel Leg Sing, a martial arts adept who wants to popularize kung-fu. Persuaded by the brokendown soccer player Fung, he assembles his old kung-fu colleagues and puts their skills to work on the football field. The premise of ragtag misfits summoning the will to win, familiar from Hollywood, is comically recast for a Chinese audience. Meanwhile Steel Leg strikes up a friendship with Mui, played by Mainland star Vicki Zhou Wei. Mui is an acne-ridden baker whose tai-chi kneading technique yields the best sweet bread in town.

The Shaolin team's miraculous tactics conquer all comers until it faces the Evil Team, managed by Fung's old tormentor Hung. These brutes have been injected with a special drug that more than matches the Shaolin team's natural abilities. Not until Mui joins the team in the final moments of the match does the aggressive northern style find its balance in her southern fluidity. From the start she is attuned to the secret harmony of things, and she brings her gentle touch to the game (Figs. 11.1–11.2). Her swirling ball handling, born of a respect for natural momentum, literally blows away the competition.

Kung-fu was also central to Chow's 1990s films, and he freely parodied the moves of his idol Bruce Lee. But in *Kung Fu Hustle*, Chow's martial-arts skills arrive only at the climax. Here his hero's special gift, picking locks, is modest and of little plot importance. Since Sing is offscreen for long stretches, the action concentrates on Pigsty Alley. In this tenement neighborhood live peaceably retired martial artists, overseen by the oversize personalities Landlord and Landlady. Much of the film is taken up with elaborate combats between



11.1 Mui's bread bears a significant brand logo (Shaolin Soccer).



11.2 Yin and yang as the Shaolin spirit brought to football (Shaolin Soccer).

the Axe Gang's hired killers and the reluctant old fighters, who display amped-up versions of the powers of traditional Cantonese heroes. At the end, Sing recovers from a savage beating by the Beast, a fearsome fighter enlisted by the Gang. Healed by Chinese herbs, Sing placidly steps forth in Chang Cheh white and shows himself the greatest master of all. A Chow movie must have Chow heroically winning out, but here the transformation takes place at the very last moment.

Chow's Hong Kong work often featured sniggering off-color comedy, but in keeping with PRC strictures, the late films wipe sex out of the picture. In *Shaolin Soccer*, it's replaced by a sort of pan-Chinese pride. Although the film takes place on the Mainland, characters speak both Cantonese and Mandarin. The Shaolin team plays in the saffron robes identified with the sacred monastery, and at the end Mui, who has tried a grotesquely fashionable makeover, comes in with the shaved head of a Buddhist nun. It's hard not to see this as another gesture of north/south amity. The man who formerly incarnated Hong Kong's street culture will be marrying a woman from the PRC, and their union is seen as centrally a matter of publicizing Chinese traditions.

Romance is even more attenuated in *Kung Fu Hustle*, where Sing's romance with a mute icecream vendor is rendered with that infantilism that hovers over Hong Kong cinema. As a child, Sing had tried to protect a little mute girl when bullies stole her lollipop. That lollipop, lovingly preserved, becomes her token of affection. In the epilogue, Sing has become the owner of a candy store on the main street and the young woman recognizes him. When man and woman are finally united, the camera transforms them into the boy and girl they once were. With Chow, romance isn't erotic, merely an extension of primal innocence.

The final image of *Kung Fu Hustle*, a boy staring entranced at the camera (Fig. 11.3), could almost be a trailer image for *CJ7*. Chow, who spent six years hosting a kiddie television show, has finally made a film about the torments and fantasies of childhood. Dicky's father is a day laborer who struggles to send him to a private school. Teased and bullied, Dicky leads a lonely life. From a garbage dump Ti brings his son a mysterious green globe. Soon it has become a doglike alien with big eyes, a furry head, and a stretch-and-squash green body.

Making a movie explicitly for kids seems to allow Chow's old-style humor to return with more vigor than in the previous two films. Satiric references to other movies, both *Mission: Impossible II* and Chow's own, are accompanied by the sheer silliness of two plus-size adults impersonating schoolkids. CGI shows father and son competing in killing cockroaches, and Teacher Cao's fingers thoughtfully fondle a piece of nose dirt before popping it into his mouth. Dicky, huddling with CJ7 in the toilet, is pelted by dung spewed out like machine-gun bullets (Fig. 11.4).

The visceral gags of this sequence exemplify probably the most striking modification Chow makes to his Hong Kong format. His 1990s films balanced scatological humor and visual gags with *mau-lei-tau* banter. He didn't abandon his local zaniness entirely: the bruised and bloated faces,



11.3 *Kung Fu Hustle*: A snotty-nosed boy is lured, as Sing was, by the promise of becoming a kung-fu warrior

the crossed eyes and collapsing floors and hyperbolic pratfalls were already there in Chow's earlier work. But in the 2000s these gags were amped up and drawn out, replacing scenes of puns and prattle. The new attractions were extravagant special effects and more coherent scripting. If Zhang Yimou and John Woo gave China its prestige costume pictures, Chow started providing its CGI family fantasies.

Shaolin Soccer relies almost entirely on sight gags, and the plot is unusually disciplined for a Hong Kong movie. Near the start, Sing worries that people lack kung-fu skills; at the end, passersby use kung-fu to park cars and trim trees. References to Bruce Lee abound. The Shaolin goalie



11.4 The dangerous side of CJ7.

wears a yellow tracksuit and imitates the Little Dragon; when he's carried off the field, he remembers to don Lee's signature tinted shades.

In a film centrally about footwork and abasement—all of Sing's team are losers in one way or another—a shoe motif emerges. The first scene shows Boss Hung stepping on the head of the kneeling Fung. Sing trades his worn-out sneakers for some of Mui's bread; instead of throwing them away she patches them. Later he buys her a new pair of trainers when his team starts winning, and on the eve of the semifinals the team sheds their shabby footwear. At the climax, Mui comes to help the team and brings Sing his old running shoes. Like the lollipop in *Kung Fu Hustle*, the worn-out sneakers signify the woman's devotion. Thanks to them he is able to regain power in his leg.

In all these films, Chow expands his characteristically absurd sight gags through unhinged special effects. The warrior fantasy *The Storm Riders* (1998) had established the firm Centro as the leading local purveyor of digital effects, but *Shaolin Soccer* harnessed Centro's prowess more imaginatively. Armed with a \$5.7 million budget, Chow demanded over 400 doctored shots, involving everything from wire erasure to animation.⁶ Players soar into the air or are scattered like ninepins (Fig. 11.5). Steel Leg can send the ball smashing into a wall or zigzagging through a nest of competitors. Sometimes the gags are comically geometrical (Fig. 11.6). But there's stasis too, as when each Shaolin player is caught midair in a meditative position. Trust Chow to announce the artifice: as their opponents in one match shoot past him, he asks, "Is it wirework?"

Special effects dominate *Kung Fu Hustle* as well, a mega-homage to classic kung-fu movies. The dream of Cantonese fantasy swordplay has finally been realized: Centro's wizardry can show flying daggers and palm power photorealistically. But Chow's exaggeration makes every classic move cataclysmic (Fig. 11.7). The post-*Matrix* effects allow attackers to be flung in all directions and kicked across vast distances. Yet the Wachowski brothers probably did not envision a fight tactic that involves mashing feet into pavement (Fig.

11.8). CGI can create other cringe-making gags, such as a little boy with huge pectorals and biceps, or a scene in which two cobras bite Sing on the lips (Fig. 11.9). Literalizing the expression "hair on fire" provides one of the most hilarious moments, as does Sing's clumsy attempts to throw daggers, always somehow sinking them into his own arms. There are some good acoustic gags, as when pinball sounds accompany ricocheting gangsters, and dialogue that seems to take into account the English-language audience. After the Landlady lets loose a roar that shakes the courtyard, Sing remarks, "The fat lady can really sing."

Aggressively directed, full of Hong Kong grotesquerie—the Landlord wears hairpins, the Landlady fights with a cigarette pasted to her lip— *Kung Fu Hustle* offers shameless overkill. We are meant to be awed by the slick visual technique, the nonstop effects, and the two enormous sets, the Pigsty Alley tenement and a bustling modern street complete with movie house and trolley cars. The wacky effects here resonate with the hyperactive side of Hollywood, as seen in *Spun*



11.5 Shaolin Soccer.



11.6 A rival team skids at top speed toward the wounded Sing.



11.7 Thanks to CGI, Sing's Buddhist Palm leaves a crater (Kung Fu Hustle).



11.8 Kung Fu Hustle: Sing's thunderclap foot does its work.

(2002), *Torque* (2004), *Crank* (2006), and *Idiocracy* (2006). Thanks to the investment of Sony/Columbia, Chow can use CGI to create a big-budget cartoon that seems at once traditional and up-to-date, Chinese and Western.

CJ7 is more modestly scaled, but almost every scene relies on digital tricks. As in *Shaolin Soccer*, however, a fairly firm structure pulls the gags together. After Dicky acquires CJ7, he takes it to school. On the way, CJ7 uses kung-fu to whip a vicious dog. In the classroom, the alien magically helps Dicky excel on a test. On the playing field, the boy can now perform to superhuman levels. This arc of Spielbergian wish fulfillment snaps off when Dicky discovers he's been dreaming. His first real day at school with CJ7 replays the dream, scene by scene and sometimes shot for shot, but with disastrous, feces-ridden consequences.

Soon the film turns melodramatic. Dicky, despondent, demands that his father leave him alone forever. He gets his wish when a construction accident kills Ti. The motif of dreams returns as Dicky forces himself to sleep, trusting that the day's trauma will be dispelled when he wakes up. Meanwhile CJ7 uses his power, a sort of galvanic version of *chi*, to revive Ti, and when Dicky



11.9 Kung Fu Hustle.

awakes, his father is lying beside him again. But CJ7, his power drained, expires. An epilogue ties things up, provides a flash of Chow's old humor in showing Ti ineptly courting Dicky's teacher, and supplies the film's feel-good ending. With *CJ7*, whose very title pays homage to the nation's space mission,⁷ China gets its own *ET*.

Although Chow had refashioned his brand, his home audience did not abandon him. *Shaolin Soc*-

cer remains the top-grossing Hong Kong film in the local market, earning US\$7.7 million (twice as much as Jackie Chan's *Accidental Spy* of the same year). For a few years Chan and Chow left the field to frothy comedies and the *Infernal Affairs* series. Then came *Kung Fu Hustle*, earning the top spot and making nearly as much as *Soccer*. Again Jackie was trounced, with *New Police Story* coming in far down the list when US imports were figured in. Thereafter Jackie's releases declined in drawing power, beaten by Harry Potter and Spider-man vehicles. Chow's *CJ7* scored \$6.64 million, twice as much as its nearest Chinese-language competitor, *Red Cliff*.

Somewhat surprisingly, *Shaolin Soccer* was banned from the Mainland, purportedly because the title would offend Buddhists.⁸ But even without theatrical returns from the PRC, the film attracted over \$40 million internationally. *CJ7*, which had China's blessing, earned about the same. The real success story was *Kung Fu Hustle*. Benefiting from Mainland and American funding and Sony/Columbia's distribution network, it amassed nearly \$100 million worldwide, \$20 million of that from the PRC.⁹

Chow's 2000s films, committed to relatively tidy scripts and CGI-driven earthquakes and fireballs, brought American studio flash and plot situations to China. He probably steered some Mainland directors, such as Ning Hao (*Crazy Stone*, 2006; *Silver Medalist*, 2009), down a similar path. Hong Kong directors were always borrowing from Hollywood, but few had the funding or skill to assimilate American family-fantasy conventions so thoroughly. From this angle, the lure of overseas markets and an expanding middle-class China, along with the dissemination of digital effects, encouraged a deeply local filmmaker to go global.

ANOTHER WIDELY ACCLAIMED local filmmaker had already done that. It's hard to know how much the 1997 Cannes Best Director award to *Happy Together* owes to the film itself. Perhaps there were other factors—a worry that Cannes had missed the boat on earlier Wong Kar-wai films, the awareness that he had become something of a cult director, the imminence of Hong Kong's reversion to China only a few weeks after the festival. In any case, Wong became a Cannes regular and a recognized auteur.

True, he continued to work in his mercurial, start-and-stop fashion. He would shoot hours of footage across years before starting over, or interrupting one project to launch another.¹⁰ True as well, he tried the patience of the Cannes officials with his penchant for arriving at the last minute with a not-quite-finished movie. Festival rules prohibited showing works in progress, and other directors grumbled about special treatment.¹¹ His tardiness was forgiven when In the Mood for Love (2000) got a rapturous reception and won a Best Actor award and a prize for "Superior Technique." The Cannes screenings of 2046 (2004) had to be rescheduled because the print wasn't delivered on time. Although 2046 won no awards, Wong had not burned his bridges. My Blueberry Nights was assigned the opening-night slot in 2007.

He had become a very privileged filmmaker. *In the Mood for Love* was financed by a French company. 2046 had Chinese, French, German, and American backing; in Hong Kong it was distributed by Twentieth Century Fox. Although *My Blueberry Nights* was an English-language project set in America, it was principally funded by France's Studio Canal. The films all did reasonably well in the arthouse market (particularly in France and Japan), with worldwide grosses ranging from \$12 million (*In the Mood for Love*) to nearly \$23 million (*My Blueberry Nights*). The first two, quickly acclaimed as contemporary classics, could expect long lives on home video.¹²

Meanwhile the Wong Kar-wai franchise expanded. His Jet Tone company moved into artists' management, representing Tony Leung Chiu-wai, Chang Chen, Carina Lau, and other actors and directors. Jet Tone also set up a three-picture deal

with Fox Searchlight for English-language projects (none of which came to fruition).¹³ Another branch of the firm was devoted to producing advertising spots and representing directors working in commercials.¹⁴ The customary flow of lavish books, CD soundtracks, special DVD editions, and grown-up toys continued.¹⁵ The tenth anniversary of Happy Together was celebrated with a large, limited-edition cube that unfolds like a Joseph Cornell box. It offers an upgraded DVD, a remastered CD, a DVD of the making-of, six postcards, two giant posters, a pair of themed boxer briefs, and a full-size replica of the rotating Iguacu Falls lamp. A director enthralled by the material culture of his youth gives us some tchachkis we can in turn wax nostalgic about some day. Given Wong's conception of the auteur as entrepreneur, Ashes of Time Redux (2007) emerges as both a rethinking of the 1994 film and a repackaging of it.¹⁶

If Stephen Chow went international via China and Hollywood, Wong did so through festivals, principally European ones, and art-house distribution. In this march to global sovereignty, the only major misstep seems to have been an effort at an American indie film. "Director poised for mainstream bow," declared Variety's headline before the Cannes premiere. "Blueberry' features bigger budget, Western stars."¹⁷ With a reported \$10 million budget, My Blueberry Nights put Norah Jones, Jude Law, David Strathairn, Rachel Weisz, and Natalie Portman in something approaching a mainstream road movie.¹⁸ A young woman recovering from a love affair finds some comfort in late-night visits to a New York café run by Jeremy, an expat from Manchester. But tormented by memories of her boyfriend, Elizabeth sets out across America by bus, stopping over to pick up waitress jobs. She becomes a witness to two other destructive relationships: an alcohol-drenched marriage between a woman and a highway patrolman, and the rebellion of a rich daughter against her father, whom she refuses to visit on his deathbed.¹⁹

Elizabeth's road trip offers life lessons. First, she switches roles with Jeremy. Behind the bar in Memphis, she becomes the sort of confidante that he had been in New York. Her efforts to help the sodden cop Arnie are ineffectual, and in his hopeless love for his estranged wife Sue Lynne Elizabeth seems to see an extreme case of her devotion to her ex. Should a woman then never trust a man? In a Nevada casino, she meets Leslie, a young woman who tries to teach her not to trust anyone. Yet Leslie's mistrust of her father leads her to regrets. By the end of Elizabeth's two-stop odyssey, she is echoing Henry James: The people she met are mirrors reflecting aspects of herself. She renames herself Lizzie in Memphis, Beth in Nevada. Thanks to her encounters, she's arrived at an optimistic self-assessment. "Each one makes me like myself a little more." In America, it's important to like yourself.

Such on-the-nose musings, to which Wong has always been tempted, are reinforced by some unfortunately explicit dialogue passages ("You're nothing—nothing to me"; "What are you doing here?" twice). A great deal of the film is made up of confessions and recollections, with physical action and dramatic moments kept offscreen. The settings, perhaps the least vividly realized in all of Wong's work, consist of generic bar, diner, café, casino, highway. The protagonist offers little of interest, being mostly a passive observer. Faye Wong can leap from languid to skittish, but Norah Jones' performance consists largely of leaning, slumping, and propping up her head (Fig. 11.10). So the expressive burden falls on camera and cutting. Jittery techniques are reserved for Jeremy's café, which tempts Wong into handheld moves, lateral tracking shots, and diffuse layers of focus that block our views of the characters (Fig. 11.11). The scenes are assembled rather choppily; *My*

Blueberry Nights is Wong's fastest-cut film, with an average shot length of about four seconds. The nervous pacing sets these New York scenes off from the more legible views we get during Elizabeth's trip, but those scenes become almost inert,



11.10 My Blueberry Nights: The yearning woman, waiting in a droopy Wong posture. Compare Fig. 9.12.



11.11 A New York diner as a Sternbergian clutter (My Blueberry Nights).

handled in rapid, dialogue-driven reverse shots. The film seldom explores the tactic, seen throughout *In the Mood for Love*, of accentuating a disconcerting turn in a conversation by cutting to a slightly different camera setup. One Memphis bar scene, which uses a flurry of cuts to shift characters abruptly across the wide screen, does capture a suite of tentative movements at a moment of drama. But most of the editing doesn't try to dissect gestures and gaits in Wong's usual manner.

The project is sprinkled with motifs from *Chungking Express*: the flirty server, recycled music, the keys dropped off at a café (but now there's a jar of them), a fake receipt as a hint at intimacy, and especially love understood as food. Jeremy compares Elizabeth to his blueberry pie, which is delicious but never ordered. When she looks away from him, we get sensuous shots of vanilla ice cream seeping into fruit. She falls asleep on the bar, her lips flecked with whipped cream, and the kiss that Jeremy sneaks amounts to sharing her dessert.

In all, *My Blueberry Nights* is something of a retreat. It's hard to escape the sense that Wong is mimicking himself, rewrapping older goods. The sense of an appliquéd casualness is all the stronger because the films that immediately preceded *My Blueberry Nights* were far more strict in their use of space and time. After all, the jagged lyricism of Wong's mid-1990s films had been widely copied, both at home and abroad. By 2000 he was ready to try something free of what in other hands had become mannerisms.

Wong, born in 1958, moved to Hong Kong five years later and grew up in a community of Shanghainese exiles. His second film, *Days of Being Wild*, had already visited that era, marked chiefly by its vivid costumes and its invocations of Chinese and Western popular culture. With *In the* *Mood for Love* and 2046, he returned to the more sober pace and stable technique of *Days*. The new rigor chimes with the characters' maturity. *Days* assembles some of Wong's typical twentysomethings, while the two later films present settled adults moving into middle age across the 1960s. Taken together, all three create a private world centered on a lost Hong Kong, an objective correlative for an essential theme in his work: memories don't fade, but the past cannot be revisited. All one can do is cherish the memories while resigning oneself to mutability.

In the Mood for Love trades in the staples of melodrama: adultery, coincidental encounters and revelations, couples meeting or separating on rainy nights, good manners hiding suppressed longings. But Wong complicates things, creating not a love triangle but a love quadrangle. In 1962, two married couples live in apartments within a larger flat owned by Mrs. Suen. Soon the journalist Chow's wife starts an affair with Su Li-zhen's husband Chan, with the two sneaking off to Japan on the pretext of business. The early part of the film is filled with routines of the household and hints of something amiss in the marriages. At the halfhour mark, Chow and Li-zhen admit to one another that their spouses are cheating on them. First surprise: they don't immediately fall into each other's arms. Instead, they begin role-playing. How did the affair start? Who made the first move? How did the other react? Through this narrative device Wong folds the virtual past into the immediate present, but it also allows him to push the present drama forward. The replays give the new couple permission to engage in guiltless flirtation and occasional outbursts; at one point, Li-zhen bursts into tears after imagining what might have happened. At the same time, we are ready to ask if Chow and Li-zhen will fall in love with one another.

Wong's other major narrative device could be taken as a gimmick: He never shows the faces of the errant spouses. Partly this is a way to hide implausibility. What husband would leave a woman as gorgeous as Maggie Cheung? What man could be more magnetic than Tony Leung Chiu-wai? More important, showing the other pair would force some psychological analysis of them, turning the film into a four-hander. Wong's decision to keep the rival lovers obscure permits a shift of narrative weight. He has invoked Hitchcock: "What happens outside the frame is what interests me."20 Granted, there is a certain suspense around the hidden faces, forcing us to listen to the dialogue more carefully. Still, the effect isn't primarily that of mystery. Once we realize we will never see the lovers (with only a hazy glimpse of Chow's guilty wife), we can take their liaison as a given and concentrate on the pressures that their affair exert on Chow and Li-zhen.

Those effects are seen, initially, in the sort of cycles we know from other Wong films: routines of cooking and gift exchange, hesitant pauses, sheer down-time. But the plot picks up a new impetus when, instead of having an affair, Chow and Li-zhen begin to collaborate on writing martial-arts novels. To an extent, this activity channels and masks whatever desire may be emerging between them. Eventually, when they start to meet in a hotel for their writing sessions, the erotic opportunities can't be ignored. Yet the couple can't overcome their fear of gossip and their sense of shame at mimicking their partners' infidelity. To succumb to desire would legitimize the pain that their partners inflicted on them. So initially they agree: "We won't be like them."

As in other Wong films, love is a matter of timing. Chow and Li-zhen each have bursts of honest passion for the other, but they can't get in synch. In an understated pair of climactic scenes, Chow waits for Li-zhen in room 2046,²¹ but she doesn't come. When she does decide to join him, he's already gone. Near the end, Chow has decided he has no hope and has gone to Singapore. Li-Zhen visits his room there, but by the time he returns, she's left. Hence the classic Wong emotional atmosphere: melancholic yearning. The original title, echoing one of the songs heard on the track, means "In Full Bloom," but that is partly ironic. The love blossoming between Chow and Su Lizhen never reaches full bloom, is in fact stifled at almost every turn.

The suppression comes partly from a hothouse atmosphere. *In the Mood for Love* returns to the

controlled look of *Days of Being Wild*. The camera is fixed, compositions snap into place, costumes and hairstyles and settings create ravishing tints and textures. But it's more stylistically rigorous than the earlier film. *Days* did exploit long-held close-ups and layers of focus, but those techniques were accompanied by powerful landscape shots and striking high and low angles. *In the Mood for Love* is determinedly narrow-gauge. The corridors and small rooms of the film's locations justify clenched, partial views. Even open spaces like the pressroom or the hotel lobby are shot for maximum confinement, turning Wong's typical slit staging into a series of compartments. The first long shot is delayed for almost half an



11.12 After Chow and Li-zhen acknowledge their spouses' affair, they wander down the street in waltzlike slow-motion (*In the Mood for Love*).

hour (Fig. 11.12). Instead, the tight medium-shot is king. Faces are surrounded by furnishings, reflected in mirrors, and framed in windows and doorways (Figs. 11.13–11.14). Waists and arms and legs glide past in a limpid choreography, enhanced by slow motion. Only in the epilogue at Angkor Wat does the frame open onto genuine expanses, and they are mostly scoured of human presence.

The bulk of the film takes place over a year or so, and it is splintered into about a hundred discrete scenes in ninety-five minutes. Some of these are montage sequences activating even smaller particles of action. But the film doesn't seem choppy, thanks largely to the editing of William



11.13 Li-zhen decides to move in.



11.14 Years later, she returns to Mrs. Suen's flat.

Chang Suk-ping, who, also serving as art director, deserves a large share of the credit for the film's texture.²² The music segues us between many scenes, and the cutting allows for quick recognition that we've skipped over some time. Some scenes blend so smoothly that it takes a moment to realize that time has passed (Figs. 11.15–11.16).



11.15 Crisp cutting links Chow striding through the apartment...

Wong suggests scene shifts by changes of costume too, particularly the seasonal *cheongsams* that Lizhen wears. The spatial compactness and repeated framings allows Wong to get into a new scene fast (Figs. 11.17–11.18). Birthdays punctuate the action, allowing for gift exchanges and some mingling with the other families living in the flat.



11.16 ...to his movement in the newspaper office. The connection also reiterates the cycles of routine that fill this part of the film.

Chow and Li-zhen's relationship is as stuck in place as that of Lai and Ho in Happy Together. Repetitions of locales, musical cues, camera setups, and floating slow motion accrete until time seems to spiral around the couple. At bottom, change is an illusory notion; when Chow suggests that he and Li-zhen write stories "to get a change," they simply launch a new cycle of habits. Some years later, when the couple's paths nearly cross, we're reminded that time just passes, without necessarily leading to reconciliation or union. Here again the Angkor Wat epilogue lifts the film to another level, settling Chow into a spot in which time seems to have stopped altogether. Yet tentative shoots of a flower poke out of a crevice. Something may bloom after all.

With the banked fires of *In the Mood for Love* Wong refreshed his brand and outran his imitators. The film lifted him to a new level of international recognition, and it allowed the Jet Tone territory to grow. There was an *In the Mood for*



11.17 A brief scene starts with Li-zhen at Chow's doorway.



11.18 The next scene starts with a similar shot, with the distinct costume change indicating the passage of considerable time.

Love radio play, and Wong made a music video with Tony Leung Chiu-wai and Maggie Cheung in the Shanghai Grand Hyatt hotel. The Manhattan branch of Shanghai Tam displayed the film's *cheongsams* in its window.²³ But the fullest extension of Wong's franchise was yet to come.

The final sequence of *Days of Being Wild* had gained attention by enigmatically introducing a new character. A slick young man played by Tony Leung Chiu-wai files his nails, combs his hair, and sets out from his bedroom for a night on the town (Fig. 11.19). The scene was intended to link to a planned, never-made second part of the film.²⁴ Aficionados have often asked Wong if he would ever make that second part, and, in a shape-shifting fashion, *2046* is his answer. It links as well to *In the Mood for Love*, not creating a sequel exactly, but something like one. "I prefer to say that it extends the life of one of its protagonists."²⁵

Wong's new problem is to differentiate the follow-up from the earlier film. So we have a changed Chow. Sporting a thin mustache, he has become a cynical heartbreaker, still writing pulp fiction but spending most of his time luring and fending off women. Moreover, instead of giving him a single lady friend, Wong gives him several. In Singapore the mysterious woman gambler known as the Black Spider helps finance his return to Hong Kong. There Chow takes up residence in a hotel, next to room 2046, and during the film's first hour women move in and out in roundelay fashion. Chow encounters the courtesan Lulu, the landlord's daughters Jing-wen and Jie-wen, and the escort Bai Ling. He flirts with Lulu, is attracted to Jing-wen (who has a Japanese boyfriend), tries to dodge the oversexed and underage Jie-wen, and plunges into a torrid affair with Bai Ling. Midway through the film, the cycle starts again, with three of the women successively reentering Chow's life.

In the Mood for Love was chaste, but 2046 is carnal. Chow, now without a wife, lives in a world where women are for sale. After a passionate fling with the call-girl Bai Ling, Chow finds her falling in love with him. She is willing to become his alone if he'll stop seeing other women. But he talks of them "borrowing time" from one another and tries to give her money after their first night in bed. He continues to philander and leave her ten-dollar bills after their trysts. Chow's betraval of Bai Ling is set against the purer love of Jingwen and her Japanese boyfriend. Jiang-wen and Bai Ling are the most extensively characterized of the film's women, and both are given privileged moments in which we see them outside Chow's ken. In a way, both will lead Chow back to the sort of tortured yearning he had felt with Su Li-zhen.

Another major change involves, no surprise, time. In the Mood for Love was largely linear in its time scheme, but some scenes of 2046 are jumbled out of chronological order. The plot begins with Chow leaving Singapore in 1966, implicitly after the Angkor Wat epilogue of *In the Mood for* Love. The action moves chronologically for the most part until the last half-hour. Then the plot switches among scenes set in 1971, Singapore scenes from 1969, and Singapore scenes from 1966-as well as flashbacks to Chow's platonic affair with Li-zhen in the previous film. Part of the purpose is to bring the major women together in close proximity and thus highlight the newly revealed relationship with the Black Spider. It is she, whose name happens to be Su Li-zhen, who articulates the sense that he will forever compare



11.19 The enigmatic sequence closing *Days of Being Wild*: A dandy we haven't seen or heard about heads out for the evening.

every woman he meets to the original Su Li-zhen. The revelation that Chow abandoned the Black Spider in Singapore retrospectively confirms the impossibility of any of the women he meets satisfying him.

Time is juggled another way, and more daringly. A quarter of the way into the film, Chow begins to write a science-fiction novel called "2046." The premise is that a train carries people from the year 2047 back to the year just passed. On the ride, which seems interminable, passengers are served by android women (Fig. 11.20). Tak, Chow's fictional surrogate, meets a robotic version of Jing-wen, and he asks her to love him; but of course she rebuffs him. Why? Perhaps her feelingss are out of synchronization with his, or perhaps she loves someone else.

Chow, who lives in room 2047 and spies on what happens next door, builds his own experiences into the "2046" plotline. It seems clear that the act of writing the tale makes him more compassionate toward the women in his life. Tak's weeping surrender to the impossibility of having the android-woman he wants seems to exorcise Chow's bitterness. Love, he reflects, is a matter of timing, the very thing that kept him and the original Su Li-zhen apart. So he urges Jing-wen to seize the moment and flee to Japan, and he gives Bai Ling the money to start afresh in Singapore. Above all, with Bai Ling begging him to stay with her, he refuses-not out of callousness this time, but because he has decided that there is a part of himself, the part that loved Li-zhen, that he can't foreswear. No more lending or borrowing, he says. He has again become that romantic idealist who whispered his secret into the cranny of a door frame in Angkor Wat.

That whispering motif arrived fairly late in *In the Mood for Love* (a last-minute addition to meet

the Cannes deadline?), but it becomes salient in 2046. The film begins with a giant spongelike biomorph into which the android Jing-wen passes her secret. Later, the film's desperate kissing scenes set up a contrast between that almost sacred act of secret confession and the burning thrust of tongue and mouth. Other ties to the previous film are just as apparent. We're once more in the mode of melodrama, with infidelity, sexual jealousy, angry infatuation, a friend's interference (Ping tells Bai Ling that Chow can't be committed to her), and the convention of insulting a prostitute in love by offering to pay. Musical cues are recvcled. The characters live in cramped interiors; again the medium-shots are hemmed-in, but the anamorphic widescreen permits more radically decentered framings, so that proper long shots remain rare (Figs. 11.21–11.22). Again a phone table or a restaurant entryway will be filmed from comparable setups whenever it appears. Now, though, the palette has become more restricted, with red and green predominating (Figs. 11.23-11.24). This color scheme accords with the four years of Christmas holidays we see across the film. On the whole, *In the Mood for Love* and *2046* blend to create a remarkably consistent world, nuanced by the tonal shift from the sumptuous delicacy of the first to the brassy, somewhat brutal encounters of the second.

The two films also solidify connections to Days of Being Wild. Some of Days' musical cues are reused, chiefly "Perfidia," which participates in a moment of compressed structure late in the film (Fig. 11.25). In 2046 Chow runs into Lulu/Mimi (played again by Carina Lau) and they talk of her late boyfriend. She calls her newest lover her "legless bird," an evocation of Yuddy's parable of never settling down. Above all, Su Li-zhen has the same name as one of Yuddy's amours in Days, and the same actress, Maggie Cheung, takes the role. Is she the same woman? If so, does her reluctance to join Chow stem from her unrequited love for Yuddy, or at least the dependency that she shows in the first film? We might then understand the long take of Tony Leung Chiu-wai at the end of Days to represent Chow exiled to Singapore after



11.20 *2046*.



11.21 The anamorphic frame ratio allows Wong to let masses of color dwarf the characters (*2046*).



11.23 *2046*: Thanks to the 100-millimeter lens, Wong can flatten a scene's space into blocks of color.



11.22 Like *In the Mood for Love, 2046* uses interior spaces to chop the frame into apertures and blocking elements.



11.24 *2046*.

his failed affair in Hong Kong. Perhaps he's even going out to the casino to meet the Black Spider?

A nifty idea, but Stephen Teo points out that the time frames don't mesh.²⁶ *Days* occurs in 1960, but *In the Mood* starts two years later. Unless *Days*' final shot of Tony Leung Chiu-Wai is a flashforward jumping ahead to the later 1960s, we would have to assume that before he married he was already a low-rent playboy, a role that doesn't square with the somewhat timid man we see in *In the Mood*. We might be tempted to add in Wong's

short film *The Hand* (2004), in which Gong Li plays a courtesan with a strong resemblance to Black Spider (who always keeps one hand covered by a glove). But then we should recall that there she has a different name and expires at that film's end.²⁷

Still, the teasing cross-references suggest that even art-house cinema can tap the "worldmaking" model of Hollywood franchises.²⁸ Wong's sixties realm benefits from being a bit difficult to map. If he has created a trilogy, it is one that conjures up



11.25 As Chow sits in the casino, we get the present (1969) on the image track, the past (1963) in voice-over, and the musical theme from *Days of Being Wild*, set in 1960.

overlapping and diverging universes, where partial doubles, younger and older, are glimpsed in split reflections and distorted through smudged glass—in short, a world that is a bit hallucinatory (Figs. 11.26–11.27). Anyhow, we know that time is plastic and malleable in the hands of a science-fiction writer, or a filmmaker for whom the past cannot be fully recaptured.

In Chapter 9 I argued that Wong Kar-wai's films of the 1990s exemplified the possibility of "avant-pop" cinema. He found fresh, even challenging means of expression in genres, stars, and local norms of construction like episodic plotting. With In the Mood for Love and 2046, he continued to rely on handsome stars and ingratiating music; he infused poetic energy into genre clichés. (What other major director would dare end a film with a flower growing out of a wall crack?) This is art cinema quite close to the mainstream. Still, I must admit that Wong shifted toward a more respectable restraint. The films of the 2000s embody a sense of enclosure and throbbing desire very elegantly, but they are also rather single-note and static. They deliberately lack the spikes of vitality that are so arresting in the earlier films. (There are flashes of them in the Bai Ling character in 2046, but her outbursts are quickly contained.) The ripples in a fairly static situation have replaced the rhythm of languid waiting and abrupt release. In shifting from romantic comedy to melodrama, Wong has sacrificed nervy energy to a sedate gracefulness. Fave in Chungking Express, or Baby in Fallen Angels, or Ho Po-wing in Happy Together could linger with the best of them, but they also provoked confrontations. Even the relatively constrained Days of Being Wild centered on a rascal always ready to fight a man or dump a woman. Despite a return to the old look in My Blueberry Nights, that film lapses



11.26 In the Mood for Love.



11.27 *2046*: At Chow's urging, Jing-wen calls her boyfriend in Japan.

into droopy psychodrama. Instead of being avantpop, Wong risked becoming modernism light. Or maybe he was just growing up.

At various points in the Cannes cut of 2046, Chow murmurs that he must try to change. Likewise, Wong explained, the film would be his last visit to the captivating 1960s. "I am going to try something radically different."²⁹ *My Blueberry Nights* was not to fulfill the pledge, but even before that production he had already begun preparing to move into a new genre. As if to show his allegiance to popular tradition, he planned a film about Bruce Lee's sifu. He was beaten to the punch; Wilson Yip's *Ip Man* and its sequel (2008, 2010) were box-office successes across Asia. In late November 2010 Wong's *The Grandmasters* was scheduled to open, but the deadline passed. He was reportedly still shooting.

STEPHEN CHOW AND Wong Kar-wai were the great exceptions. Most filmmakers had to plow lonely furrows. They moved quickly from project to project, adhering to the usual routines. "In Hong Kong," noted Peter Chan in 2004, "you package and shoot a film in two months because you can't afford not to."30 Western and some Mainland backers demanded a clear-cut script in advance, but shooting often remained very fast and improvisatory. Actors were eager to work, so familiar faces like Eric Tsang and Anthony Wong, twin pillars of Infernal Affairs, could also be seen in a Wong Jing quickie like Bullet and Brain (2007). Genres like horror, romantic comedy, drama, and crime stories persisted, although brought up to date in various ways. For example, the plot tracing the development of a family over several years was revived for a cycle of "anniversary films" chronicling life during the ten years since the

handover (*Mr. Cinema, Wonder Women, Hooked* on You, all 2007).

Critics complained that filmmakers were straining to clone the biggest hits of the 1980s. There was also fresh competition from Hollywood and, somewhat unexpectedly, from the ambitious young South Korean cinema. By 2000, Korean film was winning in many markets, from festivals to regional multiplexes. The slick, cosmopolitan Korean action cinema made Hong Kong movies look a little rustic. Nothing in the former colony could match the resources on display in *JSA* (2000) or the war picture *Taeguki* (2004). The assassination sequence near the start of Lee Myung-se's *Nowhere to Hide* (1999) owes a lot to the step-printing and abrupt cutting of Hong Kong cinema, but the graphic design smacked of advertising and art photography (Fig. 11.28), and not many directors in the Hong Kong tradition would have dared to score the bloodletting to the Bee Gees' "You're a Holiday."³¹

So there was a demand for novelty. An obvious path had been opened by Wong Kar-wai, and many directors fell under his spell. Films in every genre began to include voice-over narration, often displaced from its chronological position or stressing the distance between past and present states of mind. In the costume picture *The Warlords*, one character muses, "He told me later that he didn't believe in the pledge...." Scenes were linked by fast- or slow-motion shots of traffic or shifting skies beyond towering buildings. Now neon-splashed streets were shot with whip pans, flash cuts, and abrupt refocusing. The spasmodic



11.28 In a candy-flavored color scheme, the hitman waits in *Nowhere to Hide*.

movements and wide-angle distortions of *Fallen Angels* were imitated in horror and absurd comedy. The prolific brothers Oxide and Danny Pang showed how easily Wong's lyrical style could be blended with the aggressive imagery already emerging in action and horror films (Figs. 11.29–11.30). Wong's casually gorgeous aesthetic became somewhat more calcified in Yeung Fan's camp-S&M project *Colour Blossoms* (2004; Fig. 11.31).

The appropriation of the Wong look came at a moment when filmmakers in Europe, America, and South Korea were cooking up what was being called eye candy. If only to seem up to date, Hong Kong filmmakers were pressed to stylize the most ordinary exercise. La Brassiere (2001), about men working in a lingerie-design company, used *Ally* McBeal exaggeration to enhance comedy. Action films, which had shown bursts of abstract color and composition in the golden age, became downright flashy. Jackie Chan's 1980s films had a clean, functional beauty, but New Police Story (2004) succumbed to the trend toward daring shot designs (Fig. 11.32). When a defiant young man halts a triad in a rainswept street, Dante Lam spares several shots to build up rhythms of color and movement (Figs. 11.33-11.34). In the same spirit, credit sequences became more elaborately designed. Pang Ho-chung's comedy You Shoot, I Shoot (2001), about a hitman who hires a filmmaker to record his killings, lays its high-concept conceit out in zany graphics (Fig. 11.35).

As an alternative, a rougher style flaunted messiness. For the *Young and Dangerous* series, Andrew Lau had developed a grab-and-go handheld look, especially for scenes of violence. The choice enabled him to cut costs and introduce something different from the carefully staged shooting style typical of most pictures. But through the late 1990s and early 2000s, veterans



11.29 Ghosts lick hanging meat in dreamlike imagery from The Eye.



11.30 The Detective (Danny Pang, 2007).



11.31 The lustrous surfaces of *Colour Blossoms* carry hints of Wongian anomie; compare Fig. 11.27.



11.32 Jackie Chan scrambles up the Convention Centre in *New Police Story*, directed by Benny Chan.



11.33 *Jiang Hu*: The gangster's umbrella slides in slow motion toward the young recruit.



11.34 A few shots later, the drama halts to let us watch rain dribble down.



11.35 You Shoot, I Shoot.

like Yuen Kwai and Benny Chan continued to turn out action scenes of forceful precision. At the same time, American directors, not so inclined toward exactitude of action, had embraced an even looser handling of fights than we saw in the *Die Hard* sequence (Chapter 8). Michael Bay and others began to render chases and fistfights in almost indecipherable ways—blurring and bumping the image, panning out of focus, using snapzooms with abandon. Hong Kong filmmakers occasionally took up these habits, usually with unfortunate results. Some directors introduced the rough look in small measures, as in Soi Cheang Pou-sou's *Dog Bite Dog* (2006; Fig. 11.36).

Filmmakers continued the mid-1990s efforts to adopt the new narrative forms that had emerged in Hollywood and on the international scene, as well as in the wake of Wong Kar-wai's early work. Flashbacks became frequent, either as devices framing the main story or as brief interruptions that build mystery or remind the audience of something seen earlier. The tactic of replaying a film's first scene in order to throw it into a new light had become famous in Pulp Fiction (1994), and Peter Chan applied it to Comrades: Almost a Love Story (1996). The device is reused in the Chan-produced Twelve Nights (2000) and the crime thriller Beast Stalker (2008). A film might be chaptered (Merry-Go-Round, 2001; Love in a Puff, 2010) or assembled out of tenuously connected anecdotes (Trivial Matters, 2007). An opening scene might be revealed as a flash-forward, to be replayed and explained later (Heroic Duo, 2003; Divergence, 2005). There were network narratives surveying women of different ages (20:30:40, 2004) and tracing crisscrossing love affairs (Rave Fever, 1999; Accident, 1999; Love Connected, 2009; Hot Summer Days, 2010). A few films posited alternate realities, such as Split Second Murders

(2009) and *Written By* (2009), and at least one film offered a plot that proceeds in reverse order, Ivy Ho's *Claustrophobia* (2008).

More surprisingly, several filmmakers sought to break away from the tradition of episodic plotting and move toward Hollywood's tighter interweaving of cause and effect. When a Wong Jing film like *I Corrupt All Cops* (2009) creates three carefully developed strands of action, each one involving several characters tied to other strands, you know something has changed. On the whole, genre pictures were somewhat more tightly structured than before.

Following the lead of films like *Top Banana Club* (1996), some comedies took on more formal complexity. *Men Suddenly in Black* (2003) centers on a quartet of husbands who, having packed their

wives off to a day trip to Thailand, plan to devote fourteen hours to extramarital sex. Apart from the usual mild social satire on gambling men and shopaholic women, and the inevitable citations of other movies (heist films, The Godfather, even Magnolia), the film has an unexpected structural playfulness. The men's bumbling "missions" across the day are intercut with flashbacks to their past attempts to be playboys. Halfway through, they realize their wives are tailing them in a van. The narration skips back to show the women leaving their plane before takeoff, tracking down the men, and triggering the police raid that we've seen drive the husbands out of a brothel. The rapid crosscutting and unexpected flashbacks continue to reveal new twists up to the very last shot. By Hollywood standards, Men Suddenly in



11.36 At moments *Dog Bite Dog* seems to aim at a noirish version of Wong's aggressive compositions in *Fallen Angels*.

Black is not innovative, but it's an ingenious piece of work compared with most Hong Kong sex comedies of the 1990s.

Perhaps the most influential romantic comedy of the new decade, Johnnie To and Wai Ka-fai's Needing You (2000) showed that the tradition of reel-by-reel construction did not rule out tighter plotting. The burgeoning love between daffy but persevering Kinki (Sammi Cheng) and her priapic boss Andy (Andy Lau) leads her to devote herself to his work and leads him to help her dump her cheating boyfriend. Each of the ten reels initiates a new problem, often in the first scene, but the complications grow plausibly out of office politics and the two romantic triangles. True to Hong Kong formula, reel seven brings the competing romances to a high point, and reel eight starts with the accusation that Andy has allowed a Mainland company to pirate a piece of hardware. As in a Hollywood film, two plotlines, one involving love and the other involving work, have to be resolved, and that resolution is spread across the last two reels. Throughout are braided motifs of a love amulet and repeated behavior, such as Kinki's habit of dealing with disappointment by scrubbing whatever surfaces are in front of her (Fig. 11.37)—a tic that Andy picks up when he thinks he has lost her. Needing You helped establish the cycle of workplace-romance films (La Brassiere; Dry Wood Fierce Fire, 2002) that won the female audience and eased post-handover box-office pressures.

The "relationship" film was likewise given a firmer architecture. Barbara Wong Chun-Chun's *Truth or Dare:* 6^{th} *Floor Rear Flat* (2003) presents a familiar situation: six twentysomethings sharing an apartment. But the plot action has a strict arc. Each member of the cohort writes out what she or he hopes to accomplish over the next year,

and the "wills" are stored in Coke bottles. The bulk of the action focuses on the two women in the group, the aspiring writer Karena and the tough fortune-teller Candy. Candy gets romantically involved with two policemen, while Karena falls in love with her editor, whom she knows only as a voice on the phone. There are several felicities of plotting. At the moment all the flatmates accept the dare, we don't see what any of them writes. In the course of the year, single shots will interrupt the action to show one of the character's hands scribbling out a will. Some inscriptions we can quickly identify with a character, but others are more ambivalent. And at a certain point we see unknown hands removing the wills and replacing them with other ones-ones reflecting the failures that each friend has encountered across the year. Subplots involving the young men are punctuated by parties that assemble everyone for increasingly punitive games of Truth or Dare. Compared to the rather hostile breakups we see here, the wistfulness of films like *Feel 100%* seems relatively innocent. Yet Truth or Dare remains steeped in a tradition of youthful poignancy. One evening on the roof, with all the roommates hanging out and confessing secrets, trails off into a Wong-Kar-wai-flavored voice-over declaring that in retrospect this was the best night of the year. And the film doesn't lack typical Hong Kong vulgarity: one gag is based on SARS (Fig. 11.38), and the punishment for those who haven't fulfilled their wills involves eating their landlady's feces.



11.37 Learning of Andy's scheme to find her a boyfriend, Kinki responds with her compulsive cleaning. *Needing You* brought together Andy Lau and Sammi Cheng, two of the very biggest stars in 2000s Hong Kong popular media.

Even action films, which tended to be quite episodic, moved toward principles of large-scale structure articulated by American practitioners and vividly displayed in Infernal Affairs (2002). Benny Chan Muk-sing's Divergence (2005) lays out its premises in the first half hour, giving its main character two goals that will dominate the rest of the movie. Under the same director. New Police Story displayed a concern for tonal unity and complex plotting that was unusual in a Jackie Chan vehicle. Derek Yee pointed out in 2001 that the script "is an integral part of getting some quality back into Hong Kong movies."32 His own widely acclaimed One Nite in Mongkok (2004) and Protégé (2007) display three-act/four-part plot structures, complete with goals, deadlines, strategic shifts in attached point of view, and symmetrical prologues and epilogues.³³

True suspense films were rare in Hong Kong cinema. An action sequence might create momentary tension, but long-term suspense is difficult to maintain if the filmmaker is tempted to jump from drama to comedy or to mix digressions with set-pieces. Soi Cheang's Love Battlefield (2004), however, showed that an urban thriller could be built entirely on suspense. Yui and his live-in girlfriend Ching were once a loving couple, but now they bicker constantly. They decide on a trip to Europe to patch things up, but preparing to drive to the airport, they find their car missing. From this point on, about twelve minutes into the movie, the tension does not stop. The couple quarrel and separate; Yui finds the car, which has been commandeered by robbers from the Mainland. When the robbers learn that Yui is a medical worker in an emergency room, they force him along to tend to their wounded comrade. As the gang cuts a bloody swath across the city, Ching tries to find Yui.

There are no pauses for breath; each scene traces thrust and counter-thrust in logical but unpredictable ways. Some sequences pull the audience's sympathy in opposing directions. Yui has been taken back to the apartment and the gang won't hesitate to kill Ching if she turns up. Ching knows nothing about Yui's disappearance, so when she calls him, he must hesitate before picking up. The gang is watching him. If he answers, he might be able to transmit a clue to summon the police. But if he doesn't answer, she will take his silence as a sign he has broken definitively off. Yet this might be Yui's last chance to tell Ching he's sorry before the gang kills him. Hong Kong filmmakers have long generated oneoff suspense from a poker game or a hero dangling from a ledge, but here, for seventy-five minutes, a carefully detailed plot sustains our anxiety about each small moment in the lives of two flawed characters.

Soi Cheang learned to manage overarching suspense in *Diamond Hill* (2000), *Horror Hotline: Big Head Monster* (2001), and *New Blood* (2002), all strong entries in a fresh cycle of horror films.³⁴ For most national cinemas, horror films are cheap to produce and easy to market, and the new financial pressures obliged Hong Kong directors to plug away at the genre.³⁵ The horror-film anthology, typically three stories linked by a theme or location, became a cut-price item with the *Troublesome Night* series, which clocked over a dozen installments from 1997 into the early 2000s. The format was upgraded through the efforts of Peter Chan's Applause company to build relations



11.38 In fear of SARS, one roommate eats with his father and mother in an overcautious way (*Truth or Dare*).

among pan-Asian directors (*Three*, 2002; *Three... Extremes*, 2004).

One of the items in *Three...Extremes* derived from an exceptionally elegant feature, *Dumplings* (2004). Here independent director Fruit Chan turns his talents to the story of Lee Qi, an ageing former soap-opera actress who experiments with a Mainland émigré's unusual cuisine. The idea that eating human embryos and fetuses can keep you young might have been the occasion for digressions and tonal oscillations in the vein of *The Untold Story* (1993). Instead, Chan and screenwriter Lilian Lee, adapting her own story, create a tightly organized tale that in only ninety minutes manages to evoke a range of themes: the way sex, birth, and death are bound together through blood;

the pressures on women to look young; abortion and other social consequences of the Mainland's one-child policy; the Chinese fascination with food (and cannibalism); and the struggle between complacent Hong Kong wealth and cunning Mainland poverty. Avoiding expensive special effects, the filmmakers use ripe color, off-center close-ups, and peculiar angles to make the sickening revelations eerily beautiful (Fig. 11.39). What we hear is likewise both gripping and off-putting. Qi's chewing of the dumplings allows Chan to dwell on slurps, crunches, and gulps. One of the nastiest sound bridges in recent cinema has to be the dribbling sound that ends a scene of cunnilingus and continues into a shot of blood dripping onto a bus seat from a girl's birth canal. The performance



11.39 Working with Christopher Doyle as cinematographer, Fruit Chan gave *Dumplings* a disconcerting visual style that somewhat echoes Doyle's own *Away with Words* (1999).

of Miriam Yeung is built out of small changes in demeanor, showing how a pinched matron becomes a woman trembling with desire. Her gestures of stroking her shoulder and staring into mirrors come to seem warm-ups for the limber stretching of sensual Aunt Mei ("I'm my own best advertisement"). In the 1990s, one could not have expected that this cinema would ever yield a horror film as disturbing and lapidary as *Dumplings*.³⁶

Despite pressures to tighten up plots in all genres, episodic construction endured, predictably in comedies or feel-good New Year's films like 72 Tenants of Prosperity (2010). Magic Kitchen (2004), riffing on Sex and the City, follows a restaurant owner and her two friends as they run through men and fashions in more or less random sequence. Coincidences, overheard information, and mishaps could be recruited to keep plots going. In Initial D (2005), a key revelation turns on the hero's girlfriend being accidentally spotted leaving a love hotel.³⁷ Hidden Track (2003), a sort of mashup of Chungking Express, Amélie, and Hello Kitty, brings a Mainland girl to Hong Kong in search of love and a limited edition Jay Chou CD. Her quest strings together whimsical sequences featuring a New Age guru, a nameless CD dealer, a tough female cabbie, another CD dealer mourning his dog, and cartoon characters replaying the action. Less self-consciously cute was Crazy n' the *City* (2005), which follows a weary beat cop and his new female partner through a string of comic/ sentimental/dramatic encounters, culminating in a shocking murder and the pursuit of a serial killer. At their most unpretentious, as in the easygoing Merry-Go-Round (2001), such films can generate affection for the characters while suggesting that life can't be encompassed in a traditionally tight plot.

THE POST-1995 CRISIS of the local industry coincided with the arrival of digital filmmaking.38 In America, the new technology emerged at both ends of the spectrum, in low-budget independent production and in special effects for high-end projects. It was clear that any industry wanting to compete with Hollywood would need to upgrade. Hong Kong's Centro Digital Pictures, which had begun as a TV commercial house, had made a calling-card feature, The Umbrella Story (1994). In tracing a family business through the decades, it sought to stay abreast of Forrest Gump and other Hollywood breakthroughs in visual effects (Fig. 11.40). In 1996, Centro invested \$2 million in a scanner, workstations, and a film recorder. The company's resources were on display in The Storm Riders (1998; Fig. 11.41).³⁹ Centro would go on to supply computer effects for Shaolin Soccer, Kung Fu Hustle, and Kill Bill. Within a few years, competitors entered the field, notably Menfond Electronic Arts, which worked on The Legend of Zu (2001; Fig. 11.42), and FatFace Production, which had the contract for Bodyguards and Assassins (2009) and the *Storm Riders* sequel, *Storm Warriors* (2009).⁴⁰ By 2010, dozens of effects and animation companies were operating in Hong Kong, and many more on the Mainland.

For Hong Kong filmmakers the market demand for CGI was a mixed blessing. Young audiences liked special effects, and to keep up with Hollywood, films would have to upgrade their look. At



11.41 One of the fantastic beasts conjured up in *The Storm Riders*, a fantasy *wuxia* based on a popular comic-book series.



11.40 In a panorama of a family's life through the century, employees of the Leung So Kee Umbrella Factory advise the young Bruce Lee to quit his day job (*Umbrella Story*).



11.42 *The Legend of Zu*, shot in a remarkable 45 days, required several months of postproduction.

first computer-based effects were a luxury, and filmmakers accustomed to quick output had trouble adjusting to lengthy postproduction sessions. Yet digital technology promised savings during shooting; many releases of the 2000s at all budget levels were shot in video formats. For example, the independent group [ying e chi] produced a feature, *Fu Bo* (2003) on DigiBeta (Fig. 11.43). The somewhat experimental narrative centers on a man who performs autopsies and traces his links with a prison cook and a killer on the loose.

As costs dropped, major releases shot on film relied heavily on digital effects. Swordplay and kung-fu films could employ CGI to erase wirework or to generate outlandish swoops and dives. Romances could enhance their mood with color adjustments or animated effects. For slapstick comedy, Stephen Chow pointed the way: his three films of the 2000s were extravagant demonstrations of digital special effects. Urban action films benefited as well. Now grainy slow-motion could be replaced by smooth ramping; cars could run down people without benefit of tricky editing; a



11.43 In *Fu Bo*, the depth of field afforded by prosumer video lets directors Wong Ching-Po and Lee Kung-lok pack a single shot. The going-away cake on the surgical table is for the young man in the distance, who's decided not to be a mortician.

falling man could splat to earth in a single shot (Figs. 11.44–11.46).

Animation, with its extensive planning and production time and large numbers of personnel, had been very rare in Hong Kong feature filmmaking. Digital technology made it more feasible, and a popular comic-book character was the chief beneficiary. McDull is, as his name implies, not the brightest pig in the litter. His mother runs a cooking-



11.44 Thanks to digital postproduction, a man can leap...



11.45 ...fall...



11.46 ...and land, fatally, in front of the camera within one take (*Divergence*).

and-chat TV show, and he goes to primary school with other child-animals. He lives in a teeming, grimy neighborhood (Fig. 11.47), but he dreams of a vacation in the Maldives. The first feature, *My Life as McDull* (2002), recounts something you don't expect in a kids' movie: a story of unremitting failure. Yet the movie itself found success, winning international prizes. *McDull, Prince de la Bun* (2004) sought to explain the absence of the lad's father and pushed into hallucinatory fantasy, thanks to 3-D digital effects (Fig. 11.48). It was even more steeped in local flavor, packed with untranslatable puns and a plot likely to baffle outsiders. Two more sequels followed.



11.47 *My Life as McDull*: Mrs. McDull, an aggressive shopper, makes her rounds of the neighborhood as if she were in a videogame.



11.48 McDull, Prince de la Bun.

McDull's creator was the cartoon artist Alice Mak Ka-bik, probably the most commercially successful woman filmmaker in the territory. Ann Hui and Sylvia Chang had started in the 1970s and continued into the 2000s. The prolific Hui proved her command of genres from horror to psychological drama and social realism, while Chang specialized in romantic and family-centered tales. The new century also gave opportunities to Carol Lai Miu-suet (Glass Tears, 2001; The Third Eye, 2007), screenwriter Aubrey Lam Oi-wa (Twelve Nights, 2000; Anna & Anna, 2007), DJ and actress Barbara Wong (Truth or Dare; Wonder Women, 2007; Break Up Club, 2010), Janet Chun Siu-jan (La Lingerie, 2008; La Comédie Humaine, 2010), and screenwriter Ivy Ho (Claustrophobia; Crossing Hennessy, 2010). As in Hollywood, however, women directors were confined to intimate drama and romantic comedy, genres with lower budgets and niche audiences. Yet many top stars happily performed in these projects, giving the films more attention in the regional markets.

Male directors had bigger budgets, but not necessarily much more freedom. It was hard to resist the pressures toward the major new genres: the nationalistic pageant, the more or less ideological costume picture, or the "three Chinas" drama or action picture. Many of the young talents of the 1990s either faded from the scene or slipped into the mainstream. Between 1997 and 2004, Riley Ip Kam-hung directed only five features, one of which was the gracefully retro *Metade Fumaca* (1999; Fig. 11.49). Patrick Yeung, who brought poignancy to the cop-squad ensemble of *Task*



11.49 The central image of *Metade Fumaca*, from an obsessive flashback to young triad rivalries of the 1970s.

Force (1997), moved into TV-influenced sex farces with *La Brassiere* and *Good Times, Bed Times* (2003). The prolific Wilson Yip once made tender films like *Bullets over Summer* (1999) and *Juliet in Love* (2000), about how a shy woman's mastectomy affects her relation to the man who loves her. Midway through the new decade Yip took the helm of Donnie Yen martial-arts sagas like *SPL* (2005) and *Ip Man* (2008).

Even Tsui Hark seemed to be treading water. Less and less interested in telling an intelligible story, he seemed content to revisit his earlier career with the benefit of new resources. Time and Tide (2000) tries to out-Woo Woo. Good ideas, like a sniper picking out victims in a tenement block or a woman giving birth in the midst of a gunfight, are weakened by perfunctory handling. Despite spending Sony-Columbia money on one of the richest sound designs in Hong Kong film history. Tsui denies us the flashes of demented invention that made his earlier career so galvanizing. The CGI fantasy Legend of Zu, shot on a Beijing sound stage, replayed his 1983 Zu film, but with the dial turned to eleven. Seven Swords (2005) had none of the seething force of The Blade, instead offering a diffuse wuxia epic. After it succeeded on the Mainland. Tsui announced with his usual modesty that this was the first entry in a "hexology" exploring all the principal warriors.⁴¹ The nutty comedy All About Women (2008) lacked the sparkle of Shanghai Blues and The Chinese Feast. Reaching still farther back, Tsui's 2010 hit, Detective Dee and the Mystery of the Phantom Flame, revisited the mystery genre he had favored in his first feature, The Butterfly Murders (1979), with surprisingly academic results. In late 2010 Tsui was busy remaking his 1992 version of Dragon Inn in 3-D.



11.50 *Leave Me Alone*: As the car pivots in slow-motion, the heroine reaches out from the window.

The fact that American companies were willing to invest in films like Time and Tide and Connected was a sign that the Hong Kong crime movie was still alive internationally. The action genre, relying upon a corps of experienced directors, choreographers, stunt men, and CGI wizards steeped in tradition, was one of the few areas in which Hong Kong cinema could still compete on the world market.42 Yet stylishly tailored Korean films like A Dirty Carnival (2006) and City of Violence (2006) were coming up fast, and no Hong Konger had the budget for something like The Good, The Bad, and the Weird (2008) or the perverse wit to match Oldboy (2003). What the best action movies had going for them, in the 2000s no less than in the 1970s, was a mixture of reckless physicality and local texture.

Arguably the Pang brothers did their best work in the action genre; even a routine entry like *Leave Me Alone* (2004) paused in its bizarre plot twists for a mannered flourish (Figs. 11.50–11.52). In the wake of *Infernal Affairs*, Wong Jing occasionally set aside his pursuit of shamelessness to back some ambitious crime dramas (*Wo Hu*, 2006; *I Corrupt All Cops*). Dante Lam and Benny Chan emerged as the most skilful exponents in



11.51 In a clashing cut that Eisenstein might have admired, she takes aim at the pursuers.



11.52 An unexpected high angle creates a nearly abstract pattern as the car continues to spin.



11.53 The car crash that opens *Beast Stalker*.

the field. Lam's *Beast Stalker* (2008) adapts the back-and-fill structure of *Amores Perros* to a tale of child abduction and creates, in Nick Cheung's scarred kidnapper, a villain more sympathetic than the hero. It also showcases one of the most

disturbing car crashes in recent cinema (Fig. 11.53). Benny Chan might be mistaken for the Hong Kong Michael Bay; a proponent of the Big Bang Theory, he was willing to blow up the Convention Centre in *Gen-X Cops* (1999). *Invisible*

Target (2007) provides three explosions (plus an aborted one), three extended chases, four fist-fights, and five gunfights, the last running for forty minutes and incinerating several floors of a police station. Yet Chan is precise and efficient in orchestrating both vast free-for-alls and a fight between two men whose heads are wrapped in plastic bags. *New Police Story* gave Jackie Chan his best role in years and took, in a cinema anxious to court the young, a surprisingly sour attitude toward video games, skateboarding, and other pastimes of idle kids.

Efforts to develop CGI, to incorporate new talent, to sustain the action film show a more fundamental dynamic, what we might call keeping up while looking back. Hong Kong filmmakers, with their hair-trigger sensitivity to what is selling now, assimilated whatever craze they could spot: Sex in the City, Japanese soap operas, Taiwanese comic strips, rap music, internet dating. Korea is cool now? So let's set our movie there (The Accidental Spy; Seoul Raiders, 2005). Love in a Puff takes place in a world in which smokers gather around a communal ashtray in an alley, admen drive Range Rovers, and switching cellphone providers is a sign of romantic commitment. In a more populist vein, Herman Yau's Give Them a Chance (2003), made with nonprofessional actors, celebrates grassroots kids who take up hip-hop dancing.

Yet the impulse to be up-to-date surrenders to the tug of nostalgia. More than most popular cinemas I know, Hong Kong films try sincerely to conserve and commemorate the past—a sense of what had once made the city and its cinema great. Ancient stars still find work and admiration; popular songs are recycled without irony; conventional montages still show training for a fight or the gradual growth of love. American films look relentlessly forward. When they look to the past,

it's usually to modernize vintage films and TV shows, straining to keep the franchise alive while treating the earlier forms patronizingly. The old isn't preserved, but surpassed. But Hong Kong movies (like Japanese ones) often look backward yearningly, suggesting that the present can't really measure up. Who can fight better than Bruce Lee? Not the stout-hearted but tired old warriors of Gallants (2010). Local critics may decry the nostalgic tint of much post-handover cinema, but you can take it as a valid creative response to a sense of loss. It is a mourning, but it's also an affirmation of the ideals that were part of Hong Kong's image in the world: a place where people with nothing can earn something, a place where courage matters and individual drive is infused with a pride in community.

This dynamic of keeping up and looking back is handily emblematized in *Hooked on You* (2007). Like other handover-anniversary films, its feelings about Hong Kong's first decade under Mainland rule are decidedly mixed. The Fortune Market of 1997 forms a little neighborhood where members compete, quarrel, and share hardship. To pay off her profligate father's debts, Miu (Miriam Yeung) runs a fish stall all day and sells congee at night.

She dreams of escaping her punishing routine by the time she's thirty. Her opposite number is the loutish Fishman (Eason Chan), who frequents hookers and arrives, hungover and scratching, late each morning at his stall. Miu's life chances are interwoven with crises in the Fortune Market. She is courted by a baker, but she vows not to marry any tradesman. Even after Fishman reforms, he isn't good enough. He isn't, she says, part of "the plan." In the meantime, the Fortune Market community faces a competing supermarket, a Ponzi investment scheme, the currency collapse, SARS, and the threat of eviction. Eventually the market shuts down and everyone finds new work. Miu as an assistant to a make-up man and Fishman as a cook. Only the return of an old émigré obliges the vendors to reunite, rehabilitate the place, and for one day pretend that it's still a thriving concern.

Hooked on You captures the sense that Hong Kongers are ambitious and resourceful, ready to move on if things aren't working out. As usual, success is measured by standards that many would consider crass but which seem wondrous to someone sunk in poverty (Figs. 11.54–11.55). In an American film, a heroine who wants a Gucci wallet above all things would be considered



11.54 *The Fortune Market* makeover: Miu and Fishman as lowly fish vendors.



11.55 At the end, Fishman is a successful cook and Miu has her own line of cosmetics (*Hooked on You*).



11.56 The Hong Kong spirit of resilience: The vendors salute their past.

superficial. Here, Miu's desire becomes sympathetic: She deserves one nice thing. The larger allegory isn't hard to catch. The old Hong Kong was real, the new one at best a pretense; yet one can soak oneself into pretense to the point that it feels genuine. An emblematic shot concludes the film's main action, with all the former vendors standing atop the building, waving to the crowd as the police arrive to disperse them (Fig. 11.56). An epilogue shows prosperous Miu, a career woman who has missed her chance at Fishman (now married to a Mainland emigrant), contemplating the demolition of the market (Fig. 11.57).

Reflecting on his choices after his stint in Hollywood, Peter Chan remarked: "I could have come back, hired the ten best directors in Hong Kong and set about rebuilding the golden age of Hong Kong cinema. But I don't believe there can be another golden age."⁴³ No one else did either. Yet a thousand films testified to the tenacity of what many would call the Hong Kong spirit. Without a high output, a popular cinema is unlikely to achieve quality: more films usually mean more good films, as America and India show. But there was more at stake than the law of large numbers. Competing with Hollywood and Korea and, soon, the PRC, striving under the long shadow of the past, insisting that this cinema had something unique to offer its community and the world: the sheer energy and sometimes desperate effort were part of what made this popular cinema irreplaceable. *No money, no time. Just do it.*

FOR MANY ADMIRERS at home and overseas, the best reason to keep faith with Hong Kong cinema was the prospect of a new film by Johnnie To. For a while he seemed to be propelling the industry all by himself. Between 1986 and 2010, To directed more than fifty films and acted as producer on another two dozen (not least *Hooked on You*). His films routinely won top local honors and awards at Asian film festivals. If a Cannes prize eluded him, it wasn't for want of trying: in the 2000s his films were annually invited, often in competition,



11.57 Miu, now prosperous, drives away from the market into 2007 Hong Kong.

to the Croisette and to other big festivals like Berlin, Venice, and Toronto. At the same time, his work had a cult audience around the world, making him the heir of John Woo and Tsui Hark. On a poll taken by a Hong Kong fan website, To titles comprised nine of the top thirty favorites of the 2000s.⁴⁴ He was a regular at the Udine Festival of Far Eastern Film, mingling with the fans.⁴⁵ One year he shot scenes of a new feature there, and film geeks were enraptured to find themselves extras in a Johnnie To movie.

Stephen Chow guarded his privacy, and Wong Kar-wai was famously inaccessible. But Johnnie To enjoyed reaching out. He underwrote student film competitions, paid for retrospectives so that young people could see classics in 35mm prints, supported the Asian Film Awards, pushed for government aid, and stood up for the industry. He pointed out that the government accommodated the location demands of *The Dark Knight*'s Hong Kong shoot, but there were virtually no efforts to ease local filmmakers' use of locations.⁴⁶ In all his

efforts, To's concerns were pragmatic. He worried that the industry might collapse the way that Taiwan's did.

An admirer of Italian food, French wine, good cigars, analog photography, and a night of karaoke, To enjoyed socializing. As his reputation grew, he courted tastemakers and gatekeepers. He hosted dinner parties for visiting critics and festival programmers and welcomed them to visit during shooting. He became quotable. Why did he film so often at night? "In the day God controls the light. At night, I control it."⁴⁷ When asked whether a film's DVD release would contain deleted scenes, he gave the answer every filmmaker should: "They're deleted because we didn't want you to see them."

By 2010 To's prominence was all the more remarkable in that he hadn't made a Sinocentric big-budget costume picture. Indeed, he risked alienating the Mainland powers with his pointed criticism of the government's alliance with triads in Election 2 (2006). To concentrated on two genres, the romantic comedy and the crime thriller, and he stayed loyal to his community. Usually his projects centered on local players, often character actors without much box-office appeal, bolstered by Mainland or Taiwanese talent. Nonetheless, the action pictures' festival cachet led To to an international level of prominence. Like Wong Kar-wai, he had a large following in France, where he found funding and sales agents willing to negotiate his properties' international rights. He adroitly exploited various survival strategies, shifting from projects targeted at the local market to bigger films that could, by dint of their ambitious subject matter or his authorial signature, also get regional or worldwide attention.

To was a prodigy who became a late bloomer. Starting work at the Shaw company station TVB when he was just seventeen, he was promoted to directing rather quickly. He went on to make a theatrical feature, *The Engimatic Case* (1980) at age twenty-five. He might, in that period of opportunity, have joined the ranks of Ann Hui, Tsui Hark, Kirk Wong, Jackie Chan, and other emerging talent. But he felt unprepared for features and went back to television, making daily installments in TVB's long-running programs. These comedies, dramas, cop shows, and historical sagas taught him speed and proficiency. Working with a single camera, shooting largely on video, he had to complete at least five scenes and a hundred setups every day.⁴⁸

To's film career recapitulates many of the trends of his time. The boom years of the mid-1980s gave him another chance to make features. and he became known as an all-rounder, signing a successful New Year's comedy (The Eighth Happiness, 1988), a heroic-bloodshed adventure in the wake of A Better Tomorrow (The Big Heat, 1988), and an admired melodrama (All About Ah-Long, 1989). After proving his skills with Sylvia Chang and Chow Yun-fat (who won a Best Actor award for *Ah-Long*), To began directing Stephen Chow, Anita Mui, Maggie Cheung, and other top-profile performers. His vehicle for female super-warriors, The Heroic Trio (1993), won admirers in the West for its combat scenes and somewhat delirious plot twists, including a trip to hell in which our heroines slaughter demonic babies.

While Wong Kar-wai, who was three years younger, began to be lionized, To was still regarded as a reliable journeyman. The film that he considers his breakthrough came in 1995. Lau Chingwan, a broad-shouldered, heavy-lidded figure reminiscent of Robert Mitchum, had worked with To at TVB and had become a busy movie actor. In *Loving You*, To cast him as a hard-drinking police detective whose wife finds solace with another man. When Inspector Lau is shot in the head, his wife helps his rehabilitation out of a mixture of shame and compassion. Soon Lau's enemy takes further steps toward vengeance.

Possibly the most morose cop movie until Heat (1999), Loving You is steeped in despondency, suppressed anger, and pangs of guilt. The action scenes are exciting enough, but the heart of the film is the characterization of an ill-tempered man who, having surrendered to his baser side, must learn to love his wife. The script has some subtle touches, and Lau's brain deficit-he can't smell or taste—is prefigured in the opening scene, when he complains about the dull food his team gets on stakeout. Lau Ching-wan's performance is always sensitive, as in the scene in which he at first rejects, then accepts his wife's offer of soup (Figs. 11.58–11.59). Then he realizes, in a rage, that he has lost two of his senses (Figs. 11.60-11.61). Loving You shows a director exhilarated by the freedom of film to let scenes play out slowly. He explicitly bids farewell to his TV days: Lau's enemy holds the wife hostage in a TVB studio, which is blown to bits during a gunfight.

To could blast out a floor of a Shaw building slated for demolition because *Loving You* was funded by Shaws under its Cosmopolitan label. So was the more spectacular *Lifeline* (1997). Although it is something of an ensemble piece, Lau's stolid presence and his shrugged-off sacrifice in the final conflagration put him at the center of the drama. Across four reels, Lau and his firefighting partners battle their way through a burning textile factory, and at each step they encounter harrowing obstacles to rescuing the women trapped inside. After darting through walls of flame and dodging exploding spools of fabric, the team can save themselves only by touching off a cave-in.



11.58 In a two-minute shot, Inspector Lau refuses the soup Carman offers...



11.59 ...reluctantly accepts some...



11.60 ...and then realizes he can't taste it.



11.61 From a fruit basket he gobbles grapes and bites an apple, then an orange, realizing they all taste the same. He erupts into a dazed anger recalling his urgent sexual appetites and his bouts of rage against his wife.
Half an hour of seething orange and red imagery confirms that To has become a virtuoso of visceral arousal, while furthering the emerging trend of heroes sacrificing themselves for the community. "This is our duty," explains one firefighter simply. The same kind of commitment drove To's team through forty days of filming the sequence. Months afterward, actors and crew still had black spit.

In 1996, To founded an independent company, Milkyway Image, with Wai Ka-fai, another TVB alumnus. It was a bold gesture, aiming to create a new brand just when the industry's problems were mounting. "I decided to establish the company on two principles, firstly, to be creative, to make films with our own Milkyway style, and secondly, to make quality movies, whether they were entertainments or otherwise."⁴⁹ The first Milkyway releases were both entertaining and otherwise.

Too Many Ways to Be Number One (1997), directed by Wai, offered a formally adventurous gangland tale. Anticipating *Run Lola Run*, the film gives us a forking-path plot in which the protagonist, killed as a result of pursuing one course of action, is taken abruptly back to the decision point and allowed to try again.⁵⁰ Too Many Ways relies on several elements that critics would trace throughout the Milkyway output: dualities and doublings among characters, a gamelike conception of narrative, and the theme that a man can't outrun his destiny. A couple of months later came *The Odd One Dies* (1997), a romance between paid assassins that starred *Chungking Express* heartthrob Kaneshiro Takeshi. Signed by Patrick Yau Tat-chi but largely directed by To, it displayed in its script Wai's developing concern with replays, disguises, and identity switches.⁵¹

Wai Ka-fai and Johnnie To began a prodigious collaboration. Wai came up with ideas and scripts, while To directed the scenes. Wai reviewed the dailies with To and staff writers, reshaping the story as they filmed. Wai and To worked together in editing the final result. Because of Wai's involvement throughout production, To often shared director credit with him. Wai brought a mix of zany invention and thematic seriousness to the Milkyway product, while To's straightforward professionalism diluted Wai's tendencies toward whimsy and preciosity. With Lau Ching-wan, the epitome of the character actor as leading man, Milkyway bid farewell to the era of Chow Yun-fat, with his tuxedo and his debonair smile. Lau's dourness, suggesting that this working stiff wakes up each morning with an obscure grudge against everybody, suited the emotionally ambivalent projects that To would mount.

With a standing team of actors, writers, and technicians, Milkyway began turning out some of the strongest and strangest films of the period. Too Many Ways to Be Number One and The Odd One Dies were indebted to the loopy style of Fallen Angels, and at least one later Wai/To project, Fulltime Killer (2001) persisted in that vein. But the two men worked in other registers too. A Hero Never Dies (1998) was a swaggering story of rival hitmen who, betrayed by their bosses, become long-distance allies. The sulfurous set-pieces include a nighttime skirmish that sets up the dominant rhythm of macho tit-for-tat (Figs. 11.62-11.63). The heroes pair off as convex and concave: Leon Lai is the blank, receding one, leaving space for Lau's outsize killer, armed with a pompadour, sideburns, a fat cigar, a Stetson, and bravado. Where a Good Man Goes (1999) pulled Lau out of the crossfire into domestic-melodrama territory,



11.62 A Hero Never Dies: A laser sight homes in on Jack's skull.



11.63 A reverse angle on Martin the sniper. Throughout the first half of the film each hitman tries to outmaneuver the other.

showing him as a nearly sociopathic ex-convict struggling to accept the love of a single mother.

More variations were offered by two films again credited to Patrick Yau but supervised by Wai and taken over by To during production. *The Longest Nite*, a milestone in Asian neo-noir, follows a corrupt police officer sinking into a Macau gang war. Tony Leung Chiu-wai gives a feverish performance as a sadistic cop who becomes the target of a byzantine frame-up, but the film is owned by Lau Ching-wan, a blankly sinister bald thug (Fig. 11.64). *Expect the Unexpected* (1998) traces how a police squad, led by an easygoing Lau and buttoned-up Simon Yam tracks two criminal gangs, one sociopathic and the other incompetent. A twentyminute opening sequence, in which one gang flubs a jewelry-store robbery, turns horrifying when the crime exposes what the other gang is doing elsewhere in the building. The giveaway title gives away nothing: few viewers anticipate the shocking finale, which upends the morality at the core of the genre. After *Expect the Unexpected*, *Se7en* looks like kids playing boogyman.

Without either Wai or Lau, To made *The Mission* (1999), an austere thriller about five street toughs serving as bodyguards to a crime kingpin. Shot in eighteen days and scraped to the bone, the film is the polar opposite to the dazzle of *A Hero Never Dies.* To checked his fondness for restless camera movements in favor of meticulous, often

static widescreen compositions that invest the minimalism of Kitano Takeshi with graphic urgency (Figs. 11.65–11.66). When Ulrich Gregor saw *The Mission*, he invited it and two other To titles to the Forum section of the Berlin Film Festival. Milkyway's 1998–1999 releases were soon touring the festival circuit and made To a demigod to Western cinephiles.

With the industry on the downturn, Milkyway had to be pragmatic. To noticed that in the 1990s, filmmakers had competed to make bigger and bigger films, and this had made budgets spiral to unrealistic heights. He wanted to return to simpler and cheaper filmmaking. As a producer he could predict how much each release could make, and



11.64 Lau Ching-wan in the jail cell scene of The Longest Nite.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 11

he scaled his budgets accordingly. He realized that any budget over about US\$3 million was too risky, while a film made for only \$300,000 could earn a good profit. A big film needed big stars, but a smaller one could make money with lesser-known actors.⁵²

To's commitment to innovation on a budget was seen in his employing of the gifted sound editor Martin Chappell. Chappell could build a quality track with a sound crew of only two assistants. Audio technique had not progressed much in Hong Kong for some years, but Chappell brought a modern sense of the dense mix to many Milkyway releases. Instead of resorting to generic sounds of a punch or pistol shot he built a library of them as a Hollywood sound designer would, but by dint of effort rather than expense. When a typical Hong Kong film had to be quiet, the track went dead, but Chappell introduced gradations of silencean enveloping ambience punctuated by breezes, distant traffic, or café murmurs and clinks. He was also ready to enhance the increasing stylization of the Milkyway look. For the mall shootout in The Mission, some gunshots were built out of cannons firing, played in reverse. Boyish and enthusiastic, Chappell hiked around Hong Kong seeking out unusual noises he might weave into a future soundtrack.53

Milkyway films became noted for their imaginative image/sound correspondences. In *A Hero Never Dies* a brutal gun duel has left the hitmen Jack and Martin mortally wounded. Cut to a hospital lobby. As we hear frantic slapping footsteps, the camera tracks forward, its wavering suggesting optical point-of view. Cut to a corridor, the camera still rushing forward, the footsteps louder. We then get a tracking shot following pink platform shoes. They could belong to either Jack's girlfriend Yoyo or Martin's girlfriend Fiona—



11.65 Refusing Hong Kong's acrobatic choreography, Kitano favored static gunfights, with men standing rooted to the spot and blasting away at each other (*Sonatine*, *1993*).



11.66 To recasts Kitano's schema with more dynamic shot design and a moving escalator. Compare Figs. JT.22 and JT.23.

though we're inclined to think the latter because in an earlier scene Fiona had told Yoyo to always wear high heels to let her man know she's coming to him. Now a shot of a doorway shows Fiona halting. Silence. She comes into the room, her steps barely audible, and removes her shoes as a choir is heard. She moves to the edge of Martin's bed. In the sort of abrupt-yet-smooth cut that To enjoys, as she starts to sit we get a shot of Yoyo settling down on Jack's bed (Figs. 11.67–11.68). Continuing choral music binds the two women together, but the shot-change is accentuated by adding solo guitar on the cut to Yoyo.

Such passages display both artistic and financial economy. Milkyway was nothing if not budgetconscious. Wai and To filmed in their company offices, in the corridors of their building, and on



11.67 *A Hero Never Dies*: As Fiona starts to sit on Martin's bed...



11.68 ...her movement is picked up by Yoyo settling down on Jack's bed. The bonding of the two women, begun in the bar scene, is underscored pictorially.

the streets nearby. When Milkyway shifted its headquarters to Kwun Tong, To made sure that the multi-story building had floors that could be dressed as interior sets (Fig. 11.69). In a throwback to the earliest days of filmmaking, the hotel lobby of *Exiled* was built on the Milkyway roof.⁵⁴ Crew members were drafted as performers. Hung Wai-leung, To's trusty lighting assistant, appeared in almost every film, and the art director, sound recordist, and other technicians often took walkon parts. Lam Suet was a set manager when To gave him a major role in *Where a Good Man Goes*; he became a sought-after actor.

For all its artistic boldness and fiscal prudence, the Milkyway product was doing weak business. In 1999, Wai observed that their films were too difficult and depressing for contemporary tastes.⁵⁵ Johnnie To agreed: "We felt we had to change, to come back from the cold world and please the audience."⁵⁶ Central to this strategy was a shift to bigger stars, more comprehensible stories, and the marketing muscle of Charles Heung (first at Win's, then at China Star). The first step was Running out of Time (1999), which partnered Lau Ching-wan with the congenitally fabulous Andy Lau. Andy plays a man who knows his death is near, so he launches a three-day cat-and-mouse game with a CID detective (Lau Ching-wan). Their grim charade with pistols and explosives intersects with a scheme involving the theft of a diamond necklace. Although Wai Ka-fai is not credited, the insouciant treatment of terminal illness and bomb threats has something of his touch. The film's box-office receipts confirmed the power of star casting and an optimistic ending, and Andy Lau was rewarded with his first Best Actor Hong Kong Film Award.

To and Wai bent their efforts toward pleasing the audience, pairing Andy Lau with pop singer Sammi Cheng in a brace of romantic comedies. After the office romance *Needing You* (2000), *Love on a Diet* (2001) put Andy and Sammi in fat



11.69 *Sparrow*: Tight framing, a few props, a lot of smoky darkness, and Martin Chappell's soundtrack work together to put Bo and Chun-lei in a big gambling hell, staged in a Milkyway headquarters loft.

suits (Fig. 11.70). Both films did extraordinarily well in summer seasons, earning US\$4.5 million and \$5.1 million respectively. To and Wai turned out a successful New Year's film as well in *Wu Yen* (2001), featuring several female stars, and they reteamed Andy with Lau Ching-wan for another holiday release, *Fat Choi Spirit* (2002), a diverting comedy about mahjong (Fig. 11.71). To's TVB craft came to his rescue in these potboilers. They are solidly mounted, in some cases more carefully than one might expect, and if they lacked the crafty misdirection and striking visuals of his best *policiers*, they were showcases for the humor, sentimentality, and pretty faces that audiences loved.

Andy Lau's star power helped sustain Milkyway. He could pull in audiences even when playing a brawny monk turned go-go dancer in *Running on Karma* (2003), another role that won him a Best Actor prize. But To was becoming interested in other projects. While cranking out star vehicles, he found time for a sequel to an earlier hit (*Running Out of Time 2*, 2001) and for *PTU* (2003), a spare cop drama shot in bits over two years.⁵⁷ By 2004 Andy Lau had moved on, Wai was embarked on independent directing projects, and To was following his own instincts. Relying on well-known character actors, often led by Simon Yam, and supplementing them with a few young faces, he made a hostage film (*Breaking News*, 2004), a judo tribute to Kurosawa (*Throw Down*, 2004), and a shadow sequel to *The Mission (Exiled*, 2006). His unblinking dissection of triad politics in *Election* (2005) and *Election 2* (2006) was reminiscent of the restrained tenor of *Infernal Affairs*. Wai Ka-fai and Lau Ching-wan returned for *Mad Detective* (2007), something of a surprise hit, and To paid homage to the byways of old Hong Kong island in the leisurely comic thriller *Sparrow* (2008).

By now To had found his mature style. While not abandoning some generic visuals, like slow motion and long lenses, he used fewer handheld shots than before, put greater trust in chiaroscuro and silhouettes, and cultivated a fluent, flashy use of the 2.40 aspect ratio. Today, when many directors seem most comfortable shooting people hunched over computer workstations, To's wide compositions are exhilarating (Figs. 11.72–11.73). He savors Leone-like buildups to extreme violence, as at the start of *Exiled*. After a passage showing hitmen loitering in a Macau street, the



11.70 Love on a Diet.



11.71 In a New Year's tradition, the main characters wish the audience good luck at the end of *Fat Choi Spirit*. Compare Fig. CF.13.



11.72 The patrol comes to the aid of a detective who can't find his pistol (*PTU*).



11.73 A cell block rendered as a ribbon in *Election*.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 11

opening shootout blasts a door off its hinges and hurls it spinning across the room (Fig. 11.74). A comparable rhythm of tense waiting and abrupt outburst initiates *Breaking News*, with the added attraction that the buildup and the battle are captured in a sweeping, nearly seven-minute crane shot that winds back and forth along a street. The horizontality of this opening shot gets countered, later on, by a floor-by-floor hostage drama in the high-rise, and this new geometry is encapsulated in a striking To composition (Fig. 11.75).

Wai's fascination with doubling and subjective point of view gets full play in *Mad Detective*. Inspector Bun has, or thinks he has, the ability to discern hidden personalities in every other person. While this helps him solve cases, it wrecks his life. A dazzling exercise in plot gymnastics, *Mad Detective* hinges on replays, replacements, and reflections, winding up in a mirror-labyrinth shootout among crook, cop, crooked cop, and novice cop, not to mention the several phantom personalities stalking into the fray (Fig. 11.76–11.77).

No less off-center is *Throw Down*, To's own favorite of this period: a tonally elusive drama revolving around a martial artist who has sunk into gambling and drunkenness. To's moody 'scope compositions sometimes suggest that the entire underworld has taken up judo (Fig. 11.78). Even more relaxed is *Sparrow*, with Simon Yam as



11.74 The first combat in *Exiled*, with a spinning door kept in flight by gunfire.



11.76 A shootout in a mirror maze, complicated by many characters— some illusory (*Mad Detective*).



11.75 An abstractly vertical shot, showing the two fugitives wiring a bomb into the elevator shaft, becomes more vivid in the wide 'scope frame (*Breaking News*).



11.77 The end of *Mad Detective*: Dead men and shards of personalities.

Planet Hong Kong | Chapter 11



11.78 Throw Down: Nocturnal exercises.



11.79 Seduced by Chun-lei, members of Kei's gang have had to pay the price (*Sparrow*).

a pickpocket who bicycles around Hong Kong snapping candid photos. Some of the film's funniest moments rely on Hong Kong motifs, as when Yam's team pursue a woman into an elevator and all are jammed in by men carrying a fish tank. Elsewhere, a single shot suffices to mock the local convention of symmetrical woundings (Fig. 11.79). Again there are the signature To set-pieces: a crane shot that shows the pickpocket team at work, and a finale in which Yam has to defeat an old pickpocket while negotiating a crowd and a cloudburst.

To's films were Milkyway's flagship, but the company supported other directors' projects. A stream of releases was needed to keep the firm going. Lawrence Lau Kwok-cheong's *Spacked Out* (2000) was a grim exercise in social realism quite different from the company's usual releases. As To turned more to experimental crime fictions, romantic comedies were assigned to others. He also gave directing opportunities to protégés like screenwriter Yau Nai-hoi (*Eye in the Sky*, 2007) and Soi Cheang (*Accident*, 2009). Like Tsui Hark's Film Workshop twenty years before, Milkyway invited directors to bring their own ideas aboard, but To did not control the look and feel of the final product to the degree that Tsui had. Milkyway oversaw *Triangle* (2007), which gathered three directors in a sort of exquisite-corpse format. Tsui Hark set up the first third, the story passed to Ringo Lam, and the task of wrapping everything up fell to To. Milkyway even joined with Mainland arthouse director Jia Zhang-ke. At the end of 2010 To and Jia were at work on a commercially targeted *wuxia pian* that, according to Jia, would also be "an anti-*wuxia* film."⁵⁸ It sounded right up Milkyway's alley.

To could afford to follow his inclinations. While Milkyway's mid- and late 2000s films did not conquer the local box office, they were usually Mainland coproductions, which assured them distribution across the border. More broadly, he had become an international director, a genre-based counterpart to Wong Kar-wai. One *New York Times* critic called him "a first-rate entertainer who can thrill you into thinking."⁵⁹ American companies bought remake rights to *The Mission* and *Breaking News*. Hollywood tried to entice him with *I Am Legend* and what became *Collateral*. He took seriously the possibility of remaking JeanPierre Melville's *Red Circle*, with Wai doing the script, but the project collapsed. To did sign on to the French coproduction *Vengeance*, starring aging rock star Johnny Halliday. It premiered at Cannes with the inevitable PR celebrating the union of "Johnnie and Johnny."

The late bloomer who had restarted his career with *Happy Ghost 3* (1986) had become an auteur welcomed by the festival circuit, Hollywood gatekeepers, and the European market. No wonder he carried such authority on the Hong Kong scene. With Wong Kar-wai and Stephen Chow, Johnnie To had managed to flourish, doing largely what he wanted to do, over some very desperate years.

Man on a Mission: Johnnie To

JOHNNIE To's MOST locally successful films are comedies, while what he calls "personal" projects are crime films. These do better overseas, which is hardly a surprise. And it's not unexpected that the man who spent his earliest childhood in that urban jungle known as the Kowloon Walled City, where you might find a dead body on the playground, would gravitate toward violent subjects.¹ But To has another reason to identify himself with his cop-and-crook movies. These, he once remarked, are "more cinematic" than the comedies.² They experiment with structure and style. They remain tied to the norms of popular film, but they also revise them.

To ought to have been a diehard traditionalist. Trained as a teenager at Shaw's TVB, emerging as a versatile young film director, he has deep roots in the studio system. Like other Hong Kong directors, he loves scenes of eating, macho face-offs, and violent action. For television he made martial-arts programs, and his later series *Iron Butterfly* (1989–1992) recycles action-movie tropes of theatrical features.

Thematically, he follows the masculine tradition of Chang Cheh, romanticizing wordless comradeship and bloody sacrifice. His women have little to do but tend to their shattered warriors. In *Exiled*, Wo's wife takes some decisive action, but the gang's bonds have been created in boyhood and even though they put their lives on the line for her and her baby, she is excluded from their group. The women police officers in *PTU* are fussbudgets for procedure and don't understand that rules must be bent when a man's promotion is at stake. Judy Lin, the innkeeper in *Where a Good Man Goes*, becomes the target of a triad's love, after he has failed to rape her. The script packs her off to Portugal so it can conclude with the hero's reconciliation with the venal cop who sent him to prison. Fiona, the tough mistress of the hitman Martin in *A Hero Never Dies*, explains: "They treat their brothers better than they treat their women." She will wind up dying for her man.

To's working methods are classic Hong Kong. He can be fast. *Help!!!* (2001) took only twentyseven days from start to release print. Scripts are written and revised on the fly, and actors learn the full story only when they see the finished movie. Seeing no need for storyboards or shot lists, To quickly picks the setups on set. Like martial-arts filmmakers, he shoots a scene roughly in sequence and "cut to cut"; each shot is conceived separately for each bit of action. Thus he avoids today's habit of covering scenes with several cameras shooting all at once. He leaves relatively little for the editor to do, although choices about the order of scenes and opportunities for crosscutting often arise in postproduction.

Artistically, you would not have expected him to rock the boat. His favorite filmmakers were Kurosawa, Spielberg, Coppola, and Scorsese (another batch of male-centric directors).³ Coming to maturity in the era of intensified continuity, he relies heavily on editing; most of his films average three to five seconds per shot. Like most directors today, he can't resist having his camera constantly track toward or around the action. An early work like Casino Raiders II (1991) employs fluent crane shots hovering over gambling tables. Every film displays the slow-motion and stepprinting typical of filmmakers around the world. Along with these bells and whistles, however, To displays solidly traditional staging technique, making complex choreography easy to grasp.

By forming Milkyway in 1996, he became an independent producer in a shrinking market. He had to focus on costs. The biggest stars were expensive, so he often relied on second-tier actors, eventually creating a stock company including Simon Yam, Anthony Wong, Gordon Lam Katung, Lam Suet, Maggie Shiu Mei-kei, Ruby Wong Cheuk-ling, and at intervals Lau Ching-wan. These players fit the gritty, deglamorized stories that were also cheaper to shoot.

While cutting costs, To believed that Milkyway would have to innovate. The means to this end, evidently, was screenwriter/producer/occasional director Wai Ka-fai. To met Wai, younger by seven years, collaborating on a telefilm at TVB. They also worked together on a To-directed feature, The Story of My Son (1990). By the late 1990s Wai was showing a distinctive if eccentric talent. His second directed film, Too Many Ways to Be Number One (1997), was a genre travesty filled with grotesque humor, contorted technique, and obsessive repetitions mapped onto a parallel-universe premise. Wai's stories explored mystery and suspense techniques largely alien to traditional Hong Kong film. He was also beguiled by the spiritual and supernatural, often giving his plots quasi-magical twists. He brought to To's work irony, absurdity, and self-conscious artifice that tipped the crime genre off-balance.

Wai's peculiarities offered the sort of freshness that could distinguish the Milkyway brand. To from the start acknowledged Wai as the primary creative force, calling him the "commander" while he took the role of "executor." As late as 2008 To was saying, "Wai Ka-fai is the brain of Milkyway, whereas I consider myself the hands that handle the execution."4 Even projects on which Wai didn't collaborate bear traces of his concerns. Running Out of Time, for instance, has the Wai flavor, yet it is wholly To's work. Nonetheless, Wai is not a particularly distinguished director himself, and the films signed by To depend crucially on a control of image and sound that can't be determined by a clever story concept. These "more cinematic" textures are traceable to creative choices made by the man at the camera.

MAJOR CASE IN POINT: set-pieces. Hong Kong cinema of the 1980s and 1990s had featured long, elaborate passages of stunts and violence, as seen in the assault on the gang at the end of A Better Tomorrow II or the kung-fu fights in the Once *Upon a Time in China* series. To played this game in *Lifeline*'s fiery climax, in the blizzard of broken glass in the nightclub of A Hero Never Dies, and in the gunplay in the fireworks factory that closes Fulltime Killer. But collapsing budgets made such largesse difficult. Infernal Affairs dared to suppress flashy action scenes almost entirely, relying instead on suspense. In contrast, To kept the setpieces, but downsized them. He shrewdly realized that he could maintain the tradition of flashy set-pieces by scaling down their spectacle but boosting their formal appeal. He made, we might say, *cinephile* set-pieces.

Most of the action scenes in Milkyway movies are simpler than what we would find in earlier Hong Kong films. A bike race in the rain (*Running Out of Time 2*), a roadside ambush (*A Hero Never Dies*), a knife fight in the middle of the street (*Election*), and judo maneuvers in tall grass (*Throw Down*) do not try to compete with the 1990s extravaganzas of Woo or Tsui or Jackie Chan. One major showdown in *Election* comes in the quietest way possible. Lok and Big D are in a car at an intersection, and Lok says Big D must decide before the light changes. To raises the suspense by cutting in shots of the red light and of gang members in other vehicles watching, before finally showing the green light and Big D's reply.

The scaling-down of the set-pieces is compensated for by their vivid, self-conscious use of film technique. Perhaps learning from Wong Kar-wai, To sustains our interest through a highly engaging style that festival audiences and film buffs appreciate. The most obvious example would be the

opening of *Breaking News*, an extensive crane shot that covers a single scene on the ground and in a second-story apartment. Recalling the opening of *Touch of Evil*, it signals to the well-versed viewer that it joins a tradition of cinematic virtuosity. Although toilsome to shoot, it was hardly on the scale of the big action pictures of the past. It was rehearsed for three days and shot in one try. Comparable cinephile set-pieces close The Longest Nite and Mad Detective. Not only do their mirror mazes cite Welles' Lady from Shanghai, but they multiply the problems of identifying who and where the combatants are. The Longest Nite offers many other such moments, including Tony's hands-free driving of the taxicab (Fig. JT.1) and Sam's assault on a suspect in a restaurant, where the lighting alone creates a gorgeous composition. Indeed, as To has turned to smaller budgets he has increasingly relied on lighting effects and sheer darkness (Fig. JT.2).

His masterful cinematographer Cheng Siu-kung has enabled To to create a ripe noirish atmosphere while saving money on set building and decoration. *Exiled* provides a superb example. Wo has been wounded in a shootout and his partners bring him to a clandestine clinic. Once Wo is nearly patched up, in comes the rival gang to have their leader Fay attended to. (He has been shot in the crotch.) Our men take cover behind the curtains that mark off areas of the clinic (Fig. JT.3).



JT.1 The Longest Nite.

There's considerable suspense after one of the rivals discovers cups of steaming tea. When he eventually spots one of our men, the room explodes with pistol fire. But it is not a three-ring circus comparable to the hospital conflagration of Woo's *Hard-Boiled* (Figs. EM.23-EM.27). This clinic is cramped and dark, broken by pale curtains —a nearly abstract space. When the fight comes, we get dense but patchy widescreen compositions fitfully lit up by gunblasts (Fig. JT.4). The gang's escape, as Wo is captured and shoved out a high window, is filmed in nearly pitch darkness,

with only a few points of light showing bits of the action (Fig. JT.5). This is a gunplay ballet shrewd-ly scaled to a budget.

Even the less flamboyant set-pieces signal that here is a director who wants us to enjoy the filmic patterns he can conjure up. The opening of *PTU*, with characters precisely positioned inside the 'scope frame, resorts to a mode of friezelike composition that is seldom seen nowadays (Fig. JT.6).⁵ The *Breaking News* opening shot is impressive in itself, but it adds a quick fillip for the sharp-eyed viewer when it shows Yuen spotting the stakeout cops in a reflection. Less obvious, but more intricate, is the passage in *Throw Down* in which conversations at four adjacent tables are intercut in precise rhythmic fashion.⁶

Complicated as these scenes can become, they're built out of simple elements. To is able to coax a stretch of gripping cinema out of a squad of patrolmen going upstairs (*PTU*) or men kicking a ball of paper to and fro (*The Mission*). The pickpocket contest that closes *Sparrow* needs only a few people with umbrellas, a pouring rain, and close-ups of hands and pockets. Earlier in the film



JT.2 PTU: Patroling the night.



JT.4 The firefight starts, in a baroque chiaroscuro (Exiled).



JT.3 Filling the wide frame: The start of the underground clinic shootout in *Exiled*.



JT.5 The next phase of the *Exiled* gunfight, in a long shot that creates the surprise effects of an Advent calendar.

Planet Hong Kong



JT.6 A composition with dense staging: Three characters check their cell-phones, and the minor one in the foreground will soon become major (*PTU*).



JT.7 Kei takes extra pleasure in a cigarette straight from Chun-lei's lips.



JT.8 *A Hero Never Dies:* At the end of the confrontation, the men relax and the song "Sukiyaki" ends.



JT.9 The hard gaze of Martin.

we have an erotic set-piece, a silent drive in which Chun-lei and Kei swap a cigarette (Fig. JT.7). Another simple lyrical moment occurs in *Running Out of Time*, when Cheung, fleeing on a minibus, sees that the police have set up a roadside check. He slides in beside a young woman and pretends to be her lover. He slips his arm around her and borrows one of her earbuds. As we hear the Enyaish tune from the MP3 player, To cuts suspensefully between the couple and the police approaching the bus. He can squeeze a fight scene down to a tabletop. The first close-quarters meeting between Jack and Martin in *A Hero Never Dies* occurs at Po's bar. After ramming each other's cars for a while, the two men lope into the bar. They sit down at the same table but, once the sequence starts, they almost never share the frame. As the pop tune "Sukiyaki" is belted out by a chanteuse, their alliance emerges from playground bravado. They take turns breaking each other's wine glass through escalating bits of aggression—pulling away the tablecloth, pitching coins in ever more complicated maneuvers. Martin starts the contest, but when his fanciest move fails, the confrontation halts. It's a draw. The camera retreats to show the two men settling into their seats (Fig. JT.8). They are now allies, maybe friends. At the film's end Jack decides to die for Martin.

In four minutes and sixty-nine shots, we get kung-fu with wineglasses. The men are sharply contrasted through performance: Martin (Lau Ching-wan) glares and leers; Jack (Leon Lai) is



JT.10 Jack is shot from above, To points out, to show him avoiding eye contact. "In an eye-to-eye confrontation with Lau, Leon will lose."



JT.12 ...but when Martin's coin hits, bits of glass spill into view.



JT.11 We see Jack's hand slide his glass out of sight...



JT.13 The wine glass on the floor, revealed by a sinuous camera move.

withdrawn, seldom looking at Martin (Figs. JT.9–JT.10). The camera arcs at the tempo of the song, concentrating on each man in turn as well as on the coins, tablecloth, and glasses that are pulled into their contest. A flurry of Eisensteinian overlapping cuts expands Jack's abrupt gesture of yanking the tablecloth away. Once we learn the game, To's presentation can be oblique (Figs. JT.11–JT.12). Actions echo each other with that staccato rhythm we know from martial-arts films. Martin pumps his fist; cut to Jack turning his head and expelling smoke. Jack stands; cut to

Martin plopping down; cut to Jack again rising into the frame. Details swell up. The camera arcs to put a glass in a stark strip of light, the table becomes a cliff edge, and as the coin wavers we hear it throb like a propellor (Figs. JT.13–JT.14).

The scene is maximally minimalist, creating mock-heroic exaggeration.⁷ Shot in two days in a bar in Thailand, it exemplifies how To can create an infectious piece of cinema out of the simplest possible elements.⁸ This is presumably what he means when he says that his personal films are "more cinematic." Most of these set-pieces have



JT.14 The coin hovers on the table's edge.

no dialogue, or very little; they rely on the classic Hong Kong mix of vibrant pictures and dynamic music. In their bravura visuals, To's cinephile setpieces live up to the name Milkyway Image.

In times of austerity, we're always told complacently, we must do more with less. To's crime films demonstrate how you can do a lot more with a lot less than directors had available in the 1980s and early 1990s. At the same time, his use of props, lighting, cutting, and framing owes a good deal to a tradition of ingenuity that had, in comparison with Western cinema, *always* had to do more with less.

It might be thought that these cinephile setpieces are one-offs, showy flourishes that have only momentary appeal. Actually, they are usually integrated into a wider pattern of repetitions and replays. The wineglass scene in *A Hero Never Dies* is recalled in a later moment in Po's bar. Likewise, the MP3 minibus scene of *Running Out of Time* is echoed when Cheung and the young woman meet again on the same busline, and this time she takes the initiative in snuggling up against him. Such instances point to another way in which To, Wai, and their Milkyway team innovate with limited means. They rethink the larger architecture of the plot, and how that plot is conveyed to the audience.

HEIRS TO A TRADITION OF episodic construction, Hong Kong filmmakers didn't worry much about fitting their set-pieces into larger wholes. Given the reel-by-reel plot template and the willingness of the audience to sit through fairly long curtainraisers before getting to the main line of action, there was little pressure to channel the cascade of events. Wong Kar-wai experimented by making his plots even more episodic and exploiting the possibilities of imagistic motifs and echoes. But we've seen as well that in the 2000s filmmakers became more concerned about tighter scripts and logical plotting.

The easiest way to design a script's throughline is to adopt Hollywood's model of character development. Provide characters an "arc" so that they eventually learn to fix their faults, adjust to circumstances, or just become a nicer person. The star's image, I've argued, can assist the process: at first initially unsympathetic, the star can grow into his usual persona (as Eason Chan does in *Hooked on You*). This process is also one way to avoid episodic construction, because scenes can be designed to show not only external conflicts but also modulation in characters' personalities.

Yet on the whole To and Wai don't pick this option. Milkyway characters may change their aims or tactics or awareness of the situation, but they seldom change their traits and attitudes. How then to maintain dramatic propulsion? First, To and Wai borrow from a long local tradition and multiply the protagonists. There might be two (who may clash with one another), three (as in *Triangle* and *Throw Down*), or a team (*The Mission, Sparrow*). This principle of construction goes back very far and is central, for instance, to Chang Cheh's martial arts films.

With two or more main characters, the plot can concentrate more on simply exposing characters' traits. It can show people in different situations, and it can combine characters in ways that tell us about their strengths and weaknesses. For example, a great deal of *Expect the Unexpected* is taken up with displaying the police squad's differing personalities and their reactions to one another and to civilians. The principal cops Sam and Ken are contrasted by their ways of questioning the gang member they've brought in. In varying ways Ken and Sam pursue romantic possibilities with Mandy, while Macy (whom we think is in love with Ken) is actually attracted to Jimmy. There are episodes in which Sam cooks for householders who loan the cops space for a stakeout, and scenes with Jimmy flirting in the hospital. There's no psychological change or growing self-knowledge. Rather, people who have hidden their feelings let them out a little, and other people learn more about their friends. Character revelation trumps character development.

With dual or multiple protagonists, you can sharpen the differences among them by doubling, making them variants of one another. At the start of *A Hero Never Dies*, Jack's questions to the Thai fortune teller are repeated in a later scene by Martin; in both scenes, the protagonist winds up shooting the seer in the ankle. Although Jack and Martin are on opposite sides, this rhyming effect prepares us for their eventual alliance: They are on the same wavelength. The entire film, in fact, is predicated on parallels—between exploitative gang bosses, between girlfriends (who bravely protect their men), and between caregiving roles (Fiona tends Martin, Jack tends the badly burned Yoyo).

Character parallels are common in crime films around the world, of course, and Hong Kong directors haven't been shy about using them. Mark and Lee in Woo's *The Killer* are given comparable roles and filmed in similar ways. But To and Wai are likely to build the entire film out of parallels, rhymes, and doubles. Characters replay their own actions or repeat other people's, as if everyone must choose from a narrow repertoire of behaviors. *Expect the Unexpected* gives us two gangs, two main male cops, two efforts to return Mandy's purse, two stakeouts, and a replay of the driving maneuvers used to capture the fugitives. Even a romance movie like *Turn Left, Turn Right* (2003) is ruled by near-exact repetitions, as Eve



JT.15 A Hero Never Dies: Jack's gang.



JT.16 A Hero Never Dies: Martin's gang.

and John, separated after meeting, visit the same emergency room, park, café, and so on. By the time we get to *Running on Karma*, via a spiritual leap the protagonist seems to split into twins.

Repetitions in a narrative always serve to foreground differences, but filmmakers can bend those differences to varying purposes. In Hollywood films, repetitions of situations or lines of dialogue tend to measure an arc of progress or degree of development. Art cinema tends to use repetition to establish mundane routine, to suggest psychological states eddying underneath behavior, and to create symbolic parallels. Wong Kar-wai's repetitions often fulfill these functions. With To and Wai, repetition is used as an almost mechanical device, rhyming characters, bits of business, and settings in ways that suggest a closed world ruled by rather narrow laws. So Martin and Jack not only ask the same questions of the fortune teller, they and their men line up to urinate in the same way (Figs. JT.15-JT.16). Neither character development nor everyday routine can justify this exact echo. Such rhymes also allow production economies, so that twinned scenes can be filmed on the same locations in the same stretch of time.



JT.17 Multiples of cop and crook in a warehouse maze (*The Longest Nite*). Compare Figs. 11.76–11.77.

The very idea of repetition is flaunted throughout the Milkyway oeuvre. The climactic shootout of *The Longest Nite* depends on Sam the cop disguising himself as Tony the assassin, down to the shaved head and big tattoo, in order to take Tony's place on the escape route. Amping up the duality quotient, the gunfight takes place in a warehouse packed with mirrors (Fig. JT.17). Parallels multiply when roles are reiterated across films, as in the likenesses and contrasts drawn between mastermind Cheung in *Running Out of Time* and the magician in the sequel. Likewise, doubling can be played for humor (Fig. JT.18). In *Sparrow*, once we figure out that Chun-lei is going to dupe each member of the pickpocket gang, we can sit back to watch her ingenious repertoire. In To's section of *Triangle*, we can enjoy the complications when identical crinkly plastic bags circulate unpre-



JT.18 Running Out of Time: Unconscious hoods as mirror images.



JT.19 Triangle: The 'scope framing picks out the plastic sacks that are to be found everywhere in Asia.

dictably among characters accidentally assembled at a waterside café. One bag contains a treasure, one contains wads of bills, one is full of Ecstasy pills, and one holds miscellaneous junk topped by a padded bra (Fig. JT.19).

The tendency toward mechanical, somewhat absurd repetition of action, tics, and props goes along with another method for tightening the plot. To and Wai turn from the Hong Kong episodic norm by creating more intricate chains of action than other local filmmakers attempt. But instead of relying on character development, they devise *schemes*—plans, usually devious, that central characters put into motion. In To's plots, characters plot.

There are deadly schemes, as in *Expect the Unexpected*, when the sociopathic gang hatches an unpredictable strategy combining home invasion and robbery. Officer Ko's elaborate substitution of pistols in *Mad Detective* drives most of the action, but his scheme is echoed in miniature at the end, when the new cop has to launch his own gun-swapping ruse. A more complex scheme may constitute a trap. *The Longest Nite*'s nearly indecipherable plot revolves around a casino boss's improbably elaborate plan to put a policeman in a situation in which he must assassinate a troublesome gang leader. The paranoia that detective Sam starts to feel is the product of the scheme tightening around his neck.

Schemes collide, overlap, and reconfigure. The armed gang of *Breaking News* holes up in an apartment tower in order to ambush armored trucks. But the police pursuit of the gang flushes out two other hitmen waiting in an apartment before going out on their job. The duo falls in with the gang and eventually each unit helps to further the other's plan. In the *Election* films, every major player has a plan to take over the leadership of the

triad family, and each one involves temporary alliances that will be betrayed. At the end, it's revealed that everyone has played unwitting parts in the most cynical scheme of all, initiated by the Mainland security officer who explains that Hong Kong needs stability in order to prosper.

The notion of characters caught in larger dynamics they don't understand is of course a staple of film noir, which is perhaps the closest Hollywood analogy to what we get in the Milkyway policiers. But noir conventions don't cover the lighter end of the spectrum, the point at which schemes become games. In Running Out of Time, the dying Cheung rigs up a roundabout way of bilking a gang of jewel thieves, and he recruits a police hostage negotiator into joining in. Cheung has seen several moves ahead and gives his avatar Detective Ho just the right set of constrained choices. A playful scheme like this will include explicit tests or contests, usually under pressure of a deadline. ("If you can take me to the police station, you win.") Pitted against a magician in Running Out of Time 2, Ho must learn a new set of rules, and again his adversary seems to have foreseen all the choices that he will make. The Running Out of Time films throw in dares, scavenger hunts, bike races, blind man's bluff, and drag dress-up, creating schemes reminiscent of kids' pastimes. Something similar happens in Throw Down, when Sam's transparent scam of replacing briefcases devolves into disguising himself and Mona as stuffed animals.

Although a woman's freedom is at stake in *Sparrow*, the pickpocket contest plays as another sort of boys' game, because Kei undertakes it after Fu has called him a fumbler. *Fulltime Killer* interlocks deadly and childish schemes in a tonally disturbing mixture. The killer O has inserted his housekeeper Chin into his elaborate security reg-

imen, but his sprightly rival Tat wants to trap O into a public test of skills. O's killings are coldblooded, taking out civilians as collateral damage. Tat displays the same indifference to public safety but with more brazen panache—most memorably, conducting one hit wearing a Bill Clinton mask and striking a rockstar pose.

A scheme can go awry, of course. This is what happens in Expect the Unexpected, when the police discover and block the gang's big heist. In The Mission, a telltale order of take-out food reveals who is behind the attempts to kill the bodyguards' boss. Coincidence is always a strong motivator in Hong Kong movies, but in Milkyway productions it takes on unusual dramatic force because a scheme can be spoiled by an absurd accident. A line of gasoline burning in the street is extinguished when a passing car flattens a juice container; a getaway car is halted by a strip of nails laid out to drum up business for a man who repairs tires. The gang vendetta at the heart of PTU is knocked off course by the sudden appearance of drug smugglers from the Mainland who pick the wrong spot for a meet. Any conventionally carpentered screenplay would have planted those smugglers far in advance, but here it stands as a stark, puzzling coincidence.

The scheme that drives a Milkyway plot isn't usually obvious; it may be murky for a very long time. Here is another solution to the problem of story construction. Milkyway films, to an extent rare in Hong Kong, traffic in mysteries. Typically a protagonist isn't the schemer, or if he is, as in *Running Out of Time*, we aren't given much access to his plan. More often, the protagonists get only glimpses of the larger pattern they're caught in.

Hong Kong crime movies have usually favored omniscient narration, letting the audience in on what everyone is up to. *Infernal Affairs* uses this convention smoothly, building up suspense because we know more than any one of the characters. We're nearly always aware of who is deceiving whom (although one surprise is left for the end, as is also common in Hollywood). By contrast, To's films multiply puzzles and unfold the answers only gradually. This process is achieved through restrictive narration, the strategy of attaching us to characters who confront the mysteries that the scheme throws in their path.

Although To's films aren't pure detective stories, their enigmas rely on physical clues. These may be inadvertent tipoffs like the take-out coaster in *The Mission*, or cryptic tokens or messages left by the master plotter. The digits "109" scrawled on a corpse's palm can in retrospect be seen as a storage-locker number, and a screw mailed to a detective can lead him into an air vent and then to a gang of robbers. In *Running Out of Time 2*, Ho receives three enigmatic Christmas gifts—a garbage-can lid, a key, and a whistle—and at critical moments he must infer how to use each one. The whistle, as it turns out, will be not needed until the film's final moments.

ONCE MYSTERIES AND schemes start shaping the plot, the storyteller needs to decide how much information to share with the audience at each moment. Here Wai and To are particularly devious. To says he likes to "leave blank spaces" for the audience to fill in.⁹ What does that mean?

Sze-to used to be a judo champion, but now he's eerily disaffected. Given to long pauses and awkward gestures, he stares stupidly and seems oblivious to what's happening around him. No wonder: he's an alcoholic and a gambling addict always in debt. And no wonder that when the young bravo Tony shows up to challenge him to a match, he ignores him. But an hour into the film Tony announces that he's challenging every judo expert he can because he's got glaucoma and is going blind. Soon afterward, Sze-to is groping his way along a hospital corridor trying to find his old judo master's room. It becomes clear that Sze-to has in fact been going blind in the course of the film. Through an extraordinary coincidence, Tony's announcement of his blindness anticipates what Sze-to is going through.

The twist comes several scenes later, when Tony cheerfully admits that he lied. He's not going blind. He just wants to get opponents to fight him, out of pity if necessary. This enrages Sze-to and he spontaneously starts to grapple with Tony. This will be Sze-to's path back to recovering his judo mastery.

Rewatching *Throw Down*, you can find hints that Sze-to's lumpish passivity could be attributed to the onset of blindness. In retrospect, a peculiar scene of Sze-to, Tony, and Mona on a minibus seems an oblique tip-off, as does a closeup of Sze-to's fumbling to insert a cable wire. Soon after Tony claims he's going blind, he finds some business cards for eye doctors in his locker. But these are very elliptical pointers. A more ordinary film would have told us much earlier about the protagonist's waning sight, if not through dialogue then through fuzzy point-of-view shots, a flashback to medical tests, and the like.

Sze-to's clumsy self-presentation in the first hour of *Throw Down* can be taken, I think, as one of those blank spaces that To invites us to fill. We lack narrative information but don't know we do; we think we're seeing a drunken has-been, but in fact we are seeing a man going blind and trying to get through it. The narration is suppressive and misleading in a manner that Fritz Lang pioneered in his American work. But even Lang wouldn't introduce the bizarre coincidence of Tony's identical but faked eye condition. To pays off that farfetched conjunction later. Once Sze-to and Tony start practicing judo together, Sze-to admits that *he's* got glaucoma and is going blind. Tony, thinking it's a teasing reference to his lie, laughs.

To's crime films seldom run more than ninety minutes or so, not counting credits. Partly this is because shorter movies are cheaper to make, but their brevity also points to some interesting formal features. What is left out isn't connective tissue, all those shots of cars pulling up and away, or people entering and leaving buildings. That filler material, perhaps because of To's TV origins, stays in. Rather, To and Wai leave other, more standard things out.

We might, for example, lack exposition indicating what's at stake in a scene. In *Exiled*, after Wo and his buddies have reunited and faced the fact that he must be killed, he says he'll name the time tomorrow. Next morning they leave Wo's apartment in silence. They go to a hotel to meet Jeff. In the course of the conversation, it becomes clear that the five men have decided to delay the hit for a day in order to get money for Wo's family (a point that he mentions earlier, once). Tarantino would have inserted an expository gabfest over breakfast that morning, but To has suppressed the men's act of deciding.

Given complicated plots and spare exposition, To's parsimony is striking in another way. He refuses the voice-over narration and quick flashbacks that filmmakers in Hong Kong and Hollywood use to clarify complex action. Some voiceover bits float up in *The Longest Nite*, but only *Fulltime Killer*, an exercise in Pang-brothers flash and filigree, makes extensive use of it. We might be grateful for some visual or auditory flashbacks in negotiating a plot like that of *The Longest Nite* or *The Mission*, but the film doesn't supply them. These refusals are part of a broader strategy of opacity and indirection that is unique in local cinema and quite rare in popular cinema generally.

What I tell you three times is true. This is Hollywood's motto for dispensing narrative information. But Milkyway films often tell us nothing, or tell us just once, and maybe not straightforwardly. In Sparrow, To might have shown us early on exactly how his pickpocket team extracts wallets from passersby, but apart from a quick glimpse of Kei's technique, the film saves the revelation for a moment when the gang wants to scare a nosy civilian (Fig. JT.20). The plot of The Longest Nite is hard enough to unravel, but the narration doesn't always help. A twenty-second scene shows Maggie getting into a cab to go to police headquarters. Later officer Sam finds a duffel bag of money under his desk. Another filmmaker would have emphasized the bag in Maggie's hand, or shown her sneaking into headquarters or slipping the bag under the desk. To and Wai simply assume that we'll infer that Maggie successfully planted it.

Sometimes what's omitted or alluded to can be taken as read. After Wo and his gang take a photo together, To's narration inserts a snapshot of five young boys. Given the genre conventions, we can assume that they were childhood friends, and so we don't need the more formulaic flashback to boys playing together. But often what's left out is more enigmatic, like Cheung's jewel-heist plan in *Running Out of Time. Throw Down* features a scene in a video arcade, where the moneylender Savage is distracted while Sze-to tries to switch briefcases with him. Only in retrospect do we realize that Sze-to instructed Tony and Mona to distract his target, and indirectly us.

As some of these examples indicate, elliptical storytelling invites misdirection. Early in *The*

Longest Nite, we see the bald triad Tony dialing someone. After he gets the restaurant's phone number, the scene ends abruptly. We don't know whom he called or what message he left. There follows a harrowing scene of Sam using a sauce

bottle to hammer a suspect's hands. The violence masks the more important event in the scene, the moment when the phone rings and Tony goes to answer. We can be forgiven for not absorbing the fact that his laconic message ("I've just arrived,



JT.20 The pickpocket's secret weapon: razor blades in the mouth (Sparrow).



JT.21 Telling it once, distractingly, in *The Longest Nite*: Tony gives crucial instructions while in the background Sam pounds the hands of his suspect.

everything is taken care of") is what sets the scheme in motion, since we're distracted by the victim's cries (Fig. JT.21).

A larger-scale misdirection occurs in A Hero *Never Dies.* Jack, one of the two paid killers, lies in a coma in hospital. When hitmen arrive to finish him off, his girlfriend Yovo hides him in a mortuary drawer. But she's trapped when the hitmen set the room on fire. We dissolve from shots of her shrinking from flames to a crematorium, where a coffin is loaded in for burning. Our hair-trigger inference is that she has died. Cut to the families of the three bodyguards killed during the firefight that wounded Jack, asking for money from the boss. Since we've seen only one coffin but three other victims, the implication is still strong that the cremation was Yoyo's. That inference is further justified when we next see Jack fifteen minutes later, recovered and alone. Not for some time will we learn that Yoyo survived, though horribly burned, and Jack is taking care of her.

Not only are the schemes imperfectly understood by the characters, the overarching narrative developments may be concealed or misleadingly presented to us. These tactics of delay and suppression sustain interest and provide surprises in ways akin to the principles of scheming and doubling. They are solid ways to build plots without recourse to complex psychology, so they fit local traditions. Yet their complexity made them rare in previous Hong Kong films. They helped distinguish the Milkyway product—and to endear it to cinephiles abroad.

THE MISSION EXEMPLIFIES To's ambition to create something new in local cinema. Shot in eighteen days for about US\$300,000, more or less made up as it went along, the film has become a milestone in post-handover cinema. It bears the influence of

Planet Hong Kong

Kurosawa but also owes something to the early 1990s films of Kitano Takeshi, who invested gunfights with a statuesque simplicity at odds with the kinetic Hong Kong tradition. At the same time, *The Mission* exemplifies how To's laconic, elliptical principles of narration can yield something of enduring value. "I only knew what filmmaking was about when making *The Mission*," To declared soon afterward. "I feel I am only beginning."¹⁰

The plot of The Mission is strangely brokenbacked. Lung, a top triad, has been marked for assassination. His long-time bodyguard failed to halt a gun attack, so his brother Frank hires five street toughs to protect him. Curtis, a hairdresser, brings along the gun dealer James and the pimp Mike; Roy, a club owner, brings along his protégé Shin. Curtis is the leader, although Roy will contest him. The first hour of the film shows the team's efforts, moving into the Lung compound. Stretches of down time alternate with firefights in which our team must fend off assassins. Interwoven with this plot line are the problems Roy has in controlling his territory while he's on this assignment. When the bodyguards pursue a band of hitmen to their hideout, they find a clue that identifies the schemer behind the attacks: Lung's old, now feeble partner Fat Cheung. Frank has him killed. Mission accomplished.

But the film can't end so soon, and a new plot emerges. After the bodyguards are paid, Frank tells Curtis that the rookie Shin has had an affair with Lung's wife. Curtis now must have Shin killed. The classic conflict between duty and friendship, seen in samurai and yakuza films and *wuxia pian*, takes shape. How Curtis resolves the problem—his new mission—consumes the last twenty-five minutes of the film.

All the standard Milkyway ingredients are here. We have the opaque schemes: Fat Cheung's plot to take over, then Curtis' more ingenious plan to dispose of Shing. We have the parallel protagonists and the repayment of loyalties. When Curtis inadvertently leaves Roy behind after a gunfight, he must make it up to him, and so he sees to the killing of Roy's main competitor Rat. The most timely accident occurs when Lung's disgraced former bodyguard appears as a floor-mopper and in a spurt of courage takes a bullet for his former boss. There are as well scenes of stoic comradeship, men testing guns, eating together, pulling pranks, and doing their jobs with crisp efficiency. Just as important, all these elements don't require a big budget. The film boasts unforgettable cinephile setpieces. In one famous scene, the idling men kick a wad of paper among themselves like a soccer ball. There's the even more famous scene of the gun battle in a deserted shopping mall. Here To innovates by creating a static action sequence, putting each man in a holding position and inventively refreshing the widescreen compositions through cutting and camera movement (Figs. JT.22– JT.23). Instead of a blood ballet, we have an "antiaction" scene, emphasizing the tense poise of a defensive strategy. If classic Hong Kong film rests on pause/burst/pause choreography, To stretches the pause phase to the point of fixity.

In *The Mission*, the narration is as tight-lipped as the characters. Across the first part, the bond that the men come to share is shown through gestures rather than words. After Curtis indirectly apologizes to Roy by killing Rat, the men turn their cigarette-sharing into a schoolyard prank (Fig. JT.24). But the new fraternity is threatened by Shin's indiscretion. If Curtis doesn't kill Shin, the entire group is in danger of reprisals from Frank. And the loose cannon Roy is unlikely to keep a fake death secret. So Roy and Frank must believe that Shin has been killed. Yet Curtis doesn't want



JT.22 *The Mission*: The mall defensive maneuver.



JT.23 The Mission: Hitmen as chesspieces.

Planet Hong Kong

to kill the boy. He conceives a scheme whereby he will pretend to kill Shin in front of Roy and Frank's lieutenant. In exchange, Shin must flee Hong Kong.

Curtis's plan is never explained in the bald terms I've just used. There are no scenes of Curtis agonizing over his choice, or meeting with Shin to set up the fake killing. Moreover, we're distracted from grasping Curtis's plan by the film's emphasis on a counter-scheme attempted by Roy. He wants James to give Curtis a pistol filled with blanks. This scheme is spelled out a little more than Curtis's, but using To's minimal narration: shot of black pistol, followed by a line of dialogue indicating that the dummy pistol is black. Unfortunately, Curtis has seen one move ahead and at the showdown the pistol he lays on the table is silver. Roy's scheme has failed.

James emerges as a major character during the climax. He's the one who discovers Curtis's intention to kill Shin. (He discovers it in the way Curtis wants him to—that's part of Curtis's scheme.) At the showdown, James begs Curtis to wait until he's tried to convince Frank to spare Shin. But when he sees Mrs. Lung gunned down, he realizes that Shin could never be forgiven. And after Curtis has apparently killed Shin, it's through James that we realize that Curtis has performed a charade. Now we understand why the plot starts with James and uses his nighttime wanderings to link the other men in the early scenes. It's through James that we'll get the solution to the film's second mystery.

The miserly doling out of information is especially apparent in a re-viewing of the film. What enables Shin to survive Curtis's pistol shot across the dinner table? In a throwaway earlier scene, he orders a bulletproof vest, and after the climactic siege he's saved by wearing it. So presumably he



JT.24 Lounging by the pool, team members sabotage Curtis's cigarette in a single-take scene.

has it on for the restaurant charade. Why does Curtis borrow James' cellphone to call Shin? In order to tip off James that he intends to kill the boy. Above all, does Shin's affair with Mrs. Lung come as a complete surprise? Roy has been Mrs. Lung's driver but one day he comes back to the Lung house and orders Shin to take over the job. Later, we see the car stalled in a rainstorm. When Shin returns to the household that day, there is a distant, static long take of the kitchen. Shin strips off his shirt and goes to the rear of the frame. As other items of the scene claim our attention, Roy leans forward slightly and sniffs the shirt (Fig. JT.25). He then orders Shin to take a shower and tosses the shirt to him.

Apparently the shirt smells of sweat and Roy is playing the bossy big brother. But later, when defending Shin's affair, Roy says that Mrs. Lung tried to seduce him. This is presumably why he quit being her driver.¹¹ The shot in question, buried in the middle of the section in which the plot against Lung is still the primary engine of action, already hints at what will occupy the second part of the film. Roy notices that Shin's shirt smells of perfume. This is To's tell-it-once rule in action.

An even more cunning ploy helps that shot slip by us. The film sets up an intrinsic norm of showing the Lung household in fairly distant framings. Key here is a shot occuring early in the team's occupancy of the mansion. A long-take long shot shows Mrs. Lung coming down to ask two of the men for some water (Fig. JT.26). No action of any plot consequence takes place, but the distant framing prepares us to take the later long shot of Shin's return as another bit of innocuous down time.

I know of no previous Hong Kong crime film with such suppressive and elliptical narration. To uses his multiple protagonists not only to pursue different strands of action but to switch points of view in ways that hold back information from us. This is somewhat like Wong Kar-wai's withholding of information about the affair at the heart of *In the Mood for Love*. But whereas Wong flaunts the fact that he's hiding things, To is more covert. We don't expect that a film that can dwell on men kicking around a ball of paper will be so reluctant to divulge an extramarital affair or a fake murder scheme.

To's laconic narration suits his characters and his genre. More importantly, his deceptive play with style and storytelling offers one solution to the problem of how to achieve originality within the new constraints of the post-1995 era. In *Infernal Affairs*, Andrew Lau and his colleagues innovated by creating a genre piece as well carpentered as Hollywood might provide. Johnnie To moved in the other direction, toward bait-andswitch plots and images that send the eye on scavenger hunts. With *The Mission* and other films, he—like Stephen Chow, like Wong Kar-wai, like many before them—showed that a tradition that puts a premium on the sensuous, artifice-loving side of entertainment still had some cards to play.



JT.25 Another long-take scene: In frame center Roy leans forward to sniff Shin's shirt.



JT.26 Earlier in *The Mission*, Mrs. Lung comes down to ask for water. Apart from hinting at Mrs. Lung's flirtatiousness, this long shot makes the later, more significant one seem inconsequential.

Further Reading

THE MOST IMPORTANT English-language sources on Hong Kong film are those published each year by the Hong Kong International Film Festival (hereafter HKIFF). Some were published jointly with the Hong Kong Urban Council or with the Hong Kong Film Archive. The most useful ones for this book are listed chronologically below.

Lin Nien-tung and Paul Yeung, eds. *Cantonese Cinema Retrospective (1950–1959)*. 1978.

Lin Nien-tung, ed. *Hong Kong Cinema Survey* (1946–1968). 1979.

Lau Shing-hon, ed. A Study of the Hong Kong Martial Arts Film. 1980.

— A Study of the Hong Kong Swordplay Film (1945–1980). 1981; rev. ed. 1996.

Shu Kei, ed. *Cantonese Cinema Retrospective* (1960–1969). 1982; rev. ed. 1996.

— A Comparative Study of Post-War Mandarin and Cantonese Cinema: The Films of Zhu Shilin, Qin Jian, and Other Directors. 1983.

Li Cheuk-to, ed. A Study of Hong Kong Cinema in the Seventies (1970–1979). 1984.

—— The Traditions of Hong Kong Comedy. 1985.

—— Cantonese Melodrama (1950–1969). 1986; rev. ed. 1997.

—— Cantonese Opera Film Retrospective. 1987; rev. ed. 1996.

----- Changes in Hong Kong Society through Cinema. 1988; rev. ed. 1998.

—— Phantoms of the Hong Kong Cinema. 1989.

— The China Factor in the Hong Kong Cinema. 1990; rev. ed. 1997.

Law Kar, ed. Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties. 1991.

----- Overseas Chinese Figures in Cinema. 1992.

—— Mandarin Films and Popular Songs: 40's–60's. 1993.

——Cinema of Two Cities: Hong Kong—Shanghai. 1994.

—— Early Images of Hong Kong and China. 1995.

— The Restless Breed: Cantonese Stars of the Sixties. 1996.

——Fifty Years of Electric Shadows. 1997.

—— Transcending the Times: King Hu and Eileen Chan. 1998.

—— The Hong Kong New Wave: Twenty Years After. 1999.

——Border Crossings in Hong Kong Cinema. 2000. Li Cheuk-to, ed. *Herman Yau, Director in Focus*. 2007. ——Eric Tsang: Filmmaker in Focus. 2008.

— A Tribute to Romantic Visions: 25th Anniversary of Film Workshop. 2009.

----- Bruce Lee Lives. 2010.

The Festival also published several annual collections of essays on recent releases under the rubric *Hong Kong Panorama*. These are cited in the notes for several chapters.

Four more publications from this prodigious event deserve mention: the retrospective volume edited by Emily Lo, 20th Anniversary of the Hong Kong International Film Festival, 1977–1996 (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1996); the exhibition catalogue published by the Hong Kong Film Archive, 50 Years of the Hong Kong Film Production and Distribution Industries: An Exhibition (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1997); the parallel publication of conference proceedings edited by Law Kar, Fifty Years of Electric Shadows: Report of Conference on Hong Kong Cinema 10-12 Apr 1997 (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1997); and another exhibition catalogue, The Making of Martial Arts Movies-As Told by Filmmakers and Stars (Hong Kong: Provisional Urban Council, 1999).

Since its opening in 2001, the Hong Kong Film Archive, sometimes in conjunction with the Festival, has published comprehensive reference works on the history of local cinema. Among the most important of them are the following.

Ho, Sam and Ho Wai-ling, eds. *The Swordsman and His Jiang Hu: Tsui Hark and Hong Kong Film.* 2002.

Wong Ain-ling, ed. The Cathay Story. 2002.

----- The Shaw Screen: A Preliminary Study. 2003.

----- Chang Cheh: A Memoir. 2004.

—— The Cinema of Lee Sun-fung. 2004.

— The Hong Kong-Guangdong Film Connection. 2005.

----- The Glorious Modernity of Kong Ngee. 2006.

—— Li Han-hsiang, Storyteller. 2007.

- ——Zhu Zhilin: A Filmmaker of His Times. 2008.
- ----- Fei Mu's Confucius. 2010.

Shing, Angel and Lau Yam, eds. Oral History Series 6: Lung Kong. 2010.

The Archive also publishes a bilingual quarterly newsletter with updates about screenings, exhibitions, and new collections.

Another indispensable reference work for the period covered in this book is *1969–1989 Shou Lun Ying Pian Piao Fang Ji Lu* (Box-office records of first-run films, 1969–1989) (Hong Kong: Film Biweekly, 1990). This list has been updated and corrected in an online resource, the Hong Kong Movie DataBase (www.hkmdb.com). For the years 1989–1998 the Hong Kong, Kowloon, and New Territories Motion Picture Industry Association Ltd. published annual lists of releases, with plot synopses, credits, and reported earnings. These volumes are *Hong Kong Films 1989–1990, Hong Kong Films 1991, Hong Kong Films 1992, Hong Kong Films 1993, Hong Kong Films 1994–1995, Hong Kong Films 1994–1995, Hong Kong Films 1996*, and *Hong Kong Films 1997–1998*.

A team of researchers at the Hong Kong Film Archive has been documenting the territory's entire cinematic output in a series entitled Hong Kong Filmography (1997-present). As of 2010, the project's seven volumes cover releases from 1913 to 1974. Volumes I-IV are bilingual, English/Chinese, while subsequent volumes are only in Chinese. For more information, consult the Archive's website at www.lcsd.gov.hk/CE/CulturalService/HKFA/ en/4-1.php. More abbreviated listings of annual releases, along with much other reference material, can be found in Emrik Gouneau and Léonard Amara's vast Encyclopédie du Cinema de Hong Kong: Des origines à nos jours (Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 2006). A very detailed reference work for a shorter period is John Charles, The Hong Kong Filmography, 1977-1997 (Jefferson, NC: McFarland, 2000).

The secondary literature on Hong Kong cinema has become very large. For works on specific subjects I discuss in this book, see the relevant chapter's endnotes. Broader studies include Stephen Teo's Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions (London: British Film Institute, 1997), a magisterial synoptic history, surveying directors, genres, and social context. More recent are three essential works mapping out broader industrial and cultural contexts: Yingjin Zhang's Chinese National Cinema (New York: Routledge, 2004); Michael Curtin's Playing to the World's Biggest Audience: The Globalization of Chinese Film and TV (Berkeley: Univesity of California Press, 2007), and Darrell William Davis and Emilie Yueh-vu Yeh, East Asian Screen Industries (London: British Film Institute, 2008).

Three major scholarly anthologies are *The Cinema of Hong Kong: History, Arts, Identity,* ed. Poshek Fu and David Desser, eds. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000); *At Full Speed:*

Hong Kong Cinema in a Borderless World, ed. Esther C.M. Yau (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, 2000); and Between Home and World: A Reader in Hong Kong Cinema, ed. Esther M. K. Cheung and Chu Yiu-wai (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press, 2004). See also Kwai-Cheung Lo, Chinese Face/Off: The Transnational Popular Culture of Hong Kong (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2005).

Other overviews are provided in Lisa Odham Stokes and Michael Hoover, City on Fire: Hong Kong Cinema (London: Verso, 1999); Bérénice Reynaud, Nouvelles Chines, nouveaux cinémas (Paris: Cahiers du cinéma, 1999); and Stefan Hammond, Hollywood East (New York: Contemporary, 2000). Miles Wood's collection of interviews, *Cine East: Hong Kong Cinema through the Looking* Glass (Guildford, Surrey: FAB Press, 1998) provides insights into filmmaking in the 1990s. Also important are Bey Logan's lively and authoritative Hong Kong Action Cinema (London: Titan, 1995) and Ralph Umard's Film Ohne Gretzen: Das Neue Hongkong Kino (Lappersdorf: Kerschensteiner, 1996), a mighty collection of interviews, essays, and filmographies. I should also signal the journals Cinemaya (1988-2007) and Asian Cinema Journal (1995-present), both of which have published many pieces on Hong Kong film history.

Fan guides can provide a lot of information, and most are fun to read. In English the following are helpful: Rick Baker and Toby Russell, *The Essential Guide to Hong Kong Movies* (London: Eastern Heroes, 1994); Fredric Dannen and Barry Long, *Hong Kong Babylon: An Insider's Guide to the Hollywood of the East* (New York: Hyperion/ Miramax, 1997); Stefan Hammond and Mike Wilkins, *Sex and Zen & A Bullet in the Head* (New York: Fireside, 1996); Bill Palmer, Karen Palmer, and Ric Meyers, *The Encyclopedia of Martial Arts*

Movies (Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow Press, 1995); Thomas Weisser, Asian Cult Cinema (New York: Boulevard Books, 1997); Stefan Hammond, Hollywood East: Hong Kong Movies and the People Who Make Them (Chicago: Contemporary Books, 2000); Jeff Yang, Once Upon a Time in China: A Guide to Hong Kong, Taiwanese, and Mainland Chinese Cinema (New York: Atria, 2003); Brian Thomas, Videohound's Dragon: Asian Action and Cult Flicks (Detroit: Visible Ink, 2003); P. T. J. Rance, Virgin Film: Martial Arts (London: Virgin, 2005). Simone Bedetti and Massimo Mazzoni's La Hollywood d'Oriente: Il cinema di Hong Kong dalle origini a John Woo (Bologna: PuntoZero, 1996) includes a floppy disk housing a useful filmography. Another extensive study in Italian is Alberto Pezzotta's Tutto il cinema di Hong Kong: Stili, caratteri, autori (Milan: Baldini and Castoldi, 1999). See also Christophe Genet, Encyclopédie du cinema d'arts martiaux (Paris: Chiron, 2005).

On the Web, there are many Hong Kong-related sites. The best database is www.hkmdb.com, which stores thousands of film titles and capsule reviews. You can search by person, genre, distribution circuit, production company, language, and year. The same site offers weekly coverage of the industry. A complementary site with many informed reviews is Ross Chen's www.lovehkfilm.com. My own site, www.davidbordwell.net, includes several essays and blog entries on Hong Kong film.

Notes

1 | All Too Extravagant, Too Gratuitously Wild

- 1. Roger Greenspan, *"Five Fingers of Death," New York Times*, 22 March 1973, p. 54.
- 2. Virgil Thomson, *The State of Music* (New York: Vintage, 1962), p. 90.
- 3. In Europe subsidized films characteristically return less than half the money sunk into them. See "Hollywood's Fading Charms," *The Economist*, 22 March 1997, p. 72.
- 4. Leonard Klady, "Overcrowded Fest Circuit Fills Niche Pix Functions," *Variety*, 1–7 September 1997, pp. 7, 14.
- I try to outline some of these in *Narration in the Fiction Film* (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1985), pp. 205–233. See also Kristin Thompson and David Bordwell, *Film History: An Introduction* (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994), pp. 406–458, 492–516.
- 6. Rolanda Chu, "On the Lam: An Interview with Ringo," *Hong Kong Film Magazine* no. 4 (1995): 19.
- 7. See, for example, David Bordwell, "Modernism, Minimalism, Melancholy: Angelopoulos and Visual Style," in *The Last Modernist: The Films of Theo Angelopoulos*, ed. Andrew Horton (New York: Praeger, 1997), pp. 11–26.

- 8. Quoted in Stephen Teo, "The *Dao* of King Hu," in *A Study of Hong Kong Cinema in the Seventies*, ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1984), p. 34.
- 9. The philosopher Noël Carroll calls these "garden-variety" emotions in his enlightening *A Philosophy of Mass Art* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998), pp. 276–282.
- 10. Bruce Thomas, *Bruce Lee: Fighting Spirit* (London: Sidgwick and Jackson, 1994), p. 74.
- Quoted in "An Autumn's Tale," New Hong Kong Films 1987/88 (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1988), p. 21.
- 12. Richard Dyer and Ginette Vincendeau call this a "patchwork" aesthetic in their introduction to *Popular European Cinema* (London: Routledge, 1992), p. 12.
- 13. Edward Gross, "Tales from the Script," *Cinescape* 4, no. 3 (July/August 1998): 27.
- 14. The New York Times noted of Keaton's Our Hospitality: "Here we find a well-defined plot, not just a series of gag incidents... About as logical a comedy as we have seen" (quoted in Film Daily, 2 December 1923, p. 15). For an analysis of the film's tight construction, see David Bordwell and Kristin Thompson, Film Art: An Introduction, 5th ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1997), pp. 199–205. On the intricacies of Groundhog Day, see Kristin

Thompson, *Storytelling in the New Hollywood: Analyzing Classical Narrative Structure* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1999).

- Sharon Kinsella analyzes this phenomenon in "Cuties in Japan," in Women, Media, and Consumption in Japan, ed. Lise Skov and Brian Moeran (Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1995), pp. 220–254.
- 16. For insights into the issue of accessibility, see Carroll, A Philosophy of Mass Art, pp. 107–109.
- 17. Andrew Sarris, "Notes on the Auteur Theory in 1962," in *The Primal Scream: Essays on Film and Related Subjects* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1973), p. 50.
- 18. So we should work as much as possible with film copies, not video versions. Video often degrades a film's visual quality. Video color does not match the original film's. Widescreen films are often cropped on video, so that part of the original image is eliminated. In Hong Kong, video copies are often cut down from the original release print. Video does not reproduce film frames one-for-one, so counting frames—an important part of analyzing cutting—is rendered merely approximate in video copies. And so on.
- 19. See Les Paul Robley, "Hot Set," *American Cinematographer* 77, no. 1 (January 1996): 50.

20. This idea is discussed in David Bordwell, Janet Staiger, and Kristin Thompson, *The Classical Hollywood Cinema: Film Style and Mode of Production to 1960* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1985), p. 83.

Hong Kong and/as/or/ Hollywood

- 1. Law Kar, personal communication.
- 2. Quoted in Beth Accomando, "Terence Chang," *Giant Robot* no. 4 (1996): 67.
- 3. Quoted in Orson Welles and Peter Bogdanovich, *This Is Orson Welles*, ed. Jonathan Rosenbaum (New York: HarperCollins, 1992), p. 22.

2 | Local Heroes

- 1. The term "triads" is a generic label for secret societies. The Triads, apparently named in the seventeenth century, constitute only one group.
- 2. Quoted in "Dialogue 1: Kirk Wong–Roger Garcia," in *Hong Kong Cinema '83*, ed. Jerry Liu (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1984), p. 6.
- 3. "The Bottom Line," Asiaweek, 5 April 1996, p. 59; Ezra F. Vogel, The Four Little Dragons: The Spread of Industrialization in East Asia (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1991), p. 68; Kevin Rafferty, City on the Rocks: Hong Kong's Uncertain Future (New York: Viking, 1989), p. 163; Michael Harris Bond, Beyond the Chinese Face: Insights from Psychology (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press, 1991), p. 91. For general surveys of Hong Kong's history, problems, and accomplishments, other good sources are Felix Patrikeeff, Mouldering Pearl: Hong Kong at the Crossroads (London: George Philip, 1989); Marina Dyja and Dorian Malovic, Hong Kong: Un destin chinois (Paris: Bayard, 1997); and Stephen Vines, Hong Kong: China's New Colony (London: Aurum, 1998).

- 4. Philip Bowring, "Don't Forget That Some Things Should Change in Hong Kong," *International Herald Tribune*, 26 February 1997, p. 8; Christine Loh, "Closing the Gap of the Haves and Have-Nots," *South China Morning Post*, 7 April 1997, p. 20.
- 5. On Hong Kong's political structure, see Norman Miners, *The Government and Politics of Hong Kong*, 5th ed. (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press, 1995); Kathleen Cheek-Milby, *A Legislature Comes of Age: Hong Kong's Search for Influence and Identity* (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press, 1995); and Joseph Y. S. Cheng and Sonny S. H. Lo, eds., *From Colony to SAR: Hong Kong's Challenges Ahead* (Hong Kong: Chinese University Press, 1995).
- 6. Dick Wilson, *Hong Kong! Hong Kong!* (London: Unwin Hyman, 1990), p. 197.
- 7. Hong Kong's early years are traced in detail in G. B. Endicott, *A History of Hong Kong*, 2d ed. (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press, 1964). A lively synoptic view is Alan Birch, *Hong Kong: The Colony That Never Was* (Hong Kong: Odyssey, 1991).
- 8. Wilson, Hong Kong! pp. 11-20.
- 9. Quoted in Jim Rohwer, *Asia Rising: How History's Biggest Middle Class Will Change the World* (London: Brealey, 1996), p. 88.
- Suzanne Berger and Richard K. Lester, *Made by Hong Kong* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1997), pp. 16–22; Rafferty, *City on the Rocks*, pp. 142–145.
- Berger and Lester, *Made by Hong Kong*, pp. 21–24, 49–50; Rafferty, *City on the Rocks*, pp. 189, 207–241. For a survey of Hong Kong's business traditions, see Michael J. Enright, Edith E. Scott, and David Dodwell, *The Hong Kong Advantage* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1997).

- For a detailed account of the process of negotiation and withdrawal, see Robert Cottrell, *The End of Hong Kong: The Secret Diplomacy of Imperial Retreat* (London: John Murray, 1993).
- 13. For a comprehensive account of the Tiananmen Square events, see Part I of Orville Schell's Mandate of Heaven: A New Generation of Entrepreneurs, Dissidents, Bohemians, and Technocrats Lays Claim to China's Future (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1994).
- 14. Of the many speculations on Hong Kong's prospects under Chinese rule, particularly useful are Michael Yahuda, *Hong Kong: China's Challenge* (New York: Routledge, 1996); and Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, David Newman, and Alvin Rabushka, *Red Flag over Hong Kong* (Chatham, N.J.: Chatham House, 1996).
- 15. Several scholars have begun to unearth signs of this process in the 1960s. See Poshek Fu, "The Turbulent Sixties: Modernity, Youth Culture, and Cantonese Film in Hong Kong," in *Fifty Years of Electric Shadows*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1997), pp. 40–46; Linda Chiu-han Lai, "Nostalgia and Nonsense: Two Instances of Commemorative Practices in Hong Kong Cinema in the Early 1990s," in ibid., pp. 95–98; Esther Ching-mei Yau, "Ecology and Late Colonial Hong Kong Cinema: Imaginations in Time," in ibid., pp. 107–113. More generally, see the essays collected in Li Cheuk-to, ed., *Changes in Hong Kong Society Through Cinema* (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1988).
- 16. See Patrikeeff, *Mouldering Pearl*, pp. 80–83, and, for a more detailed account, Choi Po-king, "From Dependence to Self-Sufficiency: Rise of the Indigenous Culture of Hong Kong," *Asian Culture* 14 (April 1990): 161–177.

- 17. See Law Kar, "The 'Shaolin Temple' of the New Hong Kong Cinema," in A Study of Hong Kong Cinema in the Seventies, ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1984), pp. 114–117.
- 18. See Paul Lee, "The Absorption and Indigenization of Foreign Media Cultures: Hong Kong as a Cultural Meeting Point of East and West," in *Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1991), pp. 81–82.
- 19. Choi, "From Dependence to Self-Sufficiency," p. 173.
- 20. Wilson, Hong Kong! pp. 43-44.
- 21. "Che-Kirk Wong," in *A Cut Above: 50 Film Directors Talk about Their Craft*, ed. Michael Singer (Los Angeles: Lone Eagle, 1998), p. 316.
- 22. "Dialogue 1," p. 6.
- 23. Wilson, Hong Kong! p. 143.
- 24. United Nations Division of Statistics on Culture and Communication, *Statistics on Film and Cinema, 1955–1977*, Publication No. 25 (Paris: UNESCO, 1981), pp. 77–80.
- 25. Mel Tobias, "Hongkong: Video Roundup," in *International Film Guide 1988*, ed. Peter Cowie (London: Tantivy, 1987), p. 204.
- 26. Law Kar, "Hongkong Film Market and Trends in the '80s," in *Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties*, p. 74.
- 27. Interview with Shu Kei, 20 November 1997.
- 28. Ng Ho, "Anecdotes from Two Decades of Hong Kong Cinema: 1947–1967," in *A Comparative Study of Post-War Mandarin and Cantonese Cinema: The Films of Zhu Shilin, Qiu Jian and Other Directors* (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1983), p. 140.
- Joanna Lee, "Hong Kong Pop Fan(aticism)s," Hong Kong Film Magazine no. 3 (Spring 1995): 19.

- 30. "Triad Travesty," South China Morning Post,8 May 1998, p. 20.
- 31. Thanks to Athena Tsui for pointing this interplay out to me.
- 32. See Fu, "The Turbulent Sixties," p. 44.
- 33. See Paul Fonoroff, "Orientation," *Film Comment* 24, no. 3 (May–June 1988): 56.
- 34. See Stephen Teo, "Politics and Social Issues in Hongkong Cinema," in *Changes in Hong Kong Society through Cinema*, pp. 38–41.
- Stephen Teo, Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions (London: British Film Institute, 1997), pp. 111–112, 155.
- Tony Rayns, "Hard Boiled," Sight and Sound 2, no. 4 (August 1992): 22.
- Quoted in Pat Aufderheide, "Dynamic Duo," *Film Comment* 24, no. 3 (May– June 1988): 44.
- 38. An excellent overview of the history and operations of the black societies is Martin Booth, *The Triads: The Chinese Criminal Fraternity* (London: Grafton, 1990). See also Roger Faligot, *L'empire invisible: Les mafias chinoises* (Paris: Picquier, 1996).
- 39. See Fredric Dannen and Barry Long, *Hong Kong Babylon: An Insider's Guide to the Hollywood of the East* (New York: Hyperion, 1997), pp. 24–37.
- 40. Richard James Havis, "A Better Today: Hong Kong's John Woo Finally Does It His Way in Hollywood," *Cinemaya* no. 39–40 (Winter– Spring 1998): 14.
- James J. Y. Liu, *The Chinese Knight-Errant* (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1967), pp. 81–82; Daniel Sauvaget, "Made in Hongkong," *Image et son* no. 281 (February 1974): 58–60; Roger Garcia, "Alive and Kicking, the Kung Fu Film Is a Legend," *Far Eastern Economic Review*, 20 October 1983, p. 61.

- Ma Ka-fai, "Hero, Hong Kong Style: A Structural Study of Hero Films in Hong Kong" (M.A. thesis, University of Chicago, 1990), pp. 22–43.
- 43. Liu, Chinese Knight-Errant, pp. 125–129, 204.
- 44. Ma, "Hero, Hong Kong Style"; see also Ma Kafai, "Hero, Hong Kong Style," *Hong Kong Journal of Social Science* no. 7 (Spring 1996): 212–235; in Chinese, prefaced by an abstract in English.
- Athena Tsui, personal communication, 29 March 1997.
- 46. Interview with Athena Tsui, 22 March 1997; remarks by Paul Fonoroff, 12 April 1997, at conference "Fifty Years of Electric Shadows," sponsored by the Hong Kong International Film Festival.
- 47. I thank these critics for discussing their tastes with me in the course of several conversations.
- 48. For this and other information I am grateful to the Hong Kong Film Critics Society for a group interview, 18–19 December 1997.
- 49. Lin Nien-tung, "Some Trends in the Development of the Post-War Hong Kong Cinema," in *Hong Kong Cinema Survey* (1946–1968) (Hong Kong: HKIFF, 1979), pp. 24–25; remarks by Law Kar, 12 April 1997, at conference "Fifty Years of Electric Shadows."
- 50. Interviews with Jimmy Choi, 12 April 1996, and Shu Kei, 20 November 1997.
- 51. See "Dialogue 2: Patrick Tam—Leung Noong Kong," in Liu, *Hong Kong Cinema '83*, pp. 9–10; Jerry Liu, "The Land of Youth," in *Hong Kong Cinema '82* (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1983), pp. 18–22. 52. I discuss how this took place in Europe and North America in *On the History of Film Style* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1997), pp. 12–45.

- The poll can be found in *1994 Xiang Gang Dian Ying Hui Gu* (1994 Hong Kong Film Retrospective) (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Critics Society, 1996), pp. 252–264.
- 54. Lin, "Some Trends," pp. 21–24.
- 55. Hector Rodriguez, "The Emergence of the 'Hong Kong New Wave" in At Full Speed: Mutations of Hong Kong Cinema in the Age of Globalization, ed. Esther Yau (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2001), pp. 53–59.
- 56. Ibid. For more on how television work shaped the New Wave generation, see *The TV Works of Ann Hui*, ed. Tim Youngs (Udine: Far East Film, 2009).
- 57. Li Cheuk-to, "Young and Dangerous and the 1997 Deadline," in *Hong Kong Panorama 96–97*, ed. Jacob Wong (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1997), p.11.
- 58. Quoted in Havis, "A Better Today," p. 16.
- 59. Interview with Athena Tsui, 22 March 1997.

Two Dragons

1. The best collection of material on Bruce Lee is Bruce Lee Lives, ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: Hong Kong International Film Festival, 2010). Other important studies are Cheng Yu, "Anatomy of a Legend," in A Study of Hong Kong Cinema in the Seventies (1970–1979), ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1984), pp. 23–25; Tony Rayns, "Bruce Lee and Other Stories," in ibid., pp. 26-29; and Stephen Teo, Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions (London: British Film Institute, 1997), pp. 110-121. Lee has of course been the object of a huge amount of popular writing and specialized study among martial arts experts. I have culled biographical information mostly from three volumes: Alex Ben Block, The Legend of Bruce Lee (New York: Dell. 1974): Linda Lee.

The Bruce Lee Story (Santa Clarita, Calif.: Ohara, 1989); and the most probing of the lot, Bruce Thomas, Bruce Lee: Fighting Spirit (London: Sidgwick and Jackson, 1994). There are valuable memoirs in The Legendary Bruce Lee, edited from Black Belt Magazine (Santa Clarita, Calif.: Ohara, 1986), and interesting behind-thescenes film material in two books by Robert Clouse, The Making of "Enter the Dragon" (Burbank: Unique, 1987) and Bruce Lee: The Biography (Burbank: Unique, 1988). Lee's own writings include Chinese Gung Fu: The Philosophical Art of Self-Defense (1963; reprint, Santa Clarita, Calif.: Ohara, 1996) and Tao of Jeet Kune Do (Santa Clarita, Calif.: Ohara, 1975). See also Nick Davidson's in-depth study of Lee's fighting style, "The Real Game Begins...," in the fanzine Fists of Fury no. 1 (1997): 7–23.

- 2. According to legend, the confusion in titles came when the labels on the cans got switched en route to the United States.
- 3. I have been unable to trace these films, but Mel Tobias refers to them in *Flashback: Hong Kong Cinema after Bruce Lee* (Hong Kong: Gulliver, 1979), p. 90.
- 4. Thomas, Bruce Lee, pp. 341-342.
- 5. Quoted in Block, Legend of Bruce Lee, p. 42.
- 6. Quoted in Thomas, Bruce Lee, p. 117.
- 7. Quoted in Block, "The Hong Kong Style: Part 1," *Esquire* 80, no. 2 (August 1973): 76.
- 8. Quoted in Maxwell Pollard, "In Kato's Kung Fu, Action Was Instant," in *Legendary Bruce Lee*, pp. 43–44.
- 9. Quoted in Linda Lee, *The Bruce Lee Story*, pp. 96–97.
- 10. Most of the biographical material on Jackie Chan in what follows is drawn from Jackie Chan and Jeff Yang, *I Am Jackie Chan: My Life in Action* (New York: Ballantine, 1998); Jeff

Rovin and Kathy Tracy, *The Essential Jackie Chan Sourcebook* (New York: Pocket Books, 1997); Renée Witterstaetter, *Dying for Action: The Life and Times of Jackie Chan* (New York: Warner Books, 1997); and Clyde Gentry III, *Jackie Chan: Inside the Dragon* (Dallas: Taylor, 1997). See also the interviews collected in John R. Little and Curtis F. Wong, eds., *Jackie Chan* (Chicago: Contemporary Books, 1998); and Teo, *Hong Kong Cinema*, pp. 122–134.

- 11. Quoted in Winterstaetter, Dying for Action, p. 21.
- 12. Quoted in Little and Wong, Jackie Chan, p. 40.
- 13. Quoted in Rovin and Tracy, *Essential Jackie Chan*, p. 90.
- 14. Colin Geddes and Jason Gray, "Jackie, eh," Asian Eye no. 2 (1995): 10.
- I analyze this sequence in "Bond vs. Chan: Jackie shows how it's done," on my website at www.davidbordwell.net/blog/?p=10077.

3 | The Chinese Connections

A detailed survey of early Hong Kong filmmaking is Law Kar and Frank Bren, Hong Kong Cinema: A Cross-Cultural View (Lanham, MD: Scarecrow, 2004). See also Paul Fonoroff's handsome Silver Light: A Pictorial History of Hong Kong Cinema, 1920-1970 (Hong Kong: Joint Publishing, 1997). For comprehensive surveys of the two major companies of the postwar era, see The Cathay Story, ed. Wong Ain-ling (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Archive, 2002) and The Shaw Screen: A Preliminary Study, ed. Wong Ain-ling (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Archive, 2003). For wideranging research on the territory's major company see China Forever: The Shaw Brothers and Diasporic Cinema, ed. Poshek Fu (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2008). A very useful monograph is Yau Shuk-ting, Kinnia, Japanese and Hong Kong Film Industries: Understanding the origins of East Asian film networks (Abingdon: Routledge, 2010).

Tribute is paid to one of the leading Shaws directors in Li Han-hsiang, Storyteller, ed. Wong Ain-ling (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Archive, 2007). I discuss some of Li's key films briefly on my website at www.davidbordwell.net/blog/?p=669 and at www.davidbordwell.net/blog/?p=691. I also consider how Shaws systematically innovated widescreen filmmaking in the essay, "Another Shaw Production: Anamorphic Adventures in Hong Kong," at www.davidbordwell.net/essays/shaw.php. On one of the most important Cantonese directors of the studio era, see Director Chor Yuen, ed. Kwok Ching-ling and Grace Ng (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Archive, 2006) and Black Roses and Sentimental Swordsmen: The Cinema of Chor Yuen, ed. Tim Youngs (Udine: Far East Film, 2004).

- 1. On the first Hong Kong films, see Frank Bren, "The Fabulous Adventures of Benjamin Brodsky: China's First Films-Really," Asian Cinema 20, 2 (Fall/Winter 2009), pp. 1–17. With historian Law Kar, Bren has convincingly shown that other films made by Brodsky in Hong Kong, including one widely known as Stealing a Roasted Duck, came later in 1914. See as well Frank Bren and Law Kar, "Ben Brodsky and the Real Dawn of Hong Kong Cinema," China Daily Hong Kong edition (13 March 2010), at www.chinadaily.com.cn/hkedition/ 2010-03/13/ content 9583826.htm. On international film export of the period, see Kristin Thompson, Exporting Entertainment: America in the World Film Market, 1907–1934 (London: British Film Institute, 1985), pp. 143–144.
- Stephen Teo, Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions (London: British Film Institute, 1997), pp. 3–39; Grace L. K. Leung and Joseph M. Chan, "The Hong Kong Cinema and Its Overseas Market: A Historical Review," in Fifty Years of Electric Shadows, ed. Law Kar (Hong

Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1997), p. 143; Paul Fonoroff, "Orientation," *Film Comment* 24, no. 3 (May–June 1988): 52–54.

- Mac C. Shin, "Hongkong," in *Motion Picture* Yearbook of Asia (Tokyo: Far East Film News, 1956), p. 59.
- 4. Silas Lee, "An Interview with Luo Junxiong," in A Comparative Study of Post-War Mandarin and Cantonese Cinema: The Films of Zhu Shilin, Qin Jian, and Other Directors, ed. Shu Kei (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1983), p. 121.
- 5. Weng Ling-wen, "The Dian Mou Film Company: Cantonese Film Group," in *Cantonese Cinema Retrospective* (Hong Kong: HKIFF/ Urban Council, 1978), pp. 58–59. At the time it turned to Cantonese production, MP & GI was known as the International Film Distribution Agency.
- 6. Hubert Niogret, "J'étais à Hong-Kong, je n'ai pas vu de films karaté, mais j'ai dîné avec Run Run Shaw et chanté avec lui 'Happy birthday to Lisa Lu," *Positif* no. 169 (May 1975): 40.
- 7. Weng Ling-wen, "Shaw Brothers (Hong Kong) Ltd.: The Cantonese Film Group," in *Cantonese Cinema Retrospective*, pp. 59–60; Hong Kong Film Archive, 50 Years of the Hong Kong Film *Production and Distribution Industries: An Exhibition* (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1997), pp. 45–46; Herb A. Lightman, "Film in the Far East...and 'Down Under," *American Cinematographer* 51, no. 6 (June 1970): 548, 549, 554; Michael John Weber, "What Makes Run Run Run?" *American Film* 11, no. 8 (June 1986): 39; Alex Ben Block, *The Legend of Bruce Lee* (New York: Dell, 1974), p. 57; Niogret, "J'étais...," p. 40.
- 8. Ezra F. Vogel, *The Four Little Dragons: The Spread of Industrialization in East Asia* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1991), p. 66.
- 9. Leung and Chan, "Hong Kong Cinema," p. 144.

- I. C. Jarvie, Window on Hong Kong: A Sociological Study of the Hong Kong Film Industry and Its Audience (Hong Kong: University of Hong Kong Centre of Asian Studies, 1977), p. 59.
- Lynn Pan, Sons of the Yellow Emperor: The Story of the Overseas Chinese (London: Mandarin, 1991), p. 367.
- Lin Nien-tung, "Foreword," in *Hong Kong Cinema Survey (1946–1968)* (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1979), p. 4; Fonoroff, "Orientation," p. 54.
- 13. Stephen Teo, "Hong Kong's Electric Shadow Show: From Survival to Discovery," in *Fifty Years of Electric Shadows*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: HKIFF, 1997), p. 23.
- 14. "Kung Fu Films: The Second Coming," *Asiaweek*, 27 July 1979, p. 34.
- 15. "Cathay Organization: Asian Movie Legend Plays Catch-up on Exhibition Roots," Variety Deal Memo, 14 February 1995, pp. 10–11; Li Cheuk-to, "Popular Cinema in Hong Kong," in The Oxford History of World Cinema, ed. Geoffrey Nowell-Smith (London: Oxford University Press, 1996), p. 708.
- John A. Lent, "Hong Kong," in *The Asian Film Industry*, ed. John A. Lent (London: Croom Helm, 1990), p. 100.
- 17. Block, *Legend of Bruce Lee*, p. 55; Ian Findlay,
 "Hong Kong's Cinema Survives, Despite Soaring Production Costs," *Far Eastern Economic Review*, 20 October 1983, p. 62; "Kung Fu Films," p. 36.
- Steve Fore, "Golden Harvest Films and the Hong Kong Movie Industry in the Realm of Globalization," *Velvet Light Trap* no. 34 (Fall 1994): 44–45.
- 19. Interview with Tsui Hark, 4 April 1996; Law Kar, "The 'Shaolin Temple' of the New Hong Kong Cinema," in *A Study of Hong Kong Cinema*

in the Seventies (1970–1979), ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1984), pp. 114–116; interview with Ann Hui, 12 February 1997; Li Cheuk-to, "The Return of the Father: Hong Kong New Wave and Its Chinese Context in the 1980s," in *New Chinese Cinemas*, ed. Nick Browne et al. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994), p. 161.

- 20. Paul S. N. Lee, "The Absorption and Indigenization of Foreign Media Cultures: Hong Kong as a Cultural Meeting Point of East and West," in *Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1991), p. 78; Law Kar, "Hongkong Film Market and Trends in the '80s," ibid., pp. 72–73.
- 21. Kar, "HongKong Film Market," p. 72.
- 22. Shaws returned to film production in the mid-1990s with a few mid-budget films like *Out of the Dark* (1995) and *Loving You* (1996). Many of these were made by former Shaws employees such as Johnnie To Kei-fung, Wong Jing, and Stephen Chow Sing-chi.
- 23. Interview with Wellington Fung, 28 November 1996.
- 24. Findlay, "Hong Kong's Cinema Survives," p. 62.
- 25. Interview with Wong Jing, 22 November 1996.
- 26. Law Kar, "Hongkong Film Market," p. 72.
- 27. See Daiwon Hyun, "Hong Kong Cinema in Korea: Its Prosperity and Decay," *Asian Cinema* 9, no. 2 (Spring 1998): 38–45.
- 28. Li Cheuk-to, "Popular Cinema," pp. 704–705.
- 29. For an excellent review of triad involvement and other matters discussed in this chapter, see Michael Curtin, "Industry on Fire: The Cultural Economy of Hong Kong Media," *Post Script* 19, 1 (Fall 1999): 20–43.
- 30. For this reason Stephen Teo has called them a "delayed" phase of the New Wave; *Hong Kong Cinema*, p. 184.

- 31. Clyde Gentry III, *Jackie Chan: Inside the Dragon* (Dallas: Taylor, 1997), p. 57.
- 32. Because the film was financed by a Taiwanese company, this seems to be the print that circulates internationally.
- 33. Pan, Sons of the Yellow Emperor, p. 370.
- 34. See Liu Hsien-chang, "Interacting Cinema Development between Taiwan and Hong Kong: The Past and the Future," in *A Perspective of Chinese Cinema of the 90's* (Taipei: Golden Horse Film Festival, 1994), pp. 55–56.
- 35. Interview with Shu Kei, 20 November 1997.
- 36. Interview with Peter Tsi, 26 November 1996.
- 37. Liang Hai-chiang, "Hong Kong Cinema's 'Taiwan Factor," in Law Kar, *Fifty Years of Electric Shadows*, p. 162. See also Liu, "Interacting Cinema Development," pp. 55–56.
- Interview with Gordon Chan, 23 November 1996.
- 39. Interview with Shu Kei, 20 November 1997.
- 40. Wai Hin, "*The Terracotta Warrior,*" New Hong Kong Films 89/90 (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1990), p. 45.
- 41. Interview with Peter Tsi, 26 November 1996.
- 42. Fiona Halligan, "Chow Still Keen," Variety, 29 January–4 February 1996, p. 48; Mark Woods, "Asia on Rise, Study Sez," Variety, 14–20 December 1998, p. 30.
- 43. Interview with Abe Kwong, 22 November 1996.
- 44. "Harvest Fails as Films Flop," *Variety Deal Memo*, 14 April 1997, p. 4. Hollywood films often command higher ticket prices than Hong Kong ones.
- 45. See, for example, international figures in *Hollywood Reporter*, 8 October 1996, I-7.
- 46. "Hollywood Prospers in HK as Local Box Office Suffers," *Variety Deal Memo*, 27 October 1997, p. 1.

- 47. Interview with Gordon Chan, 23 November 1996.
- 48. For background on UFO, see the interview with Peter Chan in Miles Wood, *Cine East: Hong Kong Cinema through the Looking Glass* (Guildford, Surrey: FAB Press, 1998), pp. 7–29.
- 49. Interview with Herman Yau, 27 November 1996.
- For more on the *Feel 100%* series, see the interview with Joe Ma in Wood, *Cine East*, pp. 90–101.
- 51. I owe this suggestion to Athena Tsui.
- 52. Remarks at the conference "Fifty Years of Electric Shadows," sponsored by the Hong Kong International Film Festival, 10 April 1997. Interestingly, an "Anti-Piracy Midnight Show" was held for the U.S. film *The Corrupter* (1999) starring Chow Yun-fat; rumor has it that pirates attended, taped the film, and released VCDs soon afterward.
- 53. By 1994 there were more than 150,000 public video venues in the People's Republic, nearly all showing unlicensed product; Hu Ke, "The Influence of Hong Kong Cinema on Mainland China (1980–1996)," in Law Kar, *Fifty Years of Electric Shadows*, p. 171.
- 54. The top 10 percent of city dwellers have an average annual disposable income of only US\$1,240 per person; "Not Quite a Billion," *The Economist*, 2 January 1999, p. 56.
- 55. Willy Wo-lap Lam, "'Cultural Invasion' Feared," South China Morning Post, 23 November 1996, p. 8; Willie Brent, "China Pic Prod'n Drops as Gov't Squeezes Biz," Variety, 23–29 September 1996, p. 30.
- 56. Shu Kei remarks at conference, "Fifty Years of Electric Shadows," 10 April 1997.
- 57. See Hu, "Influence," pp. 173–178.

- Halligan, "Chow Still Keen," p. 48; Willie Brent, "China Opens but Film Supply Still Closed," *Variety*, 30 November–6 December 1998, p. 34.
- See Willie Brent, "Foreign Operators Moving into China," *Variety*, 1–7 December 1997, p. 56; Don Grove, "Tough Going: Sino the Times," ibid., pp. 1, 86; Willie Brent, "Production Trail Leads to L.A.," *Variety*, 16–22 February 1998, p. 10.
- 60. For example, when the 1997 Cannes Film Festival screened the French-funded Chinese film *East Palace, West Palace,* a tale of homosexual life in Beijing, Chinese authorities pulled Zhang Yimou's *Stay Cool* from the festival.
- 61. In most European countries, Hollywood films attract 70–80 percent of local admissions; Angus Finney, *The State of European Cinema:* A New Dose of Reality (London: Cassell, 1996), p. 2. In France during the 1990s, Hollywood's share of the box office has fluctuated between 54 and 61 percent; "French Cinema Blossoms to \$836 Million," Variety Deal Memo, 6 July 1998, p. 7.
- 62. Bruce Einhorn, "What Hit Hong Kong's Film Industry?" *Business Week* international ed., 4 May 1998, p. 34; Maureen Sullivan, "HK Biz Gets Boost from Gov't," *Variety*, 1–7 December 1997, p. 52.
- 63. Mark Woods, "After the Fall: Asian Currency Squeezed," Variety, 13–19 October 1997, p. 18; Don Groves, "Crisis Region? Duration of Financial Woes Uncertain," Variety, 1–7 December 1997, pp. 49, 56; Mark Woods and Martin Peers, "Orient Express Brakes for Showbiz," Variety, 3–9 November 1997, p. 113; "Village Roadshow's Recipe for Asian Expansion," Variety Deal Memo, 16 March 1998, p. 12; Benedict Carver, "Asian \$ Crisis Crunches O'seas Sales," Variety, 2–8 February 1998, pp. 5, 10; Don Groves, "Asian Flu Batters Healthy O'Seas B.O.," Variety, 10–16 August 1998, pp. 7, 12.

- 64. The consortium consisted of Media Asia, Era, Mei Ah, China Star, Shaw Bros., and Wong Jing's company. See "After '90s Decline, Film Industry Stabilizes, TV Expands amid Economic Woes," *Variety Deal Memo*, 14 September 1998, pp. 5–8.
- 65. Einhorn, "What Hit Hong Kong's Film Industry?"; "Sale Speculation Swirls around HK's Golden Harvest," Variety Deal Memo, 13 April 1998, p. 1; Maureen Sullivan, "Chow Spreads Words of Optimism on Biz," Variety, 29 June– 12 July 1998, p. 10; interview with Wellington Fung, 4 April 1998; "Toei: Japan's Most Diversified Major Studio Aims at Asian Co-Prods to Boost Regional Income," Variety Deal Memo, 2 February 1998, pp. 6–7; Don Groves, "Village Reaps Golden Harvest with Accord," Variety, 10–16 August 1998, pp. 14–15; Maureen Sullivan, "Hong Kong Adds Screens," Variety, 30 November–6 December 1998, p. 38.
- 66. Neil Strauss, "Hong Kong Film: Exit the Dragon?" *New York Times, 2* August 1998, sec. 2, pp. 1, 22. See also the dossier "Hong Kong fin de siècle," *Positif* no. 455 (January 1999): 72–102.

4 | Once Upon a Time in the West

For a valuable inquiry into the specifics of Hong Kong films' distribution in North America, see Victor Fan, "New York Chinatown Theatres under the Hong Kong Circuit System," *Film History* 22 (2010): 108–126.

- 1. The great exception to this generalization is India, which for decades housed a flourishing multilingual film industry and did not have an extensive export market; but until recently the Indian industry was protected by government restrictions on imported films.
- 2. David Puttnam with Neil Watson, *The Undeclared War: The Struggle for Control of the World's Film Industry* (London: HarperCollins, 1997), p. 328.

- 3. The original figure is HK\$1 billion. See Derek Elley, "Hong Kong," *Variety International Film Guide 1997*, ed. Peter Cowie (London: Tantivy, 1997), p. 155.
- The cutoff point for entry is an annual revenue of US\$500 million. See *Variety*, "The Creators" supplement, 26 August–1 September 1996, pp. 40–65. Billionaire Run Run Shaw is one of the wealthiest men in the region, but he shrewdly moved out of film production when television came to dominate Asia.
- 5. Daniel Sauvaget, "Made in Hongkong," *Image et son* no. 281 (February 1974): 48–50.
- 6. "U.S. Rage of Chop-Socky Films: Karate Breaks Out of Chinatown," *Variety*, 9 January 1974, p. 72; "\$11,106,237 to Chop Socky Pics in U.S. Playoff," *Variety*, 8 May 1974, p. 68.
- 7. Quoted in Sauvaget, "Made in Hongkong," p. 64.
- 8. For a discussion of the two versions of *The Protector*, see Clyde Gentry III, *Jackie Chan: Inside the Dragon* (Dallas: Taylor, 1997), pp. 40–43.
- 9. Ray Greene, "Jackie Chan, Superstar," *Boxoffice* 132, no. 2 (February 1996): 12.
- 10. "New Line's Bottom Line: Red Alert," *Variety,* 11 November 1996, p. 73.
- On the importance of the Asian market, see Wade Major, "Orientation," *Boxoffice*, February 1996, pp. 30–36.
- 12. Leonard Klady, "The Top 125," *Variety*, 9–15 February 1998, p. 31.
- David Cohen, "Introducing Hong Kong's Talent to the World," *South China Morning Post*, 17 March 1997, p. 19.

14. Ibid.

15. See Hong Kong Action Theater! Cinematic Action Role Playing Game (Lawrence, Kans.: Event Horizon, 1996).

- 16. See Christopher Holmes Smith, "Method in the Madness: Exploring the Boundaries of Identity in Hip-Hop Performativity," *Social Identities* 3, no. 4 (1997): 345–374.
- 17. For discussions of *Can Dialectics Break Bricks?* see *Image et son* no. 273 (June– July 1973): 146–147; and *La saison cinématographique 73: Image et son* no. 276/277 (October 1973): 110–111. A U.S. tape produced by Keith Sanborn and Ediciones la Calavera presents a French-dubbed black-and-white version, subtitled in English.
- Shaw is still a reporter's dream come true. In May 1997 at the age of eighty-nine he married his main producer, Mona Fong.
- Vincent Canby, "'Have You Seen Shu Lately?' 'Shu Who?'" New York Times, 13 May 1973, sec. 2, p. 1.
- 20. Sauvaget, "Made in Hongkong," pp. 47-64.
- 21. His 1974 essay "Threads through the Labyrinth" remains a stimulating effort to understand Hong Kong cinema as popular art; Tony Rayns, "Threads through the Labyrinth: Hong Kong Movies," *Sight and Sound* 43, no. 3 (Summer 1974): 138–141.
- 22. Verina Glaessner, *Kung Fu: Cinema of Vengeance* (London: Bounty, 1974).
- 23. I. C. Jarvie, Window on Hong Kong: A Sociological Study of the Hong Kong Film Industry and Its Audience (Hong Kong: University of Hong Kong Centre of Asian Studies, 1977).
- 24. Marco Müller, ed., *Cinemasia*, 2 vols. (Venice: Marsilio, 1983).
- 25. "Made in Hong Kong," *Film Comment* 24, no. 3 (May–June 1988): 33–56
- 26. Geoffrey O'Brien, "Blazing Passions," *New York Review of Books*, 24 September 1992, pp. 38–43.

- 27. Richard Meyers, Amy Harlib, and Bill and Karen Palmer, *Martial Arts Movies: From Bruce Lee to the Ninjas* (Secaucus, N.J.: Citadel, 1985), p. 11.
- 28. Interview with Roger Lee, 22 March 1997.
- 29. See *Movie Tour Guide* (Tokyo: BNN, 1995) and subsequent volumes.
- For early issues of Asian Trash Cinema editor Tom Weisser compiled annotated filmographies, eventually publishing them in book form as Asian Cult Cinema (New York: Boulevard, 1997); Eastern Heroes brought out a comparable volume, Rick Baker and Toby Russell's Essential Guide to Hong Kong Movies (London: Eastern Heroes, 1994).
- 31. Quoted in Rick Baker and Toby Russell, *The Essential Guide to Deadly China Dolls* (London: Eastern Heroes, 1996), p. 7.
- 32. I have more than a dozen versions, forwarded by thoughtful friends. Please don't send me any more.
- Quoted in David Chute, "Editor's Note," Film Comment 24, no. 3 (May–June 1988): 34.
- Dave Kehr, "Chan Can Do," *Film Comment* 24, no. 3 (May–June 1988): 38–39.
- 35. Harry and Michael Medved, *The Golden Turkey Awards: The Worst Achievements in Hollywood History* (New York: Berkeley, 1980), p. xii.
- 36. O'Brien, "Blazing Passions," p. 39.
- Stefan Hammond and Mike Wilkins, Sex and Zen & A Bullet in the Head (New York: Fireside, 1996), p. 95.
- Roger Greenspan, "Five Fingers of Death," New York Times, 22 March 1973, p. 54.
- 39. Quoted in "The Men behind Kung-Fooey," *Time*, 11 June 1973, 75.
- 40. David Chute, who did a great deal to introduce Hong Kong cinema to North America, notes wryly: "I sat through too many screenings full

- of sneering slackers, gleefully hooting at films they supposedly considered classics, to retain much nostalgia for the 'cult' of Hong Kong cinema"; "New Maps of Hong Kong," *Film Comment* 34, no. 3 (May/June 1998): 85.
- 41. Gary Groth, "Daniel Clowes Revealed!" *Comics Journal* no. 154 (November 1992): 62.
- 42. Steve Fantone, "Chinese Ghost Story II," Asian Eye no. 1 (Spring 1993): 25.
- 43. Gere La Due, "F Stop," *Cineraider* no. 2 (1994): 42.
- 44. See "Best/Favorite," *Cineraider* no. 5 (1996), pp. 33–36.
- 45. Days of Being Wild does place high in the critics' poll compiled by Fredric Dannen and Barry Long, but comparatively few U.S. critics cite it. See Hong Kong Babylon: An Insider's Guide to the Hollywood of the East (New York: Hyperion/Miramax, 1997), pp. 328–412. A significant 1995 Hong Kong critics' poll is found in 1994 Xiang Gang Dian Ying Hui Gu (1994 Hong Kong Film Retrospective) (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Critics Society, 1996), pp. 252–264.
- 46. Don E. May Jr., "Jackie Chan Stuntfest Spectacular [*Twin Dragons*]," *Film Threat* no. 16 (June 1994): 27.
- 47. Damon Foster, "Hong Kong Heroes," *Oriental Cinema* no. 11 (1996): 30; idem, "Chow Yun Fat and Other Hong Kong Heroes," *Oriental Cinema* no. 13 (1997): 41–42.
- 48. See "Killers and Dogs," Asian Eye no. 1 (Spring 1993): 15; David E. Williams, "Gone to the Dogs," Film Threat no. 17 (August 1994): 9–11; David Bourgeois, "Stalking the Dog," Film Threat no. 18 (October 1994): 18–23. Ringo Lam, who made City on Fire, remarked that Reservoir Dogs was no copy, but that he had not been above lifting the idea of Witness (1985) for Wild

Search (1989); Rolanda Chu, "On the Lam: An Interview with Ringo," *Hong Kong Film Magazine* no. 4 (1995): 17.

- 49. Jayne Caeneddi, "Hong Kong Gender Benders: Drag and Transvestitism in Tsui Hark's Movies," *Asian Trash Cinema* no. 6 (1994): 37.
- 50. I'm grateful to Athena Tsui, who suggested several points developed in this paragraph.
- 51. Howard Hampton, "Once upon a Time in Hong Kong: Tsui Hark and Ching Siu-tung," *Film Comment* 33, no. 4 (July/August 1997): 18.
- J. Hoberman, Vulgar Modernism: Writing on Movies and Other Media (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1991), pp. 32–40.
- 53. For a survey of this subcultural cinema, see Pete Tombs, *Mondo Macabro: Weird and Wonderful Cinema around the World* (New York: St. Martin's, 1998).
- 54. Richard Morgan, "The Internet is the New Jet Propeller," *Variety*, 20–26 July 1998, p. 4.
- 55. Hammond and Wilkins, Sex and Zen, p. 11.
- 56. See John Fiske, *Understanding Popular Culture* (Boston: Unwin Hyman, 1989), p. 25. For a critical discussion of this position, see Noël Carroll, *A Philosophy of Mass Art* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998), pp. 236–241.

Enough to Make Strong Men Weep

- 1. Bérénice Reynaud, *"The Killer* Insider," *Village Voice*, 12 May 1991, p. 60.
- 2. Quoted in Mel Tobias, *Flashbacks: Hong Kong Cinema after Bruce Lee* (Hong Kong: Gulliver, 1979), p. 177.
- 3. See Athena Tsui, "John Woo and *Bullet in the Head*" (manuscript), pp. 1–2.
- 4. Quoted in Rolanda Chu, "On the Lam: An Interview with Ringo," *Hong Kong Film magazine* no. 4 (1995): 23.

- Law Kar, "Hero on Fire: A Comparative Study of Jon Woo's 'Hero' Series and Ringo Lam's 'On Fire' Series," in *Fifty Years of Electric Shadows* (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1997), p. 72; Li Cheuk-to, "Tsui Hark and Western Interest in Hong Kong Cinema," *Cinemaya* no. 21 (Autumn 1993): 51. Stephen Teo speaks of *The Killer* as pushed "to the point of excess"; *Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions* (London: British Film Institute, 1997), p. 178.
- 6. Interview with Peter Tsi, 8 April 1997.
- Richard James Havis, "A Better Today: Hong Kong's John Woo Finally Does It His Way in Hollywood," *Cinemaya* nos. 39–40 (Winter–Spring 1998): 13.
- Quoted in "John Woo on His Career," in New Hong Kong Films '86/'87, ed. Leong Mo-ling (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1987), p. 35.
- 9. Martin Wong, "Number One with a Bullet," *Giant Robot* no. 5 (1996): 20.
- Julien Fonfrede and Michael Gilson, "Biting the Bullet with John Woo," *Asian Eye* no. 1 (Spring 1993): 7; Wade Major, "Harder Target," *Boxoffice* 132, no. 2 (February 1996): 29.
- Quoted in Aljean Harmetz, "Toning Down, John Woo Earns His Hollywood R," New York Times, 15 August 1993, p. H11.
- 12. Major, "Harder Target"; 29; "Woo's 'Hard' Introduction to Hollywood," *USA Today*, 20 August 1993, p. 5D.
- 13. Beth Accomando, "Terence Chang," *Giant Robot* no. 4 (1996): 65.
- 14. See Mary Hardesty, "Nike Woos the World Cup," American Cinematographer 79, no. 8 (August 1998): 82–87; "Mission Impossible: 2," Cinescape 4, no. 4 (September/October 1998): 70.
- 15. Bérénice Reynaud, "Woo in Interview," *Sight and Sound* 3, no. 5 (May 1993): 25.

- 16. See, for example, John Woo, "About John Woo," *Asian Cult Cinema* no. 20 (July 1998): 54.
- 17. Voice-over commentary for the Criterion laserdisc release of *The Killer*.
- 18. Reynaud, "Woo in Interview."
- See Barbara Scharres, "The Hard Road to *Hard Target,*" *American Cinematographer* 74, no. 9 (September 1993): 70. He would push this tendency to wild limits in *Face/Off,* which sometimes used more than ten cameras at once; Bérénice Reynaud, "Entretien avec John Woo," *Cahiers du cinéma* no. 516 (September 1997): 28.
- 20. Commentary for laserdisc edition of The Killer.
- 21. Ibid.
- 22. Ibid.
- 23. For a suggestive analysis of motifs clustered around character relationships, see Maitland McDonagh, "Action Painter: John Woo," *Film Comment* 29, no. 5 (September–October 1993): 46–49.
- 24. Teo, Hong Kong Cinema, pp. 176, 181.
- Reynaud, "Entretien," 26–27; quoted in Antoine de Baecque, "Dans la peau de l'autre: *Face/Off* de John Woo," *Cahiers du cinéma* no. 516 (September 1997): 26.

5 | Made In Hong Kong

- 1. Much of the information in this chapter comes from interviews with Shu Kei and Peter Tsi. I am grateful to them for their patient assistance.
- 2. The standard reference here is Robert C. Allen, Vaudeville and Film, 1895–1915: A Study in Media Interaction (New York: Arno Press, 1980).
- 3. The great exceptions are those countries that have vertically integrated systems but where censorship intervenes so stringently that

politically suspect films are routinely shelved. The main instances are the former Soviet Union and the People's Republic of China.

- 4. Poshek Fu, "The Turbulent Sixties: Modernity, Youth Culture, and Cantonese Film in Hong Kong," in *Fifty Years of Electric Shadows*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1997), pp. 42–43. Only one major distributorexhibitor did not have its own production company. When Newport took over the Golden Princess chain in 1988, it booked independent productions. (See Li Cheuk-to, "A Review of Hong Kong Cinema, 1988–1989," in *Ninth Hawaii International Film Festival: A Viewer's Guide* [Honolulu: East-West Center, 1989], pp. 36–37.) But as the output of independents fell, Newport followed other companies and began funding films to supply the chain.
- 5. I am grateful to Athena Tsui for explaining this system to me.
- 6. See Law Kar, "Hongkong Film Market and Trends in the '80s," in *Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1991), p. 70.
- 7. I say "mainstream" in order to set aside the Category III softcore pornography. The surge in Hong Kong production of the early 1990s is largely attributable to the Category III boom, which fell off rapidly after 1993. Thus, of the total number of films released in 1992 (215), 1993 (242), 1994 (181), 1995 (150), and 1996 (104), the number of Category III films accounted for 109, 123, 59, 36, and 12, respectively. These figures come from the annual surveys by the Hong Kong, Kowloon, and New Territories Motion Picture Industry Association Ltd., *Hong Kong Films*.

- 8. Paul S. N. Lee, "The Absorption and Indigenization of Foreign Media Cultures: Hong Kong as Cultural Meeting Point of East and West," in Law Kar, *Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties*, p. 79.
- 9. Interview with Tsui Hark, 4 April 1997.
- 10. Interview with Andrew Lau, 26 March 1997.
- This excludes Chow Yun-fat, who departed for Hollywood in 1995, and the golden trio of Jackie Chan, Stephen Chow, and Jet Li.
- 12. Interview with Tsui Hark, 4 April 1997.
- 13. The average negative cost for an American studio film is currently about US\$50 million, but relatively few films actually hit that mark. The average is skewed by a few films upward of \$80 million and a great number costing between \$20 million and \$30 million. See Martin Dale, *The Movie Game: The Film Business in Britain, Europe, and America* (London: Cassell, 1997), pp. 30– 32, 176.
- 14. Interview with Wellington Fung, 4 April 1998.
- 15. Interview with Winnie Tsang, 28 November 1996.
- 16. Interview with Jerry Liu, 28 November 1996.17. Ibid.
- Quoted in David Cohen, "Introducing HK's Talent to the World," *South China Morning Post*, 17 March 1997, p. 19.
- 19. Interview with Andrew Lau, 26 March 1997.
- 20. Interview with Wong Jing, 22 November 1996.
- 21. Interview with Gordon Chan, 23 November 1996.
- 22. Interview with Shu Kei, 20 November 1996; interview with Sandy Shaw, 21 March 1997.
- 23. Interview with Sandy Shaw, 21 March 1997.

- 24. On weekdays Hong Kong theaters start the first show at noon or 12:30 p.m. and then run four more shows (at 2:30, 5:30, 7:30, and 9:30). Weekends demand a sixth show at 4:00.
- 25. Some silent filmmakers, notably in Soviet Russia, plotted reel by reel. Since theaters had only one projector and had to pause when reels were changed, a division into "acts" could give the interruptions dramatic emphasis.
- 26. Panel discussion at the conference "Fifty Years of Electric Shadows," sponsored by the HKIFF, 10 April 1997.
- 27. Ibid.
- 28. Yuen Woo-ping, who began directing in 1978, says: "I've never held a complete script while shooting"; interview, 27 November 1996. Tsui Hark agrees: "I never have a mature script before production," interview, 4 April 1997.
- 29. Interview with Peter Chan, 28 November 1997.
- 30. Comment at panel discussion at the conference "Fifty Years of Electric Shadows," 11 April 1997.
- 31. Interview with Wellington Fung, 28 November 1996; interview with Raymond Lee, 22 March 1997.
- Lin Nien-tung and Paul Yeung, eds., *Cantonese Cinema Retrospective (1950–1959)* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Festival/Urban Council, 1978), p. 97.
- 33. Interview with Francis Ng, 8 April 1997.
- 34. Interview with Herman Yau, 27 November 1996.
- 35. Interview with Yuen Woo-ping, 27 November 1996; interview with Tsui Hark, 4 April 1997.
- 36. Interview with Herman Yau, 27 November 1996.
- 37. Interview with Gordon Chan, 23 November 1996.
- 38. Interview with Herman Yau, 27 November 1996.

- "The Lucky One Becomes the Cop: Ringo Lam on *Full Alert*,"in *Hong Kong Panorama 97–98*, ed. Jacob Wong (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1998), p. 40.
- 40. Tim Greenwood, "Dragon Gate Fling," *Eastern Heroes 2*, no. 2 (1993): 23.
- 41. "Gere LaDue Replies," Cineraider no. 3 (1994): 6.
- 42. Colin Geddes and Jason Gray, "Smashing through the Looking Glass," *Asian Eye* no. 2 (1995): 16.
- 43. Interview with Shu Kei, 20 November 1996.
- 44. Interview with Shu Kei, 7 April 1997.
- 45. Rolanda Chu, "On the Lam: An Interview with Ringo," *Hong Kong Film Magazine* no. 4 (1995):
 21; Jason Gray, "*Bullet in the Head*," *Asian Eye* no. 1 (Spring 1993): 14.
- 46. Li Cheuk-to, "Political Censorship: The Fatal Blow," *Cinemaya* no. 4 (Summer 1989): 42–44; "Hongkong's Swing to Blood and Guts," *Asiaweek*, 26 December 1980–7 January 1981, p. 43; Paul Fonoroff, "Decade Round-Up," in Law Kar, *Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties*, p. 68.
- 47. Starting in November 1995, Category II was subdivided into Category IIA: Not Suitable for Children; and Category IIB: Not Suitable for Young Persons and Children.
- 48. Interview with Roger Lee, 22 March 1997; interview with Peter Tsi, 26 November 1996; interview with Peter Chan, 28 November 1996.
- 49. Interview with Wong Jing, 22 November 1997.
- 50. I thank Yuen Woo-ping for explaining this shooting routine to me in an interview
 (23 November 1996). As early as 1974 American producer Fred Weintraub complained: "They have no sense of the way we make pictures... They do their cuts in the camera...They don't bother to cover each scene from a lot of angles so the director has very little do do except

string the film together" (quoted in Alex Ben Block, *The Legend of Bruce Lee* [New York: Dell, 1974], p. 103). James Glickenhaus, director of Jackie Chan's American picture *The Protector* (1985), was annoyed to discover that Hong Kong directors did not film master shots. "They shoot very short sections...I told them I wanted to shoot the fights in masters and then, if they didn't work, go back and cover them" (quoted in Bey Logan, *Hong Kong Action Cinema* [London: Titan, 1995], p. 70). When Ringo Lam, after his Hollywood project *Maximum Risk* (1996), began using the master-shot method on *Full Alert* (1997) it was a cause for comment (interview with Francis Ng, 14 April 1997).

- 51. For a discussion of how this worked elsewhere in another era, see Kristin Thompson, "Early Alternatives to the Hollywood Mode of Production: Implications for Europe's Avantgardes," *Film History* 5 (1993): 386–404.
- 52. Tom Pollock, quoted in Paul Farhl and Sharon Waxman, "When 'Cut' Really Means Cut Back," *Washington Post National Weekly Edition*, 11 May 1998, p. 9.

A Chinese Feast

Three wide-ranging books on Tsui Hark are Lisa Morton, *The Cinema of Tsui Hark* (Jefferson, NC: McFarland, 2001); *The Swordsman and His Jiang Hu: Tsui Hark and Hong Kong Film*, ed. Sam Ho (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Archive, 2002); and *A Tribute to Romantic Visions: 25th Anniversary of Film Workshop*, ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong; Hong Kong International Film Festival, 2009). See also Andrew Schroeder, *Tsui Hark's Zu: Warriors from the Magic Mountain* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2004).

1. Tony Rayns, "*Hard Boiled*," *Sight and Sound* 2, no. 4 (August 1992): 20.

- 2. Li Cheuk-to, "Tsui Hark and Western Interest in Hongkong Cinema," *Cinemaya* no. 21 (Autumn 1993): 50.
- For details of Tsui at work, see the dossier "My Boss' Tsui Hark, *HK Orient Extreme Cinéma* no. 2 (April 1977): 62–63; and Tsui Hark, "Pour une nouvelle culture chinoise," ibid., no. 3 (July 1997): 68–72. On Tsui's Hollywood experiences see Steven de Souza, "*Knock Off,*" *Fade In* (Summer 1998): 80–85; and Tsui Hark, "Retour d'éxil," *Made in China, Cahiers du cinéma* special issue, 1999, pp. 32–35.
- 4. See Beth Accomando, "Army of Harkness," *Giant Robot* no. 8 (1997): 30.
- 5. Ibid., p. 29.
- 6. Tony Rayns, "Die Bian (The Butterfly Murders)," Monthly Film Bulletin no. 603 (April 1984): 114.
- 7. Lawrence Chua, "Separation Anxiety," *Village Voice*, 10 April 1990, p. 74.
- Pat Aufderheide, "Dynamic Duo," *Film Comment* 24, no. 3 (May–June 1988): 44–45. See also Nansun Shi, "Profession: productrice," *Made in China, Cahiers du cinéma* special issue, 1999, p. 19.
- 9. Quoted in Accomando, "Army of Harkness," p. 29.
- 10. Interview with Gordon Chan, 23 November 1996.
- 11. Stephen Teo, *Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions* (London: British Film Institute, 1997), p. 162.
- 12. See Ange Hwang, "The Irresistible: Hong Kong Movie Once upon a Time in China Series," Asian Cinema 10, no. 1 (Fall 1998): 10–23; and Laurent Courtiad's interview, "Wong Fei-hung par Tsui Hark," HK Orient Extrême Cinéma no. 6 (March 1998): 40–47, 95.
- 13. Quoted in Accomando, "Army of Harkness," p. 29.

14. "Interview Tsui Hark," in Ralph Umard, *Film* ohne Grenzen: Das Neue Hongkong Kino (Lappersdorf: Kerschensteiner Verlag, 1996), p. 40.

6 | Formula, Form, and Norm

- 1. Angus Finney, *The State of European Cinema: A New Dose of Reality* (London: Cassell, 1996), p. 61.
- 2. Stephen Teo, "Li Hanxiang's Aesthetics of the Cynical," in *A Study of Hong Kong Cinema in the Seventies (1970–1979)*, ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1984), p. 97.
- 3. Liu Damu, "Chinese Myth and Martial Arts Films: Some Initial Approaches," in *Hong Kong Cinema Survey (1946–1968)*, ed. Lin Nien-tung (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1979), p. 43.
- 4. Mel Tobias, *Flashbacks: Hong Kong Cinema after Bruce Lee* (Hong Kong: Gulliver, 1979), p. 177.
- 5. Law Kar, "Hongkong Film Market and Trends in the '80s," in *Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1991), pp. 72–73.
- 6. For a brief overview of Hong Kong horror, see Colin Geddes, "Black Dog's Blood and Moonbeams: Gyonshi in Hong Kong Cinema," *Asian Eye* no. 2 (1995): 21–26. More detailed coverage can be found in the essays in Li Cheuk-to, ed., *Phantoms of the Hong Kong Cinema*, (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1989). A more recent study is Daniel O'Brien, *Spooky Encounters: A Gwailo's Guide to Hong Kong Horror* (Manchester: Critical Vision, 2003).
- Ng Ho, "Abracadaver: Cross-Cultural Influences in Hongkong's Vampire Movies," in ibid., pp. 29–35.
- 8. Sek Kei, "Achievement and Crisis: Hongkong Cinema in the '80s," in Law Kar, *Hong Kong Cinema in the Eighties*, p. 52.

- Softcore eroticism was a pan-Asian phenomenon. See "The Sex Surge," Asiaweek, 23 May 1980, pp. 20–22.
- 10. Li Cheuk-to, "The Rise of the Big-Timer," in Sixteenth Hong Kong International Film Festival catalogue (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1992), pp. 108–112.
- 11. Stephen Teo, *Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions* (London: British Film Institute, 1997), p. 149.
- 12. For a fan guide to this and related subgenres see Rick Baker and Toby Russell, *The Essential Guide to Deadly China Dolls* (London: Eastern Heroes, 1996).
- 13. Interview with Sandy Shaw, 21 March 1997. For a comparable U.S. view, see Catherine Clinch, "Women in Action," *Journal of the Writers Guild* of America, West 9, no. 5 (May 1996): 20–24.
- 14. Bey Logan, *Hong Kong Action Cinema* (London: Titan, 1995), pp. 156–161.
- Interview with Peter Chan, 10 April 1997; interview with Shu Kei, 20 November 1997; Li Cheuk-to, "When the Going Gets Tough," in *Twentieth Hong Kong International Film Festival* (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1996) pp. 27–30.
- 16. Thomas Weisser, *Asian Cult Cinema* (New York: Boulevard, 1997), p. 173.
- 17. Quoted in Gerd Blake, *Hong Kong Voices* (Hong Kong: Longman, 1989), p. 26.
- Grace L. K. Leung and Joseph M. Chen, "The Hong Kong Cinema and Its Overseas Market: A Historical Review, 1950–1995," in *Fifty Years* of *Electric Shadows*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1997), p. 147.
- 19. Quoted in Finney, *State of European Cinema*, p. 54.
- 20. Joanna C. Lee, "Star Culture!" *Hong Kong Film Magazine* no. 3 (Spring 1995): 13–15.

- 21. On the "sour beauty" see Teo, *Hong Kong Cinema*, pp. 29–38.
- 22. Interview with Peter Chan, 6 February 1999.
- 23. For an admiring career overview and many interviews, see Akiko Tetsuya, *The Last Star of the East: Brigitte Lin Ching Hsia and Her Films* (Los Angeles: U & U Graphics, 2005). Howard Hamptom celebrates Lin's charms in "Venus, Armed," *Film Comment* 32, no. 5 (September/October 1996): 42–48.
- 24. A. C. Scott, "The Performance of Classical Theater," in *Chinese Theater: From Its Origins to the Present Day*, ed. Colin Mackerras (Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1983), p. 142.
- 25. I am grateful to Anthony Wong for suggestions about the range of acting styles in Hong Kong cinema (interview, 26 March 1997).
- 26. See Paul Ekman, commentary in Charles Darwin, *The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals*, 3d ed. (New York: Oxford University Press, 1998), pp. xxx, 64.
- 27. See David Bordwell, Janet Staiger, and Kristin Thompson, *The Classical Hollywood Cinema: Film Style and Mode of Production to 1960* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1985), pp. 194–240; Kristin Thompson and David Bordwell, *Film History: An Introduction* (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994), chaps. 2 and 3.
- 28. See David Bordwell, *On the History of Film Style* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1997), chaps. 5 and 6.
- See David Bordwell, Ozu and the Poetics of Cinema (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1988); and Bordwell, "A Cinema of Flourishes: Japanese Decorative Classicism of the Prewar Era," in Reframing Japanese Cinema, ed. David Desser and Arthur Noletti (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1992), pp. 327–345.
- 30. Interview with Shu Kei, 20 November 1997.
- 31. On Armageddon (average shot length,
 2.3 seconds), see Todd McCarthy, "Noisy 'Armageddon' Plays 'Con' Game," Variety,
 29 June–12 July 1998, p. 38.
- 32. Sergei Eisenstein, "The Unexpected," in *Film Form*, ed. and trans. Jay Leyda (New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1949), p. 23.
- 33. Tsui Hark remarks that Hollywood film editors found some of his cuts on figure action illogical.
 "In my films, the shot/reverse shots don't always follow the eyelines but rather the bodies, often tiny parts of them—an extended hand, a fist, a leg folded in an unnatural position...I know the 180-degree rule as well as the Americans, but for me it's a rule which belongs to the past"; "Retour d'éxil," *Made in China, Cahiers du cinéma* special issue, 1999, p. 33.
- 34. Noël Carroll, *A Philosophy of Mass Art* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998), p. 67.

Whatever You Want

1. Interview with Wong Jing, 22 November 1996.

7 | Plots, Slack and Stretched

- 1. Geoffrey O'Brien, "Blazing Passions," *New York Review of Books*, 24 September 1992, p. 41.
- 2. Li Cheuk-to in roundtable discussion, "A Review of the 1987 Hong Kong Cinema," in *New Hong Kong Films 87/88* (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1988), p. 7.
- 3. See Tom Gunning, "The Cinema of Attractions: Early Film, Its Spectator, and the Avant-Garde," in *Early Cinema: Space, Frame, Narrative*, ed. Thomas Elsaesser (London: British Film Institute, 1990), pp. 56–60.

- 4. Viktor Shklovsky, "The Relationship between Devices of Plot Construction and General Devices of Style" (1919), in *Theory of Prose*, trans. Benjamin Sher (Elmwood Park, Ill.: Dalkey Archive Press, 1990), pp. 28–34.
- 5. For a discussion of this position, see Kristin Thompson, *Storytelling in the New Hollywood: Analyzing Classical Narrative Structure* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1999), pp. 344–352.
- 6. Interview with Gordon Chan, 23 November 1996; Clifton Ko, remarks in panel, "The Operations of the Hong Kong Film Industry: From 1984 to 1989," in *Fifty Years of Electric Shadows: Report of Conference on Hong Kong Cinema 10–12 April 1997*, ed. Law Kar (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1997), pp. 16–17.
- 7. Interview with Abe Kwong, 22 November 1996; interview with Peter Tsi, 21 March 1997.
- 8. Clifton Ko, remark in panel discussion at the conference "Fifty Years of Electric Shadows," sponsored by the HKIFF, 10 April 1997.
- 9. Li Cheuk-to, "Thoughts on the Films of Qiu Jian and Zhu Shilin," in A Comparative Study of Post-War Mandarin and Cantonese Cinema: The Films of Zhu Shilin, Qin Jian, and Other Directors, ed. Shu Kei (Hong Kong: HKIFF/ Urban Council, 1983), pp. 113–114.
- Madeleine Doran, Endeavors of Art: A Study of Form in Elizabethan Drama (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1954), p. 298.
- 11. Quoted in David Armstrong, "Video Village: South San Francisco Company Dominates Asian Film Distribution Market," *San Francisco Examiner*, 11 May 1998, p. B1.
- Interview with Shu Kei, 20 November 1996; interview with Gordon Chan, 23 November 1996.
- 13. Thanks to Athena Tsui and Thomas Shin for suggestions on these points.

- 14. Interview with Peter Chan, 28 November 1996.
- 15. Howard Reid and Michael Croucher, *The Way* of the Warrior: *The Paradox of the Martial Arts* (London: Century, 1983), pp. 22–62.
- James J. Y. Liu, *The Chinese Knight-Errant* (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1967), pp. 131–133.
- Instructions can be found in David Chow and Richard Spengler, *Kung Fu: History, Philosophy, and Technique* (Burbank: Unique, 1982), pp. 145–154.
- Liu Damu, "From Chivalric Fiction to Martial Arts Film," in A Study of the Hong Kong Swordplay Film (1945–1980), ed. Lau Shing-hon (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1996), pp. 57–59.
- For a detailed study of the connections between Ashes of Time and the novels of Jin Yong, see Juanita Huan Zhou, "Ashes of Time: The Tragedy and Salvation of the Chinese Intelligentsia," Asian Cinema 10, no. 1 (Fall 1998): 62–70.
- 20. Roger Garcia, "Alive and Kicking, the Kung Fu Film Is a Legend," *Far Eastern Economic Review*, 20 October 1985, p. 61.
- 21. Quoted in Michael Harris Bond, *Beyond the Chinese Face: Insights from Psychology* (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press, 1991), p. 58.
- 22. Ma Ka-fai, "Hero, Hong Kong Style: A Structural Study of Hero Films in Hong Kong" (M.A. thesis, University of Chicago, 1990), pp. 13–16.
- 23. I thank Peter Tsi for suggesting this idea to me.
- 24. For more on these schemas, see Daniel Sauvaget, "Made in Hongkong," *Image et son* no. 281 (February 1974): 53–57.
- 25. Quoted in Lau Shing-hon, "Qiu Gangjian," in Lau, *Hong Kong Swordplay Film (1945–1980)*, p. 209.

8 | Motion Emotion

An excellent source on the Hong Kong martial-arts filmmaking tradition is *A Tribute to Action Choreographers*, ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: Hong Kong International Film Festival, 2006). See also Leon Hunt, *Kung Fu Cult Masters: From Bruce Lee to Crouching Tiger* (London: Wallflower, 2003).

- Some of the points made in this chapter are developed in slightly different directions in my essay "Aesthetics in Action: Kung-Fu, Gunplay, and Cinematic Expressivity," *Poetics of Cinema* (New York: Routledge, 1998), pp. 395–412.
- Quoted in Hubert Niogret, "J'étais à Hong-Kong, je n'ai pas vu de films karaté, mais j'ai dîné avec Run Run Shaw et chanté avec lui 'Happy Birthday to Lisa Lu," *Positif* no. 169 (May 1975): 39.
- David Chow and Richard Spangler, Kung Fu: History, Philosophy, and Technique (Burbank: Unique, 1982), pp. 39–42; Howard Reid and Michael Croucher, The Way of the Warrior: The Paradox of the Martial Arts (London: Century, 1983), p. 70.
- 4. Mike Leader, "Yuen Wah Cometh," *Eastern Heroes* 2, no. 4 (1994): 12.
- 5. A. C. Scott, "The Performance of Classical Theater," in *Chinese Theater: From Its Origins to the Present Day*, ed. Colin Mackerras (Honolulu: Unviersity of Hawaii Press, 1983), pp. 118–133.
- 6. Bey Logan, *Hong Kong Action Cinema* (London: Titan, 1995), p. 11.
- 7. See, for example, Law Kar's "Huang Fei-hung's Family Tree," in *Wong Fei Hung: The Invincible Master*, ed. Yu Mo-wan (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1996), unpaginated.

- 8. Yu Mo-wan, "Swords, Chivalry, and Palm Power: A Brief Survey of the Cantonese Martial Arts Cinema," in *A Study of the Hong Kong Swordplay Film (1945–1980)*, ed. Lau Shing-lian (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1996), p. 102.
- 9. Lin Nien-tung, "The Martial Arts Hero," in ibid., p. 13.
- Yu Mo-wan, "Swords, Chivalry, and Palm Power," pp. 99–102.
- See Yu Mo-wan, "The Prodigious History of Wong Fei Hong," in *A Study of the Hong Kong Martial Arts Film*, ed. Lau Shing-hon (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1980), pp. 79–86; and Ng Ho, "The Three Heroic Transformations of Wong Fei-hung," in Yu, *Wong Fei Hung*, n.p.
- 12. Logan, Hong Kong Action Cinema, p. 12.
- 13. Lau Shing-hon, "Han Yingjie," in Lau, *Study of the Hong Kong Swordplay Film*, pp. 214–215.
- 14. Lau Shing-hon, "Xu Zhenghong," ibid., p. 203; idem, "Qiu Gangjian," ibid., p. 207.
- 15. Yu Mo-wan, "Swords, Chivalry, and Palm Power," pp. 104–105.
- Stephen Teo, Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions (London: British Film Institute, 1997), pp. 98–99.
- 17. Ibid., pp. 97–108.
- 18. Interview with Peter Chan, 10 April 1997.
- Interview with Herman Yau, 27 November 1996.
 Ibid.
- 21. Noël Carroll, "Fists of Fury," *Soho Weekly News*, 7 September 1978, p. 68.
- 22. Lev Kuleshov, *Kuleshov on Film*, ed. and trans. Ron Levaco (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1974), p. 67.
- 23. Quoted in Linda Lee, *The Bruce Lee Story* (Santa Clarita, Calif.: Ohara, 1989), p. 65.

- 24. Wong Kiew Kit, *The Art of Shaolin Kung-Fu* (Shaftesbury, Dorset: Element, 1996), pp. 2–3.
- 25. Scott, "Performance of Classical Theater," pp. 125–127.
- 26. On this trend see Jane Hamsher, *Killer Instinct: How Two Young Producers Took on Hollywood and Made the Most Controversial Film of the Decade* (New York: Broadway, 1997), p. 146; and Ian Grey, Sex, Stupidity, and Greed: Inside the *American Movie Industry* (New York: Juno, 1997), p. 174.
- 27. Interview with Yuen Woo-ping, 23 November 1996.
- 28. After writing this chapter I was happy to find another student of action cinema taking note of "muscular sympathy" created when someone feels the strain and release of another person's movements. See Aaron Anderson, "Action in Motion: Kinesthesia in Martial Arts Films," *Jump Cut* no. 42 (1998): 1– 11, 83.
- 29. See the extended discussion in Mikhail Iampolski, "*Rakurs* and Recoil," *Aura* 4, no. 1 (1998): 4–15. On expressive movement in Eisenstein generally see David Bordwell, *The Cinema of Eisenstein* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1993), pp. 115–120.
- "Our Anti-Hero Is a Human Being: Interview with Director Patrick Leung and Scriptwriter Chan Hing-kar," in *Hong Kong Panorama 97–98*, ed. Jacob Wong (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1998), p. 63.

Three Martial Masters

1. On Chang Cheh, the indispensable sources is *Chang Cheh: A Memoir*, ed. Wong Ain-ling, Kwok Ching-ling, and May Ng et al. (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Film Archive, 2004). See also Jerry Liu, "Chang Che: Aesthetics = Ideology?" in *A Study of the Hong Kong Swordplay Film* (1945–1980), ed. Lau Shing-hon (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1996), pp. 159–162; Lau Shing-hon, "The Tragic Romantic Trilogy of Chang Che," in *A Study of the Hong Kong Martial Arts Film*, ed. Lau Shing-hon (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1980), pp. 91–96; Tian Yan, "The Fallen Idol—Chang Cheh in Retrospect," in *A Study of Hong Kong Cinema in the Seventies*, ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1984), pp. 44–46; Stephen Teo, *Hong Kong Cinema: The Extra Dimensions* (London: British Film Institute, 1997), pp. 98–103.

On Lau Kar-leung, see Roger Garcia, "The Autarkic World of Liu Chia-liang," in Lau, *Hong Kong Martial Arts Film*, pp. 121–134; Tony Rayns, "Resilience: The Cinema and Liu Jialiang," in Li, *Hong Kong Cinema in the Seventies*, pp. 51–56; Bey Logan, *Hong Kong Action Cinema* (London: Titan, 1995), pp. 44–57; Teo, *Hong Kong Cinema*, pp. 104–108.

There are many good studies of King Hu. The most complete roundup of information in English is in Transcending the Times: King Hu and Eileen Chang (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1998), ed. Law Kar. See also Teo, Hong Kong Cinema, pp. 87–96; and Tony Rayns, "King Hu: Shall We Dance?" in Hong Kong Martial Arts Film, pp. 103–106. Tony Rayns's "Director: King Hu," Sight and Sound 45, no. 1 (Winter 1975/76): 8–13, offers an important interview. See also Stephen Teo, King Hu's A Touch of Zen (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2007). For a more detailed exploration of the stylistic matters touched on here, see David Bordwell, "Richness through Imperfection: King Hu and the Glimpse," in Poetics of Cinema (New York: Routledge, 2008), pp. 413-430.

All three of these directors are also discussed in Stephen Teo, *Chinese Martial Arts Cinema: The Wuxia Tradition* (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2009). See also François and Max Armanet, *Ciné Kung Fu* (Paris: Ramsay, 1988) and "Made in Hong Kong," *Cahiers du cinéma* no. 362–363 (September 1984).

- John R. Little and Curtis F. Wong, eds., *Jackie Chan* (Chicago: Contemporary Books, 1998), p. 106.
- 3. Xu later became one of Taiwan's most important film producers, responsible for *Farewell My Concubine* (1993) and *Temptress Moon* (1997).
- 4. See Michel Ciment, "Entretien avec King Hu," *Positif* no. 169 (May 1975): 32.
- Peggy Chiao Hsiung-pi, "The Master of Swordplay," *Cinemaya* no. 39–40 (Winter–Spring 1998): 72–76.
- 6. Charles Tesson, "Calligraphie," *Cahiers du cinéma* no. 360–361 (September 1984): 21.
- 7. Quoted in Peggy Chiao Hsing-ping, "Master of Swordplay," p. 74.
- 8. He was not, but he used even shorter ones.

9 | Avant-Pop Cinema

On the New Wave filmmakers, see Pak Tong Cheuk, *Hong Kong New Wave Cinema, 1978–2000* (Bristol: Intellect, 2008). Mette Hjort's *Stanley Kwan's Center Stage* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2006) provides a detailed analysis of that film.

- Interview with Jimmy Choi, 12 April 1996; Cristina Hilsenrath, "Freedom of Expression," *Variety*, 22–28 June 1998, p. 38.
- 2. Hong Kong Independent Film: A New Generation: Retrospective Screening (Hong Kong: Hong Kong Arts Centre, 1998), unpaginated.
- 3. Two excellent analyses are Law Kar, "The Significance of *The Arch*," in *A Comparative Study of Post-War Mandarin and Cantonese*

Cinema: The Films of Zhu Shilin, Qin Jian, and Other Directors, ed. Shu Kei (Hong Kong: HKIFF, 1983), pp. 163–165, and Lau Shing-hon, "Shu Shuen: The Lone Rider in Hong Kong Cinema in the 1970s," in *Hong Kong Cinema in the 1970s*, ed. Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1984), pp. 106–109. See also the detailed study by Yau Ching, *Filming Margins: Tang Shu Shuen: A Forgotten Hong Kong Woman Director* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2004).

- 4. I am grateful to Tony Rayns for calling my attention to *Nomad* and *Health Warning* as important works in the "countercanon." See also *Patrick Tam: From the Heart of the New Wave*, ed. Alberto Pezzotta (Udine: Far East Film, 2007).
- 5. Quoted in Beth Accomando, "Army of Harkness," *Giant Robot* no. 8 (1997): 30.
- 6. "I don't think anyone has done what we did regarding heroes," remarks Cha. "I mean, Chow Yun-fat is always a cool dude, he just doesn't do drugs. I think if you are going to be a gangster, you must be really bad, bad enough to kill your own father." See Linda Lai and Kim Choi, "An Interview with Director Cha Chuen-yee on Once upon a Time in Triad Society 2," in Hong Kong Film Panorama 96–97, ed. Jacob Wong (Hong Kong: Urban Council, 1997), p. 18.
- Michel Ciment, "Entretien avec Wong Kar-wai: Travailler comme dans une 'jam session,"" *Positif* no. 410 (April 1995): 39–40, 42–43; Bérénice Reynaud, "Entretien avec Wong Karwai," *Cahiers du cinéma* no. 490 (April 1995): 37.
- 8. For examples see Ackbar Abbas, *Hong Kong: Culture and the Politics of Disappearance* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1997), pp. 48–62, and Michael Hoover and Lisa Stokes, "A City on Fire: Hong Kong Cinema as Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism," *Asian Cinema* 10, no. 1 (Fall 1998): 25–41.

- 9. Quoted in Fredric Dannen and Barry Long, *Hong Kong Babylon: An Insider's Guide to the Hollywood of the East* (New York: Hyperion, 1997), p. 52.
- 10. Christopher Doyle, *Angel Talk* (Tokyo: Prénom H, 1996), p. 56.
- 11. Reynaud, "Entretien avec Wong Kar-wai," p. 38.
- 12. Christopher Doyle, *Buenos Aires* (Tokyo: Prénom H, 1997), pp. 144, 212.
- 13. Idem, "To the End of the World," *Sight and Sound* 7, no. 5 (May 1997): 17.
- Derek Elley, "Hongkong," in Variety International Film Guide 1992, ed. Peter Cowie (London: Tantivy Press, 1991), p. 190.
- 15. According to Lau, he shot the Brigitte Lin story and the scenes at the Midnight Express café (interview with Lau, 26 March 1997). Then Lau was preparing to direct his own film and left the project. According to Wong Kar-wai, when he brought Doyle aboard they reshot some of Lau's footage (Tony Rayns, "Poet of Time," Sight and Sound 5, no. 9 [September 1995]: 13). "The style of the film was actually established between Lau Wai-keung and me when Lau was doing the cinematography for the first part; so when Chris came in, he just had to extend that style" (Jimmy Ngai, "A Dialogue with Wong Kar-wai," in Jean-Marie Lalanne et al., Wong Kar-wai [Paris: Dis Voir, 1998], p. 113). Both Lau and Doyle are named in the credits as cinematographers, although some Englishlanguage sources list only Doyle.
- 16. Larry Gross, "Nonchalant Grace," *Sight and Sound* 6, no. 9 (September 1996): 9.
- 17. See Curtis Tsui, "Subjective Culture and History: The Ethnographic Cinema of Wong Kar-wai," *Asian Cinema* 7, no. 2 (Winter 1995): 93–124, for the most thorough discussion of this line of argument.
- 18. Ciment, "Entretien avec Wong Kar-wai," p. 44.

- 19. "For *Ashes of Time* my principal objective was to find a desert that could function as a symbol for the characters' emotional states"; quoted in Reynaud, "Entretien avec Wong Kar-wai," p. 39.
- 20. Doyle, Angel Talk, p. 28.
- 21. Ibid., p. 50.
- 22. For most shots Doyle used a lens attachment that yielded a focal length of 6.3 mm, so wide that its area of view sometimes included Doyle's assistant standing alongside the camera. See Patricia Thomson, "A Jazz Session with *Fallen Angels," American Cinematographer* 79, no. 2 (February 1998): 16–18; Hubert Niogret, "Entretien avec Christopher Doyle," *Positif* no. 442 (December 1997): 17.

23. Ciment, "Entretien avec Wong Kar-wai," p. 42.

- 24. Ibid., pp. 43-44.
- 25. Ngai, "Dialogue with Wong Kar-wai," p. 116.
- 26. I thank Andrew Lau and Herman Yau for explaining this process to me in detail. See Doyle, *Buenos Aires*, pp. 80, 104, 148, and 174, for information about these techniques.
- 27. Peter Bowen, "Time of Your Life," *Filmmaker* 4, no. 2 (Winter 1996): 32.
- 28. Contrast the use of the same pop song in *Top Gun* (1986).
- 29. Peggy Chaio Hsiung-ping, *"Happy Together:* Hong Kong's Absence," *Cinemaya* no. 36 (1997): 20.

Romance on Your Menu

 There are at least two versions of *Chungking Express*, the Hong Kong release and an "international" version (the one circulating on film and video in Europe and North America). The latter runs nearly five minutes longer than the Hong Kong version, chiefly because of extra footage showing the blonde woman kidnapping the child of a friend of the Indians who have betrayed her. There are also differences on the music track, most strikingly during the scene in which Officer 633 drinks coffee at Midnight Express; in the Hong Kong version, we hear Faye Wong's cover version of "Dreams," but in the international version the shot is silent. My discussion of the film refers to the international version, since it is the one generally available.

- 2. Quoted in Michel Ciment, "Entretien avec Wong Kar-wai: Travailler comme dans une 'jam session," *Positif* no. 410 (April 1995): 45.
- Bérénice Reynaud, "Entretien avec Wong Karwai," Cahiers du cinéma no. 490 (April 1995): 38.
- 4. Tony Rayns, "Poet of Time," *Sight and Sound* 5, no. 9 (September 1995): 15.
- 5. Quoted in "Chungking Express," in The Nineteenth Annual Hong Kong International Film Festival (Hong Kong: HKIFF/Urban Council, 1995), p. 37.
- 6. For example, Akbar Abbas, Hong Kong: Culture and the Politics of Disappearance (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1997), pp. 41–62. Wong discusses his attitude toward filming Hong Kong in "L'architecte et le vampire," Made in China, Cahiers du cinéma special issue, 1999, pp. 26–29 (1999): 26–29.
- 7. The first four reels are devoted to Officer 223 and the mysterious blonde woman; her murder of her bartender-boss ends the fourth reel. At the start of the next reel, after 223 has received the blonde woman's paged message, he drops in at the Midnight Express, where he bumps into Faye and launches the next plotline. This wends its way up to reel nine, when Officer 633 discovers the changes in his apartment, confronts Faye, makes the date, and is stood up at the California Café. The tenth reel shows the two reuniting a year later.
- 8. Ironically, the film made the Midnight Express stall so famous that the owner redecorated it.

10 | What Price Survival?

- 1. For a concise discussion of the crisis, see Paul Krugman, *The Return of Depression Economics* (New York: Norton, 2009), pp. 77–100.
- 2. Thanks to Jinhee Choi for sources on Korean film imports during the 1990s and 2000s.
- 3. Thanks to Jim Udden for information about the Taiwanese film market at this period.
- 4. See Jinhee Choi, *The South Korean Film Renaissance: Local Hitmakers, Global Provocateurs* (Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press, 2010).
- 5. For a survey, see Shujen Wang, *Framing Piracy: Globalization and Film Distribution in Greater China* (Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 2003).
- Liz Shackleton, "Hong Kong adds up cost of downloads," *Screen International*, 17 January 2005, p. 27. See also Gauri Lakhanpal, "War on piracy sails into cyberspace," *Variety*, 14–20 March 2005, p. 6.
- 7. For a detailed analysis of Besson's "planetary" production strategy, see Charles Michael, "French Blockbusters: Globalization, National Cinema and the Discourses of 'Cultural Diversity," Ph. D. dissertation (University of Wisconsin– Madison, 2010), pp. 253–278.
- 8. Rone Tempest, "Hong Kong's Film Business Struggles to Make Comeback," *Los Angeles Times*, 21 March 1999, at articles.latimes.com/1999/mar/21/business/ fi-19379.
- 9. Winnie Chung, "Peer-to-Peer Pressure," *Hollywood Reporter*, 15–21 March 2005, pp. 18–19.
- Michael Curtin, *Playing to the World's Biggest* Audience: The Globalization of Chinese Film and TV (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2007), 69–70, 79.

- 11 Patrick Frater, "Hong Kong scene smaller, cleaner," Variety, 5 March 2008, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117981929; David Pierson, "If Jackie Chan says it's good—well, get a second opinion," Los Angeles Times, 23 August 2010, at articles.latimes.com/2010/ aug/23/business/la-fi-chan-curse-20100823.
- Wu, "I Went on Vacation and Became a Hong Kong Movie Star," *Giant Robot* no. 17 (2000): 57.
- Wendy Kan, "Wholesome twin act woos auds," Variety, 30 June-13 July 2003, p. 16.
- 14. Tom Hilditch, "Dimples on Demand," *South China Morning Post*, 29 November 2002, p. 1 Life section.
- 15. A detailed account of the affair may be found at en.wikipedia.org/wiki/
 Edison_Chen_photo_scandal. Both Chen and Chung resumed their careers a few years later.
- Elsa Bertet, "AFM panel talks Hong Kong," Variety (3 November 2007), at www.variety.com/article/VR1117975322.
- 17. Carlye Adler, "Yeoh actioner sets sights abroad after weak opening," *Variety*, 22 February 2004, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117900437.
- Liz Shackleton, "Roll with It," South China Morning Post, 21 March 2002, p. 1 features section.
- 19. Li Cheuk-to, "In Transition: Industry and Identity," *Hong Kong Panorama 98–99* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong International Film Festival, 1999), p. 14.
- 20. Curtin, *Playing to the World's Biggest Audience*, pp. 248–251.
- 21. Quoted in ibid., 256.
- 22. See ibid., pp. 249–261; Darrell Davis and Emilie Yueh-yu Yeh, *East Asian Screen Industries* (London: British Film Institute, 2008), pp. 106–110; Patrick Frater, "Media Asia unveils flotation plans," *Screen Daily*, 31 July 2000, at

www.screendaily.com/403158.article; Patrick Frater, "Driving Hong Kong's film industry," *Screen International*, 26 March 2004,, pp. 20–23.

- 23. Wellington Fung, quoted in Curtin, *Playing to the World's Biggest Audience*, p. 261.
- 24. Quoted in Stephen Short, "Romantic But Not Sugarcoated," *Time Asia* (March 2000), at quiz.cnn.com/ASIANOW/time/features/ interviews/int.twelve.nights.html.
- 25. Yingjin Zhang, Chinese National Cinema (London: Routledge, 2004), p. 282. See also "Country Profile: China," Variety Deal Memo, 11 October 1999, pp. 5–6.
- 26. "Global Cinema Exhibition Markets: Box office revenue at record levels," *Screen Digest*, October 2003, p. 300; Yingjin Zhang, *Chinese National Cinema*, p. 284.
- 27. For an excellent overview of the creation of infrastructure and administrative hierarchies in the mainland industry from the mid-1990s to the late 2000s, see Emilie Yueh-yu Yeh and Darrell William Davis, "Re-nationalizing China's film industry: Case study on the China Film Group and film marketization," *Journal of Chinese Cinemas 2*, 1 (2008): 37–51. The broader historical context for these developments is discussed in Yingjin Zhang's superb *Chinese National Cinema*, Chapters 6–8.
- 28. Liz Shackleton, "Hengdian: The World at your feet," *Screen International*, 18 March 2005, p. 8; Clifford Coonan, "Chinese backlot grows to epic proportions," *Variety*, 11 April 2007, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117962785.
- 29. China's efforts to consolidate film activities through privatization and monopoly are explained in detail in Ying Zhu, *Chinese Cinema during the Era of Reform: The Ingenuity of the System* (New York: Prager, 2003). See especially

pp. 143–174. See also Yeh and Davis, "Renationalizing China's film industry," pp. 39–42; Zhang, pp. 281–296.

- 30. Jeremy Hanson, "Digging in to China," Variety,26 June-2 July 2000, p. 38.
- 31. Wellington Fung quoted in Saul Symonds,
 "Happy Together," *Hollywood Reporter*, 29 May
 -4 June 2007, p. 18.
- 32. An overview of CEPA as a policy can be found in Y. W. Peter Chiu, "CEPA: A Milestone in the economic integration between Hong Kong and Mainland China," Journal of Contemporary China 15 (May 2006): 275-295. For a good summary of CEPA's film policies to 2007, see Davis and Yeh. East Asian Screen Industries. pp. 102-105. An official statement of filmrelated CEPA provisions, updated through 2010, is on the Hong Kong Trade and Industry website at www.tid.gov.hk/english/cepa/ tradeservices/av cinema picture lib.html. Some useful early reporting is Liz Shackleton, "Hong Kong/ China trade agreement heralds production boom," Screen Daily, 9 July 2003, at www.screendaily.com/4014117.article; and Carlye Adler, "New Year brings looser mainland import laws," Variety, 21 December 2003, at www.variety.com/article/ VR1117897426. On the results, see Vicki Rothrock, "China pact perks up biz," Variety, 14-20 March 2005, pp. A1, A10.
- 33. Patrick Frater, "Asian tiger roars as Hollywood listens," *Variety*, 3–9 September 2007, p. C5; Liz Shackleton, "CineAsia opens with focus on mainland China's growth," *Screen Daily*, 9 December 2009, at www.screendaily.com/5008898.article.
- 34. Quoted in Liz Shackleton, "Hong Kong/China trade agreement."
- Winnie Chung, "Hong Kong," Hollywood Reporter special supplement, May 2006, p. 74.

- 36. Figures are taken from the annual Exhibition Profile in *Screen Digest*, 2004–2010.
- 37. Clifford Coonan, "Chinese B. O. totals \$1.14 bil," Variety, 19 October 2010, at www.variety.com/article/VR1118025904; Kathrin Hille, "Chinese cinema set to overtake India's," Financial Times, 14 October 2010, at blogs.ft.com/beyond-brics/2010/10/14/chinascinema-set-to-overtake-india/.
- "Sizing up China's cities," *The Economist*, 18 September 2010, p. 92.
- 39. Patrick Frater, "'Invisible Target' goes to Weinsteins," *Variety*, 13 July 2007, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117968562.
- 40. My business-oriented account has neglected the important changes in PRC popular culture taking place over the period. For an account of them, see Jason McGrath, *Postsocialist Modernity: Chinese Cinema, Literature, and Criticism in the Market Age* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2008).
- 41. Quoted in Liz Shackleton, "Mainland Mission," *Screen Daily*, 4 May 2009, at www.screendaily.com/ 5000759.article.
- 42. Vivienne Chow, "Asia's young directors given some clout," *South China Morning Post*, 24 March 2005, p. C3.
- Liz Shackleton, "Columbia Asia to back new film from Stephen Chow," *Screen Daily*, 2 June 2003, at www.screendaily.com/4013716.article; Vicki Rothrock, "Columbia cracks China," *Variety* (14–20 March 2005), pp. A1, A10.
- 44. Crucindo Wong, head of the Motion Picture Industry Association, quoted in Patrick Frater, "Asian film biz weathers financial storm," *Variety*, 12 March 2009, at www.variety.com/article/VR1118001333.
- 45. Clifford Coonan, "Mega-film 'Red Cliff' makes history," *Variety*, 26 September 2007, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117972838.

- 46. Something similar seemed to be forthcoming from Jackie Chan's partnership with Shanghai Film Group on 1911, a war picture centering on Sun Yat-sen. See Liz Shackleton, "Jackie Chan's 1911 sells to US, UK," Screen Daily, 6 November 2010, at www.screendaily.com/5020275.article.
- 47. See Shaojung Sharon Wang, "Connected through Remakes: Cultural Dialogue between Hollywood and Chinese Cinema Industries," Asian Cinema 21, 1 (Spring/Summer 2010): 179–192.
- 48. Min Lee, "New managers vow to resurrect storied HK film studio Golden Harvest," Associated Press (21 October 2009) at www.filmcontact.com/hong-kong/ new-managers-vow-resurrect-storied-hkstudio. The company also made a \$25 million investment in Legendary Pictures, the company behind *Inception* (2010) and *The Dark Knight* (2008). The plan was for Legendary to get involved in Chinese projects. See Dave McNary, "Orange Sky invests in Legendary," *Variety*, 26 September 2010, at www.variety.com/article/VR1118024674.
- Mark McDonald, "From icon to lifestyle, the marketing of Bruce Lee," *New York Times*, 12 December 2009, at www.nytimes.com/2009/12/ 12/business/global/12iht-lee.html.

Not so extravagant, not so gratuitously wild

- 1. This 2004 film is known in English as *Love Is a Many Stupid Thing*.
- For an interpretation of the film relying upon its Buddhist references, see Gina Marchetti, *Andrew Lau and Alan Mak's Infernal Affairs* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2007), pp. 51–95.

- Nick Gentle, "Coming soon to a screen near you —More advertisements," *South China Morning Post* (15 December 2003), Life section, p. 1.
- 4. Darrell William Davis and Emilie Yueh-yu Yeh, *East Asian Screen Industries* (London: British Film Institute, 2008), p. 34.
- 5. Michael Fleming, "Warners hot for 'Infernal Affairs," *Variety*, 2 February 2003, at http://www.variety.com/article/VR1117879861.
- 6. Quoted in Wendy Kan, "Studies find local scripts still lacking good stories," *Variety*, 12–18 May 2003, p. 15.
- I discuss this technique as "intensified continuity" in *The Way Hollywood Tells It* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2006), pp. 117–157.
- 8. Thomas Shin, "Andrew Lau puts drama before action," *Hong Kong Panorama 2002–2003* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong International Film Festival, 2003), 67.
- 9. Quoted in Tony Rayns, "Deep Cover," *Sight & Sound* 14, 1 (January 2004): 29.
- For an account of the song's significance in Chinese popular and political culture, see Marchetti, *Infernal Affairs*, pp. 14–20.
- Derek Elley, "Infernal Affairs III," Variety, 17 February 20004, at www.variety.com/review/VE1117923157.
- 12. My analysis relies on the original release version of the film, not the slightly longer director's cut available on video.

11 | A Thousand Films Later

 The best introduction to Chow's work that I know is Kraicer's article "Stephen Chiau: A Guide for the Perplexed," *Cinema Scope* no 10 (March 2002): 18–21. At that writing, Chow preferred the alternative spelling of his family name, and I used that in the original chapters of this book. Since then, however, he has become universally known as "Chow," so that's the form I use throughout this edition.

- 2. Most Mainland audiences heard Chow's dialogue in Mandarin, often courtesy of Taiwanese voice-dubber Shi Ban-yu. Shi has become famous as a Chow sound-alike. Thanks to Li Cheuk-to for this information.
- 3. Mathew Scott, "Comic chameleon," *South China Morning Post*, 19 December 2004, at archive.scmp.com.
- Brian Hu, "CJ7 on Blu-ray: Alien Tongues," Asia Pacific Arts, 22 August 2008, at www.asiaarts.ucla.edu/ article.asp?parentid=96258.
- 5. His ultimate ambition, he explained, was someday to direct films without acting in them. See Tasha Robinson, "Stephen Chow," *The Onion*, 6 April 2005, at http://www.avclub.com/ articles/ stephen-chow,13925/.
- 6. Jeremy Hansen, "F/x kick 'Soccer' into high gear," *Variety*, 23–29 July 2001, p. 41.
- 7. The title *CJ7* refers to China's manned space missions Shenzhou 5 (2003) and Shenzhou 6 (2005).
- See "HK\$300 min funded to develop local film industry," Ministry of Commerce, People's Republic of China (6 July 2007) at english.mofcom.gov.cn/aarticle/subject/ secondcepa/lanmua/200707/ 20070704890017.html. See also Patrick Frater, "China threatens red card for Chow's Soccer hit," *Screen Daily*, 1 August 2001, at www.screendaily.com/406470.article.
- 9. Local box-office figures are taken from reports of the Hong Kong Motion Picture Industry Association. According to boxofficemojo.com, Chow's three films had the following global receipts: US\$42.7 million for *Shaolin Soccer*,

\$100.9 million for *Kung Fu Hustle*, and \$47.3 million for *CJ7*. See also figures in Mike Goodridge, *"CJ7," Screen Daily*, 4 February 2008, at www.screendaily.com/4036979.article.

- 10. The fullest analysis of Wong's creative process at this period can be found in Tony Rayns, "The Long Goodbye," *Sight and Sound* 15,1 (January 2005): 22–25. See also Jaime Wolf, "The Director's Director," *New York Times Magazine*, 26 September 2004, pp. 36–41.
- 11. See Alison James and David Rooney, "Late pic has Fest taking Wong turn," *Variety*, 18 May 2004, at www.variety.com/article/ VR1117905184; Alison James, "Wong pic on a slow train to Cannes," *Variety*, 19 May 2004, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117905255; Liz Shackleton, "Wong Kar-wai's 2046 to miss planned debut at Cannes," *South China Morning Post*, 20 May 2004, at archive.scmp.com.
- 12. The films have captivated critics; among Hong Kong filmmakers only Jackie Chan has inspired more books. See, among several, Stephen Teo, *Wong Kar-Wai* (London: British Film Institute, 2005); Peter Brunette, *Wong Kar-wai* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2005); *In the Mood for Love*, ed. Jean-Christophe Ferrari (Chatou: Éditions de la Transparence, 2005); Thierry Jousse, *Wong Kar-wai* (Paris: Cahiers du cinéma, 2006); Nathalie Bittinger, 2046 de Wong Kar-wai (Paris: Colin, 2007).
- 13. Vicki Rothrock, "Crowded House," *Variety*, 16 May 2007, p. A6.
- 14. Vicki Rothrock, "Wong sharpens his commercial instinct," *Variety*, 16 May 2007, p. A6.
- 15. The rollout of *In the Mood for Love* was accompanied by an art book offering many photos related to the film, as well as a translation of Liu Yichang's *Duidao* (known in English as "Intersection"), which was an inspiration for the the film. The volume includes an interview with Liu about the film.

See *tête-bêche: A Wong Kar Wai Project* (Hong Kong: Block 2 Pictures, 2000).

After the completion of 2046, an enterprising pirate created a knockoff action figure, if that's the right term, of Tony Leung Chiu-wai's character Chow Mo-wan. The 30cm-high item popped up in toyshops across Asia. See Flora Wu, "Phoney figurines flood the market," *South China Morning Post*, 28 September 2004, at archive.scmp.com.

- I discuss some variations in the versions in "Ashes to Ashes (Redux)," at www.davidbordwell.net/blog/?p=3133.
- 17. Patrick Frater, "Director poised for mainstream bow," *Variety*, 15 May 2007, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117964854.html.
- Wong talks about the genesis and production of the film in Tony Rayns, "The American Way," *Sight and Sound* 18, 3 (March 2008): 32–34.
- 19. My comments are based on the Hong Kong DVD release of the film, which runs about ninety-one minutes, not counting opening and closing credits. The US theatrical release of late 2008 runs about eighty-six minutes (again, minus credits), as does the US DVD version. The print of *My Blueberry Nights* shown at Cannes ran, according to contemporary reports, 111 minutes. I haven't seen that version, which may no longer be available. Upon the film's U.S. release, several critics remarked that "about twenty minutes" had been cut from the original. Co-screenwriter Lawrence Block commented, "There wasn't much cut in the way of incident. The version that was shown at Cannes was just too fucking long." See M. Faust, "Grandmaster Block," Artvoice (22 May 2008) at artvoice.com/issues/v7n21/ grandmaster block#ixzz143BGunB.
- 20. Quoted in Rachel K. Bosley, "Infidelity in the Far East," *American Cinematographer* 82, 2 (February 2001): 26.

- 21. This is as good a place as any to mention that the idea of a hotel room numbered 2046 is an anachronism. No Hong Kong hotel of the 1960s was twenty stories high. Wong based the number on the last year of autonomy assigned to Hong Kong by the handover agreement; in 2047, the "Special Administrative Region" would become wholly a part of China. In the course of working out a film to fit the highconcept title, Wong hatched many ideas, including a film about Hong Kongers living at number 2046 on different streets. For a time the project involved a circus camped on a desert near a place called 2046. The project eventually became a science-fiction plot set in the future, but after shooting for some time on a large set in Thailand, cinematographer Chris Doyle recalled, "It became obvious late in the game that our futuristic 2046 didn't have enough meat to carry its intended weight in the film." See Bob Davis, "A Time-Traveling Romance," American Cinematographer 86, 9 (September 2005): 26.
- 22. For more on Chang's collaborations with Wong, as well as information about Chang's extraordinary career as a whole, see *William Chang, Art Director*, ed. Bono Lee and Li Cheuk-to (Hong Kong: Hong Kong International Film Festival, 2004).
- 23. Greg Torode, "In the mood for praise," *South China Morning Post*, 11 February 2001, at archive.scmp.com.
- 24. There exists at least one version of the film in which Leung's dandy is part of a prologue as well as the epilogue, in effect bookending the film. See my blog entry "Days of Being Obscure," at www.davidbordwell.net/blog/?p=2453.
- 25. Quoted in Sophie Benamon, "L'abécédaire: 2046 par Wong Kar-wai," *Studio* (October 2004): 100.

- 26. Stephen Teo, *Wong Kar-wai* (London: British Film Institute, 2005), p. 117.
- 27. Wong made *The Hand* during a pause in the production of *2046*. He has explained that it gave him the idea of writing the Black Spider character into the longer film as an emblem of Chow's past. See Benamon, "L'abécédaire": 102.
- I discuss the Hollywood trend toward "worldmaking" in *The Way Hollywood Tells It: Story and Style in Modern Movies* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2006), 58–60.
- 29. Quoted in Benamon, "L'abécédaire": 105.
- 30. Quoted in Liz Shackleton, "Hong Kong," *Screen International*, 22 December 2004, p. 26.
- 31. For a general discussion of the Korean blockbuster phenomenon, see Jinhee Choi, *The South Korean Film Renaissance: Local Hitmakers, Global Provocateurs* (Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press, 2010). Choi discusses Nowhere to Hide on p. 172.
- 32. Quoted in Winnie Chung, "Taking Action: Hong Kong film industry banks on making worldclass cinema," *Hollywood Reporter*, 9 May 2001 at www.hollywoodreporter.com/ article_display.jsp?/vnu_content_id=862972. Accessed 10 May 2001; as of December 2010, not available.
- 33. Dissecting Hollywood film plots into three acts has become common since Syd Field, *Screenplay: The Foundations of Screenwriting* (New York: Delta, 1979), pp. 8–13. My mention of four-part structures refers to the model proposed by Kristin Thompson in *Storytelling in the New Hollywood* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1999), pp. 27–49, which gives what seems to me a more precise delineation of how studio plots are built. I discuss and elaborate on Thompson's model in *The Way Hollywood Tells It* Berkeley: University of

California Press, 2006), pp. 27–50. See also "Anatomy of the Action Picture" (2007) at www.davidbordwell.net/essays/anatomy.php.

- 34. See for further information Gary Bettinson, "New Blood: an interview with Soi Cheang," *Journal of Chinese Cinemas 2*, 3 (2008): 211–224.
- 35. For discussions of horror films up to the early 2000s, see Daniel O'Brien, *Spooky Encounters: A Gwailo's Guide to Hong Kong Horror* (Manchester: Critical Vision, 2003) and Grady Hendrix "Hong Kong Horror—The '90s and Beyond [2003]," *Senses of Cinema* at archive.sensesofcinema.com/contents/03/29/ hong_kong_horror.html. More recent work is surveyed in *Horror to the Extreme: Changing Boundaries in Asian Cinema*, ed. Jinhee Choi and Mitsuyo Wada-Marciano (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2009).
- 36. All the more remarkably, the shorter version of *Dumplings* manages to deflect the narrative in different but no less carefully motivated directions.
- 37. For more on fortuitous plot schemes in Hong Kong and Hollywood, see my blog entry "No coincidence, no story," at www.davidbordwell.net/blog/?p=9752.
- For a concise history of digital technologies in cinema, see Kristin Thompson and David Bordwell, *Film History: An Introduction*, third editon, (New York: McGraw-Hill, 2009), Chapter 30.
- 39. Liz Shackleton, "Q & A: John Chu," *Screen International*, 18 July 2003, p. 5.
- 40. See Patrick Frater, "Hong Kong ups visual f/x profile," *Variety*, 15 May 2008, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117985803; Karen Chu, "FX Appeal," *Hollywood Reporter Daily from Hong Kong* (17 March 2008), pp. 13–16, at www.hollywoodreporter.com/hr/photos/stylus/ 20115.pdf

- 41. Quoted in Derek Elley, "'Promise' leads hot Asian pix," *Variety*, 14–20 March 2005, p. A8.
- 42. This isn't to say that the films did not continue the conversation with the local audience and critics about life after 1997. See Yau Shuk-ting, Kinnia, "A Study of the Post-Handover Hong Kong Action Cinema 1997–2007," Asian Cinema 20, 2 (Fall/Winter 2009): 114–130.
- 43. Quoted in Mark Lander, "Back to Hong Kong, where the action is," *New York Times*, 13 August 2000, at www.nytimes.com/2000/08/13/movies/ film-back-to-hong-kong-where-the-actionis.html.
- 44. Ross Chen, "Top 100 of the Nineties Postmortem —Full list plus random notes," 8 April 2010, at www.lovehkfilm.com/blog/ damnyoukozo/2010/ 04/08/top-100-of-thenineties-postmortem-full-list-plus-randomnotes/.
- To attended the festival's inaugural year. See Derek Elley, "Derek Elley's cinephile origins," *Variety*, 9 April 2008, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117983816.
- Vicci Ho, "Hong Kong crazy for 'Batman," Variety, 11 November 2007, at www.variety.com/article/VR1117975751.
- 47. Quoted in "Throw Down," *The 29th Hong Kong International Film Festival* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong International Film Festival Society, 2005), p. 107.
- 48. Interview with Johnnie To, 4 April 2002.
- 49. Quoted in Stephen Teo, "Appendix 1: Author's Interview with Johnnie To," in Teo, *Director in Action: Johnnie To and the Hong Kong Action Film* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2007), p. 227.
- 50. I analyze *Too Many Ways* as a forking-path plot, along with *Run Lola Run* and other films, in the essay "Film Futures," in *Poetics of Cinema* (New York: Routledge, 2008), pp. 171–187. See also

Peter Rist's analysis, "Too Many Ways to Be Experimental," in *Milkyway Image, Beyond Imagination*, ed. Lawrence Pun (Hong Kong: Joint Publishing Company, 2006), pp. 230–242.

- 51. Beyond Hypothermia (1996) was released before these films, and its opening titles credit both Milkyway and Sangueen, an earlier name for what would become Milkyway. Yet To has claimed that the film was finished before Milkyway was fully set up, making Too Many Ways to Be Number One the company's "first official production" (Teo, "Appendix One," p. 227). To has said that he provided the idea for Beyond Hypothermia but that the credited director Patrick Leung Pak-kin did not handle it properly, so To redid half the film. See Léonard Haddad and David Martinez, "To sera le mieux," Hong Kong no. 8 (September 1998): 92. Beyond Hypothermia is not listed in the official company filmography in Milkyway Image, Beyond Imagination, ed. Pun, pp. 330-351.
- 52. Johnnie To Symposium, Washington University -St. Louis, 14 November 2003.
- 53. For more on Martin Chappell's contributions to Milkyway, see my blog entry "The boy in the Black Hole," at www.davidbordwell.net/blog/?p=2212.
- 54. See my blog entry, "A Many-Splendored Thing 4: Triangulating," at www.davidbordwell.net/blog/?p=577.
- 55. Symposium on Milkyway Image, Hong Kong International Film Festival, 10 April 1999.
- 56. Quoted in Athena Tsui and Cochran Fong, "Too Many Ways to be a Filmmaker," *Hong Kong Panorama* (Hong Kong International Film Festival, 1999), p. 65.
- 57. For background on *PTU*, see Michael Ingham, *Johnnie To Kei-fung's PTU* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2009).

- 58. "Johnnie To to produce Jia Zhangke's wuxia film In Manchurian Dynasty," Wu-jing (25 June 2009) at www.wu-jing.org/happenings/archives/ 662-Johnnie-To-To-Produce-Jia-Zhangkes-Wuxia-Film-In-Manchurian-Dynasty.html. See also "Chinese Director Defends Break from Art House," Hollywood Reporter, 30 October 2010, at www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/chinesedirector-defends-break-art-33826.
- A. O. Scott, "A Low-Key Gangster's Rise in Sunny Hong Kong," *New York Times*, 25 April 2007, at movies.nytimes.com/2007/04/25/ movies/25elec.html.

Man on a Mission

- 1. Interview on "Talk Asia," CNN (10 February 2010) at www.cnn.com/video/data/2.0/video/ international/2010/02/10/ talk.asia.johnnie.to.cnn.html.
- 2. Johnnie To Symposium, Washington University -St. Louis, 14 November 2003.
- 3. Symposium on Milkyway Image, Hong Kong International Film Festival, 10 April 1999.
- Quoted in R. Emmet Sweeney, "Interview: Johnnie To on 'Mad Detective," IFC.com at www.ifc.com/news/2008/07/johnnie-to-on-maddetective.php.
- 5. Jeff Smith analyzes this restaurant scene in detail in "*PTU*: Johnnie To and the Clockwork Metropolis," in *Milkyway Image, Beyond Imagination*, ed. Lawrence Pun (Hong Kong: Joint Publishing, 2006), pp. 230–242.
- 6. Stephen Teo provides a cogent analysis of this passage in *Director in Action: Johnnie To and the Hong Kong Action Film* (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2007), pp. 170–174.
- 7. Katherine Spring argues that this scene encapsulates the ironic attitude toward genre that is central to To's approach. See her essay,

"Now It's Personal: Style, Narrative, and Irony in *A Hero Never Dies*," in *Milkyway Image*, *Beyond Imagination*, ed. Pun, pp. 130–144.

- 8. Information about the scene, including the quotation in the caption, comes from the author's interview with Johnnie To, Hong Kong, 4 April 2002.
- 9. Johnnie To Symposium, Washington University -St. Louis, 14 November 2003.
- Quoted in Li Cheuk-to and Bono Lee, "Beyond Running Out of Time and The Mission: Johnnie To Ponders One Hundred Years of Film," Hong Kong Panorama 1999–2000 (Hong Kong: Hong Kong International Film Festival, 2000), p. 47.
- 11. Roy's decision reveals another narrative feint. While waiting for Mrs. Lung, Roy is taunted by the police who patrol his neighborhood. In the next scene, he announces that Shing should start driving for Mrs. Lung. We assume that Roy's pride is hurt and assigns his protégé to the demeaning job of the chauffeuring the boss's wife. Only later do we learn that he quit because of Mrs. Lung's advances—another characteristic To ellipsis.

Acknowledgments

RESEARCH FOR THIS BOOK was supported by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Fund as administered by the University of Wisconsin–Madison Graduate School. The University's Institute for Research in the Humanities kindly granted me a senior fellowship, which allowed me release time to travel and write. I am grateful for this support, as well as for the funding of a film series and symposium on Asian cinema, "Light in the East," held during the 1996–97 academic year and sponsored by the UW Anonymous Fund, the Humanistic Foundation, the Consortium for the Arts, and the International Institute.

No writing project has enriched my life more than this one. In 1995 Li Cheuk-to kindly took me out to lunch during the Hong Kong International Film Festival, and that was just the beginning. He encouraged me to write this book and offered me precise suggestions. Through Cheuk-to I met others. Stephen Teo proved another great source of information, suggestions, and good fellowship. Stephen also read the entire manuscript. Athena Tsui has been my eyes and ears in Hong Kong. She kept me up-to-date, arranged interviews, and gave me access to a great deal of information. Shu Kei, polymathic director-producer-distributor-criticprofessor, and one of the most vital players in local film culture, patiently answered my questions for hours on end. *Planet Hong Kong* simply would not exist were it not for Cheuk-to, Stephen, Athena, and Shu Kei.

The energetic staff of the Hong Kong International Film Festival provided a cheerful atmosphere for my visits; I owe special thanks to Law Kar and Jacob Wong. I am very grateful to Peter Tsi, who met with me on several occasions and gave me unique insights into the film industry; and to the members of the Hong Kong Film Critics Society, who shared their ideas at an all-night dinner. The following people kindly gave interviews: Gordon Chan, Peter Chan, Jimmy Choi of the Hong Kong Arts Centre, Wellington Fung of Media Asia, Ann Hui, Michael Hui, Abe Kwong, Andrew Lau, Albert Lee of Golden Harvest, Roger Lee of Golden Harvest, Jerry Liu of Media Asia, Francis Ng, Sandy Shaw, Winnie Tsang of Golden Harvest, Tsui Hark, Anthony Wong, Wong Jing, Herman Yau, and Yuen Woo-ping.

Michael Campi has been immensely generous in offering rare material and in suggesting things to watch. Likewise Tony Rayns, Western doyen of Eastern cinema, has for years been keeping me updated on Asian cinema, while also prodding me to consider forgotten and unappreciated films. I first met Bérénice Reynaud at the Festival, and her energetic intelligence has steered me to important films and ideas; she also provided many detailed suggestions on this manuscript.

During several of my stays in the territory, Patricia Erens of Hong Kong University offered me hospitality. I have learned a lot from her perspective on the city she lives in. For sharing information I thank Sam Ho, Linda Lai, Ryan Law, Cynthia Liu of the Hong Kong Film Archive, Ma Ka-fai, Chen Mei, Hector Rodriguez, Thomas Shin, David Stratton, Eliza Walsh-Lau Man Yee, Norman Wang, and Esther Yau, with particular thanks to Till Brockman, Alberto Pezzotta, Peter Rist, and Miles Wood. Access to film prints was provided Mike Arnold of Rim Films, Peter Chow, Vivian Chow of Asian CineVision, Rolanda Chu, Ange Hwang of Asian Media Access, John Soo of Tai Seng, John Vasco, and Coco Wong of Golden Harvest Films. Special thanks go to Gabrielle Claes of the Royal Film Archive of Belgium, who invited me to attend a 1997 tribute to Hong Kong cinema; and to Ludo Bettens, who arranged for me to view several films held in the Archive's collection.

Thanks also to the Hong Kong Film Archive and to Winnie Fu, who helped me obtain Figs. 1.6, 3.1, 8.2, 8.3, and 8.4 from the Archive's collection.

At home, Hong Kong film has kept me in touch with many friends. My colleagues at the Institute

for Research in the Humanities, particularly director Paul Boyer, were a continuing source of ideas and information. My editor at Harvard, Lindsay Waters, was exceptionally gracious in launching and sustaining this project, and Kim Steere carefully engineered its intact arrival at the Press. Thanks once again to Ann (Omit Needless Words) Hawthorne. Marianne Perlak and David Foss were keenly attentive in designing yet another of my books. Barbara Scharres, programmer extraordinaire at the Film Center of Chicago's Art Institute, has long supported Hong Kong cinema in the Midwest. Thanks also to those other Midwesterners David Desser and Fu Poshek for enjoyable discussions. Here at Madison I have been helped by Tino Balio, Sally Banes, Ben Brewster, Chan Pu-kui, Lisa Dombrowski, Nelson Ferreira, Maxine Fleckner-Ducey, Ed Friedman, Erik Gunneson, Kevin Heffernan, Jonathan Hertzberg, Scott Higgins, Lea Jacobs, Vance Kepley, Jim Kreul, Anita Mok, Jim Moy, J. J. Murphy, Paul Ramaeker, Doug Riblet, Sally Ross, Paddy Rourke, Rafe Vela, Mike Walsh, Sean Weitner, Juanita Zhou, and Pauline Zveiniks, with particular thanks to Fujiki Hideaki and Jim Udden. Warm appreciation goes to the Guardians of the Shaolin Temple-Mark Bendian, Joe Lindner, and Mike Pogorzelski-and to Nat Olson, master of the digital domain and full-time fan of Hong Kong cinema.

Noël Carroll and Kristin Thompson provided initial encouragement and detailed comments on early drafts. They know how much every project I undertake owes to them.

For this second edition, many of the same people proved helpful, particularly Li Cheuk-to, Shu Kei, Athena Tsui, Tony Rayns, Michael Campi, Peter Rist, Bérénice Reynaud, Nat Olson, and Mike Walsh. All have enriched my visits to the Fragrant Harbour for the ten years since the first appearance of this book. While preparing *Planet Hong Kong* for a Chinese translation, Ah-to provided me with a list of errata and points of information that have made this edition more accurate and nuanced.

In addition, for a great range of help, I must thank Frederic Ambroisine, Jake Black, James Cortada, Alan Franey, Jonathan Frome, Kristi Gehring, Grady Hendrix, Nicole Huang, Mike King, King Wei-chun, Shelly Kraicer, Jonathan Lang, Lau Shing-hon, Ang Lee, Joanna Lee, Jason McGrath, Shawn McKenna, James Schamus of Focus Films, Brad Schauer, Jeff Smith, Ken Smith, Kat Spring, Chuck Stephens, the late Rebecca Swender, and Yvonne Teh. I am especially grateful to Mr. and Mrs. Johnnie To Kei-fung, Ding Yuin Shan, To Kei-chi, and Yau Nai-hoi for their kindness during several visits to Milkyway Image. My stays in Hong Kong were also enlivened by Mike Curtin, Melissa Curtin, Mette Hjort, Paisley Livingston, Emilie Yueh Yu-yeh, and Darrell Davis. Mike and Darrell read new sections of the book and provided excellent advice.

Back in Madison, Heather Heckman and Mark Minett did a fine job scanning and preparing the illustrations. Meg Hamel, my web tsarina, steered me through this experiment in self-publishing. She edited and designed the book and was attentive to every detail. Resourceful and unflappable, Meg made *Planet Hong Kong 2.0* fun.